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CHAIRMAN COOPER: You didn't miss a lot. Don't worry about it. It was just sort of, Bobby, it was just sort of, you know, table talk about, you know, getting this to the Codes Committee and getting a draft buffed up by, you know -- you know, soon, okay.

DR. KANTER: All right.
MS. GOHLKE: Actually, one thing that you -that you did miss is that since we don't have -- we got to start the other meeting at this point. If you have any additional comments on the rest -- rest of the document.

DR. KANTER: Yes.
MS. GOHLKE: If you can get them to us sooner -- as soon as possible, that would be good.

DR. KANTER: Well, I -- I do have one. And if you want to do it another time, we can do that.

MS. GOHLKE: Yeah. I don't know who else is on the line at this point and I'd like to --

MS. BURNS: I think we're all on the line and some people from, you know, the rest of the Committee as well, Martha.

MS. GOHLKE: Right. So --.
MS. BURNS: All those who were on before are still on.
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MS. GOHLKE: If you just hold on to it, Dr.
Kanter, and do it later.
DR. KANTER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Bob and Deb? Who else?
MS. CHIUMENTO: Sharon.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Hey, Sharon.
MR. MOLLOY: Rita.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Hey, Rita.
MR. MOLLOY: Hi.
MS. SARA: Sara's here, too.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Who?
MS. SARA: Sara, from Injury.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: I'm sorry, Sara. Thank you. MS. SARA: That's okay.

DR. KUNKOV: And -- and Sergey Kunkov is here
from -- doctor from Stony Brook.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Oh, great. Great. Welcome.
DR. KUNKOV: Thank you.
MS. GOHLKE: We'll just give a couple minutes for other people to join us.

DR. KANTER: Can -- can I -- Dr. Cooper, I agree with you. I think that we -- we want to start low for folks like, you know, E.M.T.s, paramedics or someone who may wish to join.
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MS. ROGERS: Good morning.
MS. GOHLKE: Hi, who's that?
MS. ROGERS: This is Jan Rogers.
MS. GOHLKE: Hi, Jan, it's Martha.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Hey, Jan. Jan --
MS. ROGERS: Hi.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- are you --? Okay. It's ten-thirty-five. We wanted to give people five minutes to sort of, you know, join on. So let's ask Martha to do a quick roll call here. Here in Albany, we have me. This is Art Cooper and, of course, to my immediate left is Martha Gohlke. We have -- also have with us Lee Burns, Director of the Bureau. Linda Tripoli, Trauma Program Manager and Sandy Haff, our regulatory guru from -- from Bureau of Hospital Services. That's who's in the room. And Martha would -- would you just call the attendance of people --.

MS. GOHLKE: And Lisa McMurdo's here, too.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Oh, Lisa's here, too.
Excellent. Okay.
MS. GOHLKE: Sharon Chiumento, Rita Molloy --.
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Hello, Alexandria. Hello.
MS. GOHLKE: Hi. Lisa's on the line. Deb
Sotolotto's (phonetic spelling) on the line. Dr.
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Kunkov's on the line. And Jan --
DR. KANTER: Bob Kanter is.
MS. GOHLKE: Bob Kanter. Thank you. And Jan
Rogers. And anyone else I didn't catch?
MS. LAWRENCE: And I'm here, Martha.
MS. GOHLKE: I'm sorry, who's that?
MS. LAWRENCE: Pam Lawrence.
MS. GOHLKE: Oh, hi Pam.
MS. LAWRENCE: Hi.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Hey, Pam.
MS. BURNS: Hey, Pam.
DR. LaROCK: Hi, this is Danielle. I joined as well.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Hi, Danielle. MS. GOHLKE: LaRock. Dr. LaRock.

DR. LaROCK: Yes.
MS. GOHLKE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. Well, welcome everyone. Very briefly, as you know, we have relatively few items on the agenda this time. So we felt that it made sense to save everyone a -- a trip and save the State a little bit of money. Lee is smiling. So, we're briefly going to hear from Lee in terms of a Bureau report. Martha's then going to tell us about the E.M.S.
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for Children Grant report. We're then going to have an update from myself and Bob on the 405 Codes issues, as well as the task force on Life and the Law with Respect to Pediatric Ventilators. And then we're going to speak a little bit about an age-old problem. Who is a pediatric patient. Because SEMAC has recently chosen to get -- wade in these waters. And we'll talk a little bit about the National Pediatric Readiness Survey and before getting updates from our sister committees, SEMSCO, SEMA and STAC. I -- I don't think any of this is going to take a terribly long time. We -- we're scheduled from ten-thirty to twelve-thirty, but we may not need anywhere near that amount of time. So, Lee, please take it away. And if anyone joins into -- to the call along the way, please identify yourself so we can make sure that you're properly recognized in the, you know, in the minutes.

MS. CHIUMENTO: Somebody joined while you were speaking.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Did someone join -- who joined while I was speaking? And someone else just joined. Hello?

MS. GOHLKE: Anybody new on the line?
CHAIRMAN COOPER: We have Sharon, Rita --
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MS. GOHLKE: Sara.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- Sara, Deb Sotolotto, Bob Kanter, myself, Linda, Lee, Sandy, Martha, Lisa, Jan, Elise --

MS. GOHLKE: Dr. Kunkov.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- Dr. Kunkov, Pam Lawrence and Danielle LaRock. Anybody else? Okay. All right. Lee, go -- go for it.

MS. BURNS: Just in case you did not know, the Bureau and the Division and many -- and the Hospital Services people have relocated to a lovely spot in Albany. Actually, just outside of downtown. 875 Central Ave. So the move actually occurred on May 22nd. We're still working out some infrastructure and logistical issues. Our current crisis is our -- our -our fax number is not up and running. So we -- we've conjoined with the Division and we're using a singular fax number. We're working with the -- the phone people to get that straightened out and we're wondering whether that'll ever get straightened out. However, we are in flux and we -- we're living in a -- a canyon of file cabinets with a little bit of chaos. So we're in the process of getting organized and -- although Martha's pretty well organized. But, generally speaking, if you
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need something, give us -- give us a little patience. We should be in a dead run by the summer. I smiled when Art was talking about not traveling because of, you know, saving the State a couple of bucks. The travel reimbursement process, statewide, has -- has changed. The State has instituted something it calls the Statewide Fiscal System. The acronyms -- we have assigned other things to. It's very, very difficult. The Department staff are having, you know, some technical challenges with it. But part of that is that the Bureau has to -- has had to completely change its re -- its reimbursement process for the council members. You all are -- are one committee of four. We have about a hundred and twenty council members. Actually, Art represents many seats, so there's a few left. But, we -- we're in the process of working with our council ops and the fiscal people to get that process up and running and it's -- it's card-based and every time we ask questions, no one seems to have the answers. So, for myself, just because I am me, I would not identify anybody to be -- in my Bureau to be the holder of those cards until somebody could explain to me what it was that they do. And then they threatened me. So right now one of our clerks is the holder of the council net
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cards. I hate to use the word credit. So, we're in the process of getting all that straightened out so that by the next time you do actually have to travel, we will have a method for reimbursing you. On the, you know, just to share the misery, though, all of us are on the same system and not very many people have seen money. You have gotten a check, have you not?

MS. GOHLKE: No.
MS. BURNS: No? Okay. Staff -- we've had some staff changes. Jim Soto, our long-time Associate Director for Prepared -- E.M.S. Preparedness left the Bureau after being with us for twenty-five years. He took a position with State Emergency Management and he is the Regional Director in the Easter Hudson Valley Region, so Poughkeepsie. We do have -- we do have contact with him, luckily, because he packed his boxes before he left and we're still unearthing tons and tons of stuff. Just to let you know -- and we're -- we thought things were going too smoothly, but it is our lot in life. In collaboration with the SEMSCO, the Department is in the process of updating all of its E.M.S. education curricula to be in line with the national E.M.S. education guidelines. And with the exception of our E.M.T. critical care or E.M.T.T --
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E.M.T.C.C. level, most of our training programs will be longer in terms of training hours. Some of you may be familiar with the national guidelines. It's a -- it's actually a better curricula than it has been in probably the last fifteen to twenty years. It reverts back to a great deal of assessment-based treating, which the current curricula or the 1994 curricula was not assessment-based, particularly. So, one of -- among the things we're working on in order to get up and running, updating the practical skills and written examinations, which is not only a didactic issue, but also a contractual one. We're in the process of examination our E.M.S. training money and reallocating it so that we can better fund longer training programs. We're developing transition training programs for both C.M.E. and conventional E.M.T., all levels of E.M.T. refresher courses. And, thanks to our office website expert, the transitional information is up on the website and the -the development process continues so that our training course sponsors have access to both resources from publishers, from experts and they can plan accordingly. So that's -- that's a big project. We were surprised when the State Council came to the conclusion with it that we really weren't hearing a lot of push back. Now

EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call we're hearing push back. And it's not really educational push back, as much as it is dollars. The -the courses sponsors are hanging on by a thread at our current funding rate at our current class levels and they -- and we have a very fixed pool of money. So we're -- we're -- we're just beginning the process to work with the Department and State Council to really examine how we fund our training programs given, basically, the -- the pool of funds we have now. In May, Martha dragged me kicking and screaming to the National E.M.S. for Children meeting that was coupled with the National State E.M.S. Officers gathering. The -- not that you as the Pediatric Committee care all that much, but the Feds have turned over the construction of ambulance standards to the National Fire -- whatever they are -- N.F.P.A. --

CHAIRMAN COOPER: N.F.P.A. -- National Fire Protection Association.

