

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
DIVISION OF FAMILY HEALTH
BUREAU OF EARLY INTERVENTION

NYS EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM

REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

JANUARY 1, 2006 – DECEMBER 31, 2006



**New York State Department of Health
Division of Family Health
Bureau of Early Intervention**

**Early Intervention Program
Annual Report to the Legislature
January 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006**

Under Section 2557 of the Public Health Law (PHL), the Department of Health is required to prepare and submit an annual report to the Governor and Legislature, covering the period January 1 through December 31 with respect to certain aspects of the Early Intervention Program (EIP). Specifically, the Department is required to report data, by municipality, on the EIP for the purposes of improving the efficiency, cost effectiveness, and quality of the EIP. This report covers the period from January 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006.

Data Collection Methods

Since the inception of the EIP, the Department has supplied municipalities with a software application, known as the Kids Integrated Data System (KIDS), to use for the collection of data, local management of EIP activities, payment of bills submitted by providers, and processing of claims to commercial insurers, Medicaid, and the Department. The Department maintains the KIDS application and periodically issues revised versions of the application to municipalities to meet new program requirements and/or improve data collection capabilities.

All fifty-eight municipalities use KIDS for data collection and to assist with the management of program activities. All municipalities, with the exception of New York City, use the billing and claiming components of KIDS. New York City has a contract for its provider payment and claiming processes. The following other counties also used fiscal agents during the current reporting period to manage their claiming procedures, but use KIDS for claims processing: Dutchess, Erie, Genesee, Monroe, