

-----  
NEW YORK STATE  
EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES COUNCIL  
(SEMSCO)  
-----

Wednesday, February 18, 2009  
9:00 a.m.  
Crowne Plaza  
Lodge Street  
Albany, New York

Michael McEvoy, PhD  
Michael Quinn  
Walt Reisner  
Storm Treanor  
Colleen Vesely  
Michael Washington  
Edgar Wedge  
Edward Wronski  
Mark Zeek

APPEARANCES:

Donald Faeth, Chair  
Nancy Benedetto  
Richard Brandt  
Arthur Cooper, MD  
Paul Cousins  
Tim Czapranski  
Warren Darby  
Robert Delagi  
Donald DuVall  
Phyllis Ellis  
Vincent Faraone  
Debra Fults  
Deborah Funk, MD  
John Hassett  
Mark Henry, MD  
Bradley Kaufman, MD  
Andrew LaMarca  
Alan Lewis, Sr.  
Michael Mastrianni, Jr.

2

1 MR. FAETH: Well, good  
2 morning everyone. If everybody  
3 could please grab a seat, I'd  
4 appreciate it. And if you could put  
5 your cell phones on vibrate or off.  
6 Same with the pagers. I'd also  
7 appreciate that.

8 Valerie, would you like to  
9 start with roll call?

10 (Roll call taken.)

11 MS. OZGA: I just have a  
12 few announcements I'd like to make.  
13 The first thing is, if you were here  
14 yesterday, we do have a validation  
15 stamp for anybody who is parking in  
16 the garage. Just see myself or Lisa  
17 by the end of the meeting and we'll  
18 get yourself all situated.

19 Also, I think there was a  
20 little confusion on the dates for  
21 2009. I sent out an e-mail just to  
22 let everybody know the September  
23 dates were changed to September 8th  
24 and 9th. So I know there's been a

1 couple different dates floating  
2 around, but the meeting is September  
3 8th and 9th. Thank you.

4 MR. FAETH: Thank you,  
5 Valerie. I hope everyone had an  
6 opportunity to look at the minutes  
7 from the last meeting for December.  
8 I know they were not available in  
9 hard copy this time around, as they  
10 probably won't be coming forward  
11 through the year. But if you did  
12 have the opportunity to look at it  
13 online, do I have a motion to accept  
14 the minutes as they were stated?

15 MR. LEWIS: So moved.

16 MR. FAETH: Motion by Al  
17 Lewis.

18 MR. ZEEK: Second.

19 MR. FAETH: Seconded by  
20 Mark Zeek. All in favor?

21 SPEAKERS: Aye.

22 MR. FAETH: Against?  
23 Opposed? Ayes have it. Thank you.  
24 Before we move forward into

4

1 the agenda, we had two tragic events  
2 within the healthcare community this  
3 coming year. We're going to have a  
4 moment of silence for volunteer EMT  
5 Mark Davis and Dr. John Pryor.

6 But before we do that, I  
7 believe there are two individuals  
8 that would like to speak a little  
9 bit about each. Dr. McEvoy.

10 DR. MCEVOY: Ed can go  
11 first.

12 MR. WRONSKI: Okay. Thank  
13 you. I'd just like to say a couple  
14 of words about Mark Davis. Mark  
15 Davis was a twenty-five year old  
16 young man who worked up in the St.  
17 Lawrence Seaway area. He -- from  
18 all accounts that I have, and these  
19 are from a variety of sources, he  
20 was a very well liked, enjoyable  
21 human being to know and to work  
22 with. And I have that from his  
23 instructor, from Deb, who knew him  
24 as well, and from others.

5

1 EMS in the future, young people  
2 committed to their patients,  
3 committed to the community. Because  
4 what we saw as a response to his  
5 loss was EMS coming out to not only  
6 attend the funeral and the wake, but  
7 to also pull together to cover the  
8 territories of the agencies that  
9 Mark worked with and others so that  
10 they could go to the funeral and pay  
11 their respects.

12 But they're small agencies.  
13 They have one ambulance. They have  
14 a small number of crew members. And  
15 so agencies from other counties  
16 voluntarily sent people up and rigs  
17 up to cover the 9-1-1 calls during  
18 the period of time that respects  
19 were paid to Mark Davis. And I  
20 thank them very much for that.

21 I had some of my staff there  
22 and I thank them, but I particularly  
23 thank and honor Mark Davis and the  
24 unfortunate loss of this young man

7

1 He volunteered with, I'm told,  
2 five separate agencies, mainly EMS  
3 but also fire. Very committed to  
4 supporting his community and being  
5 available for calls whenever they  
6 happened and whenever there was a  
7 need. He did not shirk at taking  
8 transfer calls when they occurred in  
9 the middle of the night, whenever he  
10 could get the practice and the  
11 ability to work with patients. He  
12 apparently enjoyed that very much.

13 He was attending paramedic  
14 school. He hoped to be a paramedic.  
15 Unfortunately, he did not at that  
16 time. At the request of the county  
17 EMS coordinator and others, the  
18 Department, with the Commissioner's  
19 permission and signature, did issue  
20 an honorary paramedic certificate to  
21 Mark Davis. That was presented to  
22 his family.

23 I would like to say Mark  
24 represented what we hope to find in

6

1 during an emergency call.

2 Which, if some of you don't  
3 know, is doubly tragic, because he  
4 was actually murdered during a call  
5 and that has not happened in state  
6 history other than September 11th.  
7 But this was one individual and  
8 patient who committed this act.

9 So I'd ask now if Dr. McEvoy  
10 could talk about Dr. Pryor.

11 DR. MCEVOY: I would just  
12 like to ask for a moment of  
13 remembrance for our colleague,  
14 friend, student, teacher and really  
15 a true American hero, Dr. John Paul  
16 Pryor, the trauma program director  
17 for the hospital of the University  
18 of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia, who  
19 was killed by enemy mortar in Iraq  
20 on Christmas morning 2008.

21 John was a member of the  
22 Pennsylvania SEMAC. He was the  
23 editorial advisor for the Journal of  
24 Emergency Medical Services and on

8

1 the board of directors of the  
2 Greater Philadelphia American Red  
3 Cross.

4 John was born and raised in  
5 New York State and he was attracted  
6 to medicine by volunteering at my  
7 home ambulance corps, Clifton  
8 Park-Halfmoon Ambulance just a few  
9 miles north of here. He finished  
10 medical school and his surgical  
11 residency at the University of  
12 Buffalo, and like many New Yorkers,  
13 John was deeply influenced by the  
14 attack on America on September 11th.  
15 He spent two days working on the  
16 pile in the City.

17 And subsequently, in his own  
18 words, John told us that he could  
19 not sit home and drink a beer  
20 knowing that there were young  
21 soldiers who needed a trauma surgeon  
22 in Iraq. And he responded by  
23 joining the U.S. Army Reserve  
24 Medical Corps, and less than one

9

1 John Pryor, as a soldier, we  
2 salute you. As a physician and  
3 surgeon, we seek to emulate you. As  
4 a human being, we honor your memory  
5 and we rededicate our own lives in  
6 the spirit of service that you have  
7 taught us.

8 May you rest in peace and may  
9 God watch over your loved ones.

10 MR. FAETH: Thank you, Dr.  
11 McEvoy. Thank you, Ed Wronski. If  
12 everyone would, could you please  
13 stand for a moment of silence?

14 (Whereupon, a moment of  
15 silence was observed.)

16 MR. FAETH: Thank you.  
17 For the record, I believe we've just  
18 been joined by Dr. Cooper.

19 Moving on. Correspondences.  
20 We did not make any. I did not  
21 receive any at this time.

22 So I'll move to the chairman's  
23 report. First and foremost, I want  
24 to apologize for not being available

11

1 month into his second tour as a  
2 combat surgeon, Major John Pryor  
3 gave his own life in service to  
4 others.

5 He leaves behind a wife, Dr.  
6 Carmella Calvo, three children ages  
7 four, eight and ten, his parents,  
8 and his brother Richard, also a  
9 local emergency physician.

10 And while saddened and  
11 heartbroken at the loss of such a  
12 young brother, colleague and friend,  
13 we are also, I believe, very proud,  
14 proud of the upbringing that each of  
15 us in this room is responsible for  
16 giving this loving father, this  
17 dedicated husband, this skilled  
18 surgeon, gentlemanly teacher, and  
19 true American hero.

20 While incomprehensible to many  
21 people, I think those of us here  
22 today know exactly what John Pryor  
23 was doing in Iraq and why he went  
24 there.

10

1 for committee meetings yesterday. I  
2 had prior plans that I was unable to  
3 cancel but I was able to alter a  
4 little to be here. So I hope that  
5 didn't inconvenience anyone. I hope  
6 everyone had a good holiday season  
7 and I hope your new year is kicking  
8 off to a good start.

9 I want to thank all of you for  
10 placing the confidence in me to  
11 chair this prestigious committee. I  
12 am both humbled and honored to do  
13 so. I just hope that I can carry  
14 the ball from Dr. Funk. I know you  
15 don't have big feet, figuratively.

16 I look around and I know that  
17 I'm not the -- I'm not the smartest  
18 guy in the room. I'm going to be  
19 looking to each and every one of you  
20 for your experience and expertise  
21 with the different aspects of the  
22 healthcare system that we're going  
23 to be dealing with. And I'm just  
24 kind of here as more of a figurehead

12

1 to guide things, but all of you are  
2 doing the work each and every day  
3 and I greatly appreciate that. I  
4 look forward to working with each  
5 and every one of you and I think  
6 we'll have a good year, hopefully a  
7 very productive year.

8 I am sometimes a little  
9 opinionated about things. I know  
10 that, and I voice my concerns on  
11 different issues. But at the same  
12 time, I don't think I'm unreasonable  
13 and I understand a lot of the issues  
14 that have been going on with federal  
15 mandates and whatnot. But we have  
16 to -- we really have to look at the  
17 bigger picture sometimes.

18 And if I could ask one thing  
19 of the body, and I know it might be  
20 difficult for some, when we do our  
21 work here, it is for the public  
22 interest. The public interest  
23 supercedes any self-interest. And  
24 it is very important that when we

13

1 also know that there's a diversity  
2 issue that hopefully we're going to  
3 move forward with, especially with  
4 the help of second vice chair, Mr.  
5 Tim Czapranski, who was appointed as  
6 the diversity chair. He is looking  
7 for a committee to be formed and  
8 people interested should see him.  
9 We are looking, I believe, for six  
10 to eight members, if possible.

11 And there's many things that  
12 have been left open, and I'd like to  
13 see, hopefully, some conclusions to  
14 them or at least some progressive  
15 work going towards it. I think that  
16 the system itself overall, although  
17 it functions, and it kind of  
18 functions in spite of problems. And  
19 that's quite often due to the hard  
20 work of you members, the people that  
21 you work with, you yourselves.

22 And we can't just keep the  
23 status quo. I think -- I think we  
24 need to look at ways of maybe

15

1 have discussions -- I want your  
2 perspective from your particular  
3 region, your particular agency, but  
4 that -- the overall good for the  
5 public is really what this committee  
6 is all about. So if people can take  
7 their hat off that they have in the  
8 private sector when they're -- from  
9 their primary job and lay that  
10 aside, take your knowledge and  
11 expertise and bring that to the  
12 table, that's where I want to go  
13 with this committee. I'm hoping we  
14 can all move forward and not allow  
15 any conflicts to occur moving down  
16 that road.

17 We know that the system itself  
18 has issues. There are many issues  
19 that are going on, recruitment and  
20 retention obviously being a huge  
21 one. There are many operational  
22 concerns.

23 We know that the current  
24 vetting situation is a problem. We

14

1 changing what we do and how we do  
2 it. We need to be progressive. We  
3 shouldn't be afraid to go those  
4 venues.

5 People that know me know that  
6 I'm looking at raising the bar. I  
7 get a little frustrated when I hear  
8 discussions -- though I can fully  
9 understand concerns with like wave  
10 form capnography being an overnight  
11 issue for some and there's costs  
12 associated with that and I agree.  
13 There needs to be time to implement  
14 things of that nature.

15 But on the flip side of that,  
16 when I hear a discussion about how  
17 some ambulances don't have  
18 defibrillators on them, I'm sorry,  
19 that's totally unacceptable. This  
20 day and age, where defibrillators  
21 have been available for fifteen,  
22 twenty years, not acceptable. I  
23 can't -- I can't understand any line  
24 of thinking that would argue for

16

1 that.

2 So you know where I stand.  
3 I'm hoping that we can all move  
4 together as a group, as a team, and  
5 that we have a very productive year.  
6 Thank you.

7 That concludes my chairman's  
8 report and I move to second -- first  
9 vice chair, I'm sorry.

10 MR. DELAGI: Thank you,  
11 Mr. Chair. I just have two brief  
12 items to tend to today.

13 The first, it was my pleasure  
14 to represent Mr. Faeth and the state  
15 EMS council and join with Mr.  
16 Wronski in presenting the state of  
17 the EMS in New York State at the  
18 FASNY EMS committee conference held  
19 in my home region, Suffolk County,  
20 on February 7th. The feedback that  
21 I got from that was very, very  
22 positive and folks genuinely enjoyed  
23 the interaction that they had with  
24 us and all walked away with a very

17

1 now.

2 MR. FAETH: Thank you,  
3 Bob. Does the second vice chair  
4 have a report?

5 MR. CZAPRANSKI: Just  
6 briefly I'd like to thank the  
7 Department for the change in  
8 location and dates going forward.  
9 That's all for my report.

10 MR. FAETH: Thank you,  
11 Tim. I guess we'll move along to  
12 the EMS staff report.

13 MR. WRONSKI: Thank you.  
14 The change of location, just to  
15 comment briefly, is probably more  
16 due to the economy than anything  
17 else. As all of you know, hotels  
18 across the country are hurting for  
19 guests and that includes this one.  
20 There's not as many conferences and  
21 as many groups and committees  
22 meeting as often as they had been,  
23 even here in the capital, and so  
24 that affects space. So we had more

19

1 good experience.

2 Second item. Over the break,  
3 since our last meeting, we worked to  
4 secure a seat on the newly created  
5 national fire protection association  
6 technical committee that was  
7 convened to go over suggestions for  
8 revised ambulance construction.  
9 This was important for us in two  
10 ways. The first one is it certainly  
11 follows our culture of safety  
12 initiative. And secondly and  
13 perhaps equally as important, is  
14 that it allows the New York SEMSCO  
15 to influence national safety  
16 initiatives on ambulance  
17 construction going forward.

18 So we're pleased that we were  
19 given that opportunity and I want to  
20 thank Mike McEvoy for volunteering  
21 to represent the New York SEMSCO on  
22 the NFPA ambulance construction  
23 technical committee. Thanks.

24 And that is all I have for

18

1 bids this year than we had in the  
2 last - I don't know - seven or  
3 eight, maybe more. And so we took  
4 advantage of that. So I'm glad you  
5 like the venue. I like it. I'm  
6 sure it will work out over time.

7 Jumping right into the first  
8 issue and that is the state budget.  
9 The state budget, as everyone knows,  
10 is a negotiating process and there  
11 will be negotiations as to what the  
12 final budget will look like. But  
13 obviously, no matter what it looks  
14 like, it won't be an extensive  
15 budget, one which has a lot of  
16 growth. It will be a survival  
17 budget, a budget that attempts to  
18 keep essential programs going but  
19 also to drill into the budget  
20 deficits. I don't think there's any  
21 doubt of that and that's going to be  
22 the case, probably for the next  
23 couple of years.

24 I have been advised that at

20

1 this point, no one is suggesting any  
2 cuts in the EMS budget. That is  
3 stable at this time. In regard to  
4 funds, though, that get distributed,  
5 there'll be more rules. There'll be  
6 more hurdles to jump over. When I  
7 hold meetings, I'll have to make two  
8 or three arguments why I'm holding a  
9 meeting and where we're holding them  
10 and how much it costs and can we do  
11 it in a different way.

