

# 2018 Quality Incentive Report

# A Report on the Quality Incentive Program In New York State



## **Table of Contents**

Section 1	Background	3
	Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process 2018 Methodology	5
Section 3	Quality Incentive Award Results	13

### **Section 1** Background

New York's Medicaid Managed Care Quality Incentive Program began in early 2001. In 2002, the program was expanded to provide increased incentives for improvement. Plans became eligible to receive bonuses added to the premium based upon composite scores from quality measures and satisfaction measures. The bonus was later increased in 2005 to its current value. The Quality Incentive Program continues to evolve over the years and includes new components and measures as well as a refined methodology to calculate current performance relative to peers.

The data sources used in the Quality Incentive Program include quality measures from the following sources:

- New York's Quality Assurance Reporting Requirements (QARR), which is largely comprised of National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®)
- State-specific performance measures
- Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®)
- Prevention Quality Indicators using the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)

Rates of performance in Medicaid managed care have increased steadily over the last decade. New York State Medicaid plans have demonstrated a high level of care compared to national averages, and for many domains of care the gap in performance between commercial and Medicaid managed care has been decreasing since the Quality Incentive Program was implemented. The use of financial incentives has proven successful in engaging Medicaid managed care plans in developing infrastructure, programs, and resources to promote high quality care. Incorporating financial incentives that tie payment directly to quality is an important approach to improving the quality of care and holds health plans accountable for the care they provide and rewards those who invest in processes that improve care. State Medicaid programs have steadily increased the use of financial incentives or pay-for-performance (P4P) mechanisms in their payment systems.

Currently, the Quality Incentive Program has a defined methodology to determine the percentage of the potential financial incentive that a plan receives. Plans earn up to 150 points from the categories of Quality of Care, Consumer Satisfaction, and Preventive Quality Indicators. Points are subtracted from the plan's total points if the plan had statements of deficiency in the Compliance category. A maximum of 20 points could be subtracted from the plan's total points for statements of deficiency associated with specific compliance areas. A plan can also earn up to 6 possible bonus points for an approved telehealth innovation plan. The plans total points out of the 150 points are normalized to a 100-point scale.

Summary of the current Quality Incentive structure components and possible:

Component	Measures *	Points
Quality – QARR (HEDIS® and NYS-specific)	30 measures	100 points
Satisfaction – CAHPS® Health Plan Survey	3 measures	30 points
Prevention Quality Indicators	2 measures	20 points
Total points		150 points
Compliance (Subtracted from Total)	6 measures	Up to 20 points
Bonus for Telehealth Innovation (Added to Tot	Up to 6 points	
Final Score	Final points/150	

<sup>\*</sup> The number of measures per component may vary from year to year.

Plans are grouped into one of five tiers to determine the incentive award. The five tiers are based on the percentage of points earned by the plans and were set using the 2017 Quality Incentive scores (Attachment D). Plans must achieve or exceed the threshold for the respective tier to be eligible for their award. Incentive premium awards are impacted by enacted budget actions for SFY 19-20 and may change to meet program fiscal targets. The amount of the incentive award is determined by the Division of Finance and Rate Setting and subject to final approval from Division of Budget and the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS).

Plans performance also affects the auto-assignment preference. Plans achieving Tier 1 - Tier 4 of the Quality Incentive award receive the quality preference in the auto-assignment algorithm. The quality preference in the algorithm directs a proportion of auto-assignees only to plans that qualified for the incentive. The quality preference for auto-assignment is not adjusted by the tier of the Quality Incentive award; rather all tiers other than Tier 5 receive the same quality preference and share in the distribution of auto-assignees equally.

The 2018 Quality Incentive awards become effective for capitation rates and for auto-assignment preference on April 1, 2019. Final revised capitation rates for plans that received the 2018 Quality Incentive will be sent separately from the Division of Finance and Rate Setting. If you have questions regarding the incentive premium award, please contact the Bureau of Acute & Managed Care Reimbursement at (518) 473-8822.

The Quality Incentive methodology aligns with the Department's efforts to reward comprehensive quality care. The improvement in results for Medicaid managed care has been impressive over the past ten years. The objective with the incentive methodology is to expand the scope of accountability and provide continued encouragement for improvement.