MS. BURNS: -- Protection Association. It is not without a huge amount of controversy. The -- the new design has a potential to cost -- to cause an ambu -- a new ambulance to cost between eight and twenty thousand dollars more than the current very expensive ambulances on the road. New York has been pretty silent
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with regard to the N.F.P.A. standards. One of -- we have a New Yorker is rep -- represents us, such as it is, on one of the sub-committees. But, what I've asked the State E.M.S. Council to do is put together a -- a tag to really look -- examine the standards and do a couple things. One is determine what the effect of the new standard will have on New York State from a regulatory and policy perspective. And also look at how best to educate our pre-hospital agencies so that they really know what they're walking into. Because twenty thousand dollars is a huge amount of money right now or ever. The other thing we had endless conversations about were medication shortages. Much to my surprise, New York State is oddly ahead of the curve in terms of dealing with pre-hospital medication shortages. The -the Department, with a group of SEMAC docs, have a process for looking at alternatives to the short medications and a streamline process for approving them to get them trained and on the road. So that's worked out very, very well. And no, you know, actually, thanks entirely to this tag and Andy Johnson and a couple of really smart pharmacists. The other thing that we did in New York, and we did it by accident, I say it's because we're not very bright and that's a advantage,
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but many of the states are having controlled substance license issues and cost issues. And in working with our Bureau of Narcotics Enforcement, we decided that what harm would it be to expand the pre-hospital license so that it accurately could address appropriate licensure for these medication alterations. So pre-hospital licenses now actually have four schedules listed on them. We don't -- we didn't charge for that. Who knew? We don't tell the Governor. The other thing is that they -- the -- NHTSA has contracted with ASEP to develop a strategy and guidance document for E.M.S. on the culture of safety which our State can --.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: We lost them again. I'll get a -- I'll get their secretary to call them back again. Hold on, everybody. Hi, they're going over to notify them. So, hopefully, just a few minutes, guys.

MS. GOHLKE: Okay, sorry. We lost you. My fault. Is everybody still there? All right. At least we caught it before we went too far.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. So -- so what was the last thing you guys heard from us?

MS. BURNS: Did you hear the ASEP part?
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: You were talking about the nar -- what we've been doing with the narcotics and how

EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call we're ahead of the curve.

MS. BURNS: Yeah, the -- anyway, the last -the last thing with regard to that is ASEP -- ASEP has a contract with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration -- NHTSA -- to develop a strategy and guidance document for E.M.S. on the culture of safety, which I think, you know, Sharon would enjoy that mostly. So that's kind of interesting. Two other -- we -- just for your own infor -- ah, you don't care. I'm sitting next to Linda Tripoli, who is our Trauma Coordinator. And we, as you -- we probably told you -- and this may be repetitive from your last meeting -- made the decision to move trauma -- trauma hospitals to the American College of Surgeons' Committee on Trauma Verification Process. And so we've -- we've notified the hospitals. We've received an -- a surprisingly positive response to it and every time $I$ answer the phone I'm waiting for someone to yell at me and they -they just haven't -- haven't.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: You might call it a surprisingly resigned response to it.

MS. BURNS: Well, no. I -- I -- I -- I guess I -- on the more -- on the glass half empty side of things, yeah. Although, in talking with the people I've
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talked to, they don't seem resigned. They seem interested. So many of the hospitals have reached out to schedule their consultative visits. We've asked them to give us a timeline to be where -- you know, what they think it will take them. We're working with the STAC to work on deadlines and that kind of stuff. So we'll keep you posted if you're out of the loop locally. But if -from my perspective, it's been amazingly interesting. I'm sure, you know, Linda's still able to breathe, sit up and take nourishment. So it hasn't killed her yet. But it might.

MS. TRIPOLI: It might.
MS. BURNS: She -- she managed and I'm afraid to really look at the details of this, but they're -the college is conducting training in the next -- next week.

MS. TRIPOLI: Friday? It's this Friday?
MS. BURNS: Yeah. In Syracuse on -- on the -the verification process. And many, many of the hospitals are going to Syracuse. Some of them were not really not sure where Syracuse is until this point. So --. And the last is that, just to let you know, I actually am appointed as the Dir -- Bureau Director of -- of E.M.S. So --
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UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Congratulations.
MS. TRIPOLI: Yay.
MS. BURNS: -- you're stuck with me for real, in case you didn't figure that out before. So that's my report, Doctor Chairman.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: That's comes with a massive increase in salary, correct?

MS. BURNS: Oh, yeah, massive.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: That's what I thought.
MS. GOHLKE: And although she's been doing the job for two and a half years --

MS. BURNS: Right.
MS. GOHLKE: -- she got retroactive pay of one week. And I'm serious. That's not a joke.

MS. BURNS: Actually, and I -- and one of the women -- the woman that takes care of this in our office looked at me and said, and if you think you can get new business cards, think again. I have not gotten business cards yet. Valerie, she -- no new business cards. So Linda and I don't have business cards.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Ah, you just give us the business, right?

MS. BURNS: Yeah, who needs cards for that?
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Precisely. All right.
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year, I do. But every other year they -- they want more than the regular report. But, so we -- we just got word back. I submitted the doc -- documentation in September and I just heard a couple days ago that we've now met five of the eight performance measures of the grant in four and a half years. So we're moving right along. And, of course, they're developing new ones for states like ourselves that are completing all performance measures. One of which is the big one, which is the pediatric hospitals, which, like I said, we're doing through the codes and the guidance document. But we -and so that's -- that's a big one. And that's going to be awhile before we meet that one. But we're moving right along in New York State. So -- and I will give a more formal presentation on the performance measures and what it is that we have to meet and -- and our status at our next meeting when we meet in person, which we need to talk about dates and set them.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yeah. I think we're in
pretty good shape, though, with respect to moving along toward the pediatric regionalization piece. You know, it's --

MS. GOHLKE: We are.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- as we'll -- as we'll hear
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a little bit later.
MS. GOHLKE: Yup. So, let's see. Money -money. Every year I mention this about this time. There is -- with the other grants that the Bureau gets frees up a little bit of money on the E.M.S. for Children grant. It's about twenty thousand dollars each year. And we're going to have about that amount this year, as well. I bring it to the Committee every year to ask for suggestions in how we can utilize those funds. And, generally, every year what $I$ do is I -- I offer it to the regions and the hospitals to do pediatric training for providers. And that's, generally, how it's been utilized in the past. But, again, I'm letting the Committee know. So if there is ideas on how to utilize these funds, you know, we should talk about it, if we want to do something other than the pediatric provider training statewide. We have to expend the funds by the end of February 2013. So we have to decide soon and get the information out there or just -- you know, figure what we want to do with the funds, if we want to do something different this year. One of the things that we are using some of the funds for is to pay for this A.C.S. verification of trauma centered trainings that Linda's setting up. I've
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offered some of the grant funds to do that, since it does meet our grant performance measures of trauma centers for pediatrics. So some of the -- a small portion of the funds -- the trainings aren't that expensive -- are going towards funding that. The -- I just want to briefly mention the other grant that I normally do at this time, the -- our Electronic Records grant for lack of a better term. You know, we're making our pre-hospital reporting -- well, it is electronic. It's been electronic, but we're just changing the repository where we collect that electronic information. And that's what that grant has been utilized for the last several years. This is the last year of the grant. We tried to apply for a new -- new funding, but they turned us down. But this -- it's starting to -- we're actually starting to get our data into this new repository statewide. We haven't gotten New York City submitting quite yet. But they're -- they're working towards it. And, of course, they're the bulk of our -of our pre-hospital calls. But we do have another -the other vendors up and running, putting their data into this repository. And one of the recent uses, for example, that is so great about this new online repository of data is that a region can look up the
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medications that are being used in real -- real time. And if there's a shortage in their area, they can say, well, we really need to make sure we can cover this shortage or we're not really using that medication in our region, so we don't really need to worry about that shortage in their area. So it's a great -- we've gotten good positive feedback from our providers in our regions in New York State saying they can access the date, they can look quickly to see, for example, what medications are being used in their region and they're able to bring that to their REMACs and apply that information to care to the patient. So it's -- it's turning out to be a positive system after all these years of work. So that's moving along. And just the other piece of this is we're still working diligently on our new data repository for our trauma registry. And we've hit a couple bumps in the road, but we are, like I said, very diligent and working out those problems and trying to get that repository up and running for our trauma centers. But that's pretty much all I know. We do need to talk about dates for next year. We're working with the contract with the hotel and the contract with the same hotel in Troy -- the Hilton Garden. I'd like to have a September meeting at the Hilton Garden -- an
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in-person meeting. We normally have it in September and then we normally have one in the beginning of December. We're still required by the grant and our statute to meet four times a year. Neither the grant or the statute stipulates whether or not it -- it needs to be in person or electronic. So, my proposal is that we have an in-person meeting in September and then we do an electronic meeting early in December just because with the holidays everybody has a real hard time getting the time to travel. But I'd like to keep -- normally we do a September meeting, a December meeting, then a March meeting and then a June meeting. And I'm -- what I want to ask the group is Tuesdays -- are Tuesdays still a good day for me to look forward to get dates with? I've kind of -- yeah, I can't necessarily choose the date with the hotel, but $I$ can at least give them a day of the week that we want to work with. So I guess I'd like to hear if Tuesdays are -- are an issue now. They have been okay for several -- ever since I've been on board you folks have liked Tuesdays.

OTHER MEMBERS: Fine to meet on Tuesdays.
MS. GOHLKE: That you meet on Tuesdays. Okay.
MS. ROGERS: Now, SEMSCO was pushed to -- to October.

EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call
MS. GOHLKE: Uh-huh. Yeah.
MS. ROGERS: Is it because they needed two days?

MS. GOHLKE: I think it's because they're down to three meetings a year.

MS. ROGERS: No, no. It had to do with availability.