12 So as I mentioned at the end  
13 of last year, there may not be  
14 sub-committee meetings that meet  
15 separate from this very often, or if  
16 there are, they may be telephonic or  
17 in other ways. And even that may be  
18 an issue, depending on what the  
19 sub-committees are, and I'll get  
20 into that in a moment. But we'll  
21 get our business done. We have four  
22 meetings this year and we'll get our  
23 business done.

24 I do want to make mention here

21

1 counties. And so you should - you  
2 know - discuss that and let your  
3 voice be heard if it's critical to  
4 your county or to your region.

5 Membership. Yesterday during  
6 the SEMAC meeting, I was called out  
7 for a conversation and I was advised  
8 and asked to tell the council, both  
9 councils, that there has been  
10 movement on membership and there's a  
11 number of members who should be  
12 receiving notice over the next  
13 couple of weeks from the Governor's  
14 office that they've been vetted. I  
15 don't have any names. I don't know  
16 how many this is. We did have some  
17 movement previously on two of our  
18 physician members of the SEMAC, but  
19 I have been advised that there are  
20 some others members who have been  
21 waiting for voting rights that  
22 should be cleared over the next two  
23 to some weeks. So before the next  
24 meeting, certainly, you'll have

23

1 that at present, there is the  
2 possibility that state funding for  
3 optional programs and aid to  
4 localities may not be supported, and  
5 that's a negotiated item, as well.  
6 How is that important to EMS? There  
7 are county EMS programs who get some  
8 funding out of this. It ranges  
9 anywhere from as little as \$20,000 a  
10 year to as much as almost a half  
11 million. So -- but they're optional  
12 programs. They're not mandated and  
13 the county pays for most of those  
14 programs but the state provides some  
15 funding for them. And those  
16 programs, as well as some other  
17 optional programs, are potentially  
18 going to be cut from the budget.  
19 You should look at your system. You  
20 should look at your county and how  
21 critical that fund is or is not in  
22 your county. It's not critical to  
23 all counties but it is an important  
24 piece of the budget in some

22

1 heard that and we'll keep working on  
2 that.

3 I did make mention last time  
4 that we'd also made some progress on  
5 finding appropriate candidates who  
6 meet the Governor's diversity  
7 request and that we examined that  
8 and their applications are being  
9 reviewed, just as yours are, and are  
10 not approved as yet but I expect  
11 they would be approved prior to the  
12 next meeting.

13 I had a meeting in Albany  
14 about three or four weeks ago to  
15 discuss council's -- it was a  
16 meeting in which representatives of  
17 different bureaus who have council  
18 responsibility. And there are over  
19 a hundred councils that operate --  
20 advisory councils that operate  
21 within the Department of Health  
22 itself. And about forty or more of  
23 these are statutory; you're one of  
24 the statutory councils.

24

1 There's a set of rules and  
2 requirements and policies that guide  
3 all councils, so there was a meeting  
4 of about fifty of us, and there were  
5 two separate days of meetings of  
6 this to discuss those rules and  
7 requirements.

8 One of the things that came  
9 out was discussions, policy  
10 discussions, by voting delegates of  
11 council outside of this process,  
12 outside of the full meeting and the  
13 sub-committee meetings that are here  
14 in Albany which are scheduled, which  
15 are promoted and which the public  
16 has an opportunity to sit in on or  
17 listen in on.

18 And the legal advice at this  
19 meeting was that you cannot have  
20 policymaking discussions offline.  
21 And what does that mean? Well, it  
22 varies. It doesn't mean you can't  
23 do work on items that the council  
24 would like you to do work on. It

25

1 one another's opinion outside of  
2 this public setting is not  
3 appropriate.

4 And so we need to examine each  
5 time we have a work group or a  
6 sub-committee meet, what would be  
7 the, you know, the tenure of that  
8 meeting. And if it's to actually  
9 make a policy decision, that needs  
10 to come up here. What the committee  
11 can do is do the preparatory work or  
12 the work even after the policy has  
13 passed if it requires writing  
14 something.

15 So there's still a lot we can  
16 do offline, but we do need to be  
17 careful what we do offline regarding  
18 the creation of a policy and if it's  
19 something that would require a vote  
20 of this council to create.

21 I don't pretend to know all of  
22 this. There were questions, a lot  
23 of questions, raised about this at  
24 yesterday's SEMAC meeting. And I am

27

1 doesn't -- but if there is a policy  
2 discussion and one of the reasons  
3 for the sub-committee or the TAG or  
4 the special group is to discuss  
5 whether or not -- and I'll give you  
6 the example I gave to the lawyer --  
7 medical control. Should medical  
8 control -- should our policy, which  
9 currently says it should be from a  
10 physician to a -- an EMS provider  
11 directly and not an in-between  
12 person. That's a policy decision.  
13 It can not be discussed offline, as  
14 it has been on an e-mail account  
15 that the entire SEMAC is part of and  
16 they can share information prior to  
17 the meetings and have discussions.

18 And the lawyers advice was  
19 that, No, we can't do that. You  
20 could talk about the facts of the  
21 situation. Here's how medical  
22 control is, in fact, provided across  
23 the state and share that types --  
24 type of information. But to sway

26

1 going request some written guidance  
2 from counsel. And then as questions  
3 come up, we have their ear and  
4 they'll be happy to provide us  
5 advice.

6 So it's not that we've done  
7 anything illegal. That's not the  
8 issue. The issue is that now we  
9 have -- and this came out of, by the  
10 way, a court case. And the court  
11 case has driven all state agencies  
12 to look at how councils operate  
13 offline. And so this is the most  
14 recent advice from our counsel's  
15 office and we're going to have to  
16 learn how to work with it. Any  
17 questions on that? I may not have  
18 any answers, though. Okay. Yes,  
19 sir?

20 MR. BRANDT: Mr. Wronski,  
21 do you know what the court case is  
22 if we wanted to reference it?

23 MR. WRONSKI: I wasn't  
24 given that. I don't even know if

28

1 it's a New York State court case,  
2 but I do know that it was the  
3 opinion of the two senior attorneys  
4 for the Department in the room that  
5 was the driving force for the  
6 reevaluation. I'm presuming it was  
7 a New York case on some council. It  
8 wasn't ours. It was some other  
9 council. I don't even know if it  
10 was a Health Department council. It  
11 could've been a Labor Department  
12 council or whoever. Every state  
13 agency has advisory groups.

14 MR. BRANDT: Thank you,  
15 sir.

16 MR. WRONSKI: Okay. I  
17 brought it to the attention of  
18 everyone yesterday at the SEMAC  
19 something that -- some people seem  
20 to think only involves physicians  
21 who work at these places, but it  
22 involves you and New York City knows  
23 this very well, as a matter of fact,  
24 and that's hospitals.

29

1 happens to be a handful of hospitals  
2 who were fairly busy before these  
3 two hospitals closed.

4 So what's occurring and has  
5 occurred over the last few weeks is  
6 daily discussions, which I've been  
7 involved in, as well, from an EMS  
8 perspective, but daily discussions  
9 with the hospital during their  
10 closure plan on how to divest  
11 themselves of their patients, how to  
12 move them into other venues, who  
13 hopefully can take over some of  
14 their clinic work, etcetera, or even  
15 purchase some of their outlying  
16 urgent centers, etcetera, Their  
17 methadone maintenance centers, their  
18 other types of clinics, which  
19 actually serve, on an annual basis,  
20 hundreds of thousands of visits --  
21 hundreds of thousands of visits, not  
22 tens of thousands, not thousands if  
23 you added them all up.

24 So there were, luckily, a

31

1 I talked in the past about  
2 hospital closures through the  
3 Century 21 or Berger Commission  
4 requirements, but there are also  
5 hospitals that are financially  
6 unstable and some of them may or may  
7 not stay open over -- over time.

8 Two of them closed this last  
9 weekend in New York City. Mary  
10 Immaculate Hospital, which was also  
11 a trauma center, and St. John's  
12 Hospital filed for bankruptcy. They  
13 could no longer stay afloat  
14 financially and they closed and they  
15 were both in the borough of Queens.

16 To this minute, we're dealing  
17 with day-to-day issues that impact  
18 EMS, because EMS, the City fire  
19 department, has to respond to pickup  
20 calls in this area and then bring  
21 them to a hospital. But, there is  
22 no hospital. There's nothing there.  
23 So where do you go? You go to the  
24 next hospital. And in Queens, that

30

1 number of healthcare networks who  
2 were willing to take over some of  
3 these venues. And there were others  
4 that could take their patients, but  
5 this required an ongoing daily  
6 conversation.

7 In fact, I was called out of  
8 this meeting yesterday to answer  
9 some questions on the phone about  
10 that. And there is a conversation  
11 going on right now with a group of  
12 people regarding this. There is a  
13 regular monitoring of how this is  
14 working and it will work.

15 The City of New York is -- has  
16 a strong healthcare system and it  
17 will adjust to this and there will  
18 be plans in the future to, you know,  
19 upgrade healthcare services in  
20 Queens. In fact, they're already  
21 moving on those things.

22 But when you close two big  
23 hospitals in one, you know,  
24 geographic area, particularly in a

32

1 big city, you have an impact on EMS.  
2 And Dr. Kaufman knows that very  
3 closely and so does Dr. Cooper.

4 The -- there are others,  
5 though. A. E. Lee Hospital is  
6 filing or preparing a plan with the  
7 Department to close, I believe, this  
8 June. That's a very small hospital  
9 and it serves a small community and  
10 environment in upstate New York, but  
11 it will have an impact and the EMS  
12 system needs to adjust to it.

13 Again, the reason I'm bringing  
14 this up is you need to stay awake in  
15 your areas and understand which  
16 hospitals are scheduled to close  
17 because they are part of the Berger  
18 Commission and they are working on  
19 that to close. And I believe most  
20 of the ones who needed to close or  
21 were required to close have, in  
22 fact, done so or have had  
23 modifications made. But there are  
24 others and you need to understand

33

1 I've been working closely with  
2 healthcare issues for over twenty  
3 years now and the system as it  
4 currently exists needed to be  
5 restructured.

6 The pure financial system -  
7 all right - probably needs to be  
8 restructured, too, and I don't have  
9 the answers to that. There are  
10 other people much more capable to  
11 answer the financial issues. But  
12 the healthcare system certainly  
13 needs to be restructured and  
14 remodeled to meet the needs of the  
15 new century and new medicine.

16 And, you know, should  
17 hospitals close? I'd like to see  
18 them all stay open but I don't think  
19 that's going to happen and part of  
20 it's going to be driven by the  
21 different medicine that's practiced  
22 today. I think a large reason for  
23 some hospitals closing has to do  
24 with the appearance on the scene of

35

1 the health of your particular system  
2 and be prepared to adjust. And  
3 hopefully that is something you can  
4 do. Any questions?

5 MR. LEWIS: Just a quick  
6 question, Mr. Wronski. When we  
7 think about surge capacity and we  
8 think about what's happening because  
9 of the Berger Commission, has there  
10 been a reassessment of our  
11 capabilities to handle Pandemic flu  
12 and other issues that could cause a  
13 surge issue?

14 MR. WRONSKI: There is a  
15 constant reassessment and certainly,  
16 that question has come up before.  
17 The -- but the bottom line  
18 reassessment of what the Berger  
19 Commission was attempting to do has  
20 not changed, and that is that the  
21 healthcare system as it exists is  
22 not structured properly. And I  
23 happen to agree with that. And I'm  
24 not an expert, but I can see it and

34

1 this different healthcare entity  
2 called outpatient surgery centers,  
3 the office space surgical centers  
4 which -- and I've heard this, and I  
5 apologize to those who can't respond  
6 to me if they're on the other side  
7 of this camera and some may have  
8 different opinions.

9 But I heard from many, many  
10 physicians that one of the big  
11 changes in medicine has been where  
12 many surgeries that happened in a  
13 hospital and only required a one or  
14 two day stay are now done in a  
15 surgical center where you only stay  
16 for a few hours, because the  
17 techniques are so much better and  
18 the ability to have a patient come  
19 in, have a minor surgery. And some  
20 of these minor surgeries don't sound  
21 like minor surgeries to me, but they  
22 apparently are. And they move out  
23 that same day within hours.

24 The hospitals no longer

36

1 benefit from that in most cases, so  
2 that's a change in your -- a real  
3 change in your healthcare system and  
4 where the money goes to. That's  
5 also, in some cases, affected the  
6 availability of specialists, who are  
7 no longer as tied, in some areas, to  
8 the hospital, because now they can  
9 practice and provide surgery in  
10 other settings. It gets very  
11 complex. That's just one of the  
12 reasons.

13 But I believe the system does  
14 need to be restructured. A bad way  
15 to restructure, though, is to see  
16 two hospitals financially collapse  
17 fairly quickly. That's not a good  
18 way to restructure. A better way is  
19 to plan it long-term and rebuild.

20 So I think you'll see more  
21 commissions like the Berger  
22 Commission, not necessarily  
23 identifying who should close but how  
24 to deal with the change in

37

1 past few weeks.

2 The problem of these hospital  
3 closings is also the fact that we're  
4 losing their ambulance tours which  
5 will be exacerbated by the fact that  
6 the city, the 9-1-1 system, will be  
7 losing additional hospital tours  
8 anticipated this summer because of  
9 other budget problems.

10 We are fortunate in New York  
11 City that we have great redundancy  
12 of ambulances, so we should be able  
13 to, you know, deal with a lot of  
14 these lack of tours. However, we  
15 are trying to figure out ways to  
16 deal with these problems,  
17 considering all kinds of options  
18 including alternative destinations,  
19 delaying the response to possibly  
20 the least emergent calls, really  
21 focusing on what is the core mission  
22 of really the 9-1-1 system in New  
23 York City.

24 We've been having these

39

1 healthcare structure. I just think  
2 EMS gets hit on the head on every  
3 one of these very quickly and that  
4 you should stay in touch with your  
5 system and, frankly, speak to your  
6 local leadership, whoever that may  
7 be, about the concerns for EMS and  
8 how it will affect your system.

9 I've been asked in all of  
10 these cases now, how will EMS  
11 respond and how will they deal with  
12 this? And I don't always know. I  
13 have to reach out to you, frankly,  
14 and ask. The City of New York, I  
15 had regular conversations with Chief  
16 Peruggia during this to understand  
17 how this would all work and come  
18 together. Yes, sir?

19 DR. KAUFMAN: I was just  
20 going to say thank you for your  
21 comments, and absolutely, I think  
22 obviously at the fire department in  
23 New York City, this has been a major  
24 problem we've been facing over the

38

1 conversations, obviously, at the  
2 fire department as well as the  
3 REMAC, discussing altering possibly  
4 the destinations we bring patients  
5 to, all in the goal of trying to  
6 maintain the EMS system in the city  
7 over the next few months.

8 So I think there will be a lot  
9 of changes and we'll see. And  
10 hopefully we'll be stronger in the  
11 end.

12 DR. COOPER: Mr. Wronski,  
13 thank you for your complete report,  
14 as always, and your thoughtful  
15 consideration of some of the issues  
16 that are driving the current  
17 economic climate for healthcare. I  
18 have two brief comments.

19 As the only surgeon here, I  
20 think it should be noted that while  
21 outpatient surgical centers, as you  
22 indicate, have been at least one  
23 significant factor in the economy of  
24 healthcare, it must also be

40

1 remembered that the payers who pay  
2 for surgical care are forcing much  
3 outpatient surgery to be done in  
4 outpatient surgical centers because  
5 of the lower fees that they charge.

6 That, of course, leaves, as  
7 you pointed out, the hospitals that  
8 care for a less affluent patient  
9 care mix in less than optimal  
10 circumstances. However, it's not  
11 necessarily always the surgeons who  
12 are driving this issue but rather  
13 the insurance system that is behind  
14 everything that happens in  
15 healthcare, it seems.

