

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
DIVISION OF FAMILY HEALTH
BUREAU OF EARLY INTERVENTION

NYS EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM

REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

JANUARY 1, 2005 – DECEMBER 31, 2005



New York State Department of Health Early Intervention Program

Annual Report to the Legislature January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

Under Section 2557 of the Public Health Law (PHL), the Department of Health is required to prepare and submit an annual report to the Governor and Legislature, covering the period January 1st to December 31st, with respect to certain aspects of the Early Intervention Program. Specifically, the Department is required to report data, by municipality, on the Early Intervention Program (EIP) for the purposes of improving the efficiency, cost effectiveness, and quality of the EIP. This report was prepared to comply with reporting requirements in the PHL to report to the Governor and the Legislature on data related to the EIP and covers the period January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005.

These reporting requirements were first enacted with the SFY 2003-04 Legislative Budget. By statute, the first report, which was submitted to the Governor and Legislature in March, 2004, was for a six-month period only (July 1, 2003-December 31, 2003). The second report covered calendar year 2004. This report covers calendar year 2005.

Data Collection Methods

Since the inception of the EIP, the Department has supplied municipalities with a software application, known as the Kids Integrated Data System (KIDS), to use in the collection of data, local management of EIP activities, payment of bills submitted by providers, and processing of claims to commercial insurers, Medicaid, and the Department. The Department maintains the KIDS application and periodically issues revised versions of the application to municipalities as necessary to meet new program requirements and/or improve data collection capabilities.

All fifty-eight municipalities use KIDS for data collection and to assist in management of program activities, and all municipalities with the exception of New York City use the billing and claiming components of KIDS. New York City contracts with First Health to manage its provider payment and claiming processes. In addition, the following counties used fiscal agents during the current reporting period to manage their claiming procedures (these fiscal agents use KIDS for claims processing): Dutchess, Erie, Genesee, Monroe, Niagara, Orleans, and Wayne.

KIDS is a distributed data system. Data are collected locally using the KIDS application and submitted to the Department on a quarterly basis. The Department maintains and regularly updates its state-level database on the EIP, using the quarterly data submitted by municipalities. This state-level database is

used by the Department to comply with federal and State reporting requirements, including this report. The database is also used by the Department for program management purposes.

In addition to the KIDS application, the Department maintains a Fiscal System application, which is used to process all claims submitted by municipalities for State reimbursement. In accordance with State law, municipalities must submit claims for payment within two years of the date of service.

The majority of data elements necessary to comply with the legislative requirements for this report existed in either the KIDS application or the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). Subsequent to enactment of these new reporting requirements with the SFY 2003-04 legislative budget, a significant development effort was required to modify the KIDS application to allow municipalities to record, on a claim by claim basis, the reasons for the denial of claims by commercial insurers. The data elements related to insurance are still relatively new and efforts to improve the quality of the data are continuing.

Data Table Descriptions and Data Limitations

Section 2557 of PHL requires the Department to report on data collected from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005. Child and provider data included in this report are based on data submitted to the Department by municipalities in February, 2006 and are complete through December 31, 2005. Medicaid data were supplied by the Office of Medicaid Management, using the Medicaid Management Information System.

All data presented in this report, with the exception of Medicaid data and data submitted by fiscal agents under contract with municipalities, are based on the KIDS application. Fiscal System data for this reporting period are incomplete because of the two-year claiming period for municipalities.

Data are reported by county, New York City, Rest of State excluding New York City, and State, as required under Section 2557(4) of PHL.

The following describes the data included in each of the tables, along with limitations of the data that should be considered when interpreting the data.

Table 1 presents data on the number of children enrolled in the EIP by age for the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005. To assign children to an age category for the period, the following criteria were used: children with an IFSP continuing in the program were assigned to an age category based on their age on January 1; and, children entering the program were assigned to an age category based on the date of the initial IFSP.

Tables 2 and 3 present data for children authorized to receive single services and multiple services, respectively, by type of service and the percent of children

receiving the type of service. For the purpose of these analyses, service coordination services, evaluations, transportation, assistive technology devices, and respite services were excluded.

For **Table 2**, a child was counted as receiving a single service if s/he was *authorized to receive only one type of general early intervention service (e.g., physical therapy only, or speech therapy only, or occupational therapy only, etc) during the entire time period, regardless of the length of time during the reporting period for which the service was authorized*. For example, if a child received an initial IFSP in October, and was authorized to receive speech language therapy and no other service (excluding service coordination, evaluations, transportation, assistive technology devices, and respite services) for the months of November and December, the child was counted as receiving a single service.

For **Table 3**, a child was counted as authorized to receive multiple services if s/he was authorized to receive two or more services (excluding service coordination, evaluations, transportation, assistive technology devices, and respite services) at any time during the entire time period, regardless of whether service authorizations for each type of service were overlapping (e.g., for the same time week, month, etc) or consecutive (e.g., consecutive weeks, months, etc in the period January 1, 2004 – December 31, 2004). Children were counted *under each service type* authorized at any time during this twelve-month period (e.g. there are duplicate child counts across service types).

Section 2557(4) of PHL also requires the Department to collect and report on the average number of visits per week delivered to children receiving a single service and those receiving multiple services. These data for the reporting period, January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005, are not currently available, since state-level claiming data for this time period are incomplete. These data will be reported when available.

Table 4 presents the number of State approved agencies¹ and independent (individual) service providers that were *under direct contract* with one or more municipalities and therefore available to provide services to children during this time period, by service specialty, expressed in full-time equivalents (FTEs). Agency and individual providers that are only approved by the Department and are not reported as under contract, either by a municipality or, for individuals, by an approved agency, are not included in these FTEs. These data are derived from applications submitted by providers *at the time State-approval is sought*, and do not include FTEs for individuals employed or subcontracted with the agency *after their initial application to the Department*. For individual data, FTE data are for individuals who are under direct contract with a municipality and the

¹ Under EIP regulations, an agency means incorporated entities, sole proprietorships, partnerships, and state operated facilities operating under the approval of any state early intervention agency. "Individual" providers means qualified individuals with appropriate licensure, certification, or registration identified as qualified personnel for purposes of the EIP.

data reflect percentage of time available to deliver EIP services at the time of application. Therefore, these data *are likely to under-represent* the current FTEs available to deliver early intervention services, particularly for agency providers. The Department anticipates these data will improve with the initiation of the reapproval process authorized by amendments to Section 2550 of PHL authorized by the SFY 2003-04 budget.

Tables 5 and 6 present the number and percent of children authorized to receive a single service and children authorized to receive multiple services, by the type of State-approved, contracted provider authorized to deliver the service(s) to the child. **Table 5** displays the data by agency providers and individual providers, and **Table 6** presents the data by agency only, individual only, and individual and agency, since children authorized to receive multiple services could be authorized to receive these services from both agencies and individuals under direct contract with municipalities.

Table 7 displays the total number of State-approved providers under contract with municipalities to deliver evaluation services, and the number and percent of evaluators who provided services to children whom they evaluated.

Table 8 displays the number and percent of children whose families were authorized to receive family training, counseling, parent support groups, and respite.

Table 9 displays the number and percent of children who were reported to have some form of third party coverage during the reporting period. Children with an unknown status have no commercial insurance coverage or Medicaid coverage reported during the time period.

Table 10 displays the number and percent of claims submitted to commercial insurers and the claims denied by insurers **that were reconciled** during the reporting period. Data submitted by municipalities using the KIDS application include claims submitted to insurers prior to January 1, 2005 that were subsequently denied, paid, or partially paid by insurers and reconciled on or after January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005. Data reported by fiscal agents under contract with municipalities to perform claiming functions include only those claims that were both submitted and reconciled during the period.

Table 11 displays the number and percent of claims submitted by municipalities and denied by commercial insurers by reason for denial by the insurer. The following are definitions used to report reasons for denial of claims by insurers:

- *EIS Blanket Rejection* - Denial of payment by an insurer based on the service being an EIP service or service provided under a governmental program.
- *Not a covered service/equipment/device* – Denial of payment by an insurer for a service known to be in the child benefit package, for one of the following

reasons: not a covered service, equipment, or device; or, denied based on location of service (e.g. home or community setting).

- *No primary care physician referral* - Denial of payment by an insurer for failure to obtain a referral from the primary care provider prior to the provision of services (including evaluation services).
- *Not medically necessary* – Denial of payment by an insurer when preauthorization was sought and denied by the insurer or when the insurer has determined, independent of any preauthorization requirement, that the service was not medically necessary.
- *Use of out-of-network provider* – Denial of payment by an insurer based on the use of a non-participating provider to deliver the service.
- *Preauthorization required and not obtained* – Denial of payment because preauthorization for the service was required but had not be sought and obtained by the municipality (intended to exclude denial of claims when preauthorization was sought and the insurer does not provide such authorization for the service). ***It is important to state that several municipalities indicated to the Department that this field was also used to report denials when preauthorization was sought, and the insurer denied the preauthorization.***
- *Visit limit reached for service/benefits exhausted* – Denial of payment when policy limits have been reached (either visit limits or amount of reimbursement)(includes claims not submitted by municipalities to insurers upon notification that benefits have been exhausted).
- *Child is not covered at the time of service* – Denial of payment by the insurer for services delivered to a child at a time when the child was not covered by the insurer (e.g., policy terminated or not renewed or services provided prior to the effective date).
- *Unresolved technical errors in claim* – Denial of payment by an insurer due to technical errors on the claim, such as incorrect policy number, incorrect procedure code, incorrect or missing diagnosis, incorrect date of service, or duplicate dates of service.
- *Exceeds insurer's filing-time limit for claims* – Denial of payment by an insurer when the claim exceeds the timeframe from date of service required by the insurer.
- *No response/EOB or remittance advice from insurer* – No response from an insurer in reply to repeated contacts with the insurer by the municipality.
- *Other Denial* – Denial of payment by an insurer for any other reason.

Tables 12 and 13 display the number of claims submitted to Medicaid by the number and amount of claims paid, pending, and denied during the reporting period (Table 12); and, reasons for denial of claims by Medicaid (Table 13). These data were supplied by the Office of Medicaid Management.

Department Guidance and Oversight of the EIP

The Department used these and other data analyses to enhance and improve ongoing state-level management and oversight of the EIP through the actions described below.

1. Department implementation of program management activities to enhance statewide oversight and guidance in implementation of the Early Intervention Program. A number of initiatives are ongoing to enhance and improve State-level oversight of the EIP. These include:
 - A statewide monitoring system to review municipal administration of the EIP and agency and individual providers engaged in the service delivery system. Through its contractor, IPRO, the Department has completed its first full cycle of monitoring, completing review of all 58 municipalities and the agency and individual providers they contract with to deliver early intervention services. A total of 1906 comprehensive on-site programmatic and fiscal reviews were conducted during this first cycle, including reviews of 1266 individual providers and 582 agency providers of early intervention services and the 58 municipalities. Corrective action plans (CAPS) were required of and have been submitted by all providers and municipalities for whom deficiencies were identified. Since May 2005, IPRO has begun the Department's second monitoring cycle, reviewing 300 individual providers, and 123 agency providers to date.
 - Implementation of an auditing program for the EIP, including:
 - development and dissemination of an audit protocol for use in auditing of providers and municipalities by the Department;
 - development of data-driven criteria to prioritize provider audits; and,
 - provision of support to municipalities engaged in local audits of providers, including verification of local audits when requested by municipalities. Department auditors have provided support to 3 municipalities with respect to local audits and monitoring efforts, and completed 10 audits of providers.
 - Continuation of State-level matches on the EIP and Medicaid eligibility files to submit retroactive claims for reimbursement by the federal CMS.

To date, more than \$64 million in Federal Medicaid reimbursements have been achieved through submission of quarterly retroactive claims.

- In order to maximize the contribution of private third party insurance and remove as many barriers as possible, the Department has initiated a “pilot project” on EI insurance claiming. The goal is to standardize basic claiming practices for early intervention services by counties and insurers in the Capital District region. Joining the Department in this effort are representatives from six local municipalities, the New York State Association of Counties, the New York State Association of County Health Officials, the Health Plan Association, CDPHP, Empire Plan, HealthNow, MVP, and the NYS Insurance Department. As promising practices are identified by this group, the Department will share and encourage the use of these practices with municipalities in other regions of the State. Areas under discussion include implementation of the EI subrogation requirement, the application of insurance medical necessity requirements to EI claims, identifying and processing EI claims by insurers, and State provider approval and health plan credentialing.
- In response to a competitive Request for Proposals, the Department has selected a contractor to develop a new state-of-the-art data management system for the EIP, the New York State Early Intervention System (NYEIS). NYEIS will be developed in collaboration with the NYS Office for Technology . This enterprise system will centralize all EI data into a single data system managed and administered at the State level. The anticipated start date of the development phase is May 2006, with system implementation scheduled to begin in Spring 2008.
- The Department continues to maintain the existing distributed data system, KIDS, which captures information about children participating in the EI program on the local level, and which assists municipalities to claim reimbursement for EI services from insurance, Medicaid and the State. These efforts include:
 - release of updated versions of KIDS to institute technical improvements and correct identified problems;
 - providing individual technical assistance and support to municipalities regarding their day-to-day operation of KIDS;
 - researching KIDS operational and policy-related problems identified by the municipalities, collaborating with the Office of Medicaid Management or other programs, as necessary, to resolve the issues, and providing guidance regarding appropriate claiming practices back to the municipalities.