## **Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process – 2018 Methodology**

In this section, a detailed description of the five Quality Incentive components and the calculation process are presented to explain how the points are assigned to each measure within each component.

The following five Quality Incentive components were used to determine the 2018 Quality Incentive results:

- Quality of Care: 2018 QARR results using 2017 data
- Consumer Satisfaction: The most recent CAHPS® survey for Adults in Medicaid, which was administered in fall 2017 and results released in reports dated March 2018
- Prevention Quality Indicators: Prevention Quality Overall Composite (PQI 90) and Pediatric Quality Overall Composite (PDI 90) using 2017 inpatient admissions
- Compliance: Regulatory compliance information from 2016 and 2017
- Bonus Points: Telehealth Innovation Plan (TIP)

#### **Quality of Care Measures** (100 points possible)

The methodology for awarding points for quality measures in the 2018 Quality Incentive has changed slightly from the methodology used in the 2017 Incentive. Quality performance points will be earned based on percentiles of the prior year performance for Medicaid Managed Care plans.

The Quality Measures included align with the measures selected for the State's Value Based Payment arrangements. Quality measures from Total Care for the General Population (including Integrated Primary Care), Behavioral Health, Maternity, and HIV will be included. This approach allows a more comprehensive view of quality and aligns with other uses of the data for value-based purchasing. It also minimizes the impact of one problematic area in the overall performance of the plan. For some measures with more than one indicator, we will use a weighted average method (see equation below) to average each measure's individual indicator rates and calculate a measure score. Indicators with larger denominators will contribute more to the scoring than indicators with smaller denominators. The attached list of measures identifies the measures with multiple indicators where the scores will be calculated as weighted averages.

The weighted average equation is as follows:

$$X = \frac{\sum_{1}^{i} \mathbf{n}_{i} * \mathbf{x}_{i}}{\sum_{1}^{i} \mathbf{n}_{i}}$$

Where X is the final measure score that is the weighted average,  $x_i$  is the indicator score, and  $n_i$  is the indicator denominator.

- The allotted 100 points for quality will be distributed evenly for all measure scores, and for measures with more than one indicator, each measure score will be counted as one measure. For example, if there are 30 measures in the quality section, each measure will be worth up to 3.33 points.
- If a measure has less than 30 members in the denominator, we consider it to be Small Sample Size (SS), and we will suppress those results. There will be no reweighting for Small Sample Size. If plan results are SS, there will be overall reduction of quality points.

## **Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process – 2018 Methodology**

For example, with 30 measures worth 3.33 points out of 100 possible points, if a plan only has 29 measures, each will be worth 3.33 points but only out of 96.67 total points. The base will be reduced by the maximum value for that one measure.

- The determination of the 50th, 75<sup>th</sup>, and 90th percentiles will be <u>based on the</u> <u>measurement year prior</u>. Quality performance benchmarks to be used in the awarding of points are included in this letter. To determine the plans achieving the percentiles the results will be rounded to two decimal points prior to the percentile determination.
- Plans will be awarded 50 percent of possible points for a measure result at or above the 50th percentile, but less than the 75th percentile, 75 percent of possible points for a measure result at or above the 75th percentile, but less than the 90th percentile and 100 percent of possible points for the measure at or above the 90th percentile.
- Trending determinations by measure will be made by NYSDOH. Any measure that cannot be trended will be awarded all points on a pay-for-reporting (P4R) basis.
- Each plan's quality points are totaled and then divided by their base points. The resulting quality percentage points are normalized to 100. This normalization of the quality percentage points to 100 allows this section of the Quality Incentive to continue to retain a similar weight in the makeup of the overall scores.

Benchmarks for the 90th, 75<sup>th</sup>, and 50th percentiles for the Quality Measures:

Quality Measure	Indicators	90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	Points Possible
Annual Dental Visit	Ages 2-18	69.01	66.98	62.09	3.33
Antidepressant Medication	Effective Acute Phase Treatment				
Management	Effective Continuation Phase Treatment	45.38	44.71	42.03	3.33
Breast Cancer Screening		73.1	70.8	68.04	3.33
Cervical Cancer Screening		77.87	75.99	71.63	3.33
Chlamudia Saraanina	16-20 years	79.99	76.92	71.71	2 22
Chlamydia Screening	21-24 Years	79.99	76.92	71.71	3.33
Childhood Immunization	Combination 3	83.21	81.86	77.13	3.33
Colorectal Cancer Screening		64.23	57.35	54.74	3.33
Comprehensive Diabetes Care	Received All Three Tests	62.04	59.95	57.31	3.33
Comprehensive Diabetes Care	HbA1C Control <8.0%	61.07	57.42	55.07	3.33
Controlling High Blood Pressure		70.8	65.21	62.84	3.33
Flu Shots for Adults (CAHPS®)		47.2	44.24	40.49	3.33

# **Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process – 2018 Methodology**

Quality Measure	Indicators	90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	Points Possible	
Immunization for Adolescents	Combination 2	NT	NT	NT	3.33	
Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and other Drug	Initiation of AOD Treatment	NT	NT	NT	3.33	
Dependence Treatment	Engagement of AOD Treatment					
	Advising Smokers and Tobacco Users to Quit					
Medical Assistance with Tobacco Cessation (CAHPS®)	Discussing Cessation Medications	66.83	65.09	63.49	3.33	
	Discussing Cessation Strategies					
Medication Management for	50% of Treatment Period Covered	50.88	48.51	46.25	3.33	
People with Asthma (Ages 5-64)	75% of Treatment Period Covered	30.00	40.51	40.23	0.00	
Statin Therapy for Patients with Cardiovascular Disease	Statin Adherence 80%	69.57	66.25	63.64	3.33	
Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD		55.2	54.44	45.32	3.33	
Weight Assessment and	Body Mass Index (BMI) Percentile Documentation		84.08	77.53		
Counseling for Children and Adolescents	Counseling for Nutrition	86.46			3.33	
	Counseling for Physical Activity					
Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months – Five or more visits		85.85	84.28	81.32	3.33	
Well Child Visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th Year		87.28	85.04	82.77	3.33	
Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia		67.69	63.49	60.9	3.33	
Diabetes Screening for People with Schizophrenia or Bipolar Disorder Using Antipsychotic Medications		83.26	82.54	80.93	3.33	
Follow Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness Within 7 Days	7 Days	NT	NT	NT	3.33	
Follow-up after Discharge from the Emergency Department for Mental Health- 7-day rate	7 Days	NT	NT	NT	3.33	

# **Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process – 2018 Methodology**

Quality Measure	Indicators	90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	Points Possible
Follow Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness- 7-day rate	7 Days	NT	NT	NT	3.33
	Initiation Phase				
Follow Up for Children Newly Prescribed ADHD Medication	Continuation and Maintenance Phase	69.55	69.13	60.95	3.33
Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics		48.82	48.06	41.6	3.33
Timeliness of Prenatal Care		92.94	90.02	88.67	3.33
Postpartum Care		73.72	72.25	68.86	3.33
Viral Load Suppression		83.97	83.41	76.81	3.33
Total Points	_			•	100

**NT-** Cannot trend data from previous year benchmarks. All plans receive the full points for measures labeled as NT.

## **Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process – 2018 Methodology**

#### **Satisfaction Measures** (30 points)

This year's Quality Incentive incorporates satisfaction data from the state-sponsored CAHPS® survey for Adults in Medicaid, which was administered in fall 2017, and results released in reports dated March 2018. Thirty points (of the total 150) were assigned to the CAHPS® measures. To achieve 10 points for a measure, the plan's result for the measure must be significantly higher than the statewide average. Plan results that were not significantly different than the statewide average earn 5 points, and plan results that were significantly below the statewide average did not receive any points (zero points).

The CAHPS® measures included in the 2018 Quality Incentive:

CAHPS Measure	Statewide Average	Satisfaction Points
Rating of Health Plan	76	10
Getting Care Needed	79	10
Customer Service and Information	86	10
Total		30

#### **Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI) Measures (20 points)**

The Prevention Quality Indicators (PQI) and Pediatric Quality Indicators (PDI) quantify hospital admissions that most likely could have been avoided through high-quality outpatient care. To align with the Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment Program (DSRIP), the PQI Composite measure (PQI 90) and the PDI Composite measure (PDI 90) are used in the 2018 Quality Incentive. To further align with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), the prevention quality indicators will be calculated as the number of admissions that met one of the prevention quality or pediatric quality indicators over the total number of people in your health plan. Plans will be awarded points based on their risk adjusted rates. Plans will receive 50 percent of possible points for a measure at or below the 50th percentile, but greater than the 25th percentile, 75 percent of possible points for a measure at or below the 25th percentile, but greater than the 10th percentile and 100 percent of possible points for the measure at or below the 10th percentile. Plans received no points for a measure above the 50th percentile.

