TUBERCULOSIS IN NEW YORK STATE

2019

Annual Statistical Report
Bureau of Tuberculosis Control






TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

List of Figures	3
List of Tables	4
Executive Summary	5
Tuberculosis Cases and Rates	6
Geographic Distribution	10
Demographic Characteristics	12
Tuberculosis in the Foreign-Born	19
HIV Co-Infection	24
Reasons for Evaluation	27
Risk Factors	28
Drug Resistance	32
Genotyping	34
Site of Disease	35
Completion of Therapy	37
Contacts to Infectious Tuberculosis Cases	39
Directly Observed Therapy	41
Contact Information	



LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates, New York State, 1960-2019
- Figure 2. Tuberculosis Case Rates, New York State and the United States, 1960-2019
- Figure 3. Number and Percent of Deaths Among Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 1993-2019
- Figure 4. Distribution of Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019
- Figure 5. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by Race/Ethnicity, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Figure 6. Race/Ethnicity of Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019
- Figure 7. Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by Gender, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Figure 8. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates by Age and Gender, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019
- Figure 9. Tuberculosis Cases by Age and Race/Ethnicity, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019
- Figure 10. Tuberculosis Cases by Age and Race/Ethnicity, New York City, 2019
- Figure 11. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born and Foreign-Born Status, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 1985-2019
- Figure 12. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born and Foreign-Born Status, New York City, 1985-2019
- Figure 13. HIV Status for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019
- Figure 14. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases Who Have Been Tested for HIV, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2010-2019
- Figure 15. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates Among DOCCS Inmates, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 1986-2019
- Figure 16. Number and Percent of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2015-2019
- Figure 17. Primary Site of Disease for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019
- Figure 18. Percent of Tuberculosis Cases Who Completed Treatment Within 12 Months, by U.S.-Born and Foreign-Born Status, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2009-2018
- Figure 19. Number and Percent of Contacts to Infectious Tuberculosis Cases Placed on Treatment for Latent Tuberculosis Infection and Completed, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2009-2018
- Figure 20. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases Receiving Any Directly Observed Therapy, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 1991-2019

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates, New York State, 1960-2019
- Table 2. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates by County, New York State, 2015-2019
- Table 3. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates by Gender, Age and Race/Ethnicity, New York State, 2019
- Table 4. Tuberculosis Cases by Country of Origin, New York State, 2019
- Table 5. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born and Foreign-Born Status, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019
- Table 6. Length of Time Foreign-Born Tuberculosis Cases were in the United States Prior to Diagnosis, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019
- Table 7a. HIV Status for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 7b. HIV Status for Tuberculosis Cases by Gender, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019
- Table 8a. Primary Reason for Evaluation of Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 8b. Primary Reason for Evaluation of Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born and Foreign-Born Status, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019
- Table 9a. Additional Risk Factors Among Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 9b. Additional Risk Factors Among Tuberculosis Cases by Gender, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019
- Table 10. High-Risk Congregate Setting at the Time of Diagnosis for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 11. Homelessness Among Tuberculosis Cases Within the Past Year, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 12. Substance Abuse Among Tuberculosis Cases Within the Past Year, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 13. Phenotypic Drug Susceptibility Results for Culture-Confirmed Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 14. Tuberculosis Genotyping Summary for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 15. Primary Site of Disease for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019
- Table 16. Extra-Pulmonary Sites of Disease for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019
- Table 17. Treatment Status for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2014-2018
- Table 18. Treatment Status for Tuberculosis Cases Reported in 2018, New York State (Exclusive of New York City)
- Table 19. Number and Percent of Infectious Tuberculosis Cases with Contacts Identified, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2009-2018
- Table 20. Number and Percent of Contacts to Infectious Tuberculosis Cases Evaluated for Latent Tuberculosis Infection, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2009-2018

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Executive Summary

MORBIDITY & MORTALITY

- From 2018 to 2019, tuberculosis (TB) morbidity increased in New York State. The 2019 total of 754 cases (566 cases in New York City, 188 cases in the remainder of New York State) represents a 0.5 percent increase from the 750 cases reported in 2018. The nation experienced a 1.1 percent decrease in morbidity. Since the most recent peak epidemic in 1992 with 4,574 cases, there was an 83.5 percent decrease in New York State compared to a national decline of 66.2 percent.
- In New York State (exclusive of New York City), the number of TB cases decreased 1.6 percent from 191 cases in 2018 to 188 cases in 2019. The number of TB cases in New York City increased by 1.3 percent from 559 cases in 2018 to 566 cases in 2019. In 2019, the nation reported 8,920 cases, down 1.2 percent from the 9,025 cases reported in 2018.
- New York State ranked fifth nationally for TB morbidity with an incidence rate of 3.9 per 100,000 population in 2019. This rate is influenced by New York City, which had a TB case rate of 6.9 per 100,000. In contrast, New York State (exclusive of New York City) reported an incidence rate of 1.7 per 100,000.

GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

• Three counties – Nassau, Suffolk and Westchester – reported 56.4 percent of the TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) in 2019.

RACE-ETHNICITY

• In 2019, Asians continued to have the highest incidence rates of TB statewide (22.0 per 100,000). White, non-Hispanics had the lowest incidence rate of 0.6 per 100,000.

FOREIGN-BORN

• Statewide, the proportion of foreign-born cases increased from 82.4 percent (N=618) in 2018 to 84.1 percent in 2019 (N=634). People born in China comprised the greatest number of foreignborn TB cases (N=116) in New York City while those born in India comprised the greatest number of foreign-born TB cases (N=24) in the remainder of the state.

DRUG SUSCEPTIBILITY

Among individuals with drug susceptibilities reported in 2019, 12 cases from New York City had
multidrug-resistant TB (MDR TB), which was the same number reported in 2018. In New York
State (exclusive of New York City) there was one MDR TB case reported in 2019, which was a 50
percent decrease from 2018.

TB IN THE PRISONS

 Since 1991, the number of TB cases among the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS) inmate population had been continually declining. In 2015 and 2016, there were no new DOCCS cases reported, but in 2017 one new case was reported. In 2018 and 2019, no new DOCCS cases were reported.