- The Department and State Education Department continue to provide ongoing training and technical assistance to municipalities, EIP providers, school district personnel, and parents to provide direction necessary to ensure children potentially in need of Preschool Special Education receive an evaluation from their school districts by age three; and to ensure the smooth and timely transition of all children from the EIP to other services and supports as needed.
 - The Department continues to provide ongoing training and technical assistance to municipalities, EIP providers, and parents to improve evaluation procedures used to determine eligibility for children referred to the EIP.
 - Training contracts have been established to deliver ongoing statewide training for providers, municipalities, and parents on a variety of topics related to the EIP, and to develop new curricula for the EIP.
 - The Department continues to hold annual meetings with Early Intervention Officials/Managers which provides an opportunity to disseminate information to and dialogue with municipal officials responsible for local implementation of the EI Program. It also affords the municipalities with the opportunity for municipalities to network and problem-solve with each other.
 - The Department continues to hold bimonthly conference calls with Early Intervention Officials/Managers as a method to provide municipal-related training, technical assistance, program updates and guidance. The calls also identify topics that require additional guidance from the Department. Five such calls were held in 2005.
2. General Supervision Enhancement Grant to Establish an EIP Outcomes System for New York State: The Department applied for, and was one of 16 states to receive a grant from the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), to identify child and family outcomes expected to be achieved by participation in the EIP and design and implement a system to measure and report on child and family outcomes. The EIP Outcomes initiative, which is currently under way, is a three-phase project:
- In Phase I, concept mapping methodology was used to work with municipalities, EIP providers, and parents to reach consensus on child and family outcomes that should be achieved by children and families through participation in early intervention services.
 - During Phase II, a field study will be conducted to identify and test data collection methods.
 - In Phase III, the success of this project will be evaluated and a report will be prepared with specific recommendations on implementation of a statewide EIP outcomes system.

3. Collection of additional federally-required data: As of February 2007, the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs is requiring the Department to report prescribed child and family outcome data. The Department is in the process of establishing a Memorandum of Understanding with an institute of higher education to facilitate the collection of these data beginning in the Spring 2006.

Table 1
Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Enrolled
in the Early Intervention Program By Age
January 1, 2005-December 31, 2005
(Children with an IFSP at Any Time During the Period)

	ALL Enrolled (1) N	Age Group (2)							
		Birth -< 1 Year Old		1 -< 2 Years Old		2 -< 3 Years Old		3+ Years Old	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Albany	530	56	10.6%	148	27.9%	299	56.4%	27	5.1%
Allegany	67	6	9.0%	27	40.3%	28	41.8%	6	9.0%
Broome	481	88	18.3%	170	35.3%	198	41.2%	25	5.2%
Cattaraugus	185	28	15.1%	53	28.6%	101	54.6%	3	1.6%
Cayuga	210	38	18.1%	55	26.2%	113	53.8%	4	1.9%
Chautauqua	256	52	20.3%	92	35.9%	111	43.4%	1	0.4%
Chemung	186	41	22.0%	70	37.6%	71	38.2%	4	2.2%
Chenango	78	9	11.5%	26	33.3%	42	53.8%	1	1.3%
Clinton	358	32	8.9%	145	40.5%	166	46.4%	15	4.2%
Columbia	146	11	7.5%	47	32.2%	78	53.4%	10	6.8%
Cortland	120	16	13.3%	31	25.8%	68	56.7%	5	4.2%
Delaware	71	7	9.9%	20	28.2%	37	52.1%	7	9.9%
Dutchess	1,065	81	7.6%	334	31.4%	643	60.4%	7	0.7%
Erie	2,648	290	11.0%	722	27.3%	1,498	56.6%	138	5.2%
Essex	81	16	19.8%	30	37.0%	35	43.2%	0	0.0%
Franklin	98	18	18.4%	25	25.5%	54	55.1%	1	1.0%
Fulton	99	11	11.1%	30	30.3%	51	51.5%	7	7.1%
Genesee	206	19	9.2%	59	28.6%	115	55.8%	13	6.3%
Greene	149	17	11.4%	50	33.6%	77	51.7%	5	3.4%
Hamilton	3	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
Herkimer	71	9	12.7%	27	38.0%	34	47.9%	1	1.4%
Jefferson	164	13	7.9%	59	36.0%	88	53.7%	4	2.4%
Lewis	53	14	26.4%	12	22.6%	27	50.9%	0	0.0%
Livingston	132	20	15.2%	37	28.0%	68	51.5%	7	5.3%
Madison	125	10	8.0%	36	28.8%	73	58.4%	6	4.8%
Monroe	1,853	199	10.7%	565	30.5%	974	52.6%	115	6.2%
Montgomery	105	14	13.3%	23	21.9%	64	61.0%	4	3.8%
Nassau	4,132	448	10.8%	1,273	30.8%	2,170	52.5%	241	5.8%
Niagara	639	58	9.1%	167	26.1%	339	53.1%	75	11.7%
Oneida	524	53	10.1%	136	26.0%	310	59.2%	25	4.8%
Onondaga	1,215	142	11.7%	389	32.0%	615	50.6%	69	5.7%
Ontario	187	17	9.1%	48	25.7%	100	53.5%	22	11.8%
Orange	1,255	158	12.6%	456	36.3%	633	50.4%	8	0.6%
Orleans	120	8	6.7%	38	31.7%	66	55.0%	8	6.7%
Oswego	308	37	12.0%	103	33.4%	157	51.0%	11	3.6%
Otsego	115	13	11.3%	32	27.8%	64	55.7%	6	5.2%
Putnam	247	40	16.2%	75	30.4%	120	48.6%	12	4.9%
Rensselaer	511	61	11.9%	145	28.4%	275	53.8%	30	5.9%
Rockland	2,034	325	16.0%	646	31.8%	1,056	51.9%	7	0.3%
St. Lawrence	139	9	6.5%	43	30.9%	84	60.4%	3	2.2%
Saratoga	490	37	7.6%	161	32.9%	264	53.9%	28	5.7%
Schenectady	309	47	15.2%	80	25.9%	175	56.6%	7	2.3%
Schoharie	71	12	16.9%	26	36.6%	27	38.0%	6	8.5%
Schuylar	27	4	14.8%	7	25.9%	16	59.3%	0	0.0%
Seneca	58	9	15.5%	14	24.1%	30	51.7%	5	8.6%
Steuben	201	20	10.0%	58	28.9%	109	54.2%	14	7.0%
Suffolk	3,488	402	11.5%	998	28.6%	2,046	58.7%	42	1.2%
Sullivan	252	46	18.3%	91	36.1%	110	43.7%	5	2.0%
Tioga	118	22	18.6%	31	26.3%	61	51.7%	4	3.4%
Tompkins	285	31	10.9%	92	32.3%	150	52.6%	12	4.2%
Ulster	420	63	15.0%	131	31.2%	204	48.6%	22	5.2%
Warren	194	15	7.7%	85	43.8%	87	44.8%	7	3.6%
Washington	105	10	9.5%	34	32.4%	52	49.5%	9	8.6%
Wayne	343	34	9.9%	92	26.8%	204	59.5%	13	3.8%
Westchester	3,580	452	12.6%	1,110	31.0%	1,845	51.5%	173	4.8%
Wyoming	94	10	10.6%	29	30.9%	47	50.0%	8	8.5%
Yates	51	4	7.8%	16	31.4%	30	58.8%	1	2.0%
New York City	37,005	4,909	13.3%	10,437	28.2%	17,871	48.3%	3,788	10.2%
ROS	31,052	3,703	11.9%	9,499	30.6%	16,560	53.3%	1,290	4.2%
STATE	68,057	8,612	12.7%	19,936	29.3%	34,431	50.6%	5,078	7.5%

Data Source: KIDS data as of 1/06

(1) Enrolled in EI - Children were counted as enrolled if they had an IFSP at any time during the reporting period, regardless of length of time in the EIP. The "All Enrolled" figure in this table is greater than the sum of "Single Service Children" (Tables 2 & 5) and "Multiple Service Children" (Tables 3 & 6) because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for services which were excluded in those tables like service coordination or evaluations.

(2) Age - Child's age was defined as the age of the child on the earliest IFSP date in the reporting period or the start of the period, whichever was later.

Table 2
Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive A Single
Early Intervention Service By Type of Service
January 1, 2005-December 31, 2005

	Single Service Children	Service Type (1)											
		AT Service	Audio-logy	Fam Cnsl/ Sup (2)	Nursing	Nutri-tion	OT	PT	Psych	Social Wk	Spec Inst	Speech	Vision
Albany	213	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	31.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	59.6%	0.5%
Allegany	30	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	60.0%	3.3%
Broome	196	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	31.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	60.7%	0.0%
Cattaraugus	94	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	28.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	68.1%	0.0%
Cayuga	95	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	31.6%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	55.8%	0.0%
Chautauqua	131	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	27.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	61.8%	0.8%
Chemung	92	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	38.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	45.7%	0.0%
Chenango	23	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	87.0%	4.3%
Clinton	184	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	3.3%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	82.1%	0.0%
Columbia	37	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	78.4%	0.0%
Cortland	56	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	71.4%	0.0%
Delaware	22	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	81.8%	0.0%
Dutchess	477	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.4%	2.1%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	82.4%	0.0%
Erie	1,275	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	14.9%	0.0%	0.2%	1.6%	80.3%	0.5%
Essex	35	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	31.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	54.3%	0.0%
Franklin	44	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%	72.7%	0.0%
Fulton	30	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	40.0%	0.0%
Genesee	50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	64.0%	0.0%
Greene	54	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	75.9%	0.0%
Hamilton	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Herkimer	21	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	23.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	0.0%
Jefferson	66	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	75.8%	0.0%
Lewis	17	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	76.5%	0.0%
Livingston	51	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	51.0%	0.0%
Madison	58	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	75.9%	0.0%
Monroe	807	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	13.3%	0.0%	0.1%	1.9%	81.7%	0.1%
Montgomery	31	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	67.7%	0.0%
Nassau	1,655	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	31.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	62.5%	0.1%
Niagara	255	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	15.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	80.4%	0.4%
Oneida	168	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%	61.9%	0.0%
Onondaga	393	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	19.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	68.4%	0.3%
Ontario	48	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	22.9%	0.0%	2.1%	4.2%	66.7%	0.0%
Orange	491	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	22.4%	0.0%	0.2%	3.9%	72.3%	0.0%
Orleans	47	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	10.6%	0.0%	2.1%	10.6%	72.3%	0.0%
Oswego	95	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	54.7%	33.7%	0.0%
Otsego	51	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	31.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	56.9%	0.0%
Putnam	101	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	39.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	48.5%	0.0%
Rensselaer	162	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	21.6%	0.0%	0.6%	2.5%	74.1%	0.6%
Rockland	579	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	25.2%	0.2%	0.0%	4.1%	66.5%	0.0%
St. Lawrence	39	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	2.6%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	12.8%	74.4%	0.0%
Saratoga	190	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	14.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	75.3%	0.0%
Schenectady	117	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	21.4%	0.9%	0.0%	6.8%	69.2%	0.0%
Schoharie	15	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	53.3%	0.0%
Schuyler	19	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	73.7%	0.0%
Seneca	24	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	66.7%	0.0%
Steuben	65	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	78.5%	0.0%
Suffolk	1,176	0.1%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	30.9%	0.0%	0.1%	3.2%	60.5%	0.3%
Sullivan	70	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	38.6%	1.4%	2.9%	1.4%	55.7%	0.0%
Tioga	55	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	29.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	56.4%	0.0%
Tompkins	105	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	20.0%	0.0%	2.9%	1.9%	72.4%	0.0%
Ulster	151	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	26.5%	0.0%	1.3%	4.6%	65.6%	0.0%
Warren	113	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	88.5%	0.0%
Washington	49	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	79.6%	0.0%
Wayne	136	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	70.6%	0.0%
Westchester	1,420	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	29.4%	0.0%	0.1%	17.4%	49.9%	0.1%
Wyoming	40	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	85.0%	0.0%
Yates	23	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	82.6%	0.0%
New York City	10,074	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	4.8%	23.1%	0.0%	0.1%	27.4%	44.1%	0.1%
ROS	12,042	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	23.1%	0.0%	0.1%	6.1%	67.2%	0.2%
STATE	22,116	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	23.1%	0.0%	0.1%	15.8%	56.7%	0.1%

Data Source: KIDS data as of 1/06

(1) These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in this table and "Multiple Service Children" in Table 3 will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

(2) Fam Cnsl/Sup. - Family Counseling, Family Support Group and Family Training were combined into one category for this report.

Table 3
Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive Multiple
Early Intervention Services By Type of Service
January 1, 2005-December 31, 2005

	Mult. Service Children	Service Type (1)											
		AT Service	Audio-logy	Fam Cnsl/Sup (2)	Nursing	Nutrition	OT	PT	Psych	Social Wk	Spec Inst	Speech	Vision
Albany	300	0.3%	0.0%	11.7%	0.0%	7.7%	54.3%	44.0%	1.7%	21.0%	77.3%	94.3%	2.3%
Allegany	34	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	61.8%	0.0%	0.0%	61.8%	76.5%	5.9%
Broome	274	0.0%	0.0%	21.5%	0.0%	1.5%	64.6%	61.3%	0.0%	18.2%	37.6%	87.2%	4.0%
Cattaraugus	88	3.4%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	43.2%	47.7%	0.0%	0.0%	56.8%	76.1%	1.1%
Cayuga	85	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	36.5%	48.2%	0.0%	0.0%	89.4%	83.5%	7.1%
Chautauqua	121	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	55.4%	57.9%	0.0%	7.4%	46.3%	73.6%	3.3%
Chemung	92	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	62.0%	62.0%	0.0%	1.1%	50.0%	73.9%	0.0%
Chenango	54	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	57.4%	61.1%	0.0%	0.0%	63.0%	94.4%	9.3%
Clinton	161	0.6%	0.0%	1.9%	0.6%	3.1%	49.1%	37.3%	1.2%	1.2%	72.0%	93.2%	1.2%
Columbia	107	0.9%	0.0%	54.2%	0.0%	2.8%	36.4%	32.7%	0.0%	16.8%	47.7%	90.7%	4.7%
Cortland	61	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	4.9%	1.6%	52.5%	52.5%	0.0%	0.0%	72.1%	80.3%	8.2%
Delaware	47	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	2.1%	46.8%	44.7%	0.0%	12.8%	72.3%	76.6%	6.4%
Dutchess	556	1.8%	1.1%	34.5%	0.0%	15.6%	51.3%	36.5%	0.2%	7.2%	57.6%	91.4%	0.5%
Erie	1265	2.3%	1.6%	16.3%	0.1%	0.3%	55.8%	52.6%	1.2%	4.7%	62.2%	82.0%	3.5%
Essex	45	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	48.9%	53.3%	0.0%	0.0%	51.1%	95.6%	2.2%
Franklin	53	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	34.0%	43.4%	0.0%	0.0%	69.8%	84.9%	1.9%
Fulton	63	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	60.3%	54.0%	0.0%	3.2%	84.1%	74.6%	1.6%
Genesee	152	15.8%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	11.8%	58.6%	44.1%	1.3%	1.3%	81.6%	81.6%	3.9%
Greene	93	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	11.8%	55.9%	43.0%	0.0%	22.6%	68.8%	90.3%	0.0%
Hamilton	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Herkimer	47	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	72.3%	59.6%	0.0%	0.0%	59.6%	89.4%	2.1%
Jefferson	94	0.0%	0.0%	43.6%	0.0%	0.0%	51.1%	48.9%	1.1%	0.0%	63.8%	91.5%	1.1%
Lewis	34	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	73.5%	85.3%	0.0%	0.0%	67.6%	70.6%	5.9%
Livingston	80	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	52.5%	41.3%	0.0%	10.0%	81.3%	85.0%	2.5%
Madison	66	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.8%	43.9%	0.0%	3.0%	87.9%	92.4%	3.0%
Monroe	1,027	0.1%	2.1%	17.7%	0.0%	10.0%	49.3%	43.6%	4.2%	22.4%	65.2%	89.4%	3.1%
Montgomery	68	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	44.1%	5.9%	8.8%	76.5%	89.7%	5.9%
Nassau	2,399	1.4%	0.0%	27.6%	0.0%	6.8%	46.0%	42.9%	3.0%	6.0%	74.1%	87.1%	1.2%
Niagara	333	4.2%	0.6%	42.6%	0.0%	0.0%	47.7%	38.7%	1.5%	0.6%	63.7%	87.1%	2.4%
Oneida	346	0.0%	1.2%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	44.2%	43.9%	0.6%	4.0%	67.3%	86.1%	2.6%
Onondaga	800	0.0%	3.3%	26.9%	0.3%	2.1%	52.6%	48.0%	1.3%	12.0%	78.0%	82.0%	3.1%
Ontario	136	0.7%	0.0%	3.7%	0.7%	0.7%	55.1%	46.3%	5.1%	7.4%	80.1%	79.4%	2.9%
Orange	737	3.7%	1.1%	30.3%	0.0%	1.1%	47.6%	43.3%	0.0%	19.7%	66.6%	90.0%	2.4%
Orleans	70	2.9%	0.0%	22.9%	0.0%	8.6%	41.4%	32.9%	0.0%	2.9%	88.6%	85.7%	1.4%
Oswego	207	0.0%	3.4%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	40.1%	48.3%	0.0%	0.5%	94.2%	65.2%	0.5%
Otsego	62	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	1.6%	32.3%	66.1%	0.0%	3.2%	77.4%	79.0%	8.1%
Putnam	137	35.0%	0.0%	13.9%	0.0%	0.7%	64.2%	59.9%	0.0%	16.8%	64.2%	78.1%	1.5%
Rensselaer	340	1.2%	0.3%	8.5%	0.3%	0.0%	40.3%	45.6%	1.5%	28.5%	77.4%	90.3%	5.3%
Rockland	1,438	0.1%	0.4%	19.7%	0.1%	3.8%	51.9%	47.2%	0.4%	2.6%	80.0%	86.4%	2.4%
St. Lawrence	97	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	1.0%	5.2%	32.0%	38.1%	1.0%	12.4%	93.8%	86.6%	5.2%
Saratoga	291	1.0%	0.7%	6.5%	0.0%	11.7%	41.2%	43.6%	0.7%	25.8%	78.7%	88.0%	1.4%
Schenectady	176	1.1%	0.0%	1.7%	11.4%	8.0%	42.6%	47.2%	2.3%	9.1%	84.1%	83.0%	3.4%
Schoharie	53	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	47.2%	56.6%	0.0%	30.2%	37.7%	88.7%	3.8%
Schuyler	7	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	57.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Seneca	34	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	38.2%	0.0%	0.0%	88.2%	79.4%	0.0%
Steuben	130	2.3%	0.8%	32.3%	0.0%	0.0%	73.1%	49.2%	0.0%	0.0%	56.9%	93.1%	0.8%
Suffolk	2,196	1.9%	0.2%	47.0%	0.3%	4.4%	34.1%	40.1%	1.0%	6.5%	78.6%	85.7%	2.8%
Sullivan	177	6.2%	0.6%	0.0%	1.1%	6.8%	49.7%	55.4%	10.7%	14.7%	65.0%	88.1%	5.6%
Tioga	61	0.0%	0.0%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%	62.3%	59.0%	3.3%	9.8%	44.3%	82.0%	6.6%
Tompkins	178	0.0%	1.7%	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%	52.8%	50.6%	4.5%	20.8%	67.4%	92.7%	1.1%
Ulster	264	1.5%	1.9%	11.4%	0.0%	6.8%	50.4%	43.2%	0.0%	24.6%	69.3%	90.9%	2.3%
Warren	76	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	56.6%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55.3%	92.1%	0.0%
Washington	53	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.5%	52.8%	0.0%	20.8%	39.6%	79.2%	5.7%
Wayne	201	5.0%	0.5%	6.5%	0.5%	5.0%	58.7%	46.8%	6.5%	1.5%	77.1%	82.6%	6.0%
Westchester	2,027	8.6%	0.1%	27.4%	0.0%	1.5%	57.8%	51.7%	0.5%	2.6%	69.8%	80.5%	1.1%
Wyoming	49	2.0%	0.0%	18.4%	0.0%	2.0%	65.3%	57.1%	0.0%	12.2%	46.9%	75.5%	4.1%
Yates	28	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	57.1%	3.6%	3.6%	60.7%	78.6%	0.0%
New York City	23,517	0.4%	0.0%	22.6%	0.0%	2.3%	58.7%	53.9%	0.5%	16.4%	73.7%	77.2%	1.4%
ROS	18,171	2.5%	0.7%	23.1%	0.3%	4.0%	49.2%	46.2%	1.5%	8.6%	71.1%	85.5%	2.5%
STATE	41,688	1.3%	0.3%	22.8%	0.1%	3.1%	54.6%	50.5%	0.9%	13.0%	72.6%	80.8%	1.9%

Data Source: KIDS data as of 1/06

(1) These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in Table 2 and "Multiple Service Children" in this table will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

(2) Fam Cnsl/Sup. - Family Counseling, Family Support Group and Family Training services were combined into one category for this report.

Table 4
Number of New York State-Approved Agency and Individual Providers
Under Contract with Municipalities to Provide Early Intervention Services by Service Specialty (1,2)
Expressed as Full Time Equivalents by Type of Discipline (3)
As of December 31, 2005

County	Audiologists		Certified low vision specialists		Certified occupational therapy assistants		Licensed practical nurses, registered nurses and nurse practitioners		Occupational therapists		Orientation and mobility specialists		Pediatricians and other physicians		Physical therapists		Physical therapy assistants	
	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.
Albany	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.6	0.0	6.0	0.0	36.6	10.4	0.2	0.0	4.7	0.0	42.7	9.5	0.3	0.0
Allegany	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	3.4	0.0	9.9	1.3	0.3	0.0	1.3	0.0	9.8	2.3	0.5	0.0
Broome	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	10.3	4.0	2.0	0.0	2.3	1.0	15.2	1.4	3.2	0.0
Cattaraugus	4.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	5.7	0.0	17.4	0.5	0.3	0.0	2.2	0.0	24.2	3.5	3.5	0.0
Cayuga	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	4.2	0.0	10.4	1.0	0.6	0.1	1.9	0.0	13.7	1.9	2.6	0.0
Chautauqua	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	11.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	12.0	5.2	0.0	0.0
Chemung	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	2.9	0.0	9.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	8.9	2.2	1.0	0.0
Chenango	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.1	7.8	0.0	14.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	26.7	2.4	8.1	0.0
Clinton	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	6.5	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Columbia	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	12.5	0.0	24.7	2.2	0.2	0.3	7.0	0.0	26.4	1.7	0.3	0.0
Cortland	0.6	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	6.4	0.0	4.7	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.8	0.0	6.5	0.0	1.0	0.0
Delaware	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	18.9	0.0	12.2	2.4	2.0	0.0	15.6	0.0	23.6	0.6	12.0	0.0
Dutchess	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.6	0.0	11.3	0.0	37.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	7.9	0.0	37.8	0.7	2.0	0.0
Erie	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	12.5	0.0	48.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	4.9	0.0	57.7	0.0	3.3	0.0
Essex	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	6.6	0.0	8.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	2.3	0.0	6.3	1.0	1.0	0.0
Franklin	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	17.4	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	5.1	0.0	1.5	0.0
Fulton	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.6	0.0	17.3	0.0	10.8	1.2	2.7	0.0	3.0	0.0	9.8	1.7	0.6	0.0
Genesee	3.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	3.6	0.0	17.4	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	25.5	1.0	1.7	0.0
Greene	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	19.4	0.0	20.3	3.4	0.2	0.0	6.4	0.0	21.3	2.8	1.9	0.0
Hamilton	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Herkimer	6.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	14.0	0.0	28.2	0.0	34.5	0.2	2.5	0.0	11.9	1.0	36.1	2.5	11.6	0.0
Jefferson	2.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	11.6	0.0	12.4	1.0	2.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	11.2	0.0	2.0	0.0
Lewis	2.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	19.6	1.3	2.6	0.0	1.2	0.0	17.7	1.0	4.0	0.0
Livingston	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	11.2	0.3	1.0	0.0
Madison	3.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	9.5	0.1	2.3	0.0	21.0	4.5	2.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	25.3	1.5	6.0	0.0
Monroe	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.9	0.0	29.2	4.9	2.0	0.1	2.3	0.0	25.2	2.3	0.7	0.0
Montgomery	5.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	10.1	0.0	66.8	0.0	33.2	1.2	2.7	0.0	8.2	0.0	37.1	0.8	14.5	0.0
Nassau	37.4	0.0	1.2	0.8	72.5	0.0	89.3	0.0	368.2	4.3	0.5	0.0	22.1	0.0	313.9	5.5	45.4	0.0
Niagara	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.3	0.0	10.4	0.0	64.1	2.2	0.3	0.0	2.4	0.0	56.1	2.1	3.3	0.0
Oneida	2.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	8.9	0.0	22.7	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	24.3	0.0	6.0	0.0
Onondaga	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	4.2	0.0	26.5	3.4	0.6	0.0	5.6	1.0	29.8	2.4	1.1	0.0
Ontario	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.6	0.0	22.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	10.6	0.8	0.1	0.0
Orange	8.7	0.0	6.3	0.0	13.6	0.0	19.4	0.0	61.8	4.7	1.0	0.2	11.6	0.0	56.6	2.3	0.4	0.0