MS. TRIPOLI: Yeah, it did. Because Yom Kippur was available and then it was October.

MS. GOHLKE: Okay. Well, so the bottom line is I'll still shoot for Tuesdays and I'm going to work out the dates in the near future and I will push them out to the Committee e-mail and you can let me know if there's conflicts, you know, national meetings that you need to go to or something else that I didn't consider when picking a date. And I'll get those dates to you as soon possible so you can block your calendars. And that's it for me.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. Any questions for Martha? We're hearing none. We're up to unfinished business, otherwise known as old business. And just to give a very brief update on the 405 Hospital Codes issue, we had the pleasure and great fortune of meeting this morning -- great good fortune of meeting this

EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call morning with Departmental staff prior to our meeting. Ruth Leslie was here from O.H.S.M. Lisa, of course, is here from O.H.S.M. -- Lisa McMurdo. Sandy Haff from Bureau of Hospital Services, and we had a -- an individual from the Division of Legal Affairs on -online with us as well. And we had the opportunity to go over the latest draft of the -- of -- of the minimum standards for pediatric care that are being prepared for presentation to the -- I'm sorry, Lisa, I can't do this -- State Hospital Review and Planning Council successor --

MS. MCMURDO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- it's Public Health and Health Policy. Is that right?

MS. MCMURDO: Public Health and Health Planning
$\qquad$
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- Public Health and Health Planning Council. Okay.

MS. MCMURDO: PHHPC is the new acronym.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: PIP --
MS. MCMURDO: PHHPC --
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- PHHPC --
MS. MCMURDO: -- like Philadelphia.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- PHHPC like Philadelphia.
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Public Health and Health Planning Council. Sorry, I've got SHRPC on the brain.

MS. MCMURDO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Anyway -- anyway, we're actually looking at a date for the Codes Committee of the PHHPC on July 26 of this year. Isn't that incredible? And so we -- we -- we spent quite a bit of time this morning focusing mostly on the pediatric intensive care regs and I think we came to some pretty solid agreements. Most of the -- of the agreements, I think, were -- were pretty straightforward in terms of having quality improvement program and, you know, appropriate medical oversight of transfers in and ability to provide, you know, direct medical control to outside physicians and E.M.S. personnel so on and so on. The controversial issues from last time, as many of you may recall, were the -- some of the volume issues and -and staffing issues. And we did come to conceptual agreement that in -- in -- in accordance with the currently existing scientific literature, we would support a minimum annual admission volume of two hundred per year. That we would support a minimum of two R.N.s, no matter how many admissions you had. And a ratio -- a minimum ratio of one to two critical care nurses per
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patient, depending upon the size of the -- of the unit. And we supported Board certification in appropriate disciplines for the -- the -- the Director of the unit at this point. And so I think those were the major issues that -- that we were -- that we -- that were still open for discussion. I think we got most of them -- we got all of them accomplished this morning and I -- I think it was an incredibly productive meeting and really want to thank Sandy and Lisa for, you know, Ruth of course, and -- and Holly from the D.L.A. for supporting this process. Bob, do you have anything to add at this point to that?

DR. KANTER: No, I think you got it.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Sandy?
MS. HAFF: No.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay.
MS. HAFF: It's going to be on discussion for July 26th.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. All right. Very good. MS. MCMURDO: Well, we did discuss whether the Committee would want to do a letter in support. CHAIRMAN COOPER: Oh, yes. Of -- oh, yes. Oh, thank you, Lisa.

MS. MCMURDO: And --
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CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yes.
MS. MCMURDO: -- you know, they probably would want to see the draft.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yes. So, what we will do in this regard is we will, once we get this draft finalized, okay, we will prepare a letter in support. We'll send the draft and the letter around well in advance. We have to -- we have to get it out well in advance for the Codes Committee of the PHHPC as well. So we'll get this out to you so you can take a look at it and make sure that, you know, you're okay with -with what we're sending forward.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Hey, Art?
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yes, Elise.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: I just -- I just want to make sure I heard this correctly. Did I hear correctly you just said one to two R.N.s critical care nurses per patient or did you mean to say one to two patients per nurse?

CHAIRMAN COOPER: A nursing ratio of one to two nurses -- sorry, one to two patients per nurse. Thank you very much, Elise.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Yup, thank you.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yeah. I -- I think it's only
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in Rochester where it's two patients -- two nurses per patient, Elise.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Well, as long as we have one nurse per patient. But I thought you probably meant the other, so.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. All right. So, any -any questions about that? I can't give you specific dates to be looking at your e-mail because the draft isn't quite finalized yet. But -- but it'll -- but it'll be soon. That $I$ can tell you. Okay. Bob, would you like to give an update on the -- on the taskforce on Life and the Law?

DR. KANTER: Yeah. This is a taskforce that's been meeting for quite some time trying to write a set of guidelines for disaster management of very large surges of patients when the needs greatly outnumber the existing resources and where some efforts would -- might need to be made to make difficult triage allocation choices, otherwise known as rationing choices in deciding who gets treatment when there is not enough critical care treatment to go around. Now, everyone -everyone gets at least palliative care treatment under any circumstances -- comfort care and such. But when you don't have enough ventilators, specifically, to go
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around, who would be the priority group for selecting for treatment and -- and who would not. This is all still a work in progress. The -- the general approach is to say at some point in a -- in a disaster -- in a public health emergency, not a normal every day ordinary surge situations but, you know, when -- when your hospitals may be ten percent over capacity. That would be normal standards of care -- conventional standards of care would pertain then. But in a true massive public health emergency, usually with some declaration of emergency status at a -- at a high public health decision-maker level with a -- with a true massive emergency status, rules would apply -- would -- would shift -- goals would shift in which you'd be aiming to improve population outcomes rather than maximizing the outcome likelihood for every individual patient. And included in that would be trying to identify or define criteria for which patients are likely to benefit from intensive care, from a ventilator, with a relatively limited period of time on the ventilator. Those would be the patients selected as high priority to get ventilator treatment. Since all of the details are a work in progress, I'm not sure -- unless, Art, if you want to go into more detail, we could -- but suffice it
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to say this is an attempt to write some pediatric-specific guidance that would build upon the foundation of the very good guidance that they've already done for adults and published several years ago. And that was -- Tia Powell was the lead author on that report and -- and this is trying to build on that foundation.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. Thank you, Bob. Any questions for Bob? Hearing none, we will move forward. I think Bob did mention that that group is going to be meeting again on July 17 th and we are hoping that that will be the last meeting but it may not be. The discussions that have been held so far have been quite provocative and, you know, not -- in a good way and, you know, and have led to more questions than answers, hence the need for additional meetings. But we will let you know when we know more. Moving on to new business. A -- an issue that arose at the -- at the last SEMAC meeting, raised by one of our emergency medicine colleagues, regarded the age of pediatric patients. The SEMAC wanted to make all pediatric protocols in effect end at about eight years of age. Because the Heart Association resuscitation protocols, you know, basically begin at about eight years of age to treat -- to treat

EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call children according to adult resuscitation protocols. Sharon and I were at that meeting and I don't believe that either of us thought that that was a particularly wise idea. But the -- the -- the -- at the Medical Standards Committee of -- of SEMAC, the -- the group did vote to have the pediatric protocol or the -- the statewide A.L.S. protocols apply -- adult protocols apply to patients eight years of age and above. MS. CHIUMENTO: Can't --.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Now -- hang on -- that -that vote did not go forward at the SEMAC. When -- when that -- when that vote went forward at the SEMAC, the -the vote was -- did -- didn't explicitly mention an age. It just spoke about -- about the -- the -- the pediatric A.L.S. protocols. So, you know, I had argued pretty strenuously at -- at the meeting that we needed to get the E.M.S.C. Advisory Committee's input as to what the appropriate age to, you know, begin and end pediatric protocols should be. So, that's -- that's my take on it. Sharon, I -- I think you had a comment to make. MS. CHIUMENTO: Yes. I didn't think it was age eight. I thought it was that they were going -- they wanted to use the same guidelines as we use for the resuscitation, which is basically the onset of puberty.
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That was my recollection.
MS. ROGERS: Physiological findings.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. You know, as -- as -it -- that was not entirely clear to me because, as we all know, some of the resuscitation protocols begin to speak about, you know, about -- about age -- about age eight, but for the sake of the argument, let's go with onset of puberty which, as we all know, in -- in -- in this day and age, you know, is getting down to, you know, close to eight years of age, you know, in some of our larger children. But -- but -- but the point is that I think in the past we have -- we have made the argument that -- that the pediatric protocol should probably apply to kids in the peri-pubertal age range, as opposed to, you know, the -- just the -- just the kids, you know, that -- prior to the onset of puberty. You know, I -- I think that we all know that there's pretty good, you know, anatomic physiologic reason for doing that. There's also a concern on the part of some of the officials in the State Health Department that, you know, that if -- that if E.M.T.s and paramedics are basing their decisions on whether someone, you know, has the start of puberty or not that, you know, that there might be sort of at least an invitation for some
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individuals to inappropriately exam children for signs of, you know, of -- you know, of sexual, you know, maturation. And, in fact, the -- the Chief Medical Officer of the Health Department for the Western Region has already had to field a few calls on, you know, from, you know, complaining individuals on that very issue. And I think his presence at the meeting actually was part of what allowed the -- the vote to go forward with a little bit less specificity on the age than the Medical Standards Group adopted. But I'm interested in what others think about this and what, perhaps, we should recommend to SEMAC regarding a -- an age cutoff for -- for -- for pediatric protocols.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Art, I have some --
DR. HALPERT: Quick question for Elise. Elise is probably the most up to date on this. Elise, when I've looked through the 2010 guidelines -- documents, I can't find anything that addresses age anymore. It used to --

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Yes.
DR. HALPERT: -- but $I$ don't see it anymore.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Right. And that -- well, let me tell you about that $I$ had actually spoken to Art about a month ago about this. But, first of all, the
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American Heart Association does not define pediatrics by age at all. It really does not. So if that -- if that is the -- one of the premises, it is absolutely false. It is not the Heart Association -- that's what you've picked up in the books, too, when you looked at them. There is no definition of pediatric by age. There -the only two things that are related to age -- and this is -- this is actually what was discussed at, I should say, over the Peds Committee over the last few years, how do we define pediatrics? It was decided to leave the age out of the proto -- out of the general flavor of what is pediatric versus adult. The only places where age is mentioned is that the A.E.D.s, the, you know, there is a age relationship there with A.E.D.s that have adult cables versus pediatric cables that has a resistor in it. That is weight-based, actually even more so than age-based. That's one. That's only one thing -- that's the use of the A.E.D. Second place is that for resuscitation protocols only that when patients get to the age of puberty, then one should consider the adult resuscitation guidelines. And I'm talking specifically defib, detac, S.V.T., that kind of thing. Other than that, there is nothing in any of the American Heart Association educational documents that defines
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DR. HALPERT: Elise, I can't even find -- I can't even find that indication about onset of puberty in the 2010 --.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: The onset of puberty is in the areas regarding Basic Life Support. So when you come across a patient -- and this has to be strictly -this is really laypersons. So a layperson who comes across a patient and they have to decide whether two-person Basic Life Support should maintain the ratio of thirty-to-two versus two persons for fifteen-to-two. That is based on puberty. That's all of it.

DR. HALPERT: In the B.L.S. And it's in the B.L.S.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: In the B.L.S. That -- that is correct.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: And so, to summarize, then Elise, the only stipulations from the Heart Association are that -- that you go from fifteen-to-two to thirty-to-two at -- at onset of puberty or that that's a reasonable begin -- place to make that change and that -- and that A.E.D. use is -- with the pediatric pads and cables -- is approximately eight years of age slash twenty-five kilograms or above. Correct?
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DR. VAN DER JAGT: That is correct. And that is the only places where either is valid. There's nothing else that defines -- and we -- again, we had a very specific conversation and it was felt that it -because there was variation across the country and across the world about what pediatric meant, it was specifically decided not to take a position on age-based defi -- defining pediatric.

DR. LaROCK: So -- this is Danielle jumping in. So, I'm listening, but I'm not clear on what the process is. Meaning, is it the expectation that somebody's going to do an assessment of Tanner staging?

DR. VAN DER JAGT: No. And we had a discussion about that, as well, okay. So, because of that -- you can imagine -- a fairly amusing discussion.

DR. LaROCK: As practical.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Hello?
DR. LaROCK: Hello?
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Hello. Are we there?
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yup, we're all still here. Sounds like something went by --.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: So -- so the discussion was -- it was -- in fact, the discussion became -- even in our Pediatric Heart Association Committee was well,
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this is really very interesting. Laypeople know what, you know, onset of puberty, adolescence is. How come doctors don't know that, you know? So it was really related to a pretty obvious -- sort of the obvious, you know, big kid, you know, likely some facial hair, you know, likely just some suggestion that this is probably a child who was in puberty. It was not to -- meant to be an accurate kind of thing. It was not meant to be specifically age-based. But it was basically that your common things -- we talked about this is not intended to undress people, looking at Tanner stages, it's none of that stuff. It was basically what a layperson would be able to look at -- at a patient and say, no, probably a teenager.

DR. LaROCK: It's still -- are there data to show that lay folks can do that to some degree of accuracy? Because this is a useful thing. Meaning, just looking --.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: There is probably no data on that. I think it was -- again, it was left very vague because it was very -- people were very hesitant, especially in the lay area, to give various specifics about, you know, you have to look for this, this and this and this. There are some -- and I can pull this up
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DR. LaROCK: A kid's got a beard, he's obviously more than eight.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: It's about -- all I'm saying is -- no, that's a good point, actually. However, you have to remember that this is very vague. This is what the general layperson would typically say this is, you know, this is likely a kid who's, you know, in puberty. It is not meant to be specifically Tanner staging like you would do with a healthcare provider. It's not -that was not intended. But if it is recognized --.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: You know, if it gets to be -it needs to be a matter of common sense. But --

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Common sense is what the word is, yes.

DR. HALPERT: -- but part of the -- the
ambiguity here is -- I -- I -- I've pulled this thing up on my computer here. I don't see anywhere in that 2010 document on B.L.S. where it addresses the issue of age class or pediatric classification at all.

DR. LaROCK: Yeah.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Correct. And that is
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correct.
DR. HALPERT: I mean, do we --?
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Elise, perhaps you could provide the specific reference where it speaks about going from fifteen-to-two to thirty-to-two.

DR. HALPERT: It just says for a child you do this. For an infant you do that. It does not --

DR. VAN DER JAGT: That's exactly right.
DR. HALPERT: -- say exactly how you decide.
DR. LaROCK: Right.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: So I think that the bigger picture is here is the -- the American Heart Association does not -- specifically does not define pediatrics by age. It does not do it, you know, because of the concern -- of the various concerns that are -- are addressed there. So that's -- that's about the one point. Second thing is I'd like to also -- I'm concerned about here is -- is that that the converse of that -- let's say it is eight, okay, or even twelve or eleven -- the converse of that is that adult protocols will be applied to pediat -- what we think might be pediatric patients. And that is just as concerning. Because now the kid who is nine comes in with chest pain, now what? The kid who comes in with potential

EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call
stroke, you know, now what? Are they going to use the adult protocol? The kid who comes in who is now post-arrest automatically gets ice-lavage, you know, I.V. I am very concerned about that. And I think that that might be something that we need to also point out. That just like it's not as simple as pediatrics goes to adults but that also means adult protocols apply to what are we, typically, the pediatric community would consider pediatric patients who have very different etiologies and pathophysiologies.

DR. LaROCK: Right.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well, hence -- hence the -- I mean, hence the -- the -- the issue being brought to this Committee. I -- I don't think anybody believes that, you know, that -- you know, that children, you know, who are -- who have not begun puberty should be subject to adult protocols. Traditionally, in the E.M.S. world, when it -- when the E.M.S. world started out, people were -- people were sort of saying ten years of age and above, in effect, onset of puberty. But over the years the E.M.S. protocols have sort of matured to a point where, in most regions, I think -- Sharon, you might know better than $I$ on this one -- you know, they're using a fourteen-fifteen cutoff, roughly
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speaking, sort of as end of puberty rather than beginning of puberty. You know, which to me, has always made more sense in terms of A) the physiology -anatomy, physiology and developmental issues, and B) the epidemiological issues because that's kind of when, you know, C.D.C. splits it and so on. But -- but, you know, the -- the SEMAC wants to -- wants to go with onset of puberty and it's basing it on the Heart Association or their understanding of the Heart Association direction. I think we can successfully refute that and we can cite some examples that Elise has cited in terms of kids with chest pain, stroke, you know, and so on. Should the, you know, do we -- do we mean that a kid who's peri-pubertal, you know, should be, you know, and is having some chest pain should be treated the same way, you know, as a -- as a -- as a forty-five-year-old adult who we think may be having an M.I.? You know, etcetera, etcetera. But I -- but they're looking for an age because the, you know, or at least some clear -reasonably clear marker of when the protocols begin and end, you know. And -- and I think that, absent our giving them advice, you know -- and, frankly, perhaps even, you know, expert professional organizations that deal with children, you know, might feel a need to weigh
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on -- weigh in on this, too. I don't know. But, you know, I -- I certainly think that we need to take a position on this and say what we think.

MS. CHIUMENTO: Right. Art, if I can jump in?
I strongly agree with you. And I think this is one where the appropriate committees at the national level -- the A.A.P. --

DR. HALPERT: Right.
MS. CHIUMENTO: -- might lend some expert, you know, advice on this. And I think it would be a bad step for them to take to -- to do this in the absence of other considerations -- epidemiologic you -- you talked about and a number of other things. So, I think it would make sense to bring this to the A.A.P., for example.

DR. KANTER: I concur with that.
DR. KUNKOV: I think if -- I -- I'm sorry. If I can butt in. This is Sergey Kunkov. I think --

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Never mind that. You're a member of the Committee. Speak up.

DR. KUNKOV: -- I think A.A.P. defines pediatric age group is up to twenty-one years of age, if I am not mistaken.

DR. HALPERT: That's correct.
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MS. CHIUMENTO: Right. But the A.A.P. is very used to -- so certainly, UNICEF defines under eighteen -- that's what most of the world does. We define twenty-one in the A.A.P. and, actually, extended. But for this discussion, $I$ think we can have very specific discussions with respect to the expertise that's needed around resuscitation is what $I$ think it is. And I think the A.A.P., while you're correct, for sort of developmental perspectives, etcetera. But I think what's being addressed is what makes the most sense with respect to epidemiology of disease. No, you're not going to treat a fifteen-year-old with chest pain the same way you're going to treat a forty-five-year-old with chest pain. Just doesn't make sense.

DR. KUNKOV: Right.
MS. CHIUMENTO: So I think I'm speaking more to that than, you know, the broad definition of child, which is both globally and internationally much broader than, you know, up to age eight of course.

DR. KANTER: The real issue here is we're talking about experienced generalists in the pre-hospital setting --

MS. CHIUMENTO: Exactly.
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DR. KANTER: -- and how can we be sure they do the best possible job. And the fact is that these experienced generalists -- providers in the pre-hospital setting -- have an enormous amount of experience in the care of adults and, if you just say, here's someone, resuscitate them per normal routines, they will, on average, do a terrific job. If you start getting them thinking about the -- the -- the -- the nuances and contingencies about maybe it's pediatrics, maybe I need to modify for this and that, then they slow down. They start thinking too much and it -- they're not using normal -- they're not using their normal judgment and experience. I think you want to be -- have a common sense approach to this. The American Academy of Pediatrics, if truth be told, talks about twenty-one as a business position. Who are we going to admit to the kids' hospital? Who are the pediatricians going to take care of? It's different in the pre-hospital setting. You've got E.M.S. providers who can do the job. And if you take the -- the adolescent, the post-pubertal or in -- in-puberty adolescent, the adult providers are going to do a good job. And I don't think you want to do anything to stand in their way. DR. VAN DER JAGT: I think --
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DR. LaROCK: No, I'm not --.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: -- that the other thing is that --.

DR. LaROCK: -- commonality that you don't do that. But let's review carefully -- and I don't think we can do it over the -- over the -- the phone here -as to dosing of medication, protocols, likelihood of -of -- you know, a pathophysiology of disease, those are relevant, as opposed to, you know, whatever the business case or how childhood is defined. I don't think -- I think we're agreeing there. But I think there's some specific pediatric knowledge with respect to likelihood of disease presentation that's relevant to this. And I would agree with you. The simpler the better. If you have less deviation from the protocol, but it's not at risk of just lumping all kids age eight and above with an adult protocol. I think that's what we're saying needs to be reviewed. And, again, I don't think we can do that effectively on the phone. And thoughtfully on the phone.

DR. HALPERT: I don't think --
DR. VAN DER JAGT: I think all --.
DR. HALPERT: -- anyone is using age -- the age eight anymore. I think they're talking about pubertal.
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And, frankly, in the resuscitation phase and the pre-hospital phase, I have a hard time thinking about what is really pediatric unique in a pubertal patient that's different than someone who's over whatever your adult cutoff really is -- twenty-one or whatever.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: I think --
DR. KUNKOV: I would think resuscitation --
DR. VAN DER JAGT: -- I think that one of the things -- I -- I -- I just think that we want to use a common sense approach. And it -- I don't think anyone would say that we would adopt the A.A.P. position up to age twenty-one. We don't even do that in the hospital. I mean, so this really a different venue.

DR. KUNKOV: Jo, I -- I think --
DR. VAN DER JAGT: But I --
DR. KUNKOV: -- I second that, absolutely. Because I think it's a charity for us to -- to call this -- these people between eighteen and twenty-one a pediatric age group. Although they already, you know, like serve in the Armed Forces and -- and have their own family in view -- there's nothing about this age group that is really pediatric in nature, I think. So I absolutely agree. I think the UNICEF position is much more understandable and straightforward. And I sort of
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agree with everyone that, you know, in the field when E.M.S. arrives and someone is, you know, under real dire straits, they -- the last thing we want to know -- we want to make them to do is to think what to do. We just have to give them a common sense approach whom to call a kid, you know, and take it from there.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well, guys and gals -DR. VAN DER JAGT: I think also --. CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- guys and gals, if common sense were common, we wouldn't be in the trouble that we're in, right? I mean, and that's the -- that's -that's part of the problem here, okay? That common sense isn't as common as we might think. And -- and many of our pre-hospital colleagues are really very much literalists, you know, in -- in, you know, in -- in a good way -- in the sense that, you know -- you know, many of our pre-hospital -- I mean, some of our pre-hospital colleagues, you know, go a little bit overboard at times. But, most of our pre-hospital colleagues really, you know, really want to stick very, very much to the line because they don't to -- they don't want to do -- do any harm to anybody. And so they want pretty explicit careful direction as to the -- as to which way we should go. So just in terms of trying
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to focus the discussion and -- and bracket the discussion, I think we're kind of looking at either sort of, you know, beginning of puberty -- roughly ten-ish, okay or end of puberty -- roughly fourteen, fifteen-ish, okay -- as sort of the limits of our discussion. I think everybody agrees that we're not talking about, you know, the older ado -- or the older adolescent who is serving in the military capable of having his or her own family, etcetera, etcetera. But I think, at the same time, you know -- you know -- I mean, Bob has made some very good points that, you know, that we want our pre-hospital colleagues to do what they're most comfortable with. You know, what they do every way to keep -- every day to keep it simple. But, at the same time, I think Elise and Danielle have made some excellent points that, you know -- you know, a -- a -- a fourteen, fifteen-year-old kid with chest pain, you know, shouldn't be treated the same way we treat a forty-five-year-old with chest pain. So how do we -how do you suggest we resolve that -- resolve this? Because I -- I don't think in -- in the middle of puberty it's -- it's reasonable to kind of help our pre-hospital colleagues figure out, you know, sort of, you know, anything other than the beginning of puberty
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or the end of puberty, you know. And, again, using just gross sort of estimates of, ah, you look like you've kind of finished your puberty or you -- you look like you're -- you're just kind of starting it.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: I think -- there's a couple of other things here that $I$ think need to be noted here. One is I would specifically steer away the in -- the sort of global discussion from we are re -- that E.M.S. resuscitate in the field. Because I think that that immediately puts it into an algorithm of some sort -could it be the A.E.D. algorithm, which is twenty-five kilograms. So I think that's a mistake. Because a large majority of patients who are picked up by E.M.S. for pediatrics are not resuscitating in that sense.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Absolutely correct.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: You know, so that's a real important thing and then that gets you away from adopting A.H.A. standards, even though they were false standards as they have been portrayed, you know. But it gets it away from that part of it. Second thing is --.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: That point was made at SEMAC but didn't carry a lot of weight.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Right. That's what it sounded like, yeah. So the second thing is, I do think
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it's important to get input from other ones including ASEP. You know, I think that there needs to be -- the pediatric emergency medicine community needs to also be part of this discussion because we als -- always want continuity across different spheres of medicine. You know, outpatient versus inpatient. We think that's important. The third thing is, I think the general practitioner needs to be involved, he's probably A.A.P. And then the -- the other thing is is that the -- what was the other -- I have another point here. Too many points here, I guess. The -- oh, I see -- is the first do no harm. I would be concerned about setting the age, whatever it is, too low because, as it is, you don't want people to make a mistake, you know. And if there is an issue that's particularly pediatric and they had to apply adult principles to a patient that really is actually -- you think the kid's ten but the kid's really eight or seven -- now you have a real issue. So I'd rather stay away from that younger early pubertal age and move it up to a more late puberty kind of age, which -- of course, puberty doesn't end really, typically, seventeen or eighteen, but at least put it in the fourteen, fifteen range, which we have used for many years in many places for trauma issues, you know. So
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most of the kids who -- who E.M.S. transports, you know, do not require resuscitation. All the, you know, the anatomic issues, etcetera, physiologic issues, epidemiologic issues. I'm hearing kind of an emerging consensus for fourteen, fifteen. Let me just -- do -do I hear correctly?

DR. LaROCK: Yes.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Others?
DR. HALPERT: That sounds reasonable, yup.
DR. KANTER: I -- I -- it's Bob. I try to stay as consistent with the American Heart Association as possible, since most of the protocols are coming from them.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: But what -- what would you do then? The Heart Association doesn't have anything for most --

DR. KANTER: Well --.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: -- most kids that E.M.S. transports?

DR. KANTER: Again, if it's -- if the American Heart Association statements are good enough for everybody else, I don't know why we can't somehow make that clear to providers in the pre-hospital setting in
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New York State.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Which, basically, leaves them in the middle. There's no age.

DR. HALPERT: I think that's correct.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Uh-huh.
DR. KANTER: Because in the pre-hospital setting you don't know the age.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Exactly.
DR. HALPERT: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well, given that, I'm -- I mean, given that -- that the Heart Association is not recommending any specific age, except, you know, from what I'm hearing, only with respect to the A.E.D., okay, which is not really even age-based but more weight-based, and given that, Bob, we can't seem to find any reference in the B.L.S. section about -- about age, you know, I'm having a -- I guess I'm having a bit of difficult time understanding how we could say we should be consistent with the age recommended by the Heart Association if they don't recommend one.

DR. KANTER: Not the age. The definition of a child versus adolescent.

DR. LaROCK: Can you say it again? What is their definition?
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DR. KANTER: I -- I would -- I don't know what the defi -- I can't find it --

DR. VAN DER JAGT: There is none.
DR. KANTER: -- in the document.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: There is -- there is no

```
definition.
```

CHAIRMAN COOPER: That's why --
DR. KANTER: But what --
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- that's why we're having the conversation.

DR. KANTER: -- well, Elise, with -- with all respect to the Heart Association, we look to them for guidance. Somewhere in their deliberations there must be some kind of clarification on this.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: There is none, Bob. I've been there for those discussions.

DR. KANTER: Well --.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: There is -- it -- and it was specifically discussed that there would be no age set.

DR. KANTER: No, no, no. I agree -- I agree with no age. There needs to be some kind of definition of what's a child.

MS. CHIUMENTO: May I say --
DR. KANTER: Some kind of guidance for the

2 providers.
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even being mentioned. So people are going by their previous memories in many cases --

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well --
MS. CHIUMENTO: -- unless they're specifically being taught something. If you look at the protocols across the board, they're variable. Some -- and that was the whole reason why this came up was because some areas use twelve, some use - some use the onset of puberty, some use eighteen and some use sixteen. There's a huge variation across the state in -- in -- in the protocols themselves. And in the teaching, many people are still thinking -- in the E.M.S. society -are still thinking about the previous guidelines of the American Heart Association because they don't realize that there's nothing changed -- that it's changed.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- well, you -- we -- we've got to come to some kind of resolution on this, guys and gals, okay. Otherwise, it's going to stay at onset of puberty and we're going to be treating, you know, ten-year-olds with chest pain like adults.