Table 1. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates, * New York State, 1960-2019

Tuberculosis Cases and Rates*

New York State, 1960-2019

Year	New Yor (Exclusive of N		New Yo	rk City	New York State (Total)		
	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	
1960	2,376	2,376 26.4		60.4	7,075	42.2	
1961	2,052	22.3	4,360	56.3	6,412	37.8	
1962	2.005	21.4	4,437	56.7	6,442	37.5	
1963	1,865	19.6	4,891	61.7	6,756	38.7	
1964	1,715	17.8	4,207	52.7	5,922	33.6	
1965	1,627	16.6	4,242	53.0	5,869	33.0	
1966	1,633	16.5	3,663	45.7	5,296	29.5	
1967	1,527	15.2	3,542	44.4	5,069	28.1	
1968	1,475	14.5	3,224	40.5	4,699	25.9	
1969	1,384	13.5	2,951	37.4	4,335	23.9	
1970	1,275	12.3	2,590	32.8	3,865	21.2	
1971	1,180	11.3	2,572	32.5	3,752	20.4	
1972	1,176	11.2	2,275	29.0	3,451	18.8	
1973	1,009	9.6	2,101	27.4	3,110	17.1	
1974**	844	8.1	2,022	26.6	2,866	15.9	
1975	1,041	9.9	2,893	38.6	3,934	21.8	
1976	916	8.7	2,156	29.0	3,072	17.1	
1977	829	7.9	1,605	22.0	2,434	13.6	
1978	753	7.1	1,307	18.2	2,060	11.6	
1979	699	6.6	1,530	21.5	2,229	12.6	
1980	780	7.4	1,514	21.4	2,294	13.1	
1981	641	6.1	1,582	22.4	2,223	12.7	
1982	674	6.4	1,594	22.5	2,268	12.9	
1983	658	6.2	1,651	23.1	2,309	13.1	
1984	616	5.8	1,630	22.6	2,246	12.7	
1985	638	6.0	1,843	25.5	2,481	13.9	
1986	615	5.8	2,223	30.6	2,838	15.9	
1987	615	5.8	2,197	30.1	2,812	15.7	
1988	688	6.5	2,317	31.8	3,005	16.8	
1989	657	6.2	2,545	34.8	3,202	17.8	
1990	656	6.1	3,520	48.1	4.176	23.2	
1991	748	7.0	3,673	50.2	4.421	24.6	
1992	763	7.2	3,811	52.0	4,574	25.4	
1993	717	6.7	3,235	44.2	3,952	22.0	
1994	641	6.0	2,995	40.9	3,636	20.2	
1995	621	5.8	2,445	33.4	3,066	17.0	
1996	535	5.0	2,053	28.0	2,588	14.4	
1997	535	5.0	1,730	23.6	2,265	12.6	
1998	442	4.1	1,558	21.3	2,000	11.1	
1999	377	3.5	1,460	19.9	1,837	10.2	
2000	412	3.8	1,332	16.6	1,744	9.2	
2001	415	3.8	1,261	15.7	1,676	8.8	
2001	350	3.2	1,084	13.5	1,434	7.6	
		3.1		14.2		7.8 7.8	
2003	340		1,140		1,480		
2004	324	3.0	1,039	13.0	1,363	7.2	
2005	305	2.8	984	12.3	1,289	6.8	
2006	317	2.9	954	11.9	1,271	6.7	
2007	261	2.4	914	11.4	1,175	6.2	
2008	305	2.8	895	11.2	1,200	6.3	
2009	246	2.2	760	9.5	1,006	5.3	
2010	243	2.2	711	8.7	954	4.9	
2010	221	2.0	689	8.4	910	4.7	
2011							
	215	1.9	651	8.0	866	4.5	
2013	217	1.9	656	8.0	873	4.5	
2014	202	1.8	585	7.2	787	4.1	
2015	188	1.7	577	7.1	765	3.9	
2016	203	1.8	565	6.9	768	4.0	
2017	193	1.7	613	7.5	806	4.2	
2018	191	1.7	559	6.8	750	3.9	
	474	417	007	0.0	, 50	0.7	

^{*}Rate calculations are based on United States decennial Census data; per 100,000 population
**Figures after 1974 reflect a nationally revised case definition that includes reactivated cases
Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

From 2018 to 2019, TB cases and rates increased in New York City while there was a decrease in the rest of the state. In 2019, a total of 754 cases were reported in New York State, representing a 0.5 percent increase from the 750 cases reported in 2018 and an 89.3 percent decrease from the 7,075 cases reported in 1960. Three-quarters of the state's TB morbidity is concentrated in New York City.

In 2019, New York City reported 75.1percent (N=566/754) of the total cases despite having only 42 percent of the state population. The rest of the state reported 188 cases, which was a 1.6 percent decrease compared to the 191 reported in 2018.

The rate of TB in New York State is greatly influenced by the high morbidity in New York City. Outside of New York City, the rate in 2019 was 1.7 per 100,000 population, but New York City reported a rate of 6.9 per 100,000, resulting in an overall rate of 3.9 per 100,000 population for the whole state.




Figure 1. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates, * New York State, 1960-2019

Over the last 50 years, there have been two peaks in TB morbidity where the number and rate of TB substantially increased. The peak in 1975 can be explained by a change in the case definition to include reactivated TB cases. The increase that began in the mid-1980s and extended through the early 1990s was driven mainly by the resurgence of TB cases in New York City. This rise was largely due to two factors. One was the HIV/AIDS epidemic that started in the early 1980s. The other was the reduction of TB control resources combined with the rise in high risk populations such as foreign-born and homeless.




Figure 2. Tuberculosis Case Rates,* New York State and the United States, 1960-2019

Historically, TB case rates in New York State (exclusive of New York City) have been lower than the national average, while case rates in New York City have exceeded national rates. In 2019, the national case rate was 2.7 per 100,000 population and ranged from 0.2 to 7.9 per 100,000 population across all the states. New York State ranked third based on the number of cases (N=754) and fifth based on incidence rate (3.9 per 100,000 population), but these rankings were largely influenced by New York City which, by itself, would have ranked third nationally based on number of cases (N=566) and third based on incidence rate (6.9 per 100,000 population).

Cases that Died During Treatment Cases that Died Before Treatment Percent of Cases that Died Number of Cases Percent 98 99 00 01 Year Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

Figure 3. Number and Percent of Deaths Among Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 1993-2019

The number and percent of deaths among TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) decreased considerably following the last epidemic that peaked in the early 1990s. This decline in mortality slowed by 1997 and has varied each year since 2000. The deaths portrayed in Figure 3 were not all TB-related.

Among the reported TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City), there were 14 total deaths in 2019. The cause of death was known to be TB-related for four (28.6%) of these cases. These four, were over 65 years of age, and 75% had other comorbidities (e.g., diabetes, end-stage renal disease).

GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

Table 2. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates* by County, New York State, 2015-2019

County	2015		20	2016		2017		18	2019		
	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	
Albany	2	0.7	2	0.7	8	2.6	4	1.3	5	1.6	
Allegany	0		0		0		0		2	4.1	
Broome	3	1.5	3	1.5	2	1.0	4	2.0	1	0.5	
Cattaraugus	0		0		1	1.2	0		0		
Cayuga	4	5.0	1	1.2	1	1.2	2	2.5	0		
Chautauqua	0		0		1	0.7	0		0		
Chemung	0		2	2.3	0		1	1.1	0		
Chenango	0		0		0		0		0		
Clinton	2	2.4	0		0		1	1.2	1	1.2	
Columbia	3	4.8	0		3	4.8	0		2	3.2	
Cortland	0		0		0		0		0		
Delaware	0		1	2.1	0		0		0		
Dutchess	5	1.7	1	0.3	4	1.3	3	1.0	3	1.0	
Erie	13	1.4	13	1.4	5	0.5	13	1.4	3	0.3	
Essex	0		0		0		0		1	2.5	
Franklin	0		0		0		0		0		
Fulton	0		0		1	1.8	0		2	3.6	
Genesee	0		2	3.3	1	1.7	2	3.3	3	5.0	
Greene	0		1	2.0	0		0		0		
Hamilton	0		0		0		0		0		
Herkimer	0		0		0		0		1	1.5	
Jefferson	2	1.7	0		1	0.9	0		0		
Lewis	0		1	3.7	0		0		0		
Livingston	0		1	1.5	0		1	1.5	1	1.5	
Madison	0		0		0		0		0		
Monroe	17	2.3	24	3.2	14	1.9	16	2.1	15	2.0	
Montgomery	0		1	2.0	0		0		0		
Nassau	40	3.0	38	2.8	40	3.0	43	3.2	51	3.8	
Niagara	4	1.8	2	0.9	1	0.5	1	0.5	1	0.5	
Oneida	5	2.1	8	3.4	9	3.8	4	1.7	4	1.7	
Onondaga	10	2.1	17	3.6	6	1.3	6	1.3	8	1.7	
Ontario	2	1.9	0		0		1	0.9	0		
Orange	2	0.5	7	1.9	9	2.4	7	1.9	7	1.9	
Orleans	0		0		0		2	4.7	1	2.3	
Oswego	0		1	0.8	0		0		1	0.8	
Otsego	1	1.6	0		0		1	1.6	0		
Putnam	0		0		1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	
Rensselaer	0		2	1.3	1	0.6	1	0.6	2	1.3	
Rockland	8	2.6	4	1.3	14	4.5	11	3.5	10	3.2	
Saratoga	1	0.5	1	0.5	0		0		0		
Schenectady	3	1.9	2	1.3	0		0		1	0.6	
Schoharie	0		0		0		0		0		
Schuyler	0		0		0		0		0		
Seneca	0		0		0		0		0		
St. Lawrence	1		0		1	0.9	0		1	0.9	
Steuben	0		0		0		0		2	2.0	
Suffolk	24	1.6	34	2.3	34	2.3	24	1.6	31	2.1	
Sullivan	0		1	1.3	2	2.6	2	2.6	0		
Tioga	0		0		0		1	2.0	0		
Tompkins	2	2.0	2	2.0	2	2.0	3	3.0	2	2.0	
Ulster	0		1	0.5	0		1	0.5	1	0.5	
Warren	0		1	1.5	0		0		0		
Washington	0		0		0		0		0		
Wayne	0		1	1.1	0		0		0		
Westchester	34	3.6	28	3.0	31	3.3	35	3.7	24	2.5	
Wyoming	0		0		0		0		0		
Yates	0		0		0		0		0		
New York State Total (Exclusive of New York City)	188	1.7	203	1.8	193	1.7	191	1.7	188	1.7	
Bronx	87	6.3	82	5.9	106	7.7	81	5.8	90	6.5	
	171	6.9	166	6.6	184	7.7	173	6.9	153	6.1	
Kings New York	88	5.4	67	4.2	64	4.0	82	5.2	74	4.7	
	218	9.8	240	10.8	247	11.1	204	9.1	227		
Queens										10.2	
Richmond New York City Total	13 577	3.0 7.1	10 565	6.9	12 613	2.6 7.5	19 559	6.8	22 566	4.7 6.9	
STATE TOTAL	765	3.9	768	4.0	806	4.2	750	3.9	754	3.9	
*Pate calculations are based					000	7.4	Voule			of Woolth	

*Rate calculations are based on 2010 United States Census data; per 100,000 population Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

TB morbidity is unevenly distributed across NYS and varies greatly between counties. In 2019, all five boroughs of New York City and 30 (52.6%) of the 57 upstate counties reported at least one TB case. Higher numbers of cases were seen in the metropolitan areas. More than half of all TB morbidity reported for NYS (exclusive of New York City) was concentrated in Nassau, Suffolk and Westchester counties (56.4%, N=106/188).




Figure 4. Distribution of Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019

Table 3. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates* by Gender, Age,** and Race/Ethnicity, New York State, 2019

Demographic C	haracteristics		rk State New York City)	New Yo	ork City	New York State (Total)		
		No.	Rate	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	
Gender	Male	103	1.9	338	8.7	441	4.7	
Gender	Female	85	1.5	228	5.3	313	3.1	
	Under 5 years	4	0.5	7	1.4	11	1.0	
	5-9	1	0.4	0	0.0	1	0.1	
	10-14	1		5	1.1	6	0.5	
	15-19	7	0.6	15	2.8	22	1.6	
Age Group	20-24	8	2.1	27	4.2	35	2.5	
	25-34	23	2.7	96	6.9	119	4.5	
	35-44	32	2.0	68	5.9	100	3.8	
	45-54	20	1.8	74	6.7	94	3.3	
	55-64	36	2.2	99	11.1	135	5.9	
	65+	56	2.3	175	17.6	231	8.8	
	White, non-Hispanic	33	0.4	38	1.4	71	0.6	
	Black, non-Hispanic	24	2.6	104	5.6	128	4.6	
	Hispanic	52	4.8	147	6.3	199	5.8	
Dogo/Ethnicity	Asian	74	19.6	235	22.9	309	22.0	
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian	0		0		0		
	Pacific Islander	1	39.6	0	0	1	18.8	
	Multiple Races	0	0	19	12.8	19	5.8	
	Other/Unknown	4	16.8	23	39.8	27	33.1	
TOTAL CASES		188	1.7	566	6.8	754	3.9	

^{*}Rate calculations are based on 2010 United States Census data; per 100,000 population

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

Statewide, in 2019, the lowest incidence rates of TB were seen among the high-risk pediatric population (<15 years old), with those in the 5-9-year-old age group representing only one case for a rate of 0.1 per 100,000. The highest rate was seen among those 65 years and older (8.8 per 100,000).

White, non-Hispanics continued to have the lowest incidence rate in New York State (0.6 per 100,000), while Asians continued to have the highest rate (22.0 per 100,000). The rate for white, non-Hispanics in New York City was almost three times greater than in the rest of the state (1.4 per 100,000 and 0.6 per 100,000, respectively).

^{**}Age calculations are based on date of birth and report date





Figure 5. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by Race/Ethnicity, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

Over the last five years most TB cases reported in New York State (exclusive of New York City) have been of Asian and Hispanic descent. Since 2015, Asians have continued to represent a larger percentage of reported cases than any other racial/ethnic group.