16 The second point I might make  
17 and to reiterate a point very  
18 briefly I made yesterday at SEMAC.  
19 While many hospitals have the option  
20 of admitting patients or declining  
21 to do so, and while emergency  
22 departments have the option to  
23 dispose of patients -- I don't mean  
24 "dispose of" in that sense, but make

41

1 It's more that we still maintain  
2 this relatively artificial division  
3 between article 28 and article 30,  
4 which allows article 28 folks to  
5 think about hospitals, leaving us to  
6 think about ambulances.

7 So again, as I said yesterday  
8 at SEMAC, if there is some way that  
9 the Department processes that call  
10 for closure plans on the parts of  
11 hospitals that are in bankruptcy can  
12 take note of impact upon not simply  
13 healthcare in a community but upon  
14 emergency access to healthcare and  
15 specifically emergency medical  
16 services, that would be very good.

17 I think that the advice that  
18 the SEMAC can provide and that this  
19 council could provide with respect  
20 to impact upon emergency medical  
21 services might be invaluable. And  
22 of course, our commissioner, Dr.  
23 Daines, has clearly indicated,  
24 publicly and privately on numerous

43

1 dispositions -- but make  
2 dispositions of patients after a  
3 screening examination has been  
4 performed, emergency medical  
5 services have no such option. If  
6 9-1-1 is called, EMS must respond,  
7 must transport the patient, and is  
8 not in a position to say "no" to  
9 anybody, even if it involves  
10 driving, you know, scores if not  
11 hundreds of miles, on occasion, with  
12 gas prices being what they were and  
13 expected to go there again very  
14 soon.

15 The point that I'm trying to  
16 make is that EMS really is the  
17 bottom line safety net, and many of  
18 these hospital closings take place  
19 without, in my judgment, significant  
20 discussion about impact upon  
21 emergency medical services. And  
22 that's understandable. It's not  
23 that anyone is ignoring the plight  
24 of the emergency patient on purpose.

42

1 occasions, that he is deeply  
2 concerned about the access of  
3 patients to emergency care when  
4 necessary. And it's my belief that  
5 he might welcome the type of advice  
6 that the SEMAC and SEMSCO could  
7 provide with respect to impact of  
8 hospital closings on emergency  
9 medical services in the region and  
10 that that advice could greatly aid  
11 thinking in regard to the public's  
12 health.

13 MR. WRONSKI: Thank you  
14 and I'll take that under advisement  
15 with the Department that that  
16 offer's been made.

17 A couple of last things. Mr.  
18 Delagi has mentioned the Long Island  
19 conference, FASNY, that the two of  
20 us spoke at. I'd just like to thank  
21 all the providers that came out to  
22 that at eight o'clock in the morning  
23 to hear me and Mr. Delagi speak.  
24 I'm presuming that's why they came

44

1 out. Maybe not.  
2 But they were there at eight  
3 a.m., 120 or more of them, and  
4 actually Mr. Delagi and his staff  
5 had taught a whole day the day  
6 before -- Friday, I believe -- the  
7 CMEs preconference days.

8 So thank you to the providers  
9 who continue to come out. I just  
10 want to mention one thing that was  
11 brought up, actually, by Bob to the  
12 audience, and it is something we  
13 also have to look at in EMS. And he  
14 said, Okay, who's here today and how  
15 old are you? And we looked out at  
16 the 120 or 25 people and there was  
17 probably two tables of young people.  
18 They were the ones that were  
19 chatting and not paying attention.  
20 I'm only kidding. I apologize,  
21 'cause there's some great young EMTs  
22 out there. I'll hear about this  
23 later.

24 But probably a hundred or so

45

1 made and observation.

2 I mentioned yesterday air med  
3 concerns. There still is a national  
4 look at air medicine. We will  
5 expect a report to come out of that  
6 national committee. I want us to  
7 pay close attention to that and not  
8 forget our own issues in air med. I  
9 think we're a state that's ahead of  
10 many of the other states in having  
11 looked at our system and our issues  
12 and tried to provide guidance to the  
13 air med system, but I think the  
14 federal look at this will result in  
15 two things. It will result in some  
16 white paper that will come out and  
17 talk about what needs to happen in  
18 air medicine and it may result in  
19 some change in federal legislation.  
20 And I believe there's a lot of  
21 support now to change some of the  
22 legislation to give states more  
23 authority in how air med is provided  
24 in their state, because as you know,

47

1 who were there that morning were  
2 all, in my view, youngest might be  
3 in the late 30s and oldest in 40s or  
4 50s and some even approaching my  
5 age. And, you know, Bob talked to  
6 them and reminded them that the core  
7 of our system so much so across the  
8 state that we have to make extra  
9 efforts to increase the tables of  
10 the young EMTs.

11 And so I just put that out to  
12 you. That's a constant battle and  
13 something that you need to keep  
14 working on and we have to figure out  
15 ways to do that so that we have a  
16 state EMS director and a state  
17 council member who speak in Long  
18 Island twenty years from now and  
19 there's somebody sitting there,  
20 because the people who were 40 or 50  
21 or 60 are probably going to retire  
22 at some point and may not be here  
23 twenty years from now. So I thought  
24 it was a good comment that Bob had

46

1 at present, there is a federal  
2 preemption to CONs for air medicine.  
3 And so a helicopter system can  
4 provide service in your state. It  
5 does have to meet the requirements  
6 for the medicine, but it doesn't  
7 have to compete in the CON process  
8 or, you know, be evaluated.

9 So, technically, you can have  
10 more air services than you really  
11 require in some given geographies of  
12 your state. I don't think that's  
13 the issue at the moment in New York  
14 State, but it is in some states and  
15 it is on the table out there. So  
16 you may see some changes over the  
17 next couple of years.

18 Just to remind everybody that  
19 May 21st is the EMS memorial service  
20 here in Albany. There will be two  
21 providers who will be added to that  
22 memorial. Mark Davis, while he'll  
23 certainly be mentioned, he'll be  
24 added next year to the memorial

48

1 service, but there are two other  
2 providers who died in the line of  
3 duty whose names will be included on  
4 the memorial.

5 That's it for my report unless  
6 there's questions.

7 MR. LAMARCA: Just one  
8 question, and this, perhaps in  
9 certain areas, may relate to  
10 hospital closings or downsizings.  
11 But I know we frequently hear the  
12 issue of hospital diversions and,  
13 again, the Department's been active  
14 in trying to, I guess, work with  
15 facilities to make sure that is  
16 minimized. And it's up to some  
17 local facilities. I mean, they now  
18 state that we no longer divert.  
19 That sounds good. However, when we  
20 have three paramedic units in a  
21 small city sitting in that ER  
22 because there are no beds and  
23 they're saying they don't divert and  
24 their remedy is just to keep them on

49

1 asked to try to update that and  
2 maybe issue a policy guidance on  
3 what EMS crews do when they show up  
4 at a hospital and they're kept  
5 there. What do you do? Can you  
6 leave? It's a touchy gray area and  
7 it's a case-by-case issue.

8 I did say in my letter of  
9 response to one physician a few  
10 months ago that EMS crews are not  
11 obligated once they have turned over  
12 the patient to the triage nurse.  
13 Here I am and I have this patient  
14 and here's what the problem is as we  
15 see it and now that patient is  
16 yours. The patient is at your  
17 hospital and you have to take that  
18 patient. Technically, the EMS crew  
19 would be within its rights to leave.

20 Do you take your patient off  
21 and lay them down on the floor? No,  
22 you don't do that. Do you insist on  
23 getting a hospital stretcher? Yes.  
24 And you keep -- you keep putting

51

1 the ambulance stretchers so that,  
2 you know, when they find time or  
3 find a bed, they'll open it up. And  
4 having emergency calls still coming  
5 in where we actually have to almost  
6 go to mutual aid to bring in more  
7 units while three of them sit there  
8 within half a mile of the call is  
9 just getting ridiculous.

10 So I don't know if the  
11 Department can do anything about  
12 that or clarify this issue, but not  
13 being on diversion, I understand  
14 that. Making that statement, I  
15 understand that. But actually  
16 meaning that we'll just keep you on  
17 the stretcher until such time that  
18 we find a hole to put you in is just  
19 having an adverse effect in many  
20 areas.

21 MR. WRONSKI: I've  
22 actually had a couple of formal  
23 letters come to me. I've previously  
24 responded to one of them. I've been

50

1 that in the nurse's ear so they hear  
2 it and they, eventually, are really  
3 more annoyed at you being there than  
4 all these patients. And things will  
5 happen.

6 At the same time, I ask you to  
7 have absolutely some patience. You  
8 know, when you walk into an ED and  
9 you see the staff absolutely  
10 overwhelmed, I ask that you work  
11 with them on this and use your  
12 judgment. But again, you know,  
13 you're not required to stay there  
14 for any excessive amount of time and  
15 hold up your healthcare delivery  
16 network, which is your ambulance,  
17 from the system. And hospitals need  
18 to know that too and work with you  
19 on freeing up your ambulances.

20 I did promise that I would try  
21 to work on a more comprehensive  
22 guidance document. I have a draft  
23 and I will try to have something out  
24 before the next meeting. But,

52

1 again, it's not going to be "here's  
2 an absolute" because this isn't an  
3 absolute situation. It really is  
4 fluid and a lot of it's your  
5 judgment.

6 I will discuss this with  
7 others in the Department again, that  
8 this is a concern that keeps coming  
9 up. I'll tell you now that there is  
10 an ED -- actually a hospital  
11 overcrowding committee that Dr.  
12 Henry sits on that is put together  
13 by the Department and has a variety  
14 of interested parties, including the  
15 hospital associations in the city  
16 and the state.

17 And the Department's made a  
18 decision to take it to the next  
19 step. They'll be notifying  
20 hospitals soon of the process by  
21 which the Department will be making  
22 some unannounced visits to emergency  
23 departments to evaluate them, both  
24 to learn how emergency departments

53

1 call and see what I can do and talk  
2 to our regional offices and see if  
3 we can talk to the hospital and  
4 affect something, you know, if you  
5 can't get changes. But certainly on  
6 a regular ongoing, systemic basis,  
7 let us from the regions help. It's  
8 more information for the Department  
9 to look at and consider and really  
10 underline the urgency of the issue.

11 DR. COOPER: Mr. Wronski,  
12 it strikes me hearing all these  
13 stories this morning. We've all  
14 known for some time that emergency  
15 medicine is broadly defined to  
16 include all emergency healthcare,  
17 including trauma surgery. It's  
18 really the canary in the coal mine  
19 with respect to the rest of the  
20 healthcare system.

21 What's clear is that EMS is  
22 the perhaps, literally,  
23 figuratively, the wings on that  
24 canary. And while we're going to

55

1 and hospitals are dealing with  
2 overcrowding, hopefully come away  
3 with some best practices, hopefully  
4 identify the worst cases and see if  
5 we can assist in correcting them.

6 But there is a plan to do that  
7 and it will happen during the course  
8 of this year. That may hopefully  
9 have an impact on this. I  
10 personally think it will take more  
11 and a little bit additional work  
12 over time. But that is the next  
13 step that's planned. So your issue  
14 isn't -- we're not blind to it, but  
15 I don't have an immediate solution  
16 for you.

17 What I would ask is if your  
18 system is really impacted -- I mean,  
19 you're feeling it -- either you as a  
20 provider of care or your region send  
21 me a letter directly on that or give  
22 me a call that day. If you can't  
23 get out of the ED, you know, give me  
24 a call. I'm willing to take that

54

1 first in the hospital world see all  
2 the problems that arise as a result  
3 of the deterioration of the economic  
4 basis of the healthcare system in  
5 the emergency department, we're  
6 going to see even earlier indicators  
7 in the world of emergency medical  
8 services in terms of diversion,  
9 increased turnover time, etcetera,  
10 etcetera.

11 And to the extent that it's  
12 feasible for us, as its official  
13 advisors, to inform the Department  
14 and the Commissioner that this --  
15 that emergency medical services are  
16 the wings on that canary and to  
17 follow our situation very, very  
18 closely for potential harbingers or  
19 problems down the road, I think that  
20 would be a very useful thing. I'm  
21 not quite sure how we can bring this  
22 to their attention but I know you  
23 have your ways, Mr. Wronski, and  
24 trust that you will do so.

56

1 MR. WRONSKI: Thank you.  
2 Any other questions?  
3 MS. ELLIS: I just want to  
4 make a comment on both of the  
5 hospital EMS-related issues. It's  
6 obviously a statewide issue and as  
7 Ed said, the ED overcrowding  
8 advisory group is working on a  
9 statewide look at the problems.  
10 They can't suggest enough that  
11 locally in your regions how  
12 important it is for EMS to  
13 collaborate with your hospital  
14 people.  
15 And surge capacity, ER  
16 overcrowding, they're one in the  
17 same. And many in -- all hospitals  
18 in New York State are required with  
19 deliverables in our preparedness  
20 programs to collaborate with your  
21 local health department and your  
22 prehospital people.  
23 So if you're not at the table  
24 with your hospital people, then

57

1 very difficult for us to be  
2 reporting hospitals for not  
3 processing patients. It isn't in  
4 our best interest to be doing that.  
5 So I'm encouraged by what  
6 you're saying that site visits will  
7 help us solve those problems versus  
8 creating an adversarial role with  
9 hospitals. We really don't want to  
10 do that. We want to work as a team.  
11 We take these trauma patients in and  
12 we stay with those patients and help  
13 as much as we can every day of every  
14 week. And I'm encouraged.  
15 Can you give us a timeline of  
16 when a letter would go out and when  
17 you may start visiting to better  
18 understand what's happening out in  
19 the field?  
20 MR. WRONSKI: Both Phyllis  
21 and Dr. Henry are on that  
22 committee. I don't think -- remind  
23 me. Was an actual date --  
24 MS. ELLIS: Dr. Morley

59

1 you've got to find out who the key  
2 players are, 'cause they might not  
3 be people that you're used to  
4 working with. Some emergency  
5 preparedness people could be someone  
6 in infection control that you've  
7 never met or something and they're  
8 really leading the team at a  
9 facility with numerous players,  
10 local health departments, hospitals  
11 and EMS needs to be there because  
12 you're an integral part. So if you  
13 really haven't, I would suggest  
14 highly that you investigate at your  
15 local level.  
16 MR. FAETH: Thank you,  
17 Phyllis. Any other questions or  
18 comments? Mr. Lewis.  
19 MR. LEWIS: A comment.  
20 Without question, we know that  
21 partnering with community hospitals  
22 is very important to all of us. We  
23 have to work as a team and we always  
24 do work as a team and I think it's

58

1 said the letters were approved and  
2 would probably go out by the end of  
3 this month. I don't know what the  
4 timeline for surveys would be and  
5 how often.  
6 MR. LEWIS: I think it's  
7 very encouraging what you're doing  
8 and I applaud you and I can't wait  
9 to see these site visits so you can  
10 watch what's actually occurring,  
11 especially in our larger urban  
12 areas. Thank you.  
13 MS. ELLIS: I think one  
14 other thing on the survey process.  
15 It was important to note that when  
16 we think of surveys in the facility  
17 end, we think of punitive-type  
18 surveys.  
19 And the discussion was  
20 identification, obviously, of things  
21 that are not occurring that should,  
22 but best practices and how the rest  
23 of the facilities impact the ERs.  
24 Because it's not just the emergency

60

1 departments getting those patients  
2 to inpatient beds and surgical  
3 schedules. So it's more of an  
4 overview of what's happening and  
5 where it's happening and where can  
6 we spread that word around the  
7 state.

8 MR. FAETH: Any other  
9 comments? All right. Thank you,  
10 Mr. Wronski. We move the agenda to  
11 Dr. Henry for state EMS medical  
12 advisors.

13 DR. HENRY: Let me start  
14 with talking about the crowding.  
15 You know, it's -- I was at that  
16 meeting and my personal  
17 recommendations are that people  
18 include diversion and the time it  
19 takes to get seen in the emergency  
20 department on a dashboard that gets  
21 published and then gets promulgated  
22 on the internet, just like your time  
23 to antibiotics for pneumonia or  
24 whether you get aspirin if you have

61

1 for pneumonia on whether it should  
2 be a measurable dashboard and  
3 perhaps payments should be tied to  
4 timeliness of care for sick people.

5 Another recommendation I have  
6 is that people define in their area  
7 what diversion means. There was a  
8 state law, I think it might have  
9 been taken off the books from '83.  
10 But in New York City, the '83 law  
11 defined diversion of critical  
12 patients as when incoming patients'  
13 life would be in danger or someone  
14 already in the hospital's life would  
15 be in danger.

16 Given Linda Green's paper,  
17 that sounds like that was the case.  
18 That's a pretty serious situation  
19 when you're in a state and a  
20 community where people's lives are  
21 in danger. So certainly diversion  
22 should be measured and reported. We  
23 currently don't tabulate that in New  
24 York and I think we should, 'cause

63

1 a heart attack.

2 One rationale is that there is  
3 a paper out now by Linda Green from  
4 Columbia University that looked at  
5 diversion in New York City and  
6 correlated it with deaths from acute  
7 myocardial infarction. And when  
8 there was high rates of diversion,  
9 the deaths from acute myocardial  
10 infarction increased. Now, that has  
11 face value.

12 If you look at papers, it  
13 doesn't matter what country they're  
14 in, people with acute myocardial  
15 infarction who arrive by ambulance  
16 are a sicker population than those  
17 that are driven to a hospital. So  
18 if there's delays in getting people  
19 to a ER or to a hospital or for  
20 treatment when you have a bad MI,  
21 that has face value to me that the  
22 death rates would go up.

23 That being the case, I think  
24 it qualifies as much as antibiotics

62

1 you can't manage what you don't  
2 measure.

3 So regions certainly could  
4 accumulate this information.  
5 Regions could ask what it means to  
6 be at the request of going to  
7 diversion for ambulances. What, in  
8 fact, are you telling us? Why is  
9 this happening? And I think, also,  
10 regions have the ability to measure  
11 and aggregate and promulgate times  
12 that it takes in the hospital from  
13 arrival until they're ready to leave  
14 again. And that's a measure and  
15 there's no judgment on that, per se.  
16 It's just a number. And that's  
17 within our ability to measure and  
18 that could be a proxy of crowding or  
19 what happens, a commitment to time.  
20 But I think people have to take  
21 action. Otherwise, it's not going  
22 to change.

23 I think given the climate  
24 we're in, I think things are going

64

1 to get tougher. I think we're going  
2 to see more people who lose their  
3 jobs and don't have insurance. I  
4 don't think the economic situation  
5 for hospitals or healthcare is going  
6 to improve in the future. It's  
7 really a measure of how we pull  
8 together to take care of each other.  
9 We'll all be asked to do more with  
10 less, and we should probably get  
11 prepared for that and keep giving  
12 good care to people and not blame a  
13 situation that's affecting everyone  
14 in many countries for our ability to  
15 respond.

16 So I think it's time for some  
17 action on the region's part and I  
18 think people would welcome that. I  
19 know that -- I don't know if you  
20 want to talk about it, Dr. Kaufman,  
21 but there were demonstration  
22 projects in the city on redirect,  
23 right, that had a profound effect on  
24 some of the hospitals. I don't know

65

1 essence, it's a strange concept  
2 because here we're constantly  
3 working to prevent hospitals from  
4 going on diversion so we can bring  
5 our patients to that closest  
6 appropriate hospital and quickly  
7 turn around the ambulance. So in  
8 this case, where a hospital is put  
9 on redirection, the ambulance would  
10 go to the next closest hospital,  
11 which would be a longer transport  
12 time. However, we found that it  
13 actually did reduce the entire time  
14 the hospital was on the scene and  
15 really stuck at that ambulance's  
16 emergency department.

17 What we found as we went and  
18 visited the hospitals to discuss the  
19 program was many of the hospitals  
20 actually put plans in place. They  
21 were very concerned about being put  
22 on redirection. They did not want  
23 to be put on redirection, which  
24 comes back to what a lot of you were

67

1 if you'd comment on that now, but  
2 there's something that people in  
3 other regions might want to do.

4 DR. KAUFMAN: Certainly,  
5 these issues have been identified  
6 for years of ambulances having  
7 delayed turnaround times. In New  
8 York City, we actually report to  
9 every hospital its turnaround time.  
10 On a monthly basis, the hospital  
11 receives a report for that hospital  
12 for all the ambulances that went to  
13 that hospital.

14 In order to reduce turnaround  
15 times, we started a redirection  
16 program which, basically, required a  
17 forced diversion for those hospitals  
18 that had more than three ambulances  
19 at their facility for more than  
20 thirty minutes. And as soon as they  
21 released one of those ambulances,  
22 the hospital would go off  
23 redirection.

24 The theory being -- I mean, in

66

1 saying about meeting with the  
2 hospital leadership, discussing this  
3 plan. And that hospital leadership  
4 is often different than the  
5 emergency department leadership in  
6 many ways, who are trying to build  
7 the situation. But when you sit  
8 with the CEO of the hospital and  
9 explain to them that these patients  
10 will be going to a different  
11 hospital, many had put plans in  
12 place where, when the situation  
13 arose and they were informed they  
14 were put on redirection, some even  
15 had an emergency brought in where  
16 the nursing director or some  
17 executive would respond to the  
18 emergency department, extra staff  
19 would respond, often an extra nurse  
20 would be immediately assigned to  
21 triage to triage those units that  
22 were waiting to quickly get them out  
23 of the emergency department.

24 So that has been a success so

68

1 far. I'd say that there are some  
2 issues with -- we certainly heard  
3 complaints from the hospitals that  
4 turnaround time does seem like a  
5 clearcut time, although as  
6 everything, it may not be.

7 So, for instance, sometimes  
8 the hospitals would say, Well, that  
9 ambulance is sitting in our bay.  
10 You know. They haven't pressed  
11 their "available" button yet, but  
12 that's not our fault. Or, The  
13 ambulance crew is in the bathroom or  
14 getting coffee. So I think there  
15 are all those issues that come up.  
16 But overall I think this redirection  
17 plan has been a success.

18 And just to comment on one  
19 other point, because there actually  
20 was a paper put out -- I was one of  
21 the co-authors -- looking at a lot  
22 of the mechanisms for handling  
23 extended turnaround times. There  
24 was actually -- not in New York, but

69

1 number.

2 MR. KAUFMAN: From the  
3 time the ambulance arrives in the  
4 ambulance bay until the time they're  
5 available.

6 MR. LEWIS: That's great.

7 DR. HENRY: Thanks.

8 That's an example of taking action  
9 in a similar problem.

10 Moving to another couple  
11 items. I met with Mr. Wronski and  
12 some of his staff and we talked  
13 about the work that should be done  
14 by our disaster group, together with  
15 the STAC, and we're planning a  
16 meeting probably in concert with our  
17 next meeting, as well as the ED  
18 standards group.

19 And because of staffing  
20 availability and travel for many of  
21 the members, we'll probably try to  
22 do that in concert with our next  
23 meeting, as well. So, those  
24 meetings will continue.

71

1 one region in the country where they  
2 actually stocked extra ambulance  
3 stretchers in the emergency  
4 department to be able to put the  
5 crew back in service and leave the  
6 patient on the ambulance stretcher  
7 in the emergency department.

8 So this is not where,  
9 obviously, we'd want to go, but  
10 certainly I think this is a problem  
11 that is being dealt with around the  
12 country, as you suggest.

13 MR. LEWIS: Could you  
14 maybe share with us what your  
15 turnaround times are currently in  
16 the city with your crews to get them  
17 back on the street?

18 DR. KAUFMAN: Sure. On  
19 average -- and it certainly varies  
20 by hospital and borough -- on  
21 average, I think turnaround times  
22 are between twenty-five and thirty  
23 minutes.

24 MR. LEWIS: That's a good

70

1 The last item I'd like to talk  
2 about is the publication from the  
3 CDC in the morbidity and mortality  
4 weekly report, their weekly report  
5 that, I think, was sent to everyone  
6 on the field triage of injured  
7 patient's guide.

8 People are familiar with this  
9 because we used the product when we  
10 redid our New York State trauma  
11 triage guidelines many months back.  
12 The only difference was, in ours, we  
13 maintained PULSE criteria. That's  
14 really the main difference between  
15 the CDCs.

16 If you recall, we asked  
17 regions to meet with their regional  
18 trauma groups to talk about patients  
19 who had physiologic or anatomic  
20 criteria when the direction was to  
21 go to the highest level trauma  
22 center available, what that meant on  
23 a regional basis. So that exists.

24 So there's a lot of

72

1 explanatory text that may or may not  
2 be helpful, but Dr. Rick Hunt, who  
3 was in Syracuse for a number of  
4 years and now heads the division of  
5 injury at the CDC, he asked me to  
6 make it widely known to us in New  
7 York State that this document, if  
8 read and questions are answered at  
9 the end, will give free CME credit  
10 to physicians, nurses and EMS  
11 personnel.

12 And the website for those at  
13 home, if you want it, is  
14 [www.cdc.gov/fieldtriage](http://www.cdc.gov/fieldtriage). And you  
15 can get the document online there,  
16 as well as through [cdc.gov/mmwr](http://cdc.gov/mmwr). So  
17 that's available.

18 Now, as a -- I got a special  
19 ability here to offer everyone in  
20 the room two hours of CME. We're  
21 going to have a group Q & A here.  
22 And Al, you have the first question.  
23 The physiologic criteria that  
24 mandate transport -- Let's see. Who

73

1 from papers that have come out of  
2 that registry.

3 Wondering -- I know that we've  
4 looked at this question before when  
5 we started to look at the helicopter  
6 triage of trauma patients and the  
7 triage of patients to level one  
8 versus level two trauma centers.

9 We haven't, in the past,  
10 differentiated in New York State  
11 between level one and level two  
12 trauma centers in many circumstances  
13 and it's not relevant in many  
14 regions because there is only one  
15 trauma center, if a trauma center at  
16 all.

17 But we've asked the question,  
18 if you're in a helicopter and you  
19 could go one direction or another  
20 direction, and one direction is a  
21 level two and one direction's a  
22 level one, this data seems to  
23 indicate that there are certain  
24 physiological criteria that would

75

1 wants the second question, just to  
2 be fair? Colleen, you can have  
3 fourteen here.

4 I'm kidding, but it is  
5 available and it's a good way to  
6 refresh our own personnel on  
7 guidelines that are -- New York  
8 State's really similar to the PULSE  
9 criteria, so if you make that known  
10 to your groups, I'm meeting Dr.  
11 Hunt's request to spread the  
12 document.

13 All right. That's my report  
14 and I can do the medical standards,  
15 if you'd like, later.

16 MR. FAETH: Did you have a  
17 question, Dr. Funk?

18 DR. FUNK: Just a quick  
19 comment on that document. I  
20 appreciate it being sent out so that  
21 we could all review it. It was  
22 interesting reading. There is a lot  
23 of data from New York State's trauma  
24 registry that is quoted in it and

74

1 say you should go to a level one  
2 over a level two, even if it means  
3 traveling a little bit further.  
4 Have we, or do we want to consider,  
5 revisiting that?

6 MR. WRONSKI: We can, but  
7 what I would like to do is before we  
8 jump to that, because I think -- I  
9 think it really varies depending on  
10 who your trauma center is. And it's  
11 also a question, you know, can we  
12 adequately identify that patient who  
13 would be better served at a regional  
14 center? And there definitely are.

15 This is no negative comment on  
16 a level two center. A level two  
17 center is supposed to be a fully  
18 capable hospital, surgically, to  
19 deal with trauma. But as everyone  
20 knows, a regional center, a level  
21 one, is typically better staffed or  
22 staffed deeper by more specialists.  
23 And on occasion, a level two will  
24 transport patients to a level one.

76

1 Can EMS do that on its own is a  
2 question and can we adequately  
3 identify what those patients or who  
4 those patients are in this state?

5 We can pose that question to  
6 our State Trauma Advisory Committee  
7 and ask the School of Public Health  
8 to attempt to take a look at the  
9 data and see if it's possible to  
10 pull that out. What I find every  
11 time I ask them about -- ask those  
12 kinds of questions, it becomes more  
13 and more difficult sometimes for the  
14 data to answer that question in a  
15 scientific way, but we can certainly  
16 ask.

17 DR. HENRY: Well, the  
18 paper that pushed -- you know, our  
19 paper in New York that supports that  
20 is based on '96 to '98 data, and  
21 that one showed a significant change  
22 in outcome for patients with  
23 physiologic criteria that went to  
24 level one centers versus level two

77

1 That's the reason New York's  
2 data was so important to the CDC,  
3 because we have a lot of people in  
4 New York and when we had a rich  
5 database, it was a value to people.  
6 It makes cost-effective decisions  
7 and it helps save lives.

8 You know that nationally, the  
9 papers show in the New England  
10 Journal by McKenzie, sponsored by  
11 the CDC, that if you went to a  
12 trauma center, your chance of dying  
13 was twenty percent less, and  
14 twenty-five percent less at thirty  
15 days. So that's a huge difference  
16 in healthcare.

17 They say, well, can you  
18 demonstrate that in New York State?  
19 It's harder to do that in New York  
20 State because we take people -- we  
21 have a trauma system now. You don't  
22 stay in the community hospitals.

23 And the way we do risk  
24 adjusted analysis, it doesn't show a

79

1 or community hospitals. Okay.

2 It's tough to repeat that  
3 because it takes big numbers and  
4 recently -- there is only three  
5 regions in the state that have  
6 community hospitals in their trauma  
7 registry, but three regions are able  
8 to do it. So that study hasn't been  
9 repeated, but that does exist. It  
10 has face value, too.

11 So that's a decision that was  
12 really left to the regions to  
13 discuss, and it's hard to get the  
14 data to support that on a regional  
15 basis because the numbers get  
16 smaller. And thankfully, you know,  
17 the deaths are finite, they're not  
18 infinite, they're not huge numbers.

19 I'll say something else about  
20 this. Dr. Marx met with someone at  
21 the Health Department, because we've  
22 all been pushing to keep the trauma  
23 registry robust and  
24 population-based.

78

1 twenty percent difference in outcome  
2 because we've distributed patients  
3 to trauma centers or people are  
4 transferred. So you couldn't repeat  
5 that experiment unless you wanted to  
6 stop the trauma system to take  
7 severely injured patients to  
8 community hospitals and show what  
9 the death rate was.

10 So it's hard to show those  
11 numbers that would get public  
12 attention or economic commitment,  
13 but I believe they're true and you  
14 have to sort of use proxy to make  
15 judgments.

16 MR. FAETH: Dr. Cooper?

17 DR. COOPER: I just want  
18 to underscore that last point that  
19 Dr. Henry has made. It's vitally  
20 important that people understand the  
21 risk adjusted mortality rate  
22 statistic.

23 Your system is performing  
24 optimally and sick trauma patients

80

1 are doing better in regional centers  
2 when the risk adjusted mortality  
3 rates are identical across the  
4 system.

5 Intuitively, one would think  
6 that because the risk adjusted  
7 mortality rate ought to be lower in  
8 a regional trauma center, but that's  
9 not the way the statistic works.  
10 The statistic works by looking at  
11 the mortality rate that one would  
12 expect across an entire system.

13 So when sicker patients in a  
14 regional trauma center have the same  
15 risk adjusted mortality rate as less  
16 sick patients in community  
17 hospitals, that means the regional  
18 trauma centers are, in fact, doing  
19 better and doing their job.  
20 Understanding that is vital to  
21 policymakers, because without that  
22 understanding, one looks at raw data  
23 and says, There's no benefit to  
24 being in a trauma center, when, in

81

1 driving past one trauma center to  
2 get to another.

3 And I realize that's probably  
4 a local question that needs to be  
5 asked, but I think it would be  
6 worthy of some discussion, at least  
7 at the state level, as we start to  
8 consider changing our policy to  
9 preferentially take patients to a  
10 level one.

11 MR. FAETH: If I might  
12 even comment on that. Right now  
13 there's an operation in play in New  
14 York City with regard to the STEMI  
15 centers and maybe we can implement  
16 that where the crew gets in touch  
17 with medical control on vital signs,  
18 condition, and actual presentation  
19 of your patient. Maybe the medical  
20 director of that region can make a  
21 suggestion or give permission to  
22 bypass those hospitals. Dr.  
23 McEvoy?

24 DR. MCEVOY: I've looked

83

1 fact, the statistic is demonstrating  
2 absolutely, unequivocally, that  
3 there is.

4 MR. FAETH: Thank you, Dr.  
5 Cooper. Any other questions,  
6 concerns? Mr. Delagi.

7 MR. DELAGI: Just one,  
8 just to expand on the comments as it  
9 relates to ambulance work.

10 When you get a helicopter,  
11 it's a little bit easier to  
12 differentiate between a level one  
13 and a level two because in most  
14 cases you're also getting a provider  
15 with more sophisticated training in  
16 a level of care. But when you're  
17 talking about a BLS ambulance  
18 bypassing a level two trauma center  
19 for a level one trauma center in the  
20 face of all of this good data that  
21 suggests there is a better outcome,  
22 the question has to be asked how  
23 much of the golden hour does the  
24 trauma community want us to take,

82

1 at that decision scheme and I was  
2 actually at AMSP when they rolled it  
3 out and I think that it's very  
4 clearcut and there may well be some  
5 conflicts on what's in this trauma  
6 triage decision scheme and what our  
7 air medical triage guidelines are  
8 right now in New York State.

9 So I would sort of encourage  
10 Dr. Funk to take a look at that and  
11 just see if there are conflicts  
12 between those two, what are those  
13 conflicts, and maybe we do have to  
14 make a modification in where we're  
15 taking people. Because it  
16 specifically has criteria to go to a  
17 level two versus a level one, and  
18 I'm not so sure that's consistent  
19 with what we're doing right now.

20 MR. FAETH: Dr. Funk?

21 DR. FUNK: They are not  
22 consistent, simply because in New  
23 York State we have not  
24 differentiated. And we're talking

84

1 about making these decisions on a  
2 regional basis, but this is a  
3 problem that crosses regional lines.

4 There's, you know, at least in  
5 upstate New York, very rarely more  
6 than one trauma center in a region  
7 'cause there doesn't need to be. So  
8 there's a level two in this region  
9 and a level one in this region. And  
10 currently we go to the closest  
11 appropriate hospital and that is --  
12 there is no differentiation between  
13 level one and level two, whereas  
14 other decision schemes do identify  
15 that there is a subsection of  
16 patients that are -- that would do  
17 better at a level one. And while in  
18 a ground ambulance, you go to the  
19 closest appropriate hospital, and in  
20 most cases, that's simply the  
21 closest trauma center, whether it's  
22 level one or level two. And a  
23 helicopter, because of the speed of  
24 travel and the fact you don't have

85

1 York State trauma registry data,  
2 albeit ten years old, but it's what  
3 we have and we have papers like this  
4 coming out and the NAEMSP criteria  
5 and practice that we see in other  
6 states suggesting that it would be  
7 better for this small subsection of  
8 patients to go primarily to a level  
9 one trauma center if it's reasonable  
10 to do that in terms of time and  
11 travel and that sort of thing.

12 DR. MCEVOY: But you also  
13 have a subset that could continue to  
14 go to level twos?

15 DR. FUNK: Absolutely.  
16 And every level two has different  
17 services available and the teams in  
18 those areas know what those level  
19 twos are and what their services are  
20 and I would never suggest that a  
21 patient who could be appropriately  
22 and expertly managed at a level two  
23 should not go to that level two.

24 But there are that small

87

1 to follow roads, you can get to a  
2 level one in a shorter amount of  
3 time and that might be the closest  
4 appropriate hospital if we take this  
5 into consideration.

6 So I think the question that  
7 the air medical committee posed -- I  
8 don't know, more than a year ago  
9 probably before we embarked on our  
10 three month study at the beginning  
11 of last year, was should we take the  
12 patients in a helicopter and take  
13 them to level one centers if they're  
14 trauma patients.

15 And the question was then  
16 asked, Well, are they really sick?  
17 Well, we asked that question, and in  
18 fact, they are. And so now we have  
19 to come back to that and say, Okay,  
20 they're really sick. Now should  
21 they go to level one trauma centers  
22 if it's a reasonable decision to  
23 make? It's a small number of  
24 patients but we have all the New

86

1 subsection of patients that have  
2 been identified that should probably  
3 go to a level one trauma center and  
4 to allow the flight crews to make  
5 those decisions or teams that have  
6 that opportunity if they're faced  
7 with two different trauma centers,  
8 allow them to differentiate and make  
9 that decision based on those  
10 criteria.

11 MR. FAETH: Thank you, Dr.  
12 Funk. Speaking for myself, if I'm  
13 on the receiving end, I would like  
14 to be taken to the most appropriate  
15 facility for my particular  
16 condition, given conditions that are  
17 available.

18 MR. WRONSKI: If I could  
19 just comment quick and kind of wrap  
20 this up. What I'll do is formally  
21 ask the State Trauma Advisory  
22 Committee to comment on this piece,  
23 as they have representatives from  
24 all the trauma facilities at the

88

1 table, and to put this as an agenda  
2 item for their discussion.

3 I'll also talk to the School  
4 of Public Health because they are  
5 preparing a state report that will  
6 include four years of data ending  
7 with 2006 data. It is a slightly  
8 different data set, as Dr. Henry's  
9 mentioned. It doesn't include  
10 community hospitals, but it does  
11 include all the trauma hospitals.

12 So I'll ask both the school to  
13 work on, as part of their work on  
14 the state report, to include some  
15 look at this and also that the State  
16 Trauma Advisory Committee put this  
17 on their agenda for the next  
18 meeting.

19 MR. FAETH: Thank you, Mr.  
20 Wronski. Thank you, Dr. Henry, for  
21 your report.

22 I don't see anybody nodding  
23 off, but I do know that the hotel  
24 wants you to check out before

89

1 weekend, we actually moved the dates  
2 to September 2nd and the 3rd. I  
3 have checked with the hotel and that  
4 is official. So my apologies to  
5 anybody who wrote in pen in their  
6 date books, but it is September 2nd  
7 and 3rd, it is a Wednesday and a  
8 Thursday. So if anybody is  
9 hopefully no more confused, my  
10 apologies. I know I had written on  
11 the yellow sheets. You can  
12 disregard the yellow sheets that  
13 were passed out yesterday and that  
14 were on the table today.

15 One other announcement is from  
16 the New York Network guys. They  
17 wanted me to ask you not to talk so  
18 close to the microphone. It's  
19 causing some interference. So just  
20 don't talk too close to the  
21 microphones.

22 And once again, my apologies.  
23 Thank you.

24 MR. FAETH: No problem.

91

1 eleven. So, I guess before we go  
2 into committee reports, we're  
3 approaching eleven quickly, I guess  
4 we'll take a fifteen minute break.  
5 Sounds good. Be back at 10:40 would  
6 be good. Thank you.

7 (Whereupon, a recess was  
8 taken.)

9 MR. FAETH: Okay. If  
10 everybody could please grab a seat,  
11 we're going to get started. Okay.  
12 Thank you.

13 Before we move into committee  
14 reports, I believe Valerie has  
15 something she wants to say to  
16 everyone.

17 MS. OZGA: First of all,  
18 my apologies. I got a little  
19 confused about the September dates.  
20 I had said on the record earlier  
21 that the dates were moved to the 8th  
22 and the 9th. That is incorrect.  
23 They were the first dates we had  
24 chose, but because that is Labor Day

90

1 Thank you, Valerie.

2 I will also state before I  
3 start. I should've mentioned it  
4 earlier. I am a soft spoken person,  
5 so don't be embarrassed if you can't  
6 hear me or understand me. Just let  
7 me know and I'll try to speak a  
8 little clearer and louder.

9 Okay. Committee reports.  
10 We'll start off with executive. I'd  
11 like to thank Mr. Delagi for filling  
12 in for me yesterday. We did have a  
13 meeting since that meeting to go  
14 over everything that they went over  
15 and I'll touch base with the  
16 different topics that were  
17 discussed.

18 We are looking at, obviously,  
19 trying to come to some conclusion  
20 and correct the vetting process. We  
21 spoke about the diversity TAG, EMS  
22 state report card that was presented  
23 earlier in the year, in which New  
24 York State received a C+. We also

92

1 received high marks on different  
2 aspects of that, but there is room  
3 for improvement, obviously, and  
4 there are things there that we're  
5 going to look to try to address.

6 Paramedic licensure, which is  
7 something that Mr. Marquissee  
8 chaired a TAG that he headed up, did  
9 an enormous amount of work on. And  
10 he had to forward certain  
11 recommendations. We would like to  
12 actually see that to continue to  
13 move forward and have those  
14 recommendations realized.

15 And we'll probably talk a  
16 little more on the one aspect of  
17 that surveying the actual providers  
18 out in the field, a little larger  
19 survey than what was previously done  
20 over at Vital Signs to get the  
21 actual general feel from people that  
22 do the work, from where they want  
23 the systems to go.

24 And also communications,

93

1 projects that have been started,  
2 some of what was stated here would  
3 fall in that category.

4 Basically, as Dr. Henry had  
5 stated earlier, we are being asked  
6 to do more with less. We do realize  
7 the economic impact of what's  
8 happening, not only here but  
9 globally and how that adversely  
10 affects operations. But I know I  
11 may be asking a lot, but it's -- I'm  
12 still looking, hopefully, for this  
13 body to continue to raise the bar  
14 for what we do out in the field, not  
15 lower it. I think that would be  
16 inappropriate. We shouldn't be  
17 moving backwards, we should be  
18 moving forwards. Even under adverse  
19 conditions, it is important at the  
20 end of the day we provide the best  
21 prehospital care that's available,  
22 utilizing the resources and  
23 equipment and technology that's  
24 available. So that's pretty much

95

1 hopefully, will begin with the  
2 Department of Education and we'll  
3 memorialize that in a letter to Mr.  
4 Wronski. We'll try to move forward  
5 with that, also.

6 Universal protocols. There's  
7 still some discussions on that and  
8 how it also sometimes may tie to  
9 this -- the issues in regards to  
10 medical control and the field  
11 providers, speaking to a doctor or  
12 not speaking to a physician in  
13 certain regions and what issues  
14 surround that.

15 On-scene triage, air medical  
16 issues, inter-facility transfer  
17 agreements, WMD reimbursements and  
18 issues surrounding that, if God  
19 forbid, something does happen in a  
20 region and how providers are  
21 reimbursed for what they have to  
22 utilize and how they go forward with  
23 that.

24 And obviously, just unfinished

94

1 that in a nutshell.

2 I'll move on to -- I'm sorry,  
3 any questions for executive? Okay.  
4 We'll move on to medical standards.  
5 I understand Dr. Marshall is  
6 unavailable, but Dr. Henry will be  
7 giving his report.

8 DR. HENRY: Dr. Marshall  
9 was here yesterday, but he had to go  
10 back last night. So I have four  
11 motions, seconded motions, for your  
12 consideration. Three of them have  
13 to do with protocols, and at your  
14 wish, we could do those together, if  
15 there's -- if that meets your  
16 pleasure. But let me lay those out  
17 and perhaps we could put those on  
18 the board.

19 All right. The first one was  
20 the mid-state ALS protocols. There  
21 were a few recommendations to the  
22 region, which they accepted.  
23 They're listed there on the board,  
24 and as I said, they were all

96

1 accepted in the mid-state region.  
2 So they accepted these revisions.

3 The next was Suffolk county's  
4 region and again they accepted a few  
5 minor revisions to the protocols.  
6 One point I would highlight was that  
7 the committee strongly recommended  
8 that all advanced life support  
9 agencies in Suffolk be capable of  
10 carrying and using controlled  
11 medication, especially for people  
12 with seizures, as well as for  
13 significant pain.

14 And they had also recommended  
15 that if there is going to be  
16 technology to detect carbon  
17 monoxide, that regional resources  
18 for hyperbaric oxygen be evaluated  
19 and included. And Suffolk accepted  
20 that.

21 And the last was Mountain  
22 Lakes, which had a change to their  
23 anaphylaxis protocol. And there  
24 were some comments on that which

97

1 anything about it, but --

2 MS. FULTS: No.

3 DR. HENRY: Okay. So we  
4 voted to, you know, accept this  
5 course as a PAD course from  
6 Wilderness Medical Association, and  
7 it comes to you, then, as a motion  
8 for SEMAC.

9 (Roll call vote taken.)

10 MS. OZGA: Motion passes.

11 DR. HENRY: A couple  
12 informational items. The council  
13 and the Department and members of  
14 the SEMAC had received letters from  
15 a foundation called CARES, which  
16 addresses the problem of congenital  
17 adrenal hyperplasia. And they were  
18 asking for consideration of  
19 treatment for patients with adrenal  
20 insufficiency in EMS protocols.

21 Deborah Brown, who is a member  
22 of CARES foundation, presented  
23 briefly to the SEMAC yesterday some  
24 of the statistics about the number

99

1 were acceptable, too, in terms of  
2 wording.

3 So those are the three regions  
4 that had protocols and came forward  
5 as seconded motions to the council.

6 Is there -- are there any  
7 questions about any of the three?  
8 Would you call the question, then?

9 MR. FAETH: Call the  
10 question to approve the minutes --  
11 I'm sorry, not the minutes --  
12 approve the protocol for the three  
13 regions.

14 (Roll call vote taken.)

15 MS. OZGA: Motion passes.

16 DR. HENRY: Okay. Thank  
17 you. The next motion that came  
18 through SEMAC to you is approval of  
19 a PAD course sponsored by the  
20 Wilderness Medical Association.  
21 That came to us as a recommendation  
22 from the education sub-committee,  
23 who reviewed the course and I don't  
24 know if, Deb, if you want to say

98

1 of patients who have this condition  
2 and their wish that SEMAC and  
3 providers consider treating with  
4 steroids patients who have adrenal  
5 insufficiency, should they be  
6 encountered in the field.

7 And SEMAC is going take this  
8 up at our next meeting. And with  
9 respect to the problem with the  
10 congenital situation, EMS-C, Dr.  
11 Cooper indicated, is going to  
12 discuss it, as well. So you'll be  
13 hearing more about that.

14 And the other item I just want  
15 to highlight is we heard a report  
16 from EMS-C regarding providers and  
17 agencies, actually, perception of  
18 medical control around the state.  
19 It was of interest in terms of the  
20 larger topic of who provides medical  
21 control and it was also rich in  
22 terms of including some providers'  
23 perceptions of the -- what they have  
24 in their respective regions.

100

1 So that's going to go to us  
2 for further consideration about the  
3 general topic that we've been  
4 addressing overall and who provides  
5 medical control in New York State.

6 So that ends the medical  
7 standards report.

8 MR. FAETH: Thank you, Dr.  
9 Henry. Both motions for the  
10 regional protocols and the PAD  
11 course were passed unanimously. No  
12 objections.

13 We move on to finance. Ms.  
14 Ellis or Dr. McEvoy, who will be  
15 presenting?

16 DR. MCEVOY: I'll give the  
17 report. The finance committee met  
18 this morning. The secretary has the  
19 attendance. And one note of  
20 business was the templates. They're  
21 going out to the program agencies.  
22 That will go out in March, as usual,  
23 and we decided to have those due  
24 back on May 15th.

101

1 based on a sponsor survey that had  
2 been done last year by the finance  
3 committee of core sponsors  
4 throughout the state.