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Well, I would make a motion that I -- that we would go with the, you know, fourteen, fifteen age group consistent with the American College of Surgeons, the Trauma, in the absence, particularly,
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of no specific guidance about age from the Heart Association. And I think that's a reasonable ground between the A.A.P. and this, you know, down to eight. MS. CHIUMENTO: I would agree.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Is there a second to that? DR. LaROCK: Yes.

MS. CHIUMENTO: Yeah.
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: In a motion by Dr. Van Der Jagt, seconded by Dr. LaRock. Discussion?

MS. LAROCK: Just -- just a clarifying point because you -- you contrasted A.A.P. position. This is a very specific discussion and I don't think there would be disagreement at the A.A.P. The definition of a child -- not to rehash this -- but has some -- is not with respect to this kind of very focused discussion. So I don't think there's disagreement.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay.
DR. KANTER: I just -- I just think you want to consider what's going to make for the best care in the pre-hospital setting --

DR. LaROCK: Correct. And that's what we're -DR. KANTER: -- and with all respect, saying fifteen is not going to further better care, it's going
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CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay.
DR. LaROCK: I just think it's --.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Any other --
DR. VAN DER JAGT: That's not been our
experience here.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- any other --?
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Our experience here, having been involved with the A.L.S. stuff and trying to figure out what age group, we have done that in

Monroe-Livingston County and we have set ages and it has not been a particular problem.

DR. KANTER: Well, I -- I don't know. Maybe -I mean, I don't know how come the ages are -- I mean the patients don't come with age labels in my region or your region. And, you know, here people just say it looks like a teenager. We're going to resuscitate him as a teenager and they don't worry about it too much. If you give them reason to worry about it, it ends up being an obstacle.

MS. CHIUMENTO: Right. So we --
DR. LaROCK: So, what happens if --
MS. CHIUMENTO: -- can they go by size and
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DR. LaROCK: -- are you -- I -- I think I'm hearing consensus that saying teenager is similar to saying -- definitely a teenager, which is about fourteen, fifteen --

DR. KANTER: Right.
DR. LaROCK: -- as opposed to a ten-year-old who -- or eight-year-old who may not be a teena -you -- I'm hearing consensus with what you're saying. Are you hearing the same thing?

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Yes. And I think, you know, that's really important, Danielle because now that we're having the obesity epidemic, you know, an eight-year-old can look like this sometimes and it's a problem.

DR. LaROCK: Uh-huh.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: But when you have both of those parameters -- roughly fourteen, fifteen clearly a -- clearly a teenager -- you don't want to be down to the young -- younger age group, even when they're obese.

DR. LaROCK: Correct.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: And that -- just staying away from the resuscitation issues, you know.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: All right. That sounds -that sounds like -- that sounds like a point on which we
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can all agree. That we're saying we want the adult protocols to apply to someone who's clearly a teenager.

DR. LaROCK: Yeah, clearly a teenager.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Clearly.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: That make sense, Bob? Are you okay with that?

DR. KANTER: Yeah, that's sort of back to -- I mean, what's the difference between a teenager and puberty?

DR. LaROCK: Well, puberty implies that you've done an assessment of puberty. And here we're agreeing that there is no assessment of puberty, really. I mean, what we --.

DR. KANTER: Well, I -- we're all sort of repeating ourselves. I, you know, I -- I -- I think -I think, in the end, generalist pre-hospital providers need to get the job done. And the -- our responsibility is to try to make that easier, not more complicated for them.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well, I -- I think we're -- I think we're all trying to do that, Bob.

DR. LaROCK: And minimize adverse impact of our intervention may be something to add.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: All right. So, I -- I - I'm
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hearing -- I -- Elise, I'm hearing that while originally you suggested fourteen, fifteen, I'm sort of hearing that you accepted what might be Danielle's friendly amendment that we say, definitely a teenager, which we all recognize as, you know, as sort of, you know, sort of late puberty, end of puberty kind of -- kind of time period. Correct?

DR. VAN DER JAGT: I think that's -- I could live with that, sure.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Danielle, you're the seconder?

DR. LaROCK: Yes. Yes.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yes? Any -- so that -- so we have a motion on the table that we're going to -- we're going to -- we're going to recommend back to SEMAC that we're looking for, you know, adult protocols to apply to somebody who's definitely a teenager. And if they're, you know, words to that effect. And if they're not, then they should be treated according to the pediatric protocols. Is that right?

DR. VAN DER JAGT: Are you going to put in there, age? Like approximately fourteen, fifteen?

CHAIRMAN COOPER: I -- if that's the will of the Committee, yeah.
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DR. VAN DER JAGT: I would prefer to do that.
DR. LaROCK: Uh-huh.
DR. KANTER: I'd prefer not to.
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Because that would be

```
consistent with A.C.S., uh-huh.
```

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay.
MS. CHIUMENTO: You're making a recommendation

```
to the SEMAC --
```

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yes.
MS. CHIUMENTO: -- on their behalf?
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well, I understand. But --
MS. CHIUMENTO: I know.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- I -- I -- I -- I -- I --
MS. CHIUMENTO: For the record.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- yes. I understand. For the record, we are making a recommendation to SEMAC, which we do hope that, as the pediatric experts here, they will strongly consider, correct?

MS. CHIUMENTO: Correct. But, you --
DR. KANTER: Well, perhaps -- perhaps -- you know, I -- I think the other thing you might represent is the diversity of opinion within the group.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: I -- is there a diversity of opinion in the group? Are there other folks that agree
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with Bob on this one? Okay. We'll -- I will -- I -- I will reflect that there was some diversity of opinion, but the -- but the -- a clear majority favored the clearly a teenager approach, you know, roughly fourteen, fifteen. Is there -- okay. So that's the motion on the table con -- and again, consistent with A.C.S. standards. Okay. Is there -- is there further discussion? All in favor, please signify by saying aye. MANY IN THE GROUP: Aye. CHAIRMAN COOPER: Opposed? DR. KANTER: No.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. So it sounds like the ayes have it and it sounds like there is a single dissenting vote. Okay. All right. So that's that. Martha, can you tell us about the National Pediatric Resi -- Readiness Assessment Survey?

MS. GOHLKE: Yup. I'll be brief. I just want to give you a heads up. There'll be more about this because I think New York is due to roll this out, well, in the fall or winter. I haven't been told yet. But so at the national level they're benchmarking E.D.'s readiness to pediatrics and they've been hammering away at the E.M.S. for Children grantees to help out with getting these surveys answered. I sent it -- one of our
EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call secretaries, Rhonda -- sent it out electronically just so you can have reference to it. We're not going to go through it. But I -- like I said, I just want to give you a heads up that later this year they're going to ask all hospitals with E.D.s to complete this survey, which is very lengthy so that they can benchmark New York and all the states against one another to see how we're doing nationally. I guess -- you know, we're not mandated, meaning E.M.S. for Children grantees to spearhead the answering of this surgey -- survey in the state, but, like I said, they're really encouraging us to take it on because we do so well with getting our surveys answered in general. And because of our connections that many states -- people like in my -- my position have with the hospitals and want to be involved because they, you know, for many reasons. So, my only concern -- and I need to do some work with this on the D.O.H. level here -- is they're hammering away at this at all levels -- the Feds are -- in order to get this answered. And I'm already seeing webinars pop up from other people in New York State about this survey. So we've got to make sure we have one cohesive way to roll this out in the state and to get this answered and collect the information rather than ten people in New
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York State rolling out the same survey over and over and -- and irritating all the hospitals for answering the same survey. So --.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Do you have a suggestion, Martha?

MS. SOTOLOTTO: This is Deb. I just -- I'm sorry. I just -- I did want to just make sure that we coordinate on it as well because, you know, we just did that survey and if there's a way of not asking the same questions to the hospitals that just answered it, you know.

MS. GOHLKE: Yeah, probably not. Because they have their own survey instrument and the way they're going to --

MS. SOTOLOTTO: Yeah.
MS. GOHLKE: -- collect the data is through that survey instrument.

MS. SOTOLOTTO: Okay.
MS. GOHLKE: I mean, you know, my -- my initial thought process, again, like Deb says, we haven't coordinated this at a State level yet. But, you know, they have their own survey instrument that they've developed and basically may be sending a link through her to the survey instrument that the Feds have created.
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Maybe the most efficient way but, like I said, we need to talk about that and figure out how to get that link. Because ideally it is to use their survey instrument. Because I have used -- had to use it in the past and it is very well done and easy for people to read and answer. But, again, I think I'm more concerned about who's going to reach out to the hospitals and how are we going to get them that survey link so that they answer it one and only one time. So $I$ just wanted to make people aware of this. And we may, once it's rolled out in New York State, ask you to go to your E.D. and make sure that it's getting answered. If we're having trouble getting an answer from your hospitals, we may ask you to do like that part.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay, so Martha, sort of sum up -- staff is going to sort of work internally to figure out how to minimize, you know -- you know, shall we say double-dipping so to speak with respect to filling out the questionnaire. And -- and we're going to help you, you know, do this to the best of our ability when the decision is made. Is that -- is that correct?

MS. GOHLKE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. That work for
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everybody?
DR. VAN DER JAGT: Uh-huh.
MS. SOTOLOTTO: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay.
DR. KANTER: Yup.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Good. All right. Well, then let's move on to --

DR. KUNKOV: Well, I just --
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- go ahead, Bob, I'm sorry.
DR. KUNKOV: -- no, this is Sergey Kunkov. Who -- who will be responsible to --

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Oh, Sergey, I'm sorry.
DR. KUNKOV: -- I'm sorry. Who will be responsible within the hospital to fill out those questionnaires?