In 2019, most of the TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) continued to be Asian or Hispanic (N=74 and N=52, respectively). Although there has been variability over the last five years, the proportion of Asian cases seen in 2019 was 3.8 percent higher than that seen in 2015 (39.4% and 35.6%, respectively), whereas the proportion of Hispanic cases in 2019 was 0.5 percent lower than in 2015 (27.7% and 28.2%, respectively).


Figure 6. Race/Ethnicity of Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019



Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control


In 2019, the proportion of white, non-Hispanic cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) was over twice that seen in New York City (17.6% and 6.7%, respectively), whereas the proportion of Asian cases in New York City was similar to that of the rest of the state (41.5% and 39.4%, respectively).

Figure 7. Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by Gender, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019




Over the last five years, males have consistently comprised a higher proportion of TB cases compared to females in New York State (exclusive of New York City). In 2019, 54.8 percent (N=103/188) of reported cases were male and 45.2 percent (N=85/188) were female, representing the smallest gender disparity seen in the last five years.

Figure 8. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates* by Age** and Gender, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019



In 2019, the difference in TB morbidity between males and females in New York State (exclusive of New York City) varied depending on age. The number of cases and rate were similar for males and females between the ages of 20 and 24 years old, and among those between 45 and 54 years of age. Other groups older than 35 consistently show the case number and rate for males consistently exceeded that of females. The largest gender gap in TB morbidity was seen among cases 65 years of age and older, where the case rate for males was twice that of females (4.9 per 100,000 for males; 2.4 per 100,000 for females).

Figure 9. Tuberculosis Cases by Age* and Race/Ethnicity, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019



In 2019, 56 (29.8%) cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) were 65 years of age and older. Thirty (53.6%) of these cases were Asian and ten (17.9%) were white, non-Hispanic.

The second largest number of TB cases reported in 2019 for New York State (exclusive of New York City) was seen in the 55-64 age group (N=36). Seventeen (47.2%) of these cases were Asian and nine (25%) were white, non-Hispanic.





Figure 10. Tuberculosis Cases by Age* and Race/Ethnicity, New York City, 2019

In New York City, the largest number of TB cases reported in 2019 was seen in the 65 years of age and older group (N=175). Among these 175 cases, 96 (54.9%) were Asian and 34 (19.4%) were Hispanic.


In 2019 the second largest number of TB cases in New York City was identified in the 55-64 age group (N=99). Forty-eight (48.5%) cases in this age group were Asian and 25 (25.3%) were Hispanic.

Figure 11. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born* and Foreign-Born Status, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 1985-2019



In 2019, there were 160 foreign-born cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City), an 8.1 percent increase from the 148 reported in 2018. The percentage foreign-born also increased from 77.5 percent in 2018 to 85.1 percent in 2019.

Figure 12. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born* and Foreign-Born Status, New York City, 1985-2019



In New York City, the number of foreign-born TB cases increased from 470 in 2018 to 485 in 2019. The proportion of foreign-born cases however decreased, from 84.2 percent in 2018 to 83.8 percent in 2019.

Table 4. Tuberculosis Cases by Country of Origin*, New York State, 2019

C .	New York State	New York City	New York State
Country	(Exclusive of New York City)		(Total)
China	8	116	124
United States	28	81	109
India	24	20	44
Ecuador	4	31	35
Dominican Republic	5	27	32
Mexico	7	23	30
Bangladesh	3	26	29
Philippines	7	20	27
Haiti	6	18	24
Pakistan	11	13	24
Guatemala	9	10	19
Korea, South	3	14	17
Nepal	1	14	15
Burma	3	9	12
Guyana	0	12	12
Peru	7	5	12
Vietnam	6	6	12
Colombia	2	8	10
Honduras	6	4	10
Puerto Rico	0	10	10
Afghanistan	7	1	8
El Salvador	5	3	8
Hong Kong	1	7	8
Trinidad and Tobago	0	7	7
Russia	1	4	5
Ukraine	1	4	5
Other Countries	32	72	104
Unknown	1	1	2
TOTAL CASES	188	566	754

^{*}Only countries representing ≥5 TB cases are named **Puerto Rico and other U.S. Territories are

considered separately for the purpose of this table

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In 2019, there were 87 different countries (not including the United States) represented by the 754 TB cases reported in New York State, 25 of which were represented by at least five cases. As in previous years, the most common country of origin for foreign-born TB cases reported by New York State (exclusive of New York City) was India (N=24) and for New York City, the most common country was China (N=116).

Table 5. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born* and Foreign-Born Status, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019

County	Total Number	U.SBorn Number	Foreign-Born Number	Foreign-Born Percent
Albany	5	0	5	100.0
Allegany	2	2	0	0.0
Broome	1	0	1	100.0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0.0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0.0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0.0
Chemung	0	0	0	0.0
Chenango	0	0	0	0.0
Clinton		0		
Columbia	1		1	100.0
Cortland	2	2	0	0.0
	0	0	0	0.0
Delaware	0	0	0	0.0
Dutchess	3	1	2	66.7
Erie	3	1	2	66.7
Essex	1	1	0	0.0
Franklin	0	0	0	0.0
Fulton	2	1	1	50.0
Genesee	3	0	3	100.0
Greene	0	0	0	0.0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0.0
Herkimer	1	0	1	0.0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0.0
Lewis	0	0	0	0.0
Livingston	1	1	0	0.0
Madison	0	0	0	0.0
Monroe	15	3	12	80.0
Montgomery				
	0	0	0	0.0
Nassau	51	3	48	94.1
Niagara	1	1	0	0.0
Oneida	4	0	4	100.0
Onondaga	8	1	7	87.5
Ontario	0	0	0	0.0
Orange	7	2	5	71.4
Orleans	1	1	0	0.0
Oswego	1	1	0	0.0
Otsego	0	0	0	0.0
Putnam	1	0	1	100.0
Rensselaer	2	1	1	50.0
Rockland	10	1	9	90.0
St. Lawrence	1	0	1	100.0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0.0
Schenectady	1	0	1	100.0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0.0
Schuyler	0	0	0	0.0
Seneca		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>-</u>	
Steuben	0	0	0	0.0
Suffolk	2	1	1	50.0
	31	4	27	87.1
Sullivan	0	0	0	0.0
Tioga	0	0	0	0.0
Tompkins	2	0	2	100.0
Ulster	1	0	1	100.0
Warren	0	0	0	0.0
Washington	0	0	0	0.0
Wayne	0	0	0	0.0
Westchester	24	0	24	100.0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0.0
Yates	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL CASES	188	28	160	85.1
TOTAL CASES	100	20	istrict of Columbia, or b	03.1

In 2019, there were 160 foreign-born TB cases reported in New York State (exclusive of New York City). Over half (61.9%, N=99/160) of these cases were identified in Nassau, Suffolk and Westchester alone. Among the other counties that reported at least four foreign-born cases, Albany and Oneida reported the highest foreign-born percentage (100.0%) while Orange reported the lowest percentage (71.4%). In the remaining counties with foreign-born cases, the number and percentage varied.

^{*}U.S.-born is defined as someone born in one of the 50 states, District of Columbia, or born outside the United States to at least one parent who was a U.S. citizen.

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

Table 6. Length of Time Foreign-Born Tuberculosis Cases were in the United States Prior to Diagnosis, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019

Length of Time in the U.S. (Years)	No.	%
<1	19	10.1
1-5	36	19.1
6-10	26	13.8
11-15	13	6.9
16-20	17	9.0
21-30	23	12.2
31-40	14	7.4
41-50	6	3.2
51-60	2	1.1
61-70	1	0.5
Unknown	3	16.5


Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In 2019, 34.4 percent (N=55/160) of foreign-born TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) were diagnosed within five years of entering the U.S. Nineteen (34.5%) of these 55 cases entered the U.S. within one year prior to diagnosis.

HIV CO-INFECTION

Knowledge of HIV status is essential for the proper management of patients with TB. HIV infection impairs the immune system leaving individuals at greater risk for becoming infected with TB and developing active disease.


Figure 13. HIV Status for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019



In 2019, 86.7% (N=163/188) of TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) and 84.6 percent (N=479/566) of cases in New York City had a known HIV status. The co-infection rate for TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) was the half the rate seen in New York City (3.2% vs 6.4%). Individuals missing HIV testing information and those who were not offered or had refused testing were considered to have an unknown status.

HIV CO-INFECTION

Figure 14. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases Who Have Been Tested for HIV, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2010-2019



Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In New York State (exclusive of New York City), the proportion of TB cases with a known HIV status has generally increased over the last decade. In 2019, 86.7 percent (N=163/188) of TB cases had a documented HIV result, which was lower than the previous three years.

TB cases under five years old and those between 10-14 years old had the lowest proportion of known HIV results (25%, N=1/4 and 0%, N=0/1, respectively), while those in the 20-24, 35-44 and 45-54 year age groups had the highest proportion of known HIV results (100%, N=8/8; 96.9%, N=31/32 and 95%, N=19/20, respectively).

HIV CO-INFECTION

Table 7a. HIV Status for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

HIV Test	2015		2016		2017		20	18	2019		
пту тезі	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Negative	152	80.9	170	83.7	171	88.6	164	85.9	157	83.5	
Positive	5	2.7	10	4.9	6	3.1	9	4.7	6	3.2	
Refused	12	6.4	7	3.4	9	4.7	11	5.8	12	6.4	
Not Offered	15	8.0	12	5.9	6	3.1	5	2.6	9	4.8	
Missing/Unknown	4	2.1	4	2.0	1	0.5	2	1.0	4	2.1	
TOTAL CASES	188		203		193		19	91	188		

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In 2019, 13.3 percent (N=25/188) of TB cases in New York State (excluding New York City) had an unknown HIV status (refused, not offered or missing/unknown), which was the second highest percentage in the last five years. Among these 25 cases, 48.0 percent (N=12) refused testing. Of these 12 cases, nine (75%) were over 55 years old.

Table 7b. HIV Status for Tuberculosis Cases by Gender, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019

HIV Test	Ma	ale	Fema	ale	Total		
niv iest	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Negative	89	86.4	68	80.0	157	83.5	
Positive	5	4.9	1	1.2	6	3.2	
Refused	4	3.9	8	9.4	12	6.4	
Not Offered	4	3.9	5	5.9	9	4.8	
Missing/Unknown	1	0.9	3	3.5	4	2.1	
TOTAL CASES	103		85		188		

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In New York State (exclusive of New York City), the proportion of TB cases with a known HIV status was greater among males compared to females in 2019 (91.3% and 81.2%, respectively). Of the six cases with HIV co-infection, 83.3 percent (N=5/6) were male.

REASONS FOR EVALUATION

Table 8a. Primary Reason for Evaluation of Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

Primary Reason for Evaluation	20	15	2016		2017		2018		2019	
Frimary Reason for Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
TB Symptoms	91	48.4	93	45.8	92	47.7	90	47.1	87	46.3
Abnormal Chest Radiograph	41	21.8	45	22.2	44	22.8	50	26.2	46	24.5
Incidental Lab Result	35	18.6	42	20.7	37	19.2	30	15.7	39	20.7
Contact Investigation	14	7.4	6	3.0	3	1.6	5	2.6	3	1.6
Targeted Testing	4	2.1	7	3.4	4	2.1	4	2.1	4	2.1
Immigration Medical Exam	0	0.0	1	0.5	7	3.6	1	0.5	1	0.5
Employment/Administrative	0	0.0	2	1.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	4	2.1
Health Care Worker	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	3	1.6	1	0.5
Unknown	3	1.6	6	3.0	5	2.6	8	4.2	3	1.6
TOTAL CASES	18	88	2	03	1	93	191		18	88

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In 2019, 46.3 percent (N=87/188) of TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) were evaluated because of TB symptoms. The second most common reason for evaluation was an abnormal chest radiograph (24.5%, N=46/188) followed by an incidental lab result (20.7%, N=39/188). Over the past five years, these have continued to be the three most frequently reported reasons for evaluation.

Table 8b. Primary Reason for Evaluation of Tuberculosis Cases by U.S.-Born* and Foreign-Born Status, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019

Primary Reason for Evaluation	U.S	Born	Foreig	n-Born	To	tal
Timary Reason for Evaluation	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
TB Symptoms	13	46.4	74	46.3	87	46.3
Abnormal Chest Radiograph	5	17.9	41	25.6	46	24.5
Incidental Lab Result	7	25.0	32	20.0	39	20.7
Contact Investigation	2	7.1	1	0.6	3	1.6
Targeted Testing	0	0.0	4	2.5	4	2.1
Immigration Medical Exam	0	0.0	1	0.6	1	0.5
Employment/Administrative Testing	0	0.0	4	2.5	4	2.1
Health Care Worker	1	3.6	0	0.0	1	0.5
Unknown	0	0.0	3	1.9	3	1.6
TOTAL CASES	2	28	1	60	1	88

*U.S.-born is defined as someone born in one of the 50 states, District of Columbia, or born outside the United States to at least one parent who was a U.S. citizen Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

Overall, the primary reason for evaluation was more diverse among foreign-born cases compared to U.S.-born cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City). TB symptoms made up the largest proportion of reasons for evaluation among both the U.S.-born and Foreign-born (46.4% and 46.3% respectively).

Aside from the commonly collected risk factors, such as HIV status, drug/alcohol usage, occupation and country of birth, there are additional medical and exposure risk factors that are associated with TB. Medical risk factors are conditions that weaken an individual's immune defenses against TB and may complicate the management of the disease. Exposure risk factors are those that place an individual at increased risk of TB transmission.

Table 9a. Additional Risk Factors* Among Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

Additional Risl	Factors	2	015	2016		2	017	2018		2019	
Additional Kisi	A l'actors	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	Diabetes Mellitus	34	18.1	36	17.7	33	17.1	38	19.9	30	14.9
	Immunosuppression (not HIV/AIDS)	6	3.2	11	5.4	9	4.7	13	6.8	15	7.4
Medical Risk	Incomplete LTBI Therapy	8	4.3	4	2.0	5	2.6	3	1.6	5	2.5
	End-Stage Renal Disease	3	1.6	4	2.0	5	2.6	9	4.7	5	2.5
	Post-OrganTransplantation	3	1.6	1	0.5	1	0.5	2	1.0	1	0.5
	TNF-α Antagonist Therapy	1	0.5	4	2.0	2	1.0	3	1.6	2	1.0
	Contact of Infectious TB Patient	20	10.6	10	4.9	8	4.1	9	4.7	12	5.9
Exposure Risk**	Contact of MDR-TB Patient	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Missed Contact	1	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0
Other Risk	Other Factors	26	13.8	34	16.7	25	13.0	20	10.5	25	12.4
None	No Additional Factors	100	53.2	116	57.1	117	60.6	107	56.0	107	53.0
TOTAL CASES		188		203		193		191		202	

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

Although most TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) didn't have additional risk factors, between 39 and 47 percent of those diagnosed in the last five years had at least one. Among these cases, diabetes continues to be the most commonly reported risk factor. In 2019, 16 percent (N=30/188) of cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) had diabetes, the highest proportion seen in at least five years.

Table 9b. Additional Risk Factors* Among Tuberculosis Cases by Gender, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2019

Additional Risl	z Factore]N	Iale	Fer	nale	Т	otal
Additional Kisi	A Pactors	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	Diabetes Mellitus	14	12.8	16	17.2	30	14.9
	Immunosuppression (not HIV/AIDS)	10	9.2	5	5.4	15	7.4
Medical Risk	Incomplete LTBI Therapy	2	1.8	3	3.2	5	2.5
Medical Risk	End-Stage Renal Disease	3	2.8	2	2.2	5	2.5
	Post-OrganTransplantation	1	0.9	0	0.0	1	0.5
	TNF-α Antagonist Therapy	0	0.0	2	2.2	2	1.0
	Contact of Infectious TB Patient	7	6.4	5	5.4	12	5.9
Exposure Risk**	Contact of MDR-TB Patient	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
_	Missed Contact	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Other Risk	Other Factors	15	13.8	10	10.8	25	12.4
None	No Additonal Factors	57	52.3	50	53.8	107	53.0
TOTAL CASES			109	(93	2	202

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive **Within the last 2 years


LTBI = Latent Tuberculosis Infection

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control In 2019, 44.6 % of male TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) had at least one additional risk factor compared to 50.5% female cases. The male cases had a larger proportion than females with immunosuppression (not HIV/AIDS) (9.2% and 5.4%, respectively).

^{**}Within the last 2 years

LTBI = Latent Tuberculosis Infection

Figure 15. Tuberculosis Cases and Rates* Among DOCCS** Inmates, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 1986-2019



During the late 1980s and early 1990s, a substantial proportion of TB cases reported by New York State (exclusive of New York City) were in the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS) inmate population. Among the DOCCS inmate population, there has been a notable decline in cases since 1991 when 102 new cases (176 per 100,000 inmates) were reported. In 2019, no new TB cases were reported among the DOCCS inmate population.

There is an increased risk of TB transmission for residents and staff of congregate settings (e.g., correctional facilities and long-term care facilities) due to the close proximity and prolonged contact with others. Residents of congregate settings may also have significant comorbidities that amplify this risk even further.

Table 10. High-Risk Congregate Setting at the Time of Diagnosis for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

Congregate	Setting at Time of	20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19
TB	Diagnosis	No.	%								
	Juvenile Facility	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Correctional	Local Jail	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5	1	0.5
	State Prison	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Facility	Federal Prison	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.1
	Other Facility	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5
	Alcohol/Drug Treatment	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Hospital-Based	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Long Town	Mental Health Residence	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5
Long-Term Care Facility	Nursing Home	2	1.1	4	2.0	3	1.6	2	1.0	3	1.6
care racinty	Residential	0	0.0	2	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Other Long-Term Care	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL CASES		18	38	20	03	19	93	19	91	18	88

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

The number and percentage of cases diagnosed while residing in a congregate setting varied over the last five years in New York State (exclusive of New York City), but was highest in 2019 (4.3%, N=8/188) and lowest in 2018 (1.6%, N=3/191). In 2019, 50.0 percent (N=4/8) of cases diagnosed in a congregate setting were identified in a long-term care facility, of which three were in a nursing home and one in a Mental Health Residence.

Table 11. Homelessness Among Tuberculosis Cases Within the Past Year, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

The homeless population is at increased risk of acquiring or transmitting TB to others as homelessness is often accompanied by other risk factors associated with TB, such as substance abuse, HIV infection, and inadequate medical care. A person is considered to be homeless if they

No. % 2015 5 2.7 2016 5 2.5 2017 7 3.6	Year	Homel	ess Cases
2016 5 2.5 2017 7 3.6	Teal	No.	%
2017 7 3.6	2015	5	2.7
	2016	5	2.5
2018 5 26	2017	7	3.6
2010 0 2.0	2018	5	2.6
2019 5 2.7	2019	5	2.7

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control don't have a fixed, regular nighttime residence. These individuals may live on the streets, alternate between many temporary residences, or reside in privately or publicly supervised shelters.

From 2015 to 2019, an average of 2.8 percent (N=27/963) of TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) were homeless within the 12 months prior to diagnosis. In 2019, 2.7 percent (N=5/188) of TB cases were homeless.

Substance abuse weakens the immune system which can leave people more infectious or at greater risk of becoming infected and developing active TB. Also, the drugs used to treat TB can be toxic to the liver so substance abuse, such as excess alcohol use, can increase the damaging effects of treatment.

Table 12. Substance Abuse* Among Tuberculosis Cases Within the Past Year, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

Substance Abuse	20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19
Substance Abuse	No.	%								
Injection Drug Use	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.0	1	0.5	0	0.0
Non-Injection Drug Use	2	1.1	8	3.9	6	3.1	10	5.2	3	1.6
Excess Alcohol Use	15	8.0	14	6.9	17	8.8	19	9.9	6	3.2
TOTAL CASES	18	38	20	03	19	93	19	91	18	88

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In New York State (exclusive of New York City), excess alcohol use has been the most commonly reported form of substance abuse among TB cases over the last five years. There were six cases (3.2%) in 2019 who reported alcohol abuse, the lowest rate reported since 2015. In 2019 there were no cases that reported both drug and alcohol abuse.