Table 4 (continued)
Number of New York State-Approved Agency and Individual Providers
Under Contract with Municipalities to Provide Early Intervention Services by Service Specialty (1,2)
Expressed as Full Time Equivalents by Type of Discipline (3)
As of December 31, 2005

County	Audiologists		Certified low vision specialists		Certified occupational therapy assistants		Licensed practical nurses, registered nurses and nurse practitioners		Occupational therapists		Orientation and mobility specialists		Pediatricians and other physicians		Physical therapists		Physical therapy assistants	
	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.
Orleans	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.4	0.0	10.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.2	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.7	0.0
Oswego	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.7	1.0	17.7	0.0	7.5	0.0
Otsego	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	10.6	0.0	20.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	19.2	1.4	6.5	0.0
Putnam	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.3	0.0	65.5	0.0	207.6	4.1	0.0	0.3	22.8	0.0	176.9	2.5	28.5	0.0
Rensselaer	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1	0.0	12.8	0.0	35.1	4.4	0.2	0.0	6.8	0.0	44.9	4.6	2.4	0.0
Rockland	5.8	0.0	2.3	0.0	15.6	0.0	75.7	0.0	96.0	7.1	3.3	0.0	27.8	0.0	99.1	6.5	6.5	0.0
Saint Lawrence	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.4	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	5.5	0.0	1.0	0.0
Saratoga	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	0.0	186.8	0.0	67.0	10.8	0.3	0.0	16.2	0.0	86.0	12.1	16.3	0.0
Schenectady	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	11.8	0.0	66.3	0.0	37.8	3.9	0.2	0.0	9.2	0.0	43.8	1.7	14.6	0.0
Schoharie	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.3	0.0	81.2	0.5	44.8	2.8	0.2	0.0	12.1	0.0	56.6	1.0	19.1	0.0
Schuyler	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	3.1	0.0	11.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	2.0	0.0
Seneca	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.8	1.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	5.6	0.1	0.1	0.0
Steuben	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	8.7	0.0	17.1	2.9	2.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	18.9	5.8	1.5	0.0
Suffolk	34.1	0.0	1.0	2.6	55.1	0.0	437.0	0.0	335.9	9.5	2.9	0.0	88.1	0.0	312.5	14.6	30.7	0.0
Sullivan	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	70.6	0.0	27.4	3.5	0.0	0.2	22.9	0.0	35.3	1.5	8.5	0.0
Tioga	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	12.3	1.9	2.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	18.3	1.9	2.8	0.0
Tompkins	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.8	0.0	3.0	1.4	0.0	0.0
Ulster	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	11.6	0.0	15.3	7.2	0.0	0.3	12.4	0.0	17.2	3.5	1.9	0.0
Warren	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	5.7	0.0	1.5	1.3	0.1	0.0	2.6	0.0	2.5	1.6	0.5	0.0
Washington	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	11.5	0.0	20.9	5.4	0.1	0.0	5.8	0.0	20.6	4.1	0.7	0.0
Wayne	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	5.6	0.0	31.3	4.3	0.1	0.0	3.3	0.0	29.6	0.8	0.1	0.0
Westchester	27.8	0.2	1.2	0.0	40.4	0.0	128.3	0.0	202.3	7.0	4.5	0.3	49.1	0.0	183.3	11.9	28.5	0.0
Wyoming	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.4	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	3.2	0.0	2.0	0.0
Yates	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	8.1	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	8.1	1.2	0.5	0.0
New York City	42.2	0.0	4.2	0.0	54.5	0.0	225.0	0.0	368.2	0.0	8.1	0.0	64.1	0.0	390.0	0.5	25.9	0.0
Rest of State	135.9	2.3	8.6	3.7	224.8	0.1	828.6	1.5	963.3	115.1	18.7	0.6	225.2	1.0	966.6	112.9	131.4	0.0
State	166.0	2.3	11.6	3.7	254.2	0.1	1,031.9	1.5	1,161.7	115.1	21.8	0.6	276.1	1.0	1,205.6	113.4	150.5	0.0

Table 4 (continued)
Number of New York State-Approved Agency and Individual Providers
Under Contract with Municipalities to Provide Early Intervention Services by Service Specialty (1,2)
Expressed as Full Time Equivalents by Type of Discipline (3)
As of December 31, 2005

County	Physician assistants		Psychologists		Registered dieticians		Social workers		Special education teachers		Speech and language pathologists and audiologists		Teachers of the blind and partially sighted		Teachers of the deaf and hearing handicapped		Teachers of the speech and hearing handicapped	
	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.
Albany	0.0	0.0	14.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	5.1	2.6	43.9	7.1	72.9	25.5	0.5	1.4	5.2	0.0	21.2	4.8
Allegany	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	6.3	3.1	10.6	5.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.6
Broome	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	8.6	0.0	19.2	2.6	26.1	15.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	5.1
Cattaraugus	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	23.9	3.1	30.9	3.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	1.0
Cayuga	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	1.7	1.0	6.8	0.0	21.4	3.3	21.3	5.2	0.5	0.1	0.8	0.0	11.7	2.6
Chautauqua	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	3.3	0.3	17.2	2.9	12.6	4.6	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	6.5	0.1
Chemung	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.8	9.3	4.5	18.8	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.2
Chenango	0.0	0.0	7.9	0.0	3.2	0.0	12.2	0.0	24.9	2.7	22.7	5.8	0.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	3.3	2.2
Clinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	4.5	0.0	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Columbia	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	1.1	0.7	7.0	2.2	26.2	3.6	43.9	5.7	1.5	0.9	0.4	0.0	8.5	0.5
Cortland	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	1.1	0.0	4.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
Delaware	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	7.3	0.1	9.4	1.0	17.2	1.5	16.3	2.5	0.8	0.0	0.5	0.1	4.2	0.6
Dutchess	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	4.0	0.4	29.1	0.5	41.7	1.0	41.9	3.7	0.8	0.0	1.2	0.0	9.1	0.2
Erie	0.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	0.8	0.0	13.7	0.0	50.9	0.0	101.2	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	18.0	0.0
Essex	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	16.0	0.0	12.0	5.3	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	10.6	1.5
Franklin	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	9.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	8.3	0.0
Fulton	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.5	0.5	9.3	0.0	18.1	1.0	13.0	4.5	2.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.6	1.3
Genesee	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.2	1.5	0.5	3.3	0.3	10.0	1.9	33.1	1.9	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.3	5.5	1.0
Greene	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.3	1.4	0.3	13.4	1.2	51.5	5.2	48.4	10.1	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	21.5	1.4
Hamilton	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
Herkimer	0.0	0.0	15.6	0.0	5.3	0.0	16.5	0.0	34.5	0.5	57.2	4.0	2.6	0.0	2.5	0.0	25.0	1.2
Jefferson	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	7.8	0.0	15.4	2.3	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Lewis	0.0	0.0	5.8	0.0	1.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	19.2	1.0	38.6	0.8	2.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	9.0	0.0
Livingston	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.4	0.5	21.8	1.9	48.3	2.4	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	6.0	1.1
Madison	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0	1.0	0.0	12.8	1.0	28.2	1.0	42.1	2.3	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	2.0
Monroe	0.0	0.0	9.2	1.7	2.5	0.5	12.5	2.1	57.3	6.0	78.3	18.6	4.3	1.6	1.1	0.0	20.5	1.9
Montgomery	2.5	0.0	10.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	14.3	0.0	19.0	5.0	32.4	1.8	2.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	6.1	0.0
Nassau	1.2	0.0	72.6	0.0	9.5	0.0	88.1	0.0	257.5	1.8	344.9	7.7	7.1	0.0	3.6	0.3	103.5	0.7
Niagara	0.0	0.0	10.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	12.7	0.0	53.0	2.1	94.1	7.2	1.2	0.1	1.0	0.0	13.5	1.9
Oneida	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	22.8	0.0	40.6	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	10.8	0.0
Onondaga	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	2.1	0.0	6.1	4.3	55.8	2.8	74.0	10.6	0.9	0.4	4.8	0.0	28.0	3.5
Ontario	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	2.1	0.0	4.7	1.0	23.8	0.9	40.9	3.8	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.3
Orange	1.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	3.4	0.0	27.5	2.3	72.8	4.6	103.9	7.6	3.5	0.6	1.6	0.0	33.7	3.0

Table 4 (continued)
Number of New York State-Approved Agency and Individual Providers
Under Contract with Municipalities to Provide Early Intervention Services by Service Specialty (1,2)
Expressed as Full Time Equivalents by Type of Discipline (3)
As of December 31, 2005

County	Physician assistants		Psychologists		Registered dieticians		Social workers		Special education teachers		Speech and language pathologists and audiologists		Teachers of the blind and partially sighted		Teachers of the deaf and hearing handicapped		Teachers of the speech and hearing handicapped	
	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.
Orleans	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.1	1.0	0.5	5.1	0.0	17.9	1.0	29.8	3.5	1.5	0.0	1.1	0.2	7.3	0.3
Oswego	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.5	17.1	1.0	32.9	2.7	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	12.3	0.5
Otsego	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.0	2.0	0.0	14.6	0.5	26.8	3.1	22.6	5.2	0.6	0.0	0.8	0.0	9.6	1.7
Putnam	0.0	0.0	14.3	1.0	2.9	0.0	22.3	2.4	63.7	4.4	86.5	13.2	2.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	41.5	2.3
Rensselaer	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	4.9	2.4	41.2	4.2	69.5	13.7	0.5	1.0	5.2	0.0	22.1	1.8
Rockland	1.0	0.0	21.6	0.4	6.2	0.0	35.1	0.3	102.0	10.0	110.3	15.0	8.1	0.5	4.2	0.8	40.0	3.2
Saint Lawrence	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.0	5.4	1.0	9.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.0
Saratoga	2.5	0.0	19.2	0.5	5.4	1.8	25.1	3.2	43.9	14.0	122.4	29.4	0.3	0.0	5.2	0.0	25.8	5.7
Schenectady	2.5	0.0	14.6	0.2	2.7	0.0	18.0	0.0	32.3	3.2	51.6	11.6	0.3	0.0	1.2	0.0	20.6	2.8
Schoharie	2.5	0.0	12.3	0.0	6.1	0.0	15.5	1.0	21.5	3.0	58.0	4.6	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	8.0	1.0
Schuyler	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.0	9.8	2.2	22.9	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
Seneca	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.6	0.5	4.2	0.0	13.4	0.8	12.3	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
Steuben	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	6.0	0.8	12.1	8.2	29.9	7.3	1.0	0.0	4.0	0.2	2.7	2.7
Suffolk	2.2	0.0	98.8	0.0	35.2	1.0	171.0	2.5	268.3	16.3	404.2	46.5	33.3	1.3	4.2	0.3	144.4	15.5
Sullivan	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.0	3.0	0.0	19.0	1.0	49.4	4.5	26.4	3.1	1.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	3.7	0.1
Tioga	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	7.4	0.4	24.9	2.0	36.3	5.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.6
Tompkins	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.9	5.5	1.1	6.5	8.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.4
Ulster	0.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	0.1	0.9	18.1	5.3	40.4	3.8	46.8	13.8	1.7	0.7	0.5	0.0	17.7	2.4
Warren	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.5	0.0	3.6	0.0	2.2	5.9	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Washington	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.0	1.5	0.0	4.2	1.0	14.2	2.4	40.3	14.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	13.5	1.0
Wayne	0.0	0.0	7.8	0.0	2.3	0.0	7.0	0.0	42.9	1.9	67.3	6.9	1.9	0.0	0.8	0.0	14.2	0.5
Westchester	0.0	0.0	50.9	3.5	11.6	0.0	110.2	4.4	187.5	7.6	193.6	18.7	9.9	1.5	4.2	0.1	48.3	4.3
Wyoming	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.3	6.9	0.3	7.2	1.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6
Yates	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	3.3	0.0	9.7	0.0	11.3	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.7
New York City	0.5	0.0	143.5	0.0	19.6	0.0	245.4	0.0	617.3	0.0	439.7	0.0	15.9	0.0	11.6	0.0	115.1	0.0
Rest of State	5.7	0.0	253.7	6.8	89.9	6.6	471.4	36.8	963.5	131.6	1,301.0	342.1	61.6	8.0	32.9	2.2	401.3	77.3
State	6.2	0.0	359.9	6.8	105.8	6.6	664.9	36.8	1,396.7	131.6	1,535.6	342.1	68.6	8.0	39.6	2.2	474.4	77.3

Data Source: NYS Provider Approval database 12/31/05

- (1) Providers with contracts in more than one county are counted more than once.
- (2) Providers with qualified personnel in more than one area of licensure, certification or registration are counted more than once.
- (3) Full time employee equivalents and types of qualified personnel were taken from provider applications for state approval.

Table 5
Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities
Authorized to Receive A Single Service (1) By Type of Approved Provider
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

	ALL N	Agency		Individual	
		n	%	n	%
Albany	213	78	36.6%	135	63.4%
Allegany	30	17	56.7%	13	43.3%
Broome	196	82	41.8%	114	58.2%
Cattaraugus	94	31	33.0%	63	67.0%
Cayuga	95	43	45.3%	52	54.7%
Chautauqua	131	87	66.4%	44	33.6%
Chemung	92	73	79.3%	19	20.7%
Chenango	23	7	30.4%	16	69.6%
Clinton	184	153	83.2%	31	16.8%
Columbia	37	9	24.3%	28	75.7%
Cortland	56	56	100.0%	0	0.0%
Delaware	22	3	13.6%	19	86.4%
Dutchess	477	474	99.4%	3	0.6%
Erie	1,275	1,275	100.0%	0	0.0%
Essex	35	22	62.9%	13	37.1%
Franklin	44	44	100.0%	0	0.0%
Fulton	30	9	30.0%	21	70.0%
Genesee	50	45	90.0%	5	10.0%
Greene	54	26	48.1%	28	51.9%
Hamilton	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Herkimer	21	13	61.9%	8	38.1%
Jefferson	66	57	86.4%	9	13.6%
Lewis	17	11	64.7%	6	35.3%
Livingston	51	27	52.9%	24	47.1%
Madison	58	57	98.3%	1	1.7%
Monroe	807	684	84.8%	123	15.2%
Montgomery	31	19	61.3%	12	38.7%
Nassau	1,655	1,582	95.6%	73	4.4%
Niagara	255	166	65.1%	89	34.9%
Oneida	168	168	100.0%	0	0.0%
Onondaga	393	393	100.0%	0	0.0%
Ontario	48	44	91.7%	4	8.3%
Orange	491	336	68.4%	155	31.6%
Orleans	47	35	74.5%	12	25.5%
Oswego	95	92	96.8%	3	3.2%
Otsego	51	3	5.9%	48	94.1%
Putnam	101	64	63.4%	37	36.6%
Rensselaer	162	95	58.6%	67	41.4%
Rockland	579	504	87.0%	75	13.0%
St. Lawrence	39	39	100.0%	0	0.0%
Saratoga	190	50	26.3%	140	73.7%
Schenectady	117	62	53.0%	55	47.0%
Schoharie	15	5	33.3%	10	66.7%
Schuyler	19	19	100.0%	0	0.0%
Seneca	24	19	79.2%	5	20.8%
Steuben	65	37	56.9%	28	43.1%
Suffolk	1,176	1,066	90.6%	110	9.4%
Sullivan	70	41	58.6%	29	41.4%
Tioga	55	30	54.5%	25	45.5%
Tompkins	105	50	47.6%	55	52.4%
Ulster	151	99	65.6%	52	34.4%
Warren	113	113	100.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	49	12	24.5%	37	75.5%
Wayne	136	74	54.4%	62	45.6%
Westchester	1,420	1,334	93.9%	86	6.1%
Wyoming	40	34	85.0%	6	15.0%
Yates	23	6	26.1%	17	73.9%
New York City	10,074	10,074	100.0%	0	0.0%
ROS	12,042	9,975	82.8%	2,067	17.2%
STATE	22,116	20,049	90.7%	2,067	9.3%

Data Source: KIDS data as of 1/06

(1) Single Service Children - A child was counted as a 'single service child' if only one type of service was authorized in the reporting period. These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in this table and "Multiple Service Children" in Table 6 will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

Table 6
Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities
Authorized to Receive Multiple Services (1) By Type of Provider
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

	ALL N	Agency Only		Individual Only		Indiv. & Agency	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
Albany	300	47	15.7%	108	36.0%	145	48.3%
Allegany	34	13	38.2%	3	8.8%	18	52.9%
Broome	274	69	25.2%	30	10.9%	175	63.9%
Cattaraugus	88	14	15.9%	29	33.0%	45	51.1%
Cayuga	85	11	12.9%	31	36.5%	43	50.6%
Chautauqua	121	50	41.3%	4	3.3%	67	55.4%
Chemung	92	37	40.2%	7	7.6%	48	52.2%
Chenango	54	0	0.0%	26	48.1%	28	51.9%
Clinton	161	122	75.8%	0	0.0%	39	24.2%
Columbia	107	15	14.0%	48	44.9%	44	41.1%
Cortland	61	61	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Delaware	47	3	6.4%	11	23.4%	33	70.2%
Dutchess	556	521	93.7%	0	0.0%	35	6.3%
Erie	1,265	1,265	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Essex	45	10	22.2%	0	0.0%	35	77.8%
Franklin	53	53	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fulton	63	14	22.2%	12	19.0%	37	58.7%
Genesee	152	115	75.7%	0	0.0%	37	24.3%
Greene	93	3	3.2%	25	26.9%	65	69.9%
Hamilton	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Herkimer	47	6	12.8%	2	4.3%	39	83.0%
Jefferson	94	85	90.4%	0	0.0%	9	9.6%
Lewis	34	4	11.8%	6	17.6%	24	70.6%
Livingston	80	52	65.0%	5	6.3%	23	28.8%
Madison	66	54	81.8%	0	0.0%	12	18.2%
Monroe	1027	588	57.3%	62	6.0%	377	36.7%
Montgomery	68	16	23.5%	6	8.8%	46	67.6%
Nassau	2,399	2,039	85.0%	7	0.3%	353	14.7%
Niagara	333	178	53.5%	11	3.3%	144	43.2%
Oneida	346	342	98.8%	0	0.0%	4	1.2%
Onondaga	800	799	99.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Ontario	136	98	72.1%	2	1.5%	36	26.5%
Orange	737	242	32.8%	45	6.1%	450	61.1%
Orleans	70	53	75.7%	1	1.4%	16	22.9%
Oswego	207	200	96.6%	0	0.0%	7	3.4%
Otsego	62	0	0.0%	35	56.5%	27	43.5%
Putnam	137	70	51.1%	9	6.6%	58	42.3%
Rensselaer	340	142	41.8%	31	9.1%	167	49.1%
Rockland	1,438	1,127	78.4%	39	2.7%	272	18.9%
St. Lawrence	97	97	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Saratoga	291	30	10.3%	101	34.7%	160	55.0%
Schenectady	176	77	43.8%	23	13.1%	76	43.2%
Schoharie	53	2	3.8%	29	54.7%	22	41.5%
Schuyler	7	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%
Seneca	34	22	64.7%	0	0.0%	12	35.3%
Steuben	130	23	17.7%	25	19.2%	82	63.1%
Suffolk	2,196	1,604	73.0%	50	2.3%	542	24.7%
Sullivan	177	36	20.3%	18	10.2%	123	69.5%
Tioga	61	22	36.1%	2	3.3%	37	60.7%
Tompkins	178	52	29.2%	15	8.4%	111	62.4%
Ulster	264	112	42.4%	8	3.0%	144	54.5%
Warren	76	64	84.2%	0	0.0%	12	15.8%
Washington	53	3	5.7%	33	62.3%	17	32.1%
Wayne	201	129	64.2%	14	7.0%	58	28.9%
Westchester	2,027	1,588	78.3%	6	0.3%	433	21.4%
Wyoming	49	34	69.4%	0	0.0%	15	30.6%
Yates	28	12	42.9%	6	21.4%	10	35.7%
New York City	23,517	23,516	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
ROS	18,171	12,431	68.4%	926	5.1%	4,814	26.5%
STATE	41,688	35,947	86.2%	926	2.2%	4,815	11.6%

Data Source: KIDS data as of 1/06

(1) Multiple Service Children - A child was counted as a 'multiple service child' if more than one type of service was authorized in the reporting period. These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in Table 5 and "Multiple Service Children" in this table will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

Table 7
Number and Percent of Evaluators Contracted with Municipalities
to Provide Evaluations Who also Provided Services to Children Whom They Evaluated
January 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005

County	Number of Evaluators (1)	Evaluators providing services to children whom they evaluated (2)	
		Number	Percent
Albany	102	48	47.1%
Allegany	27	14	51.9%
Broome	54	28	51.9%
Cattaraugus	28	16	57.1%
Cayuga	33	20	60.6%
Chautauqua	28	10	35.7%
Chemung	27	13	48.1%
Chenango	34	19	55.9%
Clinton	7	4	57.1%
Columbia	44	25	56.8%
Cortland	9	6	66.7%
Delaware	37	16	43.2%
Dutchess	23	15	65.2%
Erie	25	23	92.0%
Essex	18	8	44.4%
Franklin	9	5	55.6%
Fulton	24	9	37.5%
Genesee	18	8	44.4%
Greene	57	24	42.1%
Hamilton	3	2	66.7%
Herkimer	25	12	48.0%
Jefferson	14	9	64.3%
Lewis	15	10	66.7%
Livingston	7	7	100.0%
Madison	12	9	75.0%
Monroe	78	54	69.2%
Montgomery	29	15	51.7%
Nassau	106	75	70.8%
Niagara	12	11	91.7%
Oneida	10	7	70.0%
Onondaga	54	18	33.3%
Ontario	14	11	78.6%
Orange	14	13	92.9%
Orleans	7	7	100.0%
Oswego	19	10	52.6%
Otsego	31	10	32.3%
Putnam	10	8	80.0%
Rensselaer	71	38	53.5%
Rockland	87	42	48.3%
Saint Lawrence	8	6	75.0%
Saratoga	135	51	37.8%
Schenectady	62	26	41.9%
Schoharie	34	16	47.1%
Schuyler	8	8	100.0%
Seneca	12	8	66.7%
Steuben	57	25	43.9%
Suffolk	224	78	34.8%
Sullivan	43	18	41.9%
Tioga	34	20	58.8%
Tompkins	14	5	35.7%
Ulster	88	37	42.0%
Warren	5	2	40.0%
Washington	47	17	36.2%
Wayne	41	28	68.3%
Westchester	77	43	55.8%
Wyoming	8	6	75.0%
Yates	18	10	55.6%
New York City	139	121	87.1%
Rest of State	1,447	609	42.1%
New York State	1,557	702	45.1%

Data Source: KIDS data as of 1/06

(1) Evaluators are EI providers approved by NYS DOH and contracted with that county to provide evaluation services. A provider may contract with more than one county.

(2) Evaluators who provided general services between 1/1/05 and 12/31/05 to a child from any county whom they had evaluated at any time.