MS. GOHLKE: Well, I think, depending on how we roll it out in New York, somebody in the emergency department. And we can define roles, I guess, on who would be best to target the --.

DR. KUNKOV: Right. Yeah. Because I think it will be a -- useful to think about specifying who -- who should be in charge of it. Because if -- it will be on the level of like administrators filling this out, they might or might not know all the specifics. So it should
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be like a task of, you know, if they do have it, a pediatric emergency director. If they don't have it, then the next certified -- the next -- next higher up, you know, as a surrogate. Because I went -- I looked through the questions in there.

MS. GOHLKE: Yeah.
DR. KUNKOV: And, obviously, you know, some -some hospitals will not have any sort of coordinators who are like sufficient coordinators -- the nurse practitioners coordinators. So it -- it should be like -- we should think about the hierarchy of who this questionnaire should go. The last thing we want is see some administrative office filling this out and sending it back and then it will not be true. The presentation was going to actually in a clinical area.

MS. GOHLKE: Right. Right.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: I think that's really a good point. Most of the surveys do go out to the -- sort of dear hospital administrator kind of -- kind of -- kind of linkages without explicitly saying here's the person that should be filling it out.

MS. GOHLKE: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: I think that's a great point and I can see Martha nodding her head yes. And so I'm
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sure that whatever guidance is that she develops in collaboration with her colleagues in the Health Department will reflect that -- that advice.

MS. GOHLKE: And just so you know, they pilot tested this survey out in California so, you know, California has all their recommendations on how it went in their state. And they're doing focus groups now. They did it at our national meeting in each region of the country to see how this could best get answered. So they're -- they're really doing their homework ahead of time, I should say, in trying to give us guidance on how best get the best answers, especially since this is a self-reporting survey.

DR. KUNKOV: Absolutely. Because, you know, it's an ex -- an excellent way of, you know, coming up with, you know, the -- the focus groups, you know, they have already that in the State of California. That's excellent. Because I can totally see how administrators will be tempted to answer yes to everything.

MS. GOHLKE: Right. And then --.
DR. KUNKOV: And then --
MS. GOHLKE: Yup.
DR. KUNKOV: -- and then we'll end up with like wonderful representation or wonderful --
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MS. GOHLKE: Right. Yup.
DR. KUNKOV: -- you know, preparedness. And then, you know, when in actuality it's far from that.

MS. GOHLKE: Yup. Exactly. So they are trying to figure out guidance in that -- in that area before they roll it out nationally. But --

DR. KUNKOV: Yup. Very well.
MS. GOHLKE: -- yup.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. All right. Great.
Let's move on to the updates from our sister advisory committees. Sharon, would you like to -- in addition to the fact that we got the A.L.S. protocols passed, which we've already mentioned, and that the -- and that we have just talked about the age issue. Sharon, is there anything else that you think we should be mentioning from SEMAC?

MS. CHIUMENTO: Yes, just a couple things. The one of the things as was mentioned earlier is that we are changing to the national standard for training. And one of the big issues that did come up was that the -at the -- we are going to be adopting the national A.E.M.T. module and replacement of the current I.L.S. certification in New York State -- the intermediate certification. That's going to take a few years to, you

2 know, to roll over -- everybody over and everything, but
EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call we're moving in that direction. So one of the things that, when we discuss the cardiac arrest epi, they -it -- at the E.M.T. that was not -- a epi was not and cardiac arrest was not listed in the standards. And it was never trained -- they were not trained at that. The national standard also do not have epis for cardiac arrest in the standards. So although we're adopting everything else in the curriculum, there was a question about whether or not we should add epi and cardiac arrest for it -- just into the training for the moment for the new A.E.M.T. level training in New York State. So at the moment, they said well let's just train them how to do it. We're not going to change the protocols currently. We want to at least look at the training and adding epi in their training just for cardiac arrest. So that's something that's in future discussion, but at least I think you need to be aware of that. Another thing is is that the -- you may want to be aware of the fact that the way the training is going to work is there's not going to be a standard curriculum across New York State, as there has been in past years for any of the levels. Instead, the people will be using what is -- the -- each instructor -- each educator -- each
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E.M.S. educator will base what their teaching on the textbook that they're using and the Federal standards. So there's not going to be a standardized curriculum any longer. But the -- the standards, as far as protocol-type standards, will be standardized. But the training itself will no longer be standardized across New York State. So, just so you are aware of that as well.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well, Sharon, our instructors are going to be encouraged to use the instructional guidelines, though, are they not?

MS. ROGERS: Yeah, I'm --.
MS. CHIUMENTO: No, the instructional -- there won't be instructional guidelines the way there have been in the past, no.

MS. ROGERS: Yeah. Plus they were going to provide them with objectives because in order to test them, they have to be tested against objectives. So we've been working on developing objectives for these courses, as have a number of -- of other states around us -- Massachusetts most notably. So we're working on that. So while an instructor won't have a word-by-word curriculum like we've provided in the past, there'll certainly be teaching materials, both commercially
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prepared and provided.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yeah, the instructional guidelines are still pretty detailed. They'll -- they look -- they look, you know, pretty similar to the old national standard curricula.

MS. ROGERS: Some areas they're very detailed. And in some areas --

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Less detailed. Yeah, that's --.

MS. ROGERS: -- well, almost nothing. So --.
MS. CHIUMENTO: Specifically in the treatment area. There's very little in the treatment areas. There's a lot in the assessment and a lot about various past physiologies now that there has not been in past years. But -- but there's not a lot in the treatment area in the national standards.

MS. ROGERS: That's -- that's because they wanted to be politically correct.

MS. CHIUMENTO: Exactly.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Thank God. All right. Okay, anything else from SEMAC, Sharon?

MS. CHIUMENTO: Yes. Just a couple of things of -- of things that they're working on. One of the things is looking at the possibility of having E.M.S.
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providers administer flu vaccine in the future. Also looking at developing community paramedics programs in the State. Looking at what's being done in other states. Also looking at intranasal Naloxone. There's demonstration projects going on with that in a few areas and C-PAP by basics also for patients over the age of ten years. I couldn't find an age group on the other two. I just didn't have the documentation here. But the C-PAP for age over ten years at the basic level rather than waiting until the A.L.S. level. So those are all things that are either being demonstration projects or are being looked at for future projects. That's all I've got.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Very good. Okay. Any questions for Sharon? Okay, hearing none. STAC -- we've commented, I think, on the big issue that was discussed, which was sort of preparation for the A.C.S.
verification process. The STAC voted to, in effect, say that -- and I may be not quite accurate about the dates but people have to sign up for a consultation visit within a year. And then -- and then -- and then with it -- and then they have to follow the college timeline in terms of the veri -- the subsequent verification visit. A dear administrator letter did go out to the
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trauma center dir -- trauma center hospital administrators saying if you want to be a trauma center, you're going to have to, you know, follow the A.C.S. standards and -- and, you know, and get ready to do so and here's the -- here's the date by which you've got to contact the college. And, oh by the way, be sure to let the Department know and so on. So that took up a great deal of discussion at the meeting. There was a presentation by two individuals who've recently undergone consultative site visit, which I think was very helpful to the -- the great majority of coordinators in the -- in the room. The Department has worked with the Society of Trauma Nurses to bring the Optimal Resources Course -- the course that sort of helps trauma program managers and coordinators prepare for, you know, site visit. There are going to be two -two iterations of that course. One is going to be held at the Upstate Medical Center in -- in Syracuse on Friday, June 15th. And there is another version of the course or another session of the course, which is going to be held in the downstate area, at New York Hospital of Queens on August 17th. The latter having been sort of independently procured. The State has graciously agreed to support the first training --
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MS. GOHLKE: The grant --
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- the --
MS. GOHLKE: -- not the state.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- the grant, excuse me.
Okay.
MS. GOHLKE: Just to be clear.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. Martha' being clear,
okay. It was a grant, okay. So - so that will, I think, help us all. There was some discussion of course, as always, about -- about, you know, the -- some of the registry issues as we move toward, you know, the -- the new trauma registry. Sharon comm -- I'm -I'm sorry, Martha commented on this a little bit earlier in her -- in her remarks under the E.M.S.C. Grant Report. And I believe those were the major issues that we covered. I'll ask Linda Tripoli to see if she has anything that she needs to add at this point or anything that I've missed.

MS. TRIPOLI: Nope, that's it, pretty much. We did meet with Rick Cook, the Director of O.H.S.M., who accepted all of STAC's recommendations. A letter by him has been signed yesterday. Shook that loose, so, hopefully, it'll be going out, which will iterate the timeframe, as recommended by STAC. And the fact that if
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a facility chooses not to adopt the standards or go through the consultative process, they will be de-desig -- de-designated. The goal is to have all trauma patients be transported to trauma centers of some level of designation.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Now, this is going to be a little bit interesting, as we go forward. I think everyone expects that most of the currently existing trauma centers -- most, but perhaps not all -- will decide to retain their trauma center status. However, the American College of Surgeons has pretty strict volume criteria. The State has never enforced its strict volume cri -- volume criteria, which in fact, were quite a bit more stringent than the College's. The -- you remember that the old trauma regs were written back in the late '80s during the height of the crack epidemic when there was a whole lot more trauma everywhere.