DRUG RESISTANCE

The first-line drugs used for treating TB disease are isoniazid (INH), rifampin (RIF), pyrazinamide (PZA), ethambutol (EMB), and less commonly streptomycin (SM), but there are other second-line drugs that can be used when necessary. Most TB strains are susceptible to all first-line drugs, but resistance to one or more can occur, which could complicate the management of the disease. Multidrug-resistant TB (MDR TB) is caused by a TB strain that is resistant to at least INH and RIF. Extensively drug resistant TB (XDR TB) is MDR TB with additional resistance to second-line drugs, such as any fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, and ofloxacin) and at least one of the injectable drugs (amikacin, kanamycin, and capreomycin). Drug susceptibility testing (DST) is performed whenever possible to identify any drug resistance.

Table 13. Phenotypic Drug Susceptibility Results for Culture-Confirmed Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

First-Line Drug	Susceptibility Results	20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19
rn st Line Drug	susceptibility Results	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Positive Culture		150		150		142		151		150	
Susceptibility Test R	eported	150	100.0	148	98.7	138	97.2	130	86.1	81	54.0
	Susceptible to all first-line drugs	123	82.0	125	84.5	120	87.0	104	80.0	64	79.0
Susceptibility Test	INH and RIF resistant (MDR TB)	1	0.7	0	0.0	2	1.4	2	1.5	1	1.2
Results	INH resistant, RIF susceptible	16	10.7	12	8.1	7	5.1	13	10.0	15	18.5
Results	RIF resistant, INH susceptible	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Resistance other than INH and RIF	10	6.7	11	7.4	9	6.5	11	8.5	1	1.2

^{*1} case had extensively drug resistant TB (XDR TB) INH = Isoniazid; RIF = Rifampin; MDR TB = Multidrug-resistant TB


Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

Over the last five years, there have been 743 culture-confirmed TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City). Phenotypic DST results have been reported for 87.1 percent (N=647/743) of these cases, most (82.8%, N=536) of which have been susceptible to all first-line TB drugs.

Since March 2016, in addition to phenotypic DST, New York State Wadsworth Center for Laboratories and Research has been performing Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) on the first isolate for each TB case. Toward the end of 2018, Wadsworth Laboratories changed their protocol to focus primarily on WGS and perform phenotypic DST only when genetic mutations suggestive of resistance were identified. As a result of this change, in 2019 only 54.0 percent (N=81/150) of cases had phenotypic DST results. The remaining 69 culture-confirmed cases (46.0%) without phenotypic DST results had molecular DST results by WGS that indicated susceptibility to all first line drugs.

DRUG RESISTANCE

Figure 16. Number and Percent of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis Cases,* New York State, 2015-2019



Over the last five years, there were almost nine times as many MDR TB cases in New York City compared to the remainder of the state (N=53 and N=6, respectively). Since 2015, the proportion of cases with MDR TB has generally increased in New York City. During the same period, the trend for the rest of the state has fluctuated between zero and two cases. In 2019, one (0.5%) MDR TB case was reported for New York State (exclusive of New York City), whereas in New York City there were 12 (2.1%) MDR TB cases reported.

GENOTYPING

Table 14. Tuberculosis Genotyping Summary for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

Conotymina		20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19
Genotyping	5	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Initial Positiv	ve Cultures	157		154		146		157		150	
	Total False Positives	7		4		4		4		1	
False Positives	Control strain	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
raise Positives	Contamination	6	3.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0
	M. bovis BCG	1	0.6	4	2.6	3	2.1	3	2.1	1	2.1
	Total True Positives	150		150		142		153		149	
	Isolates Available	150		149		141		151		149	
True Positives	Complete Genotype*	146	97.3	147	98.7	136	96.5	151	100.0	147	98.7
	Partial Genotype	149	99.3	147	98.7	138	97.9	151	100.0	147	98.7
	No Result	0	0.0	2	1.3	3	2.1	2	2.1	2	1.3

^{*}Complete genotype means having both a spoligotype and MIRU result MIRU = mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

New York State requires that all initial positive cultures be submitted for genotyping. Beginning in 2004, real time spoligotyping and subsequent restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) testing were performed at the Department's Wadsworth Center for Laboratories and Research, but as of 2009 RFLP was discontinued. In addition, the CDC-sponsored National Tuberculosis Genotyping Program has performed mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit (MIRU) and spoligotyping, both of which are needed for a genotype to be considered complete.

In 2019, 100 percent (N=149/149) of isolates in New York State (exclusive of New York City) were available for genotyping. Of these 149 isolates, 98.7 percent (N=147) had a complete genotype (spoligotype and MIRU result).

SITE OF DISEASE

The primary site of disease for most TB cases is pulmonary, but extrapulmonary involvement also occurs. TB is spread from person to person through airborne transmission, so cases with pulmonary involvement have the greatest potential to infect others.


Table 15. Primary Site of Disease for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2015-2019

Primary Site of Disease	20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19
Filliary Site of Disease	No.	%								
Pulmonary	124	66.0	115	56.7	122	63.2	119	62.3	115	61.2
Extrapulmonary	37	19.7	63	31.0	48	24.9	49	25.7	49	26.1
Both	27	14.4	25	12.3	23	11.9	23	12.0	24	12.8
TOTAL CASES	18	88	20	03	19	93	19	91	18	88

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In the last five years, the proportion of TB cases with pulmonary disease ranged from 69 to 80 percent in New York State (exclusive of New York City). The highest proportion of cases with pulmonary TB was observed in 2015 (80.3%) and the lowest was seen in 2016 (69.0%).

Figure 17. Primary Site of Disease for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019



Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

Eighty one percent (N=458/566) of TB cases in New York City had pulmonary disease compared to 73.9 percent (N=139/188) of cases in the rest of the state. Among these 597 pulmonary cases throughout the state, 110 also had disease in one or more extra-pulmonary sites.

SITE OF DISEASE

Table 16. Extra-Pulmonary Sites of Disease* for Tuberculosis Cases, New York State, 2019

Extra-Pulmonary	New York State	New York City	New York State
Site of Disease	(Exclusive of New York City)		(Total)
Lymphatic	29	80	109
Pleural	18	61	79
Bone/Joint	7	20	27
Genitourinary	3	9	12
Peritoneal	2	11	13
Meningeal	0	4	4
Laryngeal	1	1	1
Other	14	36	50

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

There were 295 cases in New York State with at least one extra-pulmonary site of disease in 2019. Among these cases, the most common sites of disease were lymphatic (N=109), pleural (N=79) and bone/joint (N=27).

COMPLETION OF THERAPY

Table 17. Treatment Status for Tuberculosis Cases*, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2014-2018

Treatment Status	20)14	20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18
Treatment Status	No.	%								
Open	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5
Complete	174	87.9	161	87.5	185	93.0	176	92.1	165	87.3
Died	13	6.6	14	7.6	8	4.0	11	5.8	12	6.3
Uncooperative/Refused	3	1.5	2	1.1	1	0.5	1	0.5	1	0.5
Lost	2	1.0	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	2	1.1
Adverse Treatment Event	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5
Other	6	3.0	6	3.3	4	2.0	3	1.6	7	3.7
TOTAL CASES	1	98	1	84	1	99	1	91	18	89

*Excludes patients found not to have TB, those who were reported at death and those who never started treatment

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

In New York State (exclusive of New York City), the average treatment completion rate for TB cases who were alive at diagnosis and started treatment between 2014 and 2018 (the most recent year for which completion information is available) was 89.6 percent (N=861/961). The completion rate for 2018 was 87.3 percent.