Table 8
Number and Percent of Children Whose Families Are Authorized to Receive
Family Training, Counseling, Parent Support Groups, and Respite
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

	Total Children (1) N	Received Supportive Service (2)	
		n	%
Albany	530	53	10.0%
Allegany	67	20	29.9%
Broome	481	67	13.9%
Cattaraugus	185	17	9.2%
Cayuga	210	16	7.6%
Chautauqua	256	2	0.8%
Chemung	186	7	3.8%
Chenango	78	4	5.1%
Clinton	358	5	1.4%
Columbia	146	59	40.4%
Cortland	120	8	6.7%
Delaware	71	8	11.3%
Dutchess	1065	229	21.5%
Erie	2,648	236	8.9%
Essex	81	4	4.9%
Franklin	98	1	1.0%
Fulton	99	1	1.0%
Genesee	206	26	12.6%
Greene	149	8	5.4%
Hamilton	3	0	0.0%
Herkimer	71	0	0.0%
Jefferson	164	46	28.0%
Lewis	53	1	1.9%
Livingston	132	3	2.3%
Madison	125	12	9.6%
Monroe	1,853	323	17.4%
Montgomery	105	2	1.9%
Nassau	4,132	710	17.2%
Niagara	639	159	24.9%
Oneida	524	27	5.2%
Onondaga	1,215	253	20.8%
Ontario	187	51	27.3%
Orange	1,255	266	21.2%
Orleans	120	20	16.7%
Oswego	308	35	11.4%
Otsego	115	16	13.9%
Putnam	247	51	20.6%
Rensselaer	511	45	8.8%
Rockland	2,034	291	14.3%
St. Lawrence	139	29	20.9%
Saratoga	490	50	10.2%
Schenectady	309	13	4.2%
Schoharie	71	4	5.6%
Schuyler	27	0	0.0%
Seneca	58	2	3.4%
Steuben	201	54	26.9%
Suffolk	3,488	1090	31.3%
Sullivan	252	23	9.1%
Tioga	118	11	9.3%
Tompkins	285	29	10.2%
Ulster	420	39	9.3%
Warren	194	0	0.0%
Washington	105	9	8.6%
Wayne	343	49	14.3%
Westchester	3,580	600	16.8%
Wyoming	94	11	11.7%
Yates	51	0	0.0%
New York City	37,005	6,152	16.6%
ROS	31,052	5,095	16.4%
STATE	68,057	11,247	16.5%

Data Source: KIDS data as of 1/06

- (1) Total Children - Children were counted as enrolled if they had an IFSP at any time during the period, regardless of length of time.
(2) Supportive Services - Family Counseling, Family Support Group, Family Training, and Respite services.

Table 9
Number and Percent Of Children Within Municipalities with
Sources of Third Party Reimbursement (Medicaid and Commercial Insurance)
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

	Enrolled in EI (1)	Medicaid Only (2)		Commercial Insurance Only (3)		Both		Unknown	
Albany	530	196	37.0%	278	52.5%	4	0.8%	52	9.8%
Allegany	67	31	46.3%	25	37.3%	10	14.9%	1	1.5%
Broome	481	192	39.9%	203	42.2%	66	13.7%	20	4.2%
Cattaraugus	185	15	8.1%	86	46.5%	80	43.2%	4	2.2%
Cayuga	210	100	47.6%	92	43.8%	11	5.2%	7	3.3%
Chautauqua	256	99	38.7%	66	25.8%	85	33.2%	6	2.3%
Chemung	186	113	60.8%	52	28.0%	16	8.6%	5	2.7%
Chenango	78	40	51.3%	25	32.1%	5	6.4%	8	10.3%
Clinton	358	190	53.1%	151	42.2%	16	4.5%	1	0.3%
Columbia	146	41	28.1%	83	56.8%	10	6.8%	12	8.2%
Cortland	120	65	54.2%	50	41.7%	4	3.3%	1	0.8%
Delaware	71	35	49.3%	18	25.4%	9	12.7%	9	12.7%
Dutchess	1065	166	15.6%	613	57.6%	79	7.4%	207	19.4%
Erie	2,648	511	19.3%	1,515	57.2%	275	10.4%	347	13.1%
Essex	81	42	51.9%	34	42.0%	3	3.7%	2	2.5%
Franklin	98	47	48.0%	40	40.8%	11	11.2%	0	0.0%
Fulton	99	56	56.6%	28	28.3%	11	11.1%	4	4.0%
Genesee	206	82	39.8%	96	46.6%	14	6.8%	14	6.8%
Greene	149	55	36.9%	71	47.7%	15	10.1%	8	5.4%
Hamilton	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Herkimer	71	45	63.4%	17	23.9%	6	8.5%	3	4.2%
Jefferson	164	68	41.5%	78	47.6%	12	7.3%	6	3.7%
Lewis	53	27	50.9%	17	32.1%	6	11.3%	3	5.7%
Livingston	132	58	43.9%	67	50.8%	4	3.0%	3	2.3%
Madison	125	45	36.0%	67	53.6%	13	10.4%	0	0.0%
Monroe	1,853	585	31.6%	1,154	62.3%	97	5.2%	17	0.9%
Montgomery	105	44	41.9%	40	38.1%	14	13.3%	7	6.7%
Nassau	4,132	573	13.9%	3,416	82.7%	124	3.0%	19	0.5%
Niagara	639	199	31.1%	359	56.2%	66	10.3%	15	2.3%
Oneida	524	232	44.3%	239	45.6%	21	4.0%	32	6.1%
Onondaga	1,215	255	21.0%	561	46.2%	328	27.0%	71	5.8%
Ontario	187	53	28.3%	104	55.6%	21	11.2%	9	4.8%
Orange	1,255	267	21.3%	811	64.6%	102	8.1%	75	6.0%
Orleans	120	40	33.3%	41	34.2%	38	31.7%	1	0.8%
Oswego	308	165	53.6%	123	39.9%	4	1.3%	16	5.2%
Otsego	115	43	37.4%	46	40.0%	22	19.1%	4	3.5%
Putnam	247	18	7.3%	215	87.0%	11	4.5%	3	1.2%
Rensselaer	511	221	43.2%	256	50.1%	14	2.7%	20	3.9%
Rockland	2,034	246	12.1%	1,166	57.3%	564	27.7%	58	2.9%
St. Lawrence	139	72	51.8%	52	37.4%	10	7.2%	5	3.6%
Saratoga	490	77	15.7%	314	64.1%	63	12.9%	36	7.3%
Schenectady	309	137	44.3%	149	48.2%	20	6.5%	3	1.0%
Schoharie	71	28	39.4%	34	47.9%	8	11.3%	1	1.4%
Schuyler	27	8	29.6%	7	25.9%	1	3.7%	11	40.7%
Seneca	58	23	39.7%	24	41.4%	2	3.4%	9	15.5%
Steuben	201	109	54.2%	66	32.8%	16	8.0%	10	5.0%
Suffolk	3,488	531	15.2%	2,696	77.3%	154	4.4%	107	3.1%
Sullivan	252	76	30.2%	108	42.9%	55	21.8%	13	5.2%
Tioga	118	34	28.8%	62	52.5%	18	15.3%	4	3.4%
Tompkins	285	98	34.4%	156	54.7%	28	9.8%	3	1.1%
Ulster	420	102	24.3%	228	54.3%	51	12.1%	39	9.3%
Warren	194	38	19.6%	111	57.2%	33	17.0%	12	6.2%
Washington	105	43	41.0%	37	35.2%	17	16.2%	8	7.6%
Wayne	343	82	23.9%	185	53.9%	59	17.2%	17	5.0%
Westchester	3,580	851	23.8%	2,571	71.8%	142	4.0%	16	0.4%
Wyoming	94	25	26.6%	52	55.3%	13	13.8%	4	4.3%
Yates	51	28	54.9%	13	25.5%	4	7.8%	6	11.8%
New York City	37,005	20,504	55.4%	6,869	18.6%	1,219	3.3%	8,413	22.7%
ROS	31,052	7,624	24.6%	19,169	61.7%	2,885	9.3%	1,374	4.4%
STATE	68,057	28,128	41.3%	26,038	38.3%	4,104	6.0%	9,787	14.4%

Data Source: KIDS data as of 1/06

(1) Enrolled in EI - Children were counted as enrolled if they had an IFSP at any time during the period, regardless of length of time.

(2) Medicaid - Child was counted as having Medicaid if the "Medicaid" field in KIDS was equal to 'Yes'.

(3) Commercial Insurance - The number is based on the presence of an insurance record for the child in KIDS. This includes both insurance companies that are regulated by NYS law and those which are not. It is estimated that 27.9% of the children enrolled in EI are covered by an insurance company governed by NYS law.

Table 10
Number and Percent of Claims Submitted to Commercial Insurers
And Percent of Claims Denied by Insurers
January 1, 2005-December 31, 2005

	Claims			Percent Denied / Reconciled (3)
	Submitted	Reconciled (2)	Denied	
Albany	15,561	12,471	10,878	87.2%
Allegany	1,737	1,808	932	51.5%
Broome	11,399	10,086	7,375	73.1%
Cattaraugus	2,854	2,521	1,311	52.0%
Cayuga	5,556	5,648	4,099	72.6%
Chautauqua	2,884	2,613	749	28.7%
Chemung	5,165	3,621	3,565	98.5%
Chenango	2,272	1,695	277	16.3%
Clinton	6,020	5,991	3,645	60.8%
Columbia	2,682	2,663	2,204	82.8%
Cortland	2,000	1,665	1,011	60.7%
Delaware	1,034	219	219	100.0%
Dutchess (1)	11,410	11,394	9,018	79.1%
Erie (1)	59,586	56,392	36,615	64.9%
Essex	987	754	556	73.7%
Franklin	1,347	1,345	458	34.1%
Fulton	453	646	227	35.1%
Genesee (1)	5,144	5,144	4,610	89.6%
Greene	3,734	3,677	2,592	70.5%
Hamilton	21	18	15	83.3%
Herkimer	1,468	1,323	1,298	98.1%
Jefferson	1,902	1,892	1,313	69.4%
Lewis	824	917	378	41.2%
Livingston	3,651	4,548	2,782	61.2%
Madison	2,938	2,444	1,495	61.2%
Monroe (1)	18,937	18,853	15,178	80.5%
Montgomery	4,550	6,501	6,024	92.7%
Nassau	80,297	99,436	48,264	48.5%
Niagara (1)	15,020	15,020	11,010	73.3%
Oneida	12,030	13,095	6,315	48.2%
Onondaga	41,070	34,578	26,585	76.9%
Ontario	14,288	14,556	13,131	90.2%
Orange	54,695	45,409	33,806	74.4%
Orleans(1)	1,626	1,626	1,436	88.3%
Oswego	2,734	2,949	2,621	88.9%
Otsego	929	1,177	1,067	90.7%
Putnam	11,053	8,637	6,708	77.7%
Rensselaer	12,696	11,386	8,293	72.8%
Rockland	51,062	41,005	21,817	53.2%
St. Lawrence	1,910	1,984	846	42.6%
Saratoga	12,815	8,783	6,828	77.7%
Schenectady	2,889	2,723	1,674	61.5%
Schoharie	3,259	3,302	2,534	76.7%
Schuyler	4	698	694	99.4%
Seneca	1,163	1,152	1,025	89.0%
Steuben	1,523	1,738	858	49.4%
Suffolk(1)	131,143	108,818	76,745	70.5%
Sullivan	8,536	6,794	3,304	48.6%
Tioga	3,507	3,090	2,075	67.2%
Tompkins	10,464	9,381	6,168	65.7%
Ulster	14,457	3,561	3,173	89.1%
Warren	9,005	11,210	9,790	87.3%
Washington	4,366	4,208	3,703	88.0%
Wayne (1)	10,442	10,442	9,635	92.3%
Westchester	107,165	111,045	82,050	73.9%
Wyoming	2,088	2,458	1,862	75.8%
Yates	1,025	821	653	79.5%
New York City (1)	93,641	93,641	74,567	79.6%
ROS	799,377	747,931	513,494	68.7%
STATE	893,018	841,572	588,061	69.9%

Data Sources: KIDS data as of 12/2005. Municipal Fiscal Agent Reports submitted 2/2006.

- (1) Data from these counties were submitted via a special report created to capture data from fiscal agents. All other data were collected and submitted by counties using the KIDS application.
- (2) Claims Reconciled - These are claims for which the insurance response has been recorded, either as payment, partial payment or denial. Reconciliation data from the fiscal agents include only claims submitted in the reporting period. Reconciliation data from the KIDS application include claims reconciled during the period, regardless of when they were submitted. The number of claims submitted may be less than the number reconciled since some reconciled claims were submitted prior to January 1.
- (3) Percent Denied/Reconciled - Number of claims denied divided by claims reconciled in reporting period.