PQI	10 <sup>th</sup>	25 <sup>th</sup>	50 <sup>th</sup>	PQI
	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile	Points
Pediatric Quality Overall Composite (PDI 90)	94.60	106.36	160.78	10
Adult Prevention Quality Overall Composite (PQI 90)	617.61	681.34	719.54	10
Total				20

## **Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process – 2018 Methodology**

Methodology used in calculating the PQI:

#### **Data Source**

Encounter data submitted by the managed care plans to the All Payer Database (APD) for inpatient hospitalizations where the patient was discharged in calendar year 2017 were used for this analysis. The patient had to be enrolled in the health plan for at least three months before the month of the hospital admission. AHRQ PQI version 7.1 logic was used to assign PQI indicators to the hospitalizations. Members who were dually enrolled in Medicaid and Medicare at any time in the measurement year were removed.

#### Population

Health plan enrollment was determined as four months of continuous enrollment in a health plan. If a person was enrolled for more than four months in more than one health plan during the year, the member was counted in each health plan. The members enrolled in the plan were used to create the denominator for the PQI and PDI measures. Members who were dually enrolled in Medicaid and Medicare were removed.

#### Rate Calculation

Observed and risk adjusted rates per 100,000 enrollees were calculated for each plan for each of the two measures. The measures were risk adjusted by the patient's age group, gender, race/ethnicity, Medicaid aid category, and the enrollee's Clinical Risk Group (CRG) status from the previous year.

#### **Compliance Measures (up to 20 points subtracted)**

The Compliance section includes the following six areas:

- Statements of deficiency for timely, complete, and/or accurate submissions of Encounter data
- Medicaid Managed Care Operating Report (MMCOR)
- Quality Assurance Reporting Requirements
- Plan network
- Provider directory
- Member services.

The Quality Reporting Requirement area for 2018 includes submission requirements for Care Management data, Performance Improvement Project reports, and performance matrices action plans. In the 2018 Quality Incentive, points from issues with Compliance will be subtracted from the total points prior to calculating the final percentage scores.

# **Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process – 2018 Methodology**

Number of possible Compliance points subtracted.

Category	Measure Description	Timeframe	Points
Encounter Data	Any statement of deficiency for timeliness or completeness of Encounter data submitted for the measurement year (2017).	Encounter data submitted for 2017	4 points for any statement of deficiency. No more than 4 points will be removed for this category.
Medicaid Managed Care Operating Report	Any statement of deficiency for timeliness, completeness, or failure to meet reserve requirements of MMCOR reports submitted for the measurement year (2017).	MMCOR reports submitted for 2017	4 points for any statement of deficiency for timeliness, completeness, accuracy, or failure to meet reserves. No more than 4 points will be
	Any statement of deficiency for timeliness, completeness, or failure to meet reserve requirements of MMCOR reports submitted for the measurement year (2016).	MMCOR reports submitted for 2016	removed for this category.
Quality Reporting Requirements	Any statement of deficiency for failure to submit required complete quality data for Care Management (CMART) data and QARR data (includes the required member-level file and the birth file) by the established deadlines for the measurement year (2017).	Quality Reporting Requirements for 2017 data	4 points for a statement of deficiency. No more than 4 points will be removed for this category.
	Any statement of deficiency related to the Performance Improvement Projects or the quality performance matrix process.	Quality reporting requirements for 2017.	
Plan Network	Any statement of deficiency issued for the measurement year (2017) for failure to manage access to care to maintain network with at least 75% compliance with required appointment timeframes based on the Access and Appointment Availability survey conducted for the department.	Access and Availability survey results for 2017	2 points for any statement of deficiency. No more than 2 points will be removed for this item in the category.
Provider Directory	Any statement of deficiency for incomplete or inaccurate provider listings and/or failure to maintain at least 75% provider participation rate for the measurement year (2017).	Provider Directory Information and Participation results for 2017	2 points for any statement of deficiency for either directory information or for provider participation. No more than 2 points will be removed for this item in the category.
Member Services	Any statement of deficiency or statement of findings for member services during the measurement year (2017) for failure to: maintain a functional member services phone line; provide correct information to callers; provide specific information upon written request.	Member services for 2017	4 points for any statement of deficiency or statement of findings for any of the three-member service items. No more than 4 points will be removed for this category.
Total			20 points