Table 18. Treatment Status for Tuberculosis Cases* Reported in 2018, New York State (Exclusive of New York City)


Treatment Status	Non-M	IDR TB	MD	R TB	Total	
Treatment Status	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Complete	163	87.2	1	50.0	164	86.8
Died	12	6.4	0	0.0	12	6.3
Uncooperative/Refused	1	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.5
Lost	2	1.1	0	0.0	2	1.1
Adverse Treatment Event	1	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.5
Open	1	0.5	1	50.0	2	1.1
Other	7	3.7	0	0.0	7	3.7
TOTAL CASES	1	87		2	1	89

*Excludes patients found not to have TB, those who were reported at death and those who never started treatment MDR TB = Multidrug-resistantTB Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

For the 189 TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) who were alive at diagnosis and who started treatment in 2018, 86.8 percent (N=164/189) completed treatment. Among the two MDR TB cases, one had multiple comorbidities and died from causes unrelated to TB disease or TB treatment.

COMPLETION OF THERAPY

Figure 18. Percent of Tuberculosis Cases Who Completed Treatment Within 12 Months*, by U.S.-Born** and Foreign-Born Status, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2009-2018



For 2018 (the most recent year for which complete information is available), 96.7 percent (N=148/153) of patients in New York State (exclusive of New York City) eligible^ to complete treatment within 12 months, did so.

In 2018 U.S.-Born patients had 100 percent completion rate while foreign-born was 95.8 percent, in contrast to the prior year when rates were similar (92.0% and 92.1%, respectively).

^Patients with rifampin resistance, those with meningeal TB, and children under 15 who have disseminated TB (miliary TB or evidence of miliary TB on chest radiograph, or a positive blood culture) are ineligible to complete within 12 months so they are excluded. Those who were never started on treatment, were dead at diagnosis, or who died while on treatment are also excluded. Effective January 2009, the CDC revised the definition of who is eligible to complete treatment to also exclude patients who moved out of the country while on treatment.

CONTACTS TO INFECTIOUS TUBERCULOSIS CASES

People who come in close contact with an infectious TB case for a prolonged period are at high risk of becoming infected. Since TB is spread person to person by breathing in airborne particles from another infected individual, pulmonary TB cases who are exhibiting symptoms, such as coughing, are most likely to transmit TB to others. For newly diagnosed cases, investigations are conducted to identify close contacts who may have been infected. Once contacts are identified, they are notified of their exposure and efforts are made to get each contact evaluated. Upon evaluation, if a contact has a positive tuberculin skin test (TST) or a positive Interferon-Gamma Release Assay, further evaluation is done to determine if the infection is active TB disease or LTBI. Treatment options for the condition are then discussed. Individuals who have been recently infected have a greater risk of their infection developing into active TB disease, so it is important for LTBI patients to complete treatment.

Table 19. Number and Percent of Infectious Tuberculosis Cases with Contacts Identified, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2009-2018

Year	Total Infectious Cases		Cases with Identified
2009	66	65	98.5
2010	73	72	98.6
2011	80	78	97.5
2012	75	75	100.0
2013	63	62	98.4
2014	72	72	100.0
2015	72	72	100.0
2016	50	49	98.0
2017	54	53	98.1
2018	69	67	97.1

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control In 2018 (the most recent year for which complete information is available), 97.1 percent (N=67/69) of infectious TB cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) had contacts identified. This was just below the state objective of 98.0 percent for 2018.


Table 20. Number and Percent of Contacts to Infectious Tuberculosis Cases Evaluated for Latent Tuberculosis Infection, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2009-2018

Year	Total Contacts Identified	Contacts Evaluated						
	Identified	No.	%					
2009	1,768	1,447	81.8					
2010	2,253	2,027	89.9					
2011	3,662	3,049	83.3					
2012	1,851	1,587	85.7					
2013	1,462	1,215	83.1					
2014	1,843	1,571	85.2					
2015	1,922	1,431	74.5					
2016	933	725	77.7					
2017	1,714	1,417	82.7					
2018	1,509	1,359	90.1					

Source: New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control Ninety percent (N=1,359/1,509) of contacts to infectious cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) were evaluated for LTBI in 2018 (the most recent year for which complete information is available). This was a seven percent increase from the previous year (90.1% and 82.7%, respectively and surpassed the New York State Objective of 85%.

CONTACTS TO INFECTIOUS TUBERCULOSIS CASES


Figure 19. Number and Percent of Contacts to Infectious Tuberculosis Cases Placed on Treatment for Latent Tuberculosis Infection and Completed*, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 2009-2018



Among the contacts to infectious cases in New York State (exclusive of New York City) who were evaluated in 2018 (the most recent year for which complete information is available), ten percent (N=138/1,359) were diagnosed with LTBI. Eighty-five percent (N=117/138) of these contacts were started on a treatment regimen and 70.1 percent (N=82/117) of those who started treatment completed the prescribed regimen. The proportion starting treatment surpassed the 2018 state target of 78%. However, the proportion of contacts with LTBI that completed treatment fell short of the 76% target for 2018.

DIRECTLY OBSERVED THERAPY

Figure 20. Number and Percent of Tuberculosis Cases* Receiving Any Directly Observed Therapy, New York State (Exclusive of New York City), 1991-2019



In New York State (exclusive of New York City) the proportion of cases receiving directly observed therapy (DOT) has been increasing since the early 1990s when it was first actively promoted by the New York State Department of Health, local health units, and others. In 1991, 45.2 percent (N=297/657) of TB cases on treatment received at least part of their therapy as DOT. By 2003, the proportion of cases receiving a portion of their treatment as DOT more than doubled and by 2016 it reached the highest at 98.9 percent (N=187/189). In 2019 this percentage dropped to 92.9 percent (N=158/170) from 95.5 percent (N=171/179) in 2018.

CONTACT INFORMATION

New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

New York State Department of Health Bureau of Tuberculosis Control Empire State Plaza Corning Tower, Room 565 Albany, NY 12237

Tel (518) 474-7000 Main Fax (518) 473-6164 Confidential Fax (518) 408-1941 Email tbcontrol@health.ny.gov

For more information:

www.health.ny.gov/diseases/communicable/tuberculosis

New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Bureau of Tuberculosis Control

New York City Department of Health & Mental Hygiene Bureau of Tuberculosis Control 42-09 28th Street, CN 72B Long Island City, NY 11101

Tel (844) 713-0559 (TB Hotline) **Fax** (844) 713-0557/0558

For more information:

www1.nyc.gov/site/doh/health/health-topics/tuberculosis.page