5 And essentially where that  
6 leaves us at this point is we have  
7 some idea of adjustments we want to  
8 make. However we, in raising  
9 certain rates, now need to spend  
10 some time looking at where we're  
11 going to take the money away from to  
12 cover what we have raised, and that  
13 will be our endeavor between now and  
14 our next meeting, which we have no  
15 intention of conducting behind  
16 closed doors. So we'll figure out a  
17 method of doing that so that it  
18 invites public participation.

19 MR. FAETH: Thank you, Dr.  
20 McEvoy. Any questions, concerns,  
21 for finance?

22 Moving right along here.  
23 Okay. Next on the agenda would be  
24 education and training, Debbie

103

1 And hopefully we'll be able to  
2 schedule a meeting for the committee  
3 the night before the June meeting  
4 begins in order to go over those  
5 templates and put the budget  
6 together, perhaps talk to Mr.  
7 Wronski about that. And that's  
8 probably why he's fleeing the room  
9 right now.

10 The second item that we spent  
11 most of the meeting this morning  
12 discussing was we had mentioned to  
13 you before that we were going to  
14 undertake a review of the course  
15 funding. And we were actually able,  
16 myself and Nancy Benedetto, to  
17 assemble with the help of the  
18 bureau, about a five to six year  
19 compilation of where training monies  
20 have been allocated. And using a  
21 smoothing process of the many years  
22 of data, we actually created a pie  
23 that we worked with this morning and  
24 adjusted course funding numbers

102

1 Fults.

2 MS. FULTS: Education and  
3 training met yesterday. Our TAGs  
4 gave the reports. I just want to go  
5 over what TAGs we have out there  
6 --the CLI curriculum TAG, the safety  
7 TAG, the online courses TAG, ESCT  
8 TAG and the educational standards  
9 TAG.

10 And I just want to remind  
11 everybody that the educational  
12 standards are out in their final  
13 form and you can get that on the web  
14 under [www.nemsis.org](http://www.nemsis.org). The standards  
15 are there and the instructional  
16 guidelines for each level are also  
17 on there.

18 And we do have people looking  
19 at all of the sections of the  
20 standards and comparing them to what  
21 we have. So these committees are  
22 continuing their work and hopefully  
23 we'll have some finished products by  
24 the June meeting.

104

1 Staff gave a report and I want  
2 to go over some of the things that  
3 they covered with us, that Karen  
4 did, and an update on the testing  
5 contractor. The RFP has been  
6 received. It's scored and it has  
7 been submitted for approval, so  
8 we're just waiting.

9 Core sponsor letter went out  
10 in December -- December 17, 2008 to  
11 all the core sponsors and the  
12 REMSCOs with some procedural and  
13 recent updates. And I want to go  
14 over those with you in case you  
15 haven't seen them.

16 Under student application  
17 deadline, when we used to have to  
18 have the student applications  
19 submitted six weeks prior to the  
20 test date, that has been changed to  
21 eight weeks before the date of the  
22 written exam. And the bureau  
23 strongly encourages that all student  
24 applications be mailed to the bureau

105

1 who enroll in a course and are  
2 present at the first session of the  
3 course must complete and have  
4 submitted to the bureau a student  
5 application, regardless of whether  
6 or not the student withdraws from or  
7 fails the course.

8 Again, and I've reminded  
9 everybody of this a couple times,  
10 that make sure as core sponsors and  
11 instructors that you review the  
12 applications, that you make sure all  
13 of the information is on there  
14 correctly, dates of birth, the  
15 spelling of their names, their  
16 current address, and that any  
17 unsigned student application must be  
18 placed at the top of all student  
19 applications that are submitted to  
20 the bureau.

21 When it comes to the end of  
22 the course paperwork and practical  
23 skills exam, they must be submitted  
24 in a timely manner. The bureau will

107

1 prior to the third core session  
2 unless the date falls after the  
3 eight week deadline. And they will  
4 accept applications of students who  
5 enroll late, and after the core  
6 sponsors are resubmitted, the  
7 applications, as long as it is  
8 before the eight week deadline.

9 So what happens if they get an  
10 application after the eight week  
11 deadline? The written exam for the  
12 course will be moved to the next  
13 available written exam date. The  
14 current violation of financial  
15 penalty procedures will continue to  
16 be enforced.

17 When it comes to the student  
18 applications themselves, sometimes  
19 core sponsors hold onto those  
20 student applications until the last  
21 minute because some students drop  
22 out or whatever and they don't think  
23 that they have to submit them. And  
24 this is a reminder that all students

106

1 not certify any student unless they  
2 have all end-of-the-course  
3 paperwork.

4 And as a reminder, the  
5 practical skills exam coordinator  
6 must be a CLI or CIC and cannot be  
7 the CIC of record for the course.

8 Student reference books and  
9 protocols. There is an updated  
10 protocol -- updated protocols on the  
11 web. There's student reference  
12 guides. They've requested to be  
13 reprinted but they have not and  
14 don't know if that will happen. So  
15 in the meantime, sponsors should  
16 provide either a paper copy or a  
17 computerized file of the protocols  
18 to all students and the reference  
19 manual, if you can. Sponsors may  
20 charge a nominal fee to the students  
21 to cover expenses only.

22 Certified instructors. Please  
23 remind all of your certified  
24 instructors that they need to notify

108

1 the bureau whenever they have a  
2 change in their mailing address or  
3 telephone number. The bureau has an  
4 increasing number of instructor  
5 renewals being returned as  
6 undeliverable due to changes in  
7 address.

8 And one more thing on that  
9 topic is that the exam tickets. If  
10 you remember the exam tickets are  
11 sent to the instructors. So if  
12 there -- if you have a wrong  
13 address, you may not be getting  
14 those exam tickets.

15 Are there any questions for  
16 education and training? That's the  
17 end of my report.

18 MR. FAETH: All right.  
19 Thank you, Deb. We will move to  
20 peer and Mr. Czapranski.

21 MR. CZAPRANSKI: Thank  
22 you. Peer met yesterday and comes  
23 with no seconded motions. Donna  
24 Girard gave the staff report and has

109

1 e-pictures, electronic pictures they  
2 want to send to us, please do so.  
3 We'll be trying to do a collage in  
4 June for a September poster.

5 We also have a recruitment  
6 video we're looking at, getting  
7 several film schools together to  
8 talk about the best way to develop  
9 and produce a recruitment video for  
10 up and coming EMS providers or  
11 hopefuls.

12 We also have a children's play  
13 which we're re-looking at to see  
14 whether it's important to move  
15 forward with that or we can couple  
16 it with a book or other project.

17 That's all for the peer,  
18 unless there are any questions.  
19 Thank you.

20 MR. FAETH: Thank you,  
21 Tim. Actually, one region did send  
22 me some e-pictures, so I will  
23 forward that over to you. But  
24 seriously, if anybody else has some

111

1 the meeting attendance.

2 EMS week, again, just to  
3 reiterate from last meeting, is May  
4 17th to 23th. And to reiterate Mr.  
5 Wronski, the EMS memorial, which we  
6 had looked at as the 20th, is  
7 actually Thursday, May 21st.

8 Vital Signs, October 15th  
9 through 18th in Rochester, New York.  
10 The awards have to be postmarked by  
11 August 1st and sent in to the EMS  
12 bureau. Love to see all the REMSCOs  
13 submit this year. We typically have  
14 one, two or three REMSCOs that don't  
15 submit anybody for awards, so we'd  
16 love to see everyone -- all the  
17 REMSCOs reflected in the awards  
18 process.

19 We have several continuing  
20 projects. We have the EMS logo on a  
21 pin which we hope to have available  
22 by Vital Signs. We have a  
23 recruitment poster, which again,  
24 anybody who has any high end

110

1 electronic photographs of your  
2 agency, you know, your staff or your  
3 particular region, try to get that  
4 over to Tim and we'll get this thing  
5 moving.

6 I'll move on to evaluation. I  
7 believe Mr. Delagi will be giving  
8 that report.

9 MR. DELAGI: Thanks, Mr.  
10 Chair. The committee met yesterday.  
11 The secretary has the attendance.  
12 No seconded motions to come forward.

13 Before I give the final  
14 report, though, I did want to just  
15 acknowledge very briefly the work of  
16 Catherine O'Connor, who was a  
17 non-council committee member who  
18 served with our committee, who was  
19 very active and was very helpful in  
20 a lot of the work products that  
21 you've heard us talk about  
22 throughout the course of the last  
23 two years. Catherine has moved on  
24 to take another job within

112

1 Westchester County government and we  
2 look forward to working with Dan  
3 Almos, her replacement, who expects  
4 to join the committee as soon as we  
5 can get him approved.

6 Ms. Burns gave the staff  
7 report at our meeting, upon which  
8 time we learned that the 2006 PCR  
9 data disks have been sent to all the  
10 regions and the bureau is currently  
11 working with the keypunch contractor  
12 to get '07 and '08 PCR data sets out  
13 faster.

14 She also provided an update on  
15 the progress of Phase I of the  
16 Governor's highway traffic safety  
17 board grant that was received in  
18 late 2008. Eight RFIs were received  
19 and are currently being reviewed and  
20 you will recall that year one of  
21 this three-year grant cycle will be  
22 used to benchmark services and state  
23 information technology to see what  
24 is currently in place across the

1 from the rank and file EMS  
2 providers, where we tried to gauge  
3 their understanding of the process  
4 and what was going on in their  
5 respective services.

6 We've actually asked the  
7 bureau staff to enhance this process  
8 through the local service inspection  
9 efforts to identify best practices  
10 and gaps in quality improvement.

11 And certainly in the spirit of  
12 quality improvement, this endeavor  
13 is not designed to be punitive in  
14 nature but rather educational, so  
15 that we can get a handle on exactly  
16 what's going on at the service  
17 level.

18 In recognizing that there are  
19 gaps that still exist in data  
20 sharing between hospitals and  
21 ambulance services, we've asked that  
22 a hospital's preparedness bureau  
23 representative be invited to attend  
24 our June meeting to discuss

1 state to identify comprehensively  
2 all forms and types of electronic  
3 PCRs that are being used.

4 And our committee has been  
5 asked to work with the bureau to  
6 develop a NEMESIS compliant receiving  
7 platform, which will be capable of  
8 receiving a variety of electronic  
9 media going forward.

10 The executive summary.  
11 Describing the results of the QI  
12 survey that we had done at Vital  
13 Signs '08 has been prepared and was  
14 reviewed at yesterday's meeting and  
15 our plan is to send that out to each  
16 of the regional program agencies for  
17 their use in personalizing for their  
18 region and distributing to their  
19 respective squads. The goal of  
20 this, obviously, is to offer ongoing  
21 guidance to services for  
22 establishing and maintaining CQI  
23 initiatives, and this again was done  
24 as a result of the survey results

1 information sharing barriers that  
2 may or may not exist between  
3 hospitals and ambulance services.

4 And we maintain the very  
5 strong position that without getting  
6 outcome data, it is very, very  
7 difficult to do meaningful, quality  
8 improvement. There may or may not  
9 be barriers. It's up to individual  
10 perceptions and we want to try to  
11 identify what the issues are if they  
12 do, indeed, exist and propose some  
13 solutions.

14 As you heard earlier, we did  
15 receive the New York State report  
16 card on healthcare and our committee  
17 worked to identify twenty-two  
18 different data elements that all  
19 have EMS system implications. We  
20 will be conducting a further review  
21 of the data that actually led to the  
22 scores in those twenty-two  
23 categories and we will have a report  
24 for you at our next meeting in June.

1 And similarly, we're  
2 continuing progress on collecting  
3 information on our other EMS system  
4 data points that we've been talking  
5 about. And we'll be able to report  
6 to you in June on that, as well.

7 As part of the ongoing  
8 discussion about medi-vac services  
9 and the safety initiative, we are  
10 going to invite a member of the STAC  
11 to sit on our committee and we'll  
12 have to talk about logistically how  
13 best to do that, but we would very  
14 much like STAC to become part of our  
15 committee, initially to look at  
16 outcome data on the patients that  
17 were flown to trauma centers by  
18 helicopter in the survey that we  
19 conducted last year and certainly  
20 going forward to maintain a healthy  
21 relationship with the STAC on  
22 collecting data on trauma outcomes  
23 in general.

24 This is being done in an

117

1 control.

2 And that is it for our report,  
3 unless there are any questions.

4 MR. FAETH: No questions  
5 for Bob?

6 MR. WRONSKI: Just a  
7 comment to add to Bob's report. We  
8 do know about the issue of the  
9 difficulty of sometimes getting  
10 hospitals to share data with EMS in  
11 their QI/QA.

12 Myself, and while she was with  
13 the bureau, Marjorie Geiger, sat for  
14 it seems like three years, but I  
15 think it's only two, on a cardiac  
16 advisory committee to rewrite  
17 cardiac regs, not just STEMI regs,  
18 which is the real reason I sat on  
19 this committee, but also a total  
20 rewrite of cardiac regs.

21 And a draft of those  
22 regulations for the 405 hospital  
23 regulations were provided to the  
24 SHRPC recently for review and

119

1 effort to support our region's  
2 appropriateness and use studies by  
3 providing clinical outcome data to  
4 the degree possible.

5 And similarly working with our  
6 folks on our contribution to the  
7 online medical control discussions  
8 by the survey results that we  
9 obtained from program agency  
10 directors, where we were able to  
11 identify that in seventy-five  
12 percent of the regions, providers  
13 talked directly with a physician,  
14 either as the first person they  
15 encounter on the telephone or after  
16 the call has been triaged by an  
17 EMT-CC, an EMT-P or a nurse.

18 And in twenty-five percent of  
19 the regions, there is no physician  
20 consult. It's provided by another  
21 licensed practitioner. So that is  
22 added to the data that EMS-C  
23 presented and the ongoing  
24 discussions with online medical

118

1 discussion and that is the  
2 preliminary stage before final regs  
3 are prepared and then put into a  
4 published state.

5 And in that, in those  
6 regulations, there is language that  
7 we collaboratively developed which  
8 includes a statement that says  
9 hospitals may release  
10 patient-specific data to EMS QI  
11 processes, specifically as referred  
12 to in 3004 of the existing article  
13 30.

14 And so once that's in, even  
15 though currently we think there can  
16 be an exchange of data, this will  
17 solidify and put aside a lot of the  
18 concerns that have been raised by  
19 different hospitals and their  
20 councils.

21 So I expect that to be in  
22 place sometime this year. And you  
23 might see a more cooperative  
24 compliant -- it doesn't mean you're

120

1 going to get, by the way, a patient  
2 chart. That's not really what it  
3 means. But it does mean they'll be  
4 able to share outcome data with you  
5 more freely and they'll be more  
6 comfortable to do so because there  
7 will now be something in the 405  
8 hospital regulations very directly  
9 addressing that.

10 MR. DELAGI: Thank you,  
11 and that certainly does indeed help.

12 MR. FAETH: Thank you  
13 both. Next on the agenda, we are  
14 going to move to EMS systems, Andrew  
15 LaMarca.

16 MR. LAMARCA: All right.  
17 Thank you. Systems committee met  
18 yesterday and the secretary has the  
19 attendance. We also have no  
20 seconded motions to bring forward.

21 We did start the meeting with  
22 a report from the EMS for Children's  
23 report on the survey that they had  
24 completed, which did include both

121

1 nineteen or twenty deficiencies that  
2 were cited which were unsolvable and  
3 that led to the termination of that.  
4 Dana has reached out to try and find  
5 out where this goes to now,  
6 particularly in areas of  
7 inoperability, and has yet to  
8 receive any verification from them.

9 On a more positive note, they  
10 did distribute an EMS radio project  
11 report from Franklin County, which  
12 for a fairly modest fee of \$150,000  
13 it looks like, has gone a long way  
14 in trying to address the  
15 inoperability issues in their county  
16 and certainly something that should  
17 be commended.