## **Quality Incentive Components and Calculation Process – 2018 Methodology**

#### **Bonus Points: (Up to 6 points added)**

Telehealth Innovation Plan

Medicaid managed care plans who submit a Telehealth Innovation Plan (TIP) and "in lieu of services" (ILS) and receive DOH approval of their TIP request submission will earn five (5) bonus points for their annual Quality Incentive (Quality Incentive) award. An additional one (1) Quality Incentive bonus point will be earned if the submission demonstrates enhanced access to services and seeks to improve outcomes for women with high risk pregnancies and/or children in their first 1000 days of life.

#### **Quality Incentive Tiers**

Plans are grouped into one of five tiers to determine the incentive award. The five tiers are based on the percentage of points earned by the plans and were set using the 2017 Quality Incentive scores. The tiers were set without the addition of the bonus points and will be applied to the 2018 Quality Incentive and the 2019 Quality Incentive.

Tier	Range of Scores
Tier 1	100.00 - 80.09
Tier 2	80.08 - 67.08
Tier 3	67.07 – 49.10
Tier 4	49.09 – 36.08
Tier 5	36.07 - 0.00

## **Section 3** Quality Incentive Award Results

In 2018, the fifteen NYS Medicaid Managed Care plans were grouped into five tiers based on their Quality Incentive scores. The table below shows the tier assigned to each plan. The 2018 Quality Incentive awards become effective for capitation rates and for auto-assignment preference on April 1, 2019.

Incentive Tier	Plan Name	Normalized Quality Points = Quality Points/Highest Score	Satisfaction Points	PQI Points	Compliance Points	Bonus Points	Total Points	Percent
		100 points possible	100 points possible	30 points possible	20 points possible	20 points possibly subtracted	6 points possible	
Tier 1								
Tier 2	CDPHP	66.29	30	20	-4	6	118.29	78.86
Tier 2	MetroPlus Health Plan	100.00	10	5	-4	6	117.00	78.00
Tier 2	Fidelis Care New York, Inc.	88.76	15	10	-8	6	111.76	74.51
Tier 2	Healthfirst PHSP, Inc.	97.75	10	0	-4	6	109.75	73.17
Tier 2	Empire BlueCross BlueShield HealthPlus	73.03	15	12.5	-4	6	102.53	68.36
Tier 2	MVP Health Care	61.80	30	12.5	-8	6	102.30	68.20
Tier 3	Excellus BlueCross BlueShield	74.16	15	5	-8	6	92.16	61.44
Tier 3	Independent Health's MediSource	67.42	20	0	-4	6	89.42	59.61
Tier 3	HealthNow New York Inc.	55.06	10	15	-8	6	78.06	52.04
Tier 3	UnitedHealthcare Community Plan	48.31	10	15	-4	6	75.31	50.21
Tier 3	WellCare of New York	57.30	15	5	-8	6	75.30	50.20
Tier 4	Molina Healthcare	39.33	15	10	-4	6	66.33	44.22
Tier 4	Affinity Health Plan	57.30	15	0	-12	6	66.30	44.20
Tier 4	HIP (EmblemHealth)	55.06	10	0	-12	6	59.06	39.37
Tier 4	YourCare Health Plan	49.44	15	0	-12	6	58.44	38.96
Tier 5								

<sup>\*</sup>Incentive premium awards were impacted by enacted budget actions for SFY 19-20 and may change to meet program fiscal targets

If you have questions regarding the incentive premium award, please contact the Bureau of Acute & Managed Care Reimbursement at (518) 473-8822.

## **Quality Incentive Award Results**

We welcome suggestions and comments on this publication. Please contact us at:

**Bureau of Quality Measurement and Evaluation Office of Quality and Patient Safety** 

Corning Tower, Room 1938 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12237

Telephone: (518) 486-9012

Fax: (518) 486-6098

E-mail: nysqarr@health.ny.gov