Table 11
Reasons for Commercial Insurers' Denial of Early Intervention Claims
by Number of Denials and Percent of All Denials
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

	Claims Denied In Reporting Period	Reason Not Recorded	Claims with Deny Reason Recorded	Deny Reasons																									
				Government Service Blanket Rejection	Benefits Exhausted	Not Medically Necessary	Out-of-Network Provider	Other Denial	No primary care physician referral	No Response From Insurer	Service Not Covered	Child Not Covered	Technical Error	Exceeds Insurer's Claiming Limit	No Preauthorization Obtained (2)														
Albany	10,878	0	10,878	1	0.0%	583	5.4%	0	0.0%	1,602	14.7%	1,936	17.8%	15	0.1%	0	0.0%	2,461	22.6%	1,149	10.6%	1,158	10.6%	0	0.0%	1,973	18.1%		
Allegany	932	0	932	0	0.0%	338	36.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	161	17.3%	0	0.0%	21	2.3%	265	28.4%	100	10.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47	5.0%		
Broome	7,375	0	7,375	0	0.0%	97	1.3%	7	0.1%	367	5.0%	823	11.2%	53	0.7%	547	7.4%	3,103	42.1%	847	11.5%	0	0.0%	324	4.4%	1,207	16.4%		
Cattaraugus	1,311	0	1,311	11	0.8%	869	66.3%	36	2.7%	0	0.0%	7	0.5%	0	0.0%	4	0.3%	251	19.1%	42	3.2%	0	0.0%	17	1.3%	74	5.6%		
Cayuga	4,099	0	4,099	0	0.0%	46	1.1%	6	0.1%	0	0.0%	324	7.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3,546	86.5%	76	1.9%	15	0.4%	50	1.2%	36	0.9%		
Chautauqua	749	0	749	0	0.0%	203	27.1%	0	0.0%	16	2.1%	53	7.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.8%	290	38.7%	77	10.3%	0	0.0%	104	13.9%	0	0.0%		
Chemung	3,565	0	3,565	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	142	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,346	37.8%	141	4.0%	0	0.0%	1,936	54.3%	0	0.0%		
Chenango	277	15	262	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	262	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Clinton	3,645	0	3,645	0	0.0%	727	19.9%	630	17.3%	1,340	36.8%	324	8.9%	49	1.3%	111	3.0%	90	2.5%	314	8.6%	0	0.0%	52	1.4%	8	0.2%		
Columbia	2,204	0	2,204	49	2.2%	274	12.4%	121	5.5%	75	3.4%	196	8.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,248	56.6%	29	1.3%	24	1.1%	3	0.1%	185	8.4%		
Cortland	1,011	0	1,011	0	0.0%	5	0.5%	41	4.1%	3	0.3%	132	13.1%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	789	78.0%	24	2.4%	1	0.1%	5	0.5%	8	0.8%		
Dutchess (1)	9,018	0	9,018	0	0.0%	402	4.5%	1,458	16.2%	1,208	13.4%	338	3.7%	373	4.1%	122	1.4%	790	8.8%	792	8.8%	0	0.0%	105	1.2%	3,430	38.0%		
Erie (1)	36,615	0	36,615	505	1.4%	20,909	57.1%	0	0.0%	3,856	10.5%	4,541	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,008	2.8%	2,107	5.8%	0	0.0%	1,770	4.8%	1,919	5.2%		
Essex	556	0	556	0	0.0%	7	1.3%	65	11.7%	53	9.5%	99	17.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	189	34.0%	38	6.8%	0	0.0%	4	0.7%	100	18.0%		
Franklin	458	0	458	1	0.2%	31	6.8%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%	222	48.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	121	26.4%	64	14.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	15	3.3%		
Fulton	227	0	227	0	0.0%	29	12.8%	8	3.5%	0	0.0%	42	18.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	115	50.7%	14	6.2%	16	7.0%	2	0.9%	0	0.0%		
Genesee (1)	4,610	0	4,610	0	0.0%	282	6.1%	645	14.0%	2,733	59.3%	122	2.6%	119	2.6%	5	0.1%	106	2.3%	87	1.9%	0	0.0%	494	10.7%	17	0.4%		
Greene	2,592	0	2,592	0	0.0%	28	1.1%	0	0.0%	100	3.9%	1,935	74.7%	0	0.0%	93	3.6%	117	4.5%	45	1.7%	0	0.0%	15	0.6%	259	10.0%		
Hamilton	15	0	15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Herkimer	1,298	0	1,298	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	44	3.4%	15	1.2%	73	5.6%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	621	47.8%	543	41.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Jefferson	1,313	0	1,313	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	224	17.1%	64	4.9%	47	3.6%	829	63.1%	92	7.0%	0	0.0%	12	0.9%	45	3.4%		
Lewis	378	0	378	0	0.0%	167	44.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	35	9.3%	0	0.0%	122	32.3%	26	6.9%	5	1.3%	4	1.1%	3	0.8%	16	4.2%		
Livingston	2,782	0	2,782	16	0.6%	165	5.9%	11	0.4%	218	7.8%	40	1.4%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,652	59.4%	114	4.1%	0	0.0%	396	14.2%	169	6.1%		
Madison	1,495	0	1,495	226	15.1%	222	14.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	486	32.5%	0	0.0%	3	0.2%	285	19.1%	35	2.3%	6	0.4%	23	1.5%	209	14.0%		
Monroe (1)	15,178	0	15,178	0	0.0%	4,211	27.7%	1,211	8.0%	6,658	43.9%	78	0.5%	51	0.3%	171	1.1%	1,062	7.0%	645	4.2%	0	0.0%	1,091	7.2%	0	0.0%		
Montgomery	6,024	0	6,024	0	0.0%	352	5.8%	0	0.0%	226	3.8%	327	5.4%	38	0.6%	1,726	28.7%	1,122	18.6%	1,726	28.7%	84	1.4%	10	0.2%	413	6.9%		
Nassau	48,264	0	48,264	1	0.0%	2,189	4.5%	2,169	4.5%	5,645	11.7%	14,636	30.3%	3,050	6.3%	1	0.0%	12,531	26.0%	2,094	4.3%	15	0.0%	710	1.5%	5,223	10.8%		
Niagara (1)	11,010	0	11,010	0	0.0%	2,355	21.4%	50	0.5%	5,419	49.2%	138	1.3%	139	1.3%	25	0.2%	715	6.5%	259	2.4%	0	0.0%	1,817	16.5%	93	0.8%		
Oneida	6,315	0	6,315	0	0.0%	811	12.8%	2,194	34.7%	379	6.0%	370	5.9%	6	0.1%	41	0.6%	1,514	24.0%	416	6.6%	0	0.0%	31	0.5%	553	8.8%		
Onondaga	26,585	0	26,585	1	0.0%	1,565	5.9%	738	2.8%	643	2.4%	10,843	40.8%	876	3.3%	0	0.0%	8,066	30.3%	1,060	4.0%	0	0.0%	334	1.3%	2,459	9.2%		
Ontario	13,131	0	13,131	0	0.0%	112	0.9%	11,805	89.9%	0	0.0%	19	0.1%	0	0.0%	220	1.7%	822	6.3%	77	0.6%	0	0.0%	76	0.6%	0	0.0%		
Orange	33,806	0	33,806	0	0.0%	697	2.1%	2,738	8.1%	3,287	9.7%	8,208	24.3%	194	0.6%	754	2.2%	8,523	25.2%	719	2.1%	0	0.0%	1,073	3.2%	7,613	22.5%		
Orleans(1)	1,436	0	1,436	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	250	17.4%	472	32.9%	9	0.6%	56	3.9%	4	0.3%	97	6.8%	362	25.2%	0	0.0%	163	11.4%	23	1.6%		
Oswego	2,621	0	2,621	5	0.2%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	0.7%	55	2.1%	199	7.6%	2,198	83.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	33	1.3%	109	4.2%		
Otsego	1,067	0	1,067	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	103	9.7%	163	15.3%	122	11.4%	290	27.2%	5	0.5%	124	11.6%	220	20.6%	0	0.0%	40	3.7%	0	0.0%		
Putnam	6,708	0	6,708	0	0.0%	26	0.4%	6	0.1%	1,848	27.5%	1,166	17.4%	362	5.4%	749	11.2%	1,185	17.7%	552	8.2%	0	0.0%	424	6.3%	390	5.8%		
Rensselaer	8,293	0	8,293	0	0.0%	358	4.3%	733	8.8%	2,064	24.9%	632	7.6%	186	2.2%	344	4.1%	3,013	36.3%	223	2.7%	45	0.5%	46	0.6%	649	7.8%		
Rockland	21,817	0	21,817	18	0.1%	633	2.9%	11	0.1%	691	3.2%	7,937	36.4%	187	0.9%	291	1.3%	7,719	35.4%	307	1.4%	0	0.0%	2,295	10.5%	1,728	7.9%		
St. Lawrence	846	0	846	0	0.0%	247	29.2%	92	10.9%	65	7.7%	324	38.3%	0	0.0%	11	1.3%	31	3.7%	72	8.5%	0	0.0%	4	0.5%	0	0.0%		
Saratoga	6,828	0	6,828	345	5.1%	436	6.4%	21	0.3%	425	6.2%	1,272	18.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,669	24.4%	296	4.3%	0	0.0%	48	0.7%	2,316	33.9%		
Schenectady	1,674	0	1,674	0	0.0%	330	19.7%	36	2.2%	332	19.8%	153	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	776	46.4%	31	1.9%	0	0.0%	12	0.7%	4	0.2%		
Schoharie	2,534	0	2,534	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	122	4.8%	2,028	80.0%	210	8.3%	0	0.0%	15	0.6%	120	4.7%		
Schuyler	694	0	694	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	694	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Seneca	1,025	0	1,025	0	0.0%	54	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	74	7.2%	8	0.8%	3	0.3%	781	76.2%	9	0.9%	29	2.8%	63	6.1%	4	0.4%		
Steuben	858	0	858	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	142	16.6%	17	2.0%	163	19.0%	127	14.8%	0	0.0%	190	22.1%	72	8.4%	0	0.0%	12	1.4%	135	15.7%		
Suffolk (1)	76,745	0	76,745	154	0.2%	2,072	2.7%	0	0.0%	3,837	5.0%	23,944	31.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36,224	47.2%	4,758	6.2%	0	0.0%	2,686	3.5%	3,070	4.0%		
Sullivan	3,304	0	3,304	38	1.2%	65	2.0%	38	1.2%	216	6.5%	510	15.4%	18	0.5%	298	9.0%	539	16.3%	851	25.8%	22	0.7%	235	7.1%	474	14.3%		
Tioga	2,075	0	2,075	0	0.0%	25	1.2%	43	2.1%	8	0.4%	228	11.0%	278	13.4%	28	1.3%	1,126	54.3%	122	5.9%	191	9.2%	8	0.4%	18	0.9%		
Tompkins	6,168	0	6,168	0	0.0%	89	1.4%	104	1.7%	36	0.6%	2,451	39.7%	396	6.4%	0	0.0%	2,777	45.0%	128	2.1%	0	0.0%	129	2.1%	58	0.9%		
Ulster	3,173	0	3,173	0	0.0%	5	0.2%	24	0.8%	397	12.5%	321	10.1%	49	1.5%	293	9.2%	483	15.2%	58	1.8%	0	0.0%	498	15.7%	1,045	32.9%		
Warren	9,790	0	9,790	0	0.0%	847	8.7%	0	0.0%	1,272	13.0%	775	7.9%	158	1.6%	1,686	17.2%	2,107	21.5%	657	6.7%	26	0.3%	87	0.9%	2,175	22.2%		
Washington	3,703	0	3,703	0	0.0%	158	4.3%	77	2.1%	0	0.0%	136	3.7%	39	1.1%	183	4.9%	916	24.7%	203	5.5%	0	0.0%	1,238	33.4%	753	20.3%		
Wayne (1)	9,635	0	9,635	0	0.0%	380	3.9%	4,239	44.0%	2,290	23.8%	9	0.1%	6	0.1%	24	0.2%	484	5.0%	78	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,125	22.1%	0	0.0%
Westchester	82,050	39	82,011	0	0.0%	4,465	5.4%	6,347	7.7																				

Table 12
Number of Claims Submitted By Municipalities to Medicaid
And Number of Claims Denied by Medicaid
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