18 We did hear a report from  
19 staff, first leading off with the  
20 matter of the City of Utica.  
21 Mid-state had held their hearing and  
22 determined -- they had made the  
23 determination that no need existed.  
24 The Department has received notice

123

1 transporting and non-transporting  
2 units. And there is some  
3 benchmarking as far as the equipment  
4 standards that are established that  
5 we'd like to try to meet. And I  
6 think that some of the figures seem  
7 to be a little bit low in certain  
8 areas where we do statutorily carry  
9 some equipment, but it included  
10 non-transporting services. So the  
11 next pass will have just  
12 transporting services, so that  
13 statistic might be a little more  
14 valid across.

15 The TAG we have outstanding,  
16 which is the communication TAG with  
17 Ray Serowik and Dana Jonas, did  
18 report on the failure of the  
19 statewide wireless network, which  
20 that part ceased.

21 We did distribute a report  
22 from the New York State office of  
23 technology from the chief  
24 information officer detailing

122

1 from the City of Utica of an appeal  
2 to that action. The Department has,  
3 again, just received that notice and  
4 has acknowledged it and does not  
5 have the grounds yet.

6 And once that whole packet is  
7 received, they will start assembling  
8 all the information necessary to  
9 turn it over to the Administrative  
10 Law Judge, which will now also  
11 include the copy of the simulcast of  
12 that hearing, as well. And from  
13 what staff has indicated, probably  
14 the first time we might see this  
15 formally may be as late as the  
16 September meeting this year here.

17 In other issues, we have had  
18 -- there's three municipalities that  
19 either declared their intent to  
20 cease operating an ambulance or  
21 rescinded their intent to operate  
22 one. That includes the City of  
23 Batavia, the Town of Pawling and the  
24 Village of Pawling. In addition,

124

1 one service, the Town of Marlborough  
2 volunteer ambulance service has  
3 surrendered its operating permit.

4 The issues that have come up  
5 recently with municipal CONs have  
6 led to a new policy or an updated  
7 policy, 0901, to clarify what's  
8 necessary for the municipal's CON  
9 process, both in the initial stages  
10 and after two year conversion, the  
11 process of the CON. That is already  
12 on the website.

13 Other than that, that was the  
14 more significant matters in front of  
15 us.

16 MR. FAETH: Thank you,  
17 Andy. Any questions for systems?

18 MR. LEWIS: Question maybe  
19 for Mr. Wronski regarding the City  
20 of Utica and will they be extended  
21 the opportunity to continue to  
22 function now that this decision has  
23 been made by the EMS council on a  
24 fifteen to five vote or something

125

1 the residents in the City of Utica  
2 at risk for paying back Medicaid and  
3 Medicare dollars thinking they're  
4 continuing to bill, because that's  
5 the reason they stated they're in  
6 the business? Are we putting the  
7 residents at risk of paying back  
8 these dollars should the ALJ uphold  
9 the regional council decision?

10 MR. WRONSKI: In my  
11 opinion, no, as Medicaid and  
12 Medicare will look for the state  
13 license, which will indicate to them  
14 is still in effect. If he were to  
15 rescind that as the agency that has  
16 authority over that operating  
17 certificate, then it would probably  
18 affect the billing capabilities.

19 But we would be advising them  
20 that we're allowing them to continue  
21 to operate as a legally-functioning  
22 ambulance service during the  
23 pendency, again, of the  
24 administrative hearing.

127

1 like that?

2 MR. WRONSKI: The answer  
3 is one that I'll also clear with our  
4 counsel's office, but it's one which  
5 I freely give because I think it's  
6 important. That is, during the  
7 pendency of the administrative  
8 hearing, the decision by this body  
9 that the Utica ambulance service  
10 would continue to operate and to  
11 wait to hear the decision of the  
12 SEMSCO as to whether or not they  
13 support the regional decision or  
14 not.

15 MR. LEWIS: I just have a  
16 question regarding that. When it  
17 comes to an ambulance service to  
18 have the ability to invoice Medicare  
19 and Medicaid, they must have a valid  
20 CON. And as we talked about this at  
21 a former meeting, there is no  
22 statute providing that the CON can  
23 be extended, as I thought it was  
24 said at that time. Are we putting

126

1 MR. LEWIS: But you said  
2 there is no statute allowing the  
3 provision for an extension. Am I  
4 correct on that or not?

5 MR. WRONSKI: There is no  
6 specific statute for the extension  
7 that specifically addresses -- that  
8 states there can be an extension.  
9 That's correct.

10 MR. LEWIS: Thanks. Thank  
11 you.

12 MR. FAETH: Thank you.  
13 Any other questions for systems?  
14 Okay. We'll move the agenda to  
15 legislative, Mr. Lewis.

16 MR. LEWIS: Yes. Thank  
17 you very much. We -- our meeting  
18 was yesterday afternoon. The  
19 secretary has the attendance record.

20 A couple of things. We  
21 reviewed sixty-two newly introduced  
22 pieces of EMS -- EMS relative  
23 legislation, and there is one  
24 seconded motion that came out of the

128

1 committee and I think it's going to  
2 be up on the board, the motion to  
3 oppose a piece of legislation that's  
4 out there which we think, and I'm  
5 sure others will agree, will  
6 negatively impact physicians in the  
7 State of New York.

8 And as we've had meetings over  
9 the past couple of days and there  
10 are concerns about enough  
11 specialities -- specialty physicians  
12 available in many communities, this  
13 piece of legislation, we feel, will  
14 exacerbate that problem. It's  
15 Senate 1729 and Assembly 4627.

16 I have a letter from the New  
17 York chapter of the American College  
18 of Emergency Physicians in  
19 opposition to this, because it will  
20 -- the end result will be another  
21 \$150,000,000 a year in cost to  
22 medical malpractice premiums for  
23 physicians.

24 The other part of this that's

129

1 Commissioner.

2 MR. FAETH: Okay. We have  
3 a seconded motion on the floor to  
4 oppose Senate bill 1729 and Assembly  
5 bill 4627. Do you have any  
6 discussion? Yes, Dr. Cooper.

7 DR. COOPER: For the  
8 record, the American College of  
9 Surgeons of New York State and  
10 District 2 of the American Academy  
11 of Pediatrics have also gone on  
12 record as opposing this legislation.

13 MR. FAETH: Thank you, Dr.  
14 Cooper. Any other further  
15 discussion? Okay. This does not, I  
16 believe, require a roll call vote.  
17 I believe, show of hands. All in  
18 favor of opposing this legislation,  
19 aye?

20 SPEAKERS: Aye.

21 MR. FAETH: Any opposed --  
22 any against the motion to oppose?

23 MR. LEWIS: One more piece  
24 of legislation, Mr. Chairman.

131

1 uncertain -- uncertain to the  
2 ambulance industry is whether or not  
3 we're impacted on this and if the  
4 ambulance industry is also impacted  
5 with insurance or the availability  
6 of medical directors. Because of  
7 this malpractice -- additional  
8 malpractice insurance, it could be  
9 devastating to the EMS system across  
10 the State of New York.

11 So Senate 1729 and Assembly  
12 4627. It's Snyderman introduced and  
13 Weinstein also was on the bill from  
14 the Assembly. It's an act to amend  
15 the civil practice law and rules in  
16 relation to the limitation of time  
17 within which an action of medical,  
18 dental, podiatry, malpractice  
19 accrues, and providing for one year  
20 revival of previously dismissed  
21 actions.

22 I would ask this body to  
23 strongly oppose this legislation and  
24 that that be shared with the

130

1 MR. FAETH: Any  
2 abstentions?

3 MR. LEWIS: Oh, I'm sorry.

4 MR. FAETH: Okay. It  
5 passes unanimously.

6 MR. LEWIS: It's that soft  
7 spoken voice.

8 A piece of legislation to be  
9 watchful of, which was discussed at  
10 length by our committee, is A-02788  
11 and S-02220. And we're seeking more  
12 information from the sponsor on  
13 this.

14 This -- it states, Establishes  
15 the requirement for the provision of  
16 ambulance services to senior living  
17 facilities. There is mixed language  
18 on this. It sounds like, in some  
19 ways, that the legislation is  
20 stating that any new senior living  
21 facility that has more than fifty  
22 people must have an ambulance  
23 service on site, which makes  
24 absolutely no sense.

132

1 And then there is language  
2 that leads us to believe that maybe  
3 it's just a contract with an  
4 ambulance service, to be certain  
5 they perspectivevly plan, which makes  
6 some sense.

7 So what we are looking for  
8 before it comes back to legislation  
9 for consideration is we will be  
10 contacting the sponsor to ask just  
11 what's driving this.

12 We did talk to a couple of  
13 other members of this body and it  
14 appears that these senior living  
15 centers pop up, if you will, and in  
16 an area where a volunteer ambulance  
17 corps may do five or six or seven  
18 hundred calls and all of a sudden  
19 this new facility doubles their  
20 responses, which has a big impact on  
21 those services.

22 So I'm thinking that once we  
23 have appropriate information, I  
24 think we need to take action on this

133

1 presentation for Vital Signs, having  
2 our first at the 2008 Vital Signs.  
3 And it is our intent to always have  
4 a presentation at the annual  
5 conference to keep the culture of  
6 safety discussed.

7 We are looking -- a couple of  
8 us are looking at the fire safety  
9 officer's course, which is a  
10 curriculum that's in place and being  
11 utilized by the State of New York to  
12 see if we can come up with a program  
13 similar for the EMS side of the  
14 house, and we will be reporting back  
15 on that in the future.

16 We had given to us in both the  
17 systems meeting and in the safety  
18 TAG meeting a reportable incident  
19 form, New York State form number  
20 DOH-4423, which is in draft form.  
21 It's not out yet, but it was the  
22 first solid copy that we have to  
23 react to on a piece of work that  
24 we've been working on for about a

135

1 bill. But I'd like to ask you to --  
2 if you have comments, I'd love to  
3 hear them. If there are no  
4 comments, we'll bring it back to you  
5 next month or next time.

6 MR. FAETH: Could you  
7 please repeat the bill numbers?

8 MR. LEWIS: Sure. It's  
9 A-02788 and S-02220 in the senate.  
10 It can be disturbing what's  
11 occurring here and we'll keep you  
12 informed. If there's others that  
13 have more information on this bill,  
14 it would be appreciated.

15 MR. FAETH: Any other  
16 questions for Mr. Lewis? Seeing  
17 none, we'll move the agenda to  
18 safety TAG, I believe Mr. Warren  
19 Darby will be presenting.

20 MR. DARBY: Safety TAG did  
21 meet. We had sixteen present at the  
22 meeting yesterday afternoon. We  
23 talked a little bit about getting  
24 ready for a second year annual

134

1 year to get a database in place at  
2 the central office that would have  
3 specific reportable incidents as  
4 required in part 800 on a form  
5 that's available to all of us.

6 Those that were not in either  
7 of those sessions yesterday and  
8 would like to have a copy of this  
9 form, just let Lee Burns know and  
10 she'll send it right out to you.

11 There is some beta testing  
12 going on right now with the database  
13 for that information at the central  
14 office and hopefully down the road a  
15 PDF file available over the  
16 different sites, regional sites, so  
17 that you can download, fill it and  
18 send it in to central office  
19 directly, electronically. There is  
20 some regions that have regional  
21 databases right now. Western is  
22 probably the foremost in that  
23 process, as we speak.

24 We then got into a pretty good

136

1 open think brainstorming session on  
2 the EMS safety best practices  
3 document that we'd like to come up  
4 with that we could give to any  
5 person at any of the EMS agencies to  
6 look and see what's being done  
7 across the state for safety within  
8 their -- an EMS agency and what  
9 might they do. During that  
10 discussion, we had a lot of issues  
11 talked about regarding lifting and  
12 moving, vehicle operations, the  
13 mobile workspace in the rig itself,  
14 sharps, the scene operations,  
15 managing violent scenes.

16 All of that was discussed and  
17 Dr. Cooper, in his inevitable way of  
18 coming up with information, that  
19 kind of put it all together. He  
20 came up with an acronym called  
21 SPINS, so it was kind of a new spin  
22 on the safety piece of EMS. And  
23 SPINS stood for "S" Situation, what  
24 is it we got that we're in. "P"

137

1 we're taking right now.

2 More to come. Be safe out  
3 there.

4 MR. FAETH: Thank you, Mr.  
5 Darby. Thank you, Mr. Bishop, also,  
6 and the committee for all the hard  
7 work you're doing. Recent tragic  
8 events remind us how important it is  
9 to be conscious of safety.

10 We move the agenda then to  
11 EMS-C. I believe Sharon Chiumento  
12 has a report to give.

13 MS. CHIUMENTO: Thank you.  
14 We are running a meeting next month  
15 on March 17th, so we haven't had a  
16 lot of activity since our last time  
17 with you. However, I do want to the  
18 report that from our last meeting in  
19 December, that Dr. Cooper was  
20 elected as our chair and Dr. Lillis  
21 from the western region has been  
22 elected as our vice-chair. Both of  
23 them have now been vetted, so  
24 they're waiting for their letters of

139

1 what kind of Protection do we need  
2 to be taking for ourselves and our  
3 partners, 'cause if we don't take  
4 care of ourselves, we can't take  
5 care of anybody else. "I" for  
6 Isolation when that's necessary for  
7 all parties involved there. And "N"  
8 for Notification as is necessary for  
9 that particular event.

10 We're going to continue to  
11 work on this document and hope to  
12 come forth with a written draft for  
13 the council to see in the near  
14 future. But what we're saying is  
15 the old -- is the scene safe and  
16 BSI, which is something we routinely  
17 reported in every practical session  
18 should probably be -- there's no  
19 scene that's safe.

20 Have we all identified -- as  
21 we're looking at that scene around  
22 and looking at the situation, have  
23 we identified the hazards that can  
24 hurt us, and that's the approach

138

1 appointment.

2 We also have been notified  
3 that our white paper on the  
4 regionalization of critical  
5 pediatric hospital services has been  
6 reviewed by Dr. Daines and he has  
7 authorized us to go forward and have  
8 a stakeholder's meeting to move  
9 forward with that particular  
10 process.

11 We had a wonderful  
12 presentation at our last meeting on  
13 emergency preparedness for  
14 pediatrics and OB patients. The  
15 Department has developed a wonderful  
16 tool kit, which is available on the  
17 Department of Health website. If  
18 you would like further information  
19 on that, I'm sure Martha Gohlke  
20 would be glad to give you more  
21 information about how to access  
22 that.

23 We have two new  
24 sub-committees, one on education and

140

1 looking at education related to  
2 pediatrics. Ann Fitton from the  
3 Fire Department of New York is going  
4 to be chairing that committee.

5 And the second committee is on  
6 inter-facility transports, which  
7 kind of goes along with some of the  
8 issues we've discussed here at SEMAC  
9 in the past. I will be chairing  
10 that committee and we are looking at  
11 developing a guideline template for  
12 inter-facility transport for  
13 pediatric patients.

14 Other future projects that  
15 we'll be working on are disaster  
16 preparedness. We hope to develop a  
17 card similar to the cards that we  
18 developed on pediatric assessment  
19 and on special healthcare needs  
20 patients. So we are hoping to do  
21 one on pediatric patients and caring  
22 for them during disasters.

23 And also we're working on a  
24 white paper on EMS-C in New York

141

1 progress was accomplished with  
2 respect to a large number of tasks.  
3 Consideration was given to a process  
4 by which impact of trauma center  
5 closings on the public health could  
6 be discussed. Review of potential  
7 additional data points to the trauma  
8 registry was discussed. The scope  
9 and content of next year's  
10 educational offering at the Vital  
11 Signs conference was presented and  
12 thought to best be focused on  
13 traumatic brain injury.

14 And as always, great  
15 consideration was given to the  
16 nature and frequency of the  
17 statewide trauma reports that are  
18 issued, as well as the site visit  
19 process.

20 The latter two are recognized  
21 at some level as being dependent  
22 upon the timely submission of  
23 complete data to the state trauma  
24 registry and analysis of that data,

143

1 State and what our future projects  
2 should be.

3 EMS-C members are also working  
4 with STAC on developing pediatric  
5 components of the hospital trauma  
6 regulations and we are working with  
7 STAC and SEMAC on a letter hopefully  
8 adding trauma surgeons and pediatric  
9 specialists to the Doctors Across  
10 New York State funding.