MS. GOHLKE: I thought you were going to say when you were all on crack.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well, that -- that's --
that's but we're still all, you know -- you know, smoking something, right. So, anyway, what's the interesting part is that, of course, many trauma centers
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will be able to retain their Level One trauma center status. Some will not because they don't meet the twelve hundred volume threshold. The -- now, of course, it will be up to the State in -- in the fullness of time how it wishes to designate, okay. Because what the College does is verify and the -- and the State will then take that information and do a designation. It is presumed that the State's designation process will -will mirror the College's verification process. But that has not yet been actually formally decided, either by -- certainly by the Department. And the STAC has not weighed in on that. I presume the STAC would probably say that if we're verifying at Level One, Two, Three, Four, that the State should designate it One, Two, Three, Four. Although that -- that specifically has not been determined. That having been said, our current status -- our current system has regional and area trauma centers, okay. Which sort of roughly correspond with Level One-and-a-half, Level Two-and-a-half by the College standards, okay. We're now going to be using Level One, Two for the College standards. But there will also be Level Threes and Level Fours. Level Threes are -- well, let -- let me go back. Regionals and areas in New York State are required to have virtually all the
EMSC - 6-12-12 - Conference Call
specialists that you need to care for trauma patients. But -- and we -- we don't have any lower levels. In the College standards, that same, you know, system sort of is in place, as all of us know. Although you have to have higher volume at a Level One and you got to have research and teaching at a Level One. But the College additionally has Level Three and Level Four. Level Threes, in effect, are community hospitals and really only have a General Surgeon and maybe an Orthopod. They don't have to have Neurosurgical coverage. And Level Fours are, in effect, trauma stations in rural areas which are meant as way stations to stabilize before patients get to other -- other centers. Now many, many, many years ago, the Department was very afraid of having, you know, rural hospitals -- small community hospitals and rural hospitals, you know, becoming part of the trauma system because it was -- there was a deep level of concern that patients would be held in community hospitals for economic reasons, you know, to keep those hospitals viable when, in fact, they should be moved on for a better quality of care. But now that we've adopted the College standards, you know, the Commissioner, in his wisdom, has made the decision that, you know, we're going to, you know, go in a new
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direction and it remains to be seen at this point whether anybody out -- out there is going to ask for Level Three or Level Four status. I don't know that Linda has received any applications yet.

MS. TRIPOLI: Actually, I have.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Actually, she has. And if you could comment on that.

MS. TRIPOLI: Actually, we've had a -- we've had a really good response to the Level Four designation. The College has produced guidelines for Level Four designation that are set to be released in October. Certainly, I've had conversation with them as to what that kind of process -- verification process will look like for a Level Four center. But we have a fair number of upstate facilities that are looking at Level Four designation in some underserved areas. So it will be interesting to see how this plays out.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Well, certainly, inclusivity in terms of the trauma system has always been a goal. And perhaps this -- perhaps this will help us accomplish it. Perhaps -- perhaps, you know, not with the -- it does remain to be seen. But anyway, so any other -- any other thoughts or questions regarding the STAC report? Well, hearing none, is there any other unfinished
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business we should touch upon? Any new business we should touch upon? Well, then, hearing none, it is twelve-twelve. We're finishing fifteen minutes early. This is sort of a new indoor record for us, I think. Martha will scour the calendar and hotel availability for a Tuesday in -- in the early fall.

MS. GOHLKE: You could -- if you want to pencil in either September 11th or 18th, those are the first two dates I'm going to propose to the --

MS. CHIUMENTO: September 11th, really?
MS. GOHLKE: Or the 18th.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: That -- I may need to get back to you on that, Martha. The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma is meeting somewhere in that timeframe in a faraway place.

MS. GOHLKE: You wouldn't -- you wouldn't rather be in Albany than Troy?

CHAIRMAN COOPER: No, I'd much rather be in Albany. Of course.

DR. KANTER: It's -- it's Bob. I know I'm not available on the 11th.

MS. GOHLKE: Okay. So I'll shoot for the 18th. CHAIRMAN COOPER: 18th or the 25 th, okay. We'll shoot --.
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MS. GOHLKE: Not the 25th.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Not the 25th?
MS. GOHLKE: Nope.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: It's got to be the 18th, huh? MS. ROGERS: Rita and I will be across -- we'll be in Idaho.

MR. MOLLOY: We will?
MS. ROGERS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: All right. Well, we will -we will scout -- scout that out, okay, and figure out --.

MS. GOHLKE: So pencil in the 18th.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay.
MS. GOHLKE: Well, we'll talk about it. I'll e-mail you as soon as I get confirmation from the hotel.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Okay. So then we're looking, hopefully, at the 18th, if that works with the -- the fall meeting schedule with the big national organizations. And there we are. So I guess it's time for a motion for adjournment and wish everybody a good summer. Okay. May I have a motion to adjourn?

DR. KUNKOV: So moved.
MS. ROGERS: So moved.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Thank you so much. Thanks so
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much for coming on everybody. I think we made a lot of progress and I'm really excited about the forward movement on the 405s. Okay. Thanks again for coming. DR. KUNKOV: Thanks.

DR. KANTER: Martha?
MS. GOHLKE: Yes.
DR. KANTER: Martha, are you there?
MS. GOHLKE: Yeah, I'm here.
DR. KANTER: I wonder if you have a couple of minutes just to go back to the prior discussion?

MS. GOHLKE: Well, some -- not everybody is still here.

DR. KANTER: All right.
MS. GOHLKE: I don't know if Sandy is here.
MS. HAFF: I can stay.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: You need a 405 discussion, Bob?

DR. KANTER: Yeah.
MS. GOHLKE: Can you give us a couple minutes, Dr. Kanter?

DR. KANTER: Sure.
MS. GOHLKE: Dr. Cooper just kicked the phone and I need to use the ladies room.

DR. KANTER: Yup.
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MS. ROGERS: I can sing to you the Jeopardy song, if you want.

DR. KANTER: Good.
MS. ROGERS: I'm guessing you don't.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: I'm hoping this isn't going to be too long because I've got another meeting I got to rush --

DR. KANTER: Two minutes.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- oh, two minutes. Okay.
DR. KANTER: Two minutes.
MS. ROGERS: He's running out, too. You may be stuck with me.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: We're all going to the same place -- only two halves of the same place, I think. MS. GOHLKE: Are you there, Dr. Kanter?

DR. KANTER: Hi.
MS. GOHLKE: Hi. Is -- what section did you want to talk about?

DR. KANTER: Radiology.
MS. GOHLKE: Okay. That would have been fifteen.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Ah, I know what Dr. Kanter wants to talk about.

DR. KANTER: All right. The issue is simply
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that I think that there needs to be some wording -- and I'm not the one to decide on the wording because I don't know the proper technical terminology -- but there needs to be some sort of guidance or requirement about size appropriate radiation dosing for diagnostic studies. This is a matter of huge national attention. I don't know if any of you saw the article just came in the Medical Journal called "The Lancet" which talks about excess risk of brain tumors and leukemia in people and children who have been exposed to C.T. scans. This is one of several comparable studies that are showing very serious adverse affects of excessive radiation dose. And the fact is there's still a -- a complete absence or lack of any kind of clarity about what's the right way to approach this. I can tell you in my own hospital we have a new pediatric radiologist just came on board and he can't figure out what they're doing. There needs to be --

MS. HAFF: You know what --
CHAIRMAN COOPER: They, meaning -- they, meaning your Department.

DR. KANTER: The radiol -- our radiologist.
MS. HAFF: -- you know, this -- this particular section we worked with our radiology people. And I
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think what $I$ would do is I'll send an e-mail, include all of you and them and maybe you can send an e-mail with your specific concerns and then we'll work out the language. Because they're the ones that really developed this piece.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: Yeah, and I'll also say, Bob -- I think -- and I think I mentioned this to you in a prior conversation - that when Morley was here with the Department, you know, discussions were really hot and heavy on this very issue in terms of image widely for adults and image gently for kids protocols. And, you know, the -- the image gently protocols are out there from the American, you know, Rankin Society and we should -- we should probably either reference them, you know, indirectly or directly in the regs, you know -you know, as you suggest.

MS. HAFF: Okay. Why don't I have you send an e-mail that we will share with them and we'll work out what it is you're concerned about and how they want to --.

DR. KANTER: It -- it -- it's really -- it's really -- I mean, it -- I mean, I'm not the one who's qualified to address this. It's simply the dose. It's sort of like you wouldn't give drug doses to children
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without considering their size. Likewise --.
MS. HAFF: Is there a particular piece in the regs -- is there a particular subdivision or paragraph that you're focusing on?

DR. KANTER: I'm con -- I'm concerned that it's not there.

MS. HAFF: Okay.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: We'll make sure that it's there, Bob.

DR. KANTER: Okay. That's all. Thank you.
MS. GOHLKE: Don't hang up. Don't hang up. Just F.Y.I., when we talked to Rick Cook about the plan with the 405s, I pitched the idea about Arizona and their model of pediatric designation and the process to do that outside of the Health Department structure and possibly looking at the State chapter of the A.A.P. and approaching them and -- and see if they would be interested in taking this on in New York State and he -he thought that was the way of the future and he gave us thumbs up and the green light to do that.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: You're kidding me.
DR. KANTER: Good.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: Knock me over with a feather. Wow. Okay.
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DR. KANTER: Now you have to see if the A.A.P. wants anything to do with it.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: We did mention this on a very preliminary basis to the A.A.P. and they're not -they're not -- they're not, on the face of it, opposed to it, okay. But I think that, you know, a lot of work would have to be done --

MS. GOHLKE: Right.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- to figure out how it might -- how it might happen.

MS. GOHLKE: Well, I'd love to have my counterpart from Arizona come out -- and my grant can pay for that -- to do some sort of a presentation to the A.A.P. or our Committee or both to talk about --.

CHAIRMAN COOPER: All right. Let's -- we'll talk more about that. With -- the A.A.P. district meeting is going to be held in August --

MS. GOHLKE: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: -- 23rd through the 26 th. So we can -- we can work on that.

MS. GOHLKE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN COOPER: All right. Okay, Bob. Thank you so much.

DR. KANTER: Thank you guys. See you.
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