Provider County Name	# Claims Received N	Claims Paid			Claims Denied		
		n	%	\$	n	%	\$
ALBANY	16,289	12,705	78.0%	\$797,162.00	3,584	22.0%	\$218,163.00
ALLEGANY	1,872	1,670	89.2%	\$126,532.72	202	10.8%	\$15,002.75
BROOME	8,900	8,061	90.6%	\$522,200.00	839	9.4%	\$52,509.00
CATTARAUGUS	7,249	5,800	80.0%	\$363,599.25	1,449	20.0%	\$86,372.00
CAYUGA	519	452	87.1%	\$19,948.00	67	12.9%	\$2,256.00
CHAUTAUQUA	4,979	4,115	82.6%	\$254,987.19	864	17.4%	\$60,386.00
CHEMUNG	4,908	4,395	89.5%	\$285,283.75	513	10.5%	\$35,909.50
CHENANGO	2,820	2,532	89.8%	\$190,051.48	288	10.2%	\$27,317.25
CLINTON	11,533	10,841	94.0%	\$730,797.22	692	6.0%	\$41,958.25
COLUMBIA	2,688	2,283	84.9%	\$146,790.60	405	15.1%	\$26,419.00
CORTLAND	3,841	2,726	71.0%	\$154,182.00	1,115	29.0%	\$57,332.00
DELAWARE	2,805	1,760	62.7%	\$131,694.75	1,045	37.3%	\$79,091.00
DUTCHESS	16,731	8,942	53.4%	\$587,744.97	7,789	46.6%	\$501,602.00
ERIE	77,165	65,733	85.2%	\$3,441,689.42	11,432	14.8%	\$673,731.00
ESSEX	1,026	928	90.4%	\$62,143.50	98	9.6%	\$5,612.50
FRANKLIN	2,346	2,085	88.9%	\$119,243.22	261	11.1%	\$16,396.00
FULTON	5,473	3,260	59.6%	\$209,229.00	2,213	40.4%	\$166,447.00
GENESEE	9,611	6,782	70.6%	\$518,395.86	2,829	29.4%	\$204,681.00
GREENE	3,681	2,932	79.7%	\$200,927.85	749	20.3%	\$52,998.00
HAMILTON	114	107	93.9%	\$8,867.85	7	6.1%	\$693.00
HERKIMER	3,864	3,555	92.0%	\$221,625.00	309	8.0%	\$17,162.00
JEFFERSON	5,344	3,521	65.9%	\$194,279.19	1,823	34.1%	\$109,468.00
LEWIS	873	873	100.0%	\$44,787.25	0	0.0%	\$0.00
LIVINGSTON	3,393	3,029	89.3%	\$169,146.25	364	10.7%	\$22,491.25
MADISON	3,870	3,111	80.4%	\$167,551.01	759	19.6%	\$42,498.50
MONROE	64,336	35,001	54.4%	\$2,264,584.53	29,335	45.6%	\$1,936,466.00
MONTGOMERY	3,219	2,673	83.0%	\$172,413.00	546	17.0%	\$34,556.00
NASSAU	67,799	47,744	70.4%	\$3,843,923.41	20,055	29.6%	\$1,676,533.00
NIAGARA	17,079	12,238	71.7%	\$686,969.56	4,841	28.3%	\$327,249.00
ONEIDA	21,107	18,599	88.1%	\$1,125,123.50	2,508	11.9%	\$154,392.75
ONONDAGA	27,008	20,439	75.7%	\$1,243,220.01	6,569	24.3%	\$381,969.25
ONTARIO	7,106	5,294	74.5%	\$285,488.00	1,812	25.5%	\$107,085.00
ORANGE	18,666	15,598	83.6%	\$1,128,646.09	3,068	16.4%	\$217,042.50
ORLEANS	5,501	5,041	91.6%	\$319,131.90	460	8.4%	\$27,560.00
OSWEGO	9,572	8,052	84.1%	\$495,192.75	1,520	15.9%	\$102,261.00
OTSEGO	2,782	2,194	78.9%	\$142,330.75	588	21.1%	\$43,008.25
PUTNAM	2,874	1,546	53.8%	\$92,919.00	1,328	46.2%	\$86,983.00
RENSSELAER	12,888	9,038	70.1%	\$571,998.30	3,850	29.9%	\$239,172.00
ROCKLAND	30,637	25,771	84.1%	\$2,045,188.50	4,866	15.9%	\$371,547.00
ST. LAWRENCE	5,390	4,749	88.1%	\$304,897.28	641	11.9%	\$43,107.00
SARATOGA	10,914	7,519	68.9%	\$457,668.58	3,395	31.1%	\$218,380.00
SCHENECTADY	8,383	4,400	52.5%	\$261,219.00	3,983	47.5%	\$214,532.00
SCHOHARIE	1,639	1,521	92.8%	\$104,500.16	118	7.2%	\$7,195.00
SCHUYLER	3	3	100.0%	\$210.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00
SENECA	1,165	964	82.7%	\$50,949.00	201	17.3%	\$9,680.00
STEBEN	6,689	5,532	82.7%	\$365,986.64	1,157	17.3%	\$75,202.50
SUFFOLK	14,874	12,968	87.2%	\$1,086,462.08	1,906	12.8%	\$170,689.00
SULLIVAN	7,596	4,579	60.3%	\$337,441.60	3,017	39.7%	\$214,626.00
TIOGA	3,565	2,667	74.8%	\$174,827.93	898	25.2%	\$63,485.00
TOMPKINS	2,758	2,099	76.1%	\$124,855.71	659	23.9%	\$38,801.00
ULSTER	2,903	2,318	79.8%	\$166,846.75	585	20.2%	\$44,548.50
WARREN	2,322	1,850	79.7%	\$110,883.10	472	20.3%	\$29,290.00
WASHINGTON	4,890	4,702	96.2%	\$262,349.31	188	3.8%	\$9,715.50
WAYNE	13,258	9,581	72.3%	\$634,474.68	3,677	27.7%	\$248,437.50
WESTCHESTER	31,906	27,879	87.4%	\$1,687,317.93	4,027	12.6%	\$255,862.00
WYOMING	1,350	1,214	89.9%	\$80,073.25	136	10.1%	\$10,516.00
YATES	2,798	2,523	90.2%	\$141,166.44	275	9.8%	\$16,840.00
NEW YORK	3,764,662	2,049,961	54.5%	\$166,954,134.36	1,714,701	45.5%	\$139,071,454.50
TOTALS	4,379,533	2,518,455	57.5%	\$197,392,252.43	1,861,078	42.5%	\$148,964,682.25

Data Source: OMM/DOIT, report received 2/23/06

Table 13
Number of Claims Submitted By Municipalities and Denied by Medicaid
By Reason for Denial
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

	Total Denials	00068 - SERVICE DATE NOT WITHIN 90 DAYS OF RECEIPT DATE	00162 - RECIPIENT INELIGIBLE ON SERVICE DATE	00705 - DUPLICATE CLAIM IN HISTORY	00144 - RECIPIENT SEX NOT EQUAL FILE	00131 - THIRD PARTY INDICATED/O THER INSURANCE AMT NOT SUBMITTED	00142 - RECIPIENT BIRTH DATE NOT EQUAL FILE	01338 - RECIPIENT NOT ON RESTRICTED RECIPIENT FILE	00140 - RECIPIENT ID NUMBER NOT ON FILE	00098 - LOCATOR CODE INVALID
Albany	3,584	0	1,655	0	0	1,280	193	145	0	0
Allegany	202	49	135	8	3	3	0	4	0	0
Broome	839	23	420	1	0	243	20	17	0	0
Cattaraugus	1,449	0	521	0	220	222	323	77	0	0
Cayuga	67	0	36	0	0	1	0	4	0	0
Chautauqua	864	4	122	0	24	700	0	7	0	0
Chemung	513	25	266	12	3	206	0	0	0	0
Chenango	288	0	27	2	0	259	0	0	0	0
Clinton	692	0	538	0	0	56	0	29	0	0
Columbia	405	37	22	3	3	287	0	9	0	0
Cortland	1,115	929	4	155	0	22	0	0	0	0
Delaware	1,045	0	90	1	92	152	702	7	0	0
Dutchess	7,789	50	861	2,236	534	452	3,345	199	0	0
Erie	11,432	488	3,152	870	1,229	5,082	372	111	0	0
Essex	98	18	68	7	0	0	0	3	0	0
Franklin	261	0	102	21	0	134	0	0	0	0
Fulton	2,213	0	160	487	0	1,543	0	0	0	0
Genesee	2,829	196	653	574	0	1,403	0	0	0	0
Greene	749	11	330	0	0	358	0	20	0	0
Hamilton	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	309	10	139	0	0	75	0	33	21	0
Jefferson	1,823	490	589	8	0	688	0	25	0	0
Livingston	364	112	180	0	0	38	12	14	0	0
Madison	759	0	43	0	6	676	0	25	0	0
Monroe	29,335	9,058	3,155	3,273	48	3,691	2,284	573	0	7,091
Montgomery	546	374	5	0	57	73	0	11	0	0
Nassau	20,055	2,683	6,000	1,054	78	4,356	8	186	39	5,481
Niagara	4,841	590	554	934	0	1,878	6	78	0	764
Oneida	2,508	1,155	804	30	7	477	9	10	0	0
Onondaga	6,569	2,395	2,440	50	130	1,131	33	70	1	0
Ontario	1,812	154	527	322	23	610	0	89	0	0
Orange	3,068	0	1,444	273	100	932	0	193	0	0
Orleans	460	0	13	0	0	426	0	0	0	0
Oswego	1,520	323	372	93	0	603	28	89	0	0
Otsego	588	39	107	0	0	343	3	36	0	0
Putnam	1,328	4	423	94	107	691	8	0	0	0
Rensselaer	3,850	2,661	514	1	0	433	45	140	0	0
Rockland	4,866	823	3,206	37	65	546	85	36	0	0
Saratoga	3,395	241	931	60	0	1,877	85	136	0	0
Schenectady	3,983	179	553	1,696	196	952	17	113	0	0
Schoharie	118	1	103	1	0	4	0	0	0	0
Seneca	201	69	23	106	0	0	0	3	0	0
Steuben	1,157	59	417	69	0	486	0	57	0	0
Suffolk	1,906	0	726	476	36	483	39	127	14	0
Sullivan	3,017	673	1,031	864	0	294	39	68	0	0
Tioga	898	38	93	387	0	353	0	23	0	0
Tompkins	659	0	310	0	4	325	0	0	0	0
Ulster	585	156	336	0	0	60	0	0	0	0
Warren	472	314	20	7	0	131	0	0	0	0
Washington	188	0	66	0	0	74	11	21	0	0
Wayne	3,677	165	220	81	2	3,163	22	9	0	0
Westchester	4,027	0	2,379	162	118	1,047	63	0	0	0
Wyoming	136	0	20	0	0	116	0	0	0	0
Yates	275	34	39	8	0	161	0	11	0	0
New York City	1,714,701	555,303	350,356	343,040	216,225	120,177	70,941	32,204	17,323	0
ROS	146,377	24,630	37,274	14,473	3,088	39,846	7,758	2,810	95	13,336
STATE	1,861,078	579,933	387,630	357,513	219,313	160,023	78,699	35,014	17,418	13,336

Data Source: OMM/DOIT, claims received through 1/11/05

Table 13
Number of Claims Submitted By Municipalities and Denied by Medicaid
By Reason for Denial
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

	00699 - RECIPIENT COVERAGE INDICATES CAPITATION CLAIMS AND PREPAID CAPITATION PLAN REFER SERVICE ONLY	01339 - RECIPIENT NOT AUTHORIZED FOR CMCM/MS/IRA ON SERVICE DATE	01210 - RECIPIENT AGE INVALID FOR EARLY INTERVENTION CLAIM	01340 - CLAIM PROVIDER NOT EQUAL RECIPIENT FILE PROVIDER	00102 - SERVICE DATE PRIOR TO BIRTH DATE	00547 - RECIPIENT INELIGIBLE (COVERAGE CODE IS EQUAL TO 07)	00715 - PROCEDURE CONFLICTS WITH PRIOR SERVICE	00026 - DATE OF BIRTH INVALID	00001 - RECIPIENT SEX INVALID, MUST INDICATE M OR F
Albany	5	267	0	39	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	10	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	22	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	8	11	0	25	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	100	0	12	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	0	81	0	26	0	0	0	0	0
Essex	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	0	20	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Genesee	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	0	11	0	20	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	107	0	33	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	129	0	38	0	0	3	0	0
Niagara	0	22	0	15	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	1	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	255	0	64	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	37	0	50	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	17	36	0	73	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	16	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	7	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	28	0	32	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	8	0	48	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	4	59	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	41	0	24	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	140	0	55	0	0	0	0	82
Schoharie	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	58	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	46	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	25	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	2	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	219	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	6,234	994	768	122	454	259	143	142	0
ROS	284	1,968	0	639	0	0	6	0	121
STATE	6,518	2,962	768	761	454	259	149	142	121

Table 13
Number of Claims Submitted By Municipalities and Denied by Medicaid
By Reason for Denial
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005

	00152 - RECIPIENT FILE INDICATES MEDICARE/NO MEDICARE PRESENT	01028 - RATE CODE INVALID FOR CMCM/MS CATEGORY OF SERVICE	00074 - RECIPIENT ID NUMBER INVALID	00725 - HISTORY RECORD NOT FOUND FOR ADJUSTMENT OR VOID	00020 - SERVICE/F ILL DATE LATER THAN RECEIPT DATE	00039 - PRIMARY DIAGNOSIS CODE BLANK	00129 - RATE CODE NOT ON RATE FILE
Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegany	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cayuga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chenango	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	20	0	0	0	0	0	1
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Herkimer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	22	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niagara	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oneida	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oswego	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rockland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoharie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seneca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steuben	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westchester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	9	0	7	0	0	0	0
ROS	20	22	0	4	1	1	1
STATE	29	22	7	4	1	1	1