11 Do you have any questions?

12 MR. FAETH: If there's no  
13 questions for Sharon, thank you  
14 Sharon. Does the state trauma  
15 committee have a report? Dr.  
16 Cooper.

17 DR. COOPER: Thank you.  
18 The State Trauma Committee -- State  
19 Trauma Advisory Committee did meet  
20 last week. It was unable to enact  
21 official business due to conflicts  
22 in attendance of many of the key  
23 members of the committee.

24 However, a good deal of

142

1 since outcomes are central to the  
2 trauma system in terms of its  
3 success.

4 To that end, a small working  
5 group has been convened to look at  
6 the issue of how individual trauma  
7 center reports submitted on an  
8 annual basis, documenting outcomes,  
9 might be used to create a statewide  
10 interim data report between the  
11 multi-year analyses that have been  
12 characteristic of the program in the  
13 past.

14 So I think it was an extremely  
15 productive meeting, and although no  
16 formal actions was taken on any of  
17 these items, a tremendous amount of  
18 progress was made toward reaching  
19 many of these goals. And I think we  
20 all expect that the June meeting  
21 will result in formal adoption of  
22 many, if not all of the items that  
23 were discussed at this most recent  
24 meeting.

144

1 Sharon Chiumento has already  
2 commented on the fact that an  
3 interim meeting of the group that  
4 has been working at the direction of  
5 the STAC to develop potential  
6 regulatory changes consistent with  
7 the policies that the STAC has  
8 already adopted did meet in January,  
9 focused chiefly upon pediatric  
10 issues but was able to spend quite a  
11 bit of time refining some of the  
12 other points, particularly with  
13 respect to sub-specialty coverage.  
14 I think we're all very hopeful that  
15 that process will be soon drawing to  
16 a conclusion.

17 MR. FAETH: Thank you very  
18 much, Dr. Cooper. Anybody have any  
19 questions for trauma committee? Dr.  
20 McEvoy?

21 DR. MCEVOY: Dr. Cooper,  
22 we made a referral to STAC several  
23 months back about tourniquets. Do  
24 you know if that's moved forward at

145

1 unfortunately, a large number of  
2 physicians who could not make the  
3 meeting and this had been put on the  
4 work agenda of the STAC for that  
5 meeting.

6 There were at least one  
7 physician who was going to do  
8 research on this, but we don't have  
9 any reports yet.

10 MR. FAETH: Okay. Thank  
11 you. If there is nothing further  
12 for trauma, we are pretty much done  
13 with committee reports.

14 Any unfinished business, old  
15 business? Oh, I'm sorry. I  
16 apologize. I have a report from the  
17 diversity TAG.

18 MR. CZAPRANSKI: Thank  
19 you. As you know, this is a new  
20 committee and we have no motions to  
21 bring forth.

22 The final committee  
23 development is still in process. As  
24 Mr. Wronski indicated, we are

147

1 all?

2 DR. COOPER: I do not, but  
3 Mr. Wronski, if it is not  
4 inappropriate to query Dr. Marx  
5 about progress in this area and post  
6 it on the SEMSCO list serve, I will  
7 do so for the edification of all  
8 concerned.

9 I do not believe that any  
10 formal review of that issue has been  
11 brought before the STAC, although I  
12 can tell you that there have been  
13 literature of use performed with  
14 respect both to tourniquets and  
15 hemostatic agents that will allow  
16 us, I believe, to develop some  
17 information to bring back to medical  
18 standards in the not too distant  
19 future.

20 DR. MCEVOY: Thank you.

21 MR. WRONSKI: We'll follow  
22 up with Dr. Marx. There was nothing  
23 presented at this meeting, because  
24 as Dr. Cooper said, there was,

146

1 looking for members who are  
2 interested in this project.

3 Mr. Wronski sent out a letter  
4 on June 24th to the REMSCOs. All of  
5 the REMSCOs but three responded to  
6 that letter. We're going to  
7 double-check to make sure those  
8 three responses weren't misplaced  
9 somewhere, and if indeed they are  
10 missing and they did not respond,  
11 we'll reach out to those three  
12 REMSCOs and ask them to fill out and  
13 respond to the request in Mr.  
14 Wronski's letter.

15 The responses by the REMSCOs  
16 clearly indicate that they have done  
17 a stellar job of meeting the  
18 requirements of article 30, and I  
19 think that that is sort of something  
20 that we all knew intuitively, but  
21 it's nice to hear back that that has  
22 been addressed and their comparison  
23 to the detail of article 30 was very  
24 complete.

148

1           However, we need to look a  
2 little bit deeper. Our committee is  
3 being designed basically to provide  
4 support to the REMACs and the  
5 REMSCOs around the state as it  
6 relates to the diversity issue. We  
7 don't know where we are. We don't  
8 know where we are and we need to  
9 perform measurements locally and as  
10 an aggregate to the state to  
11 determine where our starting point  
12 is. We need to identify  
13 limitations.

14           Some of those were outlined in  
15 the letters or the responses to Mr.  
16 Wronski's letter identifying lots of  
17 limitations that many of the REMSCOs  
18 don't select their members, they're  
19 selected by outside agencies.  
20 Representatives are sent to the  
21 REMSCOs.

22           We need to have the REMACs and  
23 the councils look at adopting a  
24 local policy to address this issue.

149

1 forward to hearing a follow-up from  
2 those REMSCOs about those action  
3 steps and see how successful they  
4 were.

5           Unless there are any questions  
6 or any comments by Mr. Wronski?

7           MR. WRONSKI: Just that I  
8 appreciate Mr. Czapranski taking on  
9 this task and look forward to  
10 working with him and all of you on  
11 this effort.

12           As I said at his committee, I  
13 see it as a positive issue for us to  
14 tackle in our changing communities  
15 and our changing healthcare systems  
16 and make sure we're all inclusive in  
17 reaching out to our population and  
18 bringing people into our systems.

19           But I also see this as an  
20 opportunity for councils to  
21 constantly re-evaluate themselves,  
22 simply because you should be doing  
23 that and it's important to stay  
24 healthy as a counsel, that you

151

1 We need to identify past and current  
2 opportunities, those that have  
3 failed and those that are  
4 successful. Many of them have  
5 designed projects to build in  
6 diversity into their communities and  
7 into EMS and we need to look at  
8 what's out there, find out how well  
9 it worked and look at best  
10 practices.

11           We also need to look outside  
12 of EMS. This is an issue that's  
13 been wrestled with in many facets,  
14 law enforcement, medical schools,  
15 etcetera. So there is a lot of  
16 information out there to help us  
17 through this process. We hope to  
18 have a full committee in June and  
19 we'll report from there.

20           There are some short-term  
21 opportunities, and while they exist,  
22 this is really a long-term project  
23 and many REMSCOs have identified and  
24 undertaken action steps and we look

150

1 re-evaluate your membership, who is  
2 on your committees, and have  
3 periodic changes in membership so  
4 that you adequately represent and  
5 get your community involved.

6           So again, I appreciate Mr.  
7 Czapranski taking this on and we've  
8 got a lot of work to do and I think  
9 the committee will be very  
10 successful over the next few months.

11           MR. FAETH: Thank you very  
12 much. Any other questions,  
13 concerns? Yes, Mr. Reisner.

14           MR. REISNER: I don't  
15 believe I heard a report on the  
16 status of blood.

17           DR. HENRY: That's an  
18 important point and I'm sorry. I  
19 forgot to mention that. There was a  
20 letter dated the 30th of January,  
21 co-signed by Mr. Wronski and Dr.  
22 Linden, that had draft regulations  
23 that would include ambulance  
24 services as a unit that would

152

1 transfuse blood products.  
2 So I would urge you all to  
3 look at those regulations and ask  
4 the questions, how does this work  
5 practically so that the language  
6 doesn't trip up the intent of making  
7 blood available to people who need  
8 it when they're moving from one  
9 place to another.

10 So there is two opportunities  
11 to make comments. One is before the  
12 28th of this month and you can  
13 direct those comments to Mr.  
14 Wronski. And then afterwards,  
15 you'll be able to respond when  
16 they're published for a wider  
17 comment.

18 But I think the opportunity is  
19 to do it now. So if you have  
20 practical questions like what is  
21 this refrigeration requirement,  
22 who's going to provide that, do I  
23 have to do that, what type of forms  
24 are the state forms, can we see

153

1 members. It was also sent to, I  
2 believe, blood bank people and I  
3 believe to some hospitals or all  
4 hospitals.

5 DR. FUNK: All ED  
6 directors.

7 MR. WRONSKI: All ED  
8 directors. Okay. And for comment,  
9 but it wasn't to my knowledge put  
10 directly on a website. And the  
11 reason really for that is that it  
12 was a focused audience for the first  
13 comments, the people who work with  
14 it most directly or would work with  
15 it most directly.

16 And then after we get your  
17 comments, we'll modify what we feel  
18 we need to modify and then put out a  
19 final set of regs, which would then  
20 go into the public comment period  
21 and would be for everyone.

22 But at this stage, it is a  
23 first pass to the organizations and  
24 entities and healthcare, who are the

155

1 drafts of what's proposed there,  
2 those are the pragmatic questions.

3 Who is the director of the  
4 ambulance service that I have to  
5 require? Do I have to have  
6 agreements with fifty hospitals or  
7 can I have them with one or two?  
8 Those are the questions you have to  
9 ask yourself so this works.

10 So it's moving forward. We're  
11 making it happen. I think it's  
12 better to do it with the blood bank  
13 people. They brought up safety  
14 concerns that we probably wouldn't  
15 have thought of, which are good to  
16 have in mind. But we don't want to  
17 get tripped on the language. So --

18 MR. REISNER: For the  
19 viewing public, is that document  
20 online anywhere?

21 MR. WRONSKI: Actually, I  
22 don't know if it is. The document  
23 was sent electronically to all  
24 council members and to all SEMAC

154

1 biggest stakeholders.

2 MR. FAETH: Okay. Thank  
3 you, Dr. -- Mr. Wronski, Dr. Henry.  
4 I think I'll classify that question  
5 by Mr. Reisner under unfinished  
6 business and not under diversity.

7 MR. REISNER: Oh, okay.

8 MR. FAETH: Any other  
9 questions with diversity issues?  
10 Okay.

11 And continuing with unfinished  
12 business, anything anybody wants to  
13 see resurrected, brought up,  
14 completed? Anything? Going once,  
15 going twice. Okay.

16 New business. Do we have any  
17 new business on the floor? Okay.  
18 I'll lead off, since everybody's  
19 shy.

20 One of the things that -- we  
21 had a meeting a couple weeks ago,  
22 Mr. Wronski, myself, Dr. Henry. One  
23 of the things that we recognize is  
24 the fact that although we have great

156

1 attendance here, and thank you all  
2 for coming out, there are some  
3 members who are here in name but not  
4 here in person.

5 And if you read through your  
6 SEMSCO bylaws, it's stated very  
7 clearly that if you miss two  
8 consecutive meetings, the state will  
9 send you a letter -- should send you  
10 a letter asking for what your  
11 intention is. And if your intention  
12 is to stay on, you know, please make  
13 the effort to do so and participate.  
14 But if it's just to carry the title,  
15 you'll be asked to resign, step down  
16 and let somebody else step up,  
17 because we need to always have a  
18 quorum and we have a lot of work to  
19 get done and obviously many hands  
20 make light work. So that's one of  
21 the things that I wanted to put  
22 forward, and if you have any  
23 questions about that or if you need  
24 a copy of the bylaws, it is on the

157

1 I stated earlier, I obviously  
2 haven't cornered the market on  
3 knowledge, so I would appreciate  
4 your input on this. I will be  
5 reminding definitely all the chairs  
6 to do this. I think it's important  
7 that we all be on the same page and  
8 that we bring to the surface the  
9 real issues that are facing this  
10 system each and every day, that  
11 sometimes kind of get ignored and we  
12 continue operating in spite of.

13 So if you can, my e-mail  
14 address is uep2507vp@aol.com. Feel  
15 free to e-mail as lengthy of a  
16 response or as short a response as  
17 you'd like. But like I said, it is  
18 mandatory for the chairs. I would  
19 appreciate that before March 1st if  
20 possible. This way we can actually  
21 start doing some research and do  
22 things in a public forum for the  
23 June meeting and maybe get that out  
24 and see what committees need to

159

1 DOH website.

2 MR. QUINN: Does that mean  
3 if I skip the next two meetings,  
4 that my successor will be vetted and  
5 seated?

6 MR. FAETH: That's a good  
7 point, Mike.

8 And the second piece of new  
9 business, I have a homework  
10 assignment for -- mandatory for the  
11 chairs and optional for everybody  
12 else in this room and anybody at  
13 home that's listening and watching  
14 this.

15 I would like you, before March  
16 1st, to e-mail me what you consider  
17 to be the three biggest problems  
18 that we have facing EMS systems  
19 today. And along with that, I would  
20 like your recommendations of what  
21 you feel should be done to correct  
22 those three biggest problems.

23 I don't obviously operate in a  
24 vacuum. I need your input. And as

158

1 start possibly new projects and have  
2 all of us going in one direction.

3 Any other new business? Going  
4 once, going twice. I think this is  
5 a record. Yes, Mr. Quinn.

6 MR. QUINN: On a more  
7 serious note, Ed mentioned that he  
8 had attended our EMS conference in  
9 Long Island and with Bob Delagi and  
10 we want to thank him for that. I  
11 understand that Don and Ed will both  
12 be out in Montour Falls.

13 Our organization has put on an  
14 EMS seminar for not only our  
15 members, but anybody else that wants  
16 to attend for the last -- this will  
17 be our 27th annual meeting.

18 And several years ago with a  
19 number of questions coming in from  
20 the attendees about what really goes  
21 on in New York State, who governs  
22 us, who does this, that and the  
23 other thing, we then decided to ask  
24 Ed and the chair of the state

160

1 council to come and make a little  
2 presentation, answer questions and  
3 so forth at the meeting. And I want  
4 to really thank everybody. I know  
5 there are several past chairs in  
6 this room who have been out there.  
7 I want to thank them for that.

8 And I just want to repeat, and  
9 maybe I said this before, a comment  
10 I heard at last year or the year  
11 before when one of our vendors, who  
12 is from out of state, said something  
13 about the conference. And I said,  
14 Oh, we have the director of EMS and  
15 the chair of our council now  
16 speaking to our people. And this  
17 person says, In our state, nobody  
18 knows what the director looks like.  
19 So I want to thank you for that.

20 MR. WRONSKI: You're very  
21 welcome, Mike. And I'll speak for  
22 the chairs. I think the chairs have  
23 always liked your conference. It's  
24 very well attended. It represents a

161

1 good cross-section of EMS. It's not  
2 simply a fire conference. It's a  
3 true EMS conference and it's  
4 beneficial to me, sometimes  
5 difficult but beneficial to hear the  
6 questions that the providers have  
7 directly. And hopefully we benefit  
8 them and I know the chairs enjoy it  
9 and enjoy this, too. Thank you.

10 MR. FAETH: Thank you.  
11 Any further new business? Thank  
12 you, Mr. Quinn.

13 Reminder. The next meeting  
14 will be held on June 9th and 10th.  
15 It will be a little warmer but we're  
16 going to have a good time and get  
17 some work done.

18 And I hope everyone gets home  
19 safely. Take care. Thank you.

20 (Whereupon, the meeting  
21 concluded at 11:57 a.m.)

162

1 C E R T I F I C A T E.

2  
3 I, Nora B. Lamica, a Shorthand  
4 Reporter and Notary Public in and for the  
5 State of New York, do hereby certify that  
6 the foregoing record taken by me is a  
7 true and accurate transcript of the same,  
8 to the best of my ability and belief.

9  
10  
11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 Nora B. Lamica  
13  
14

15 Date: February 23, 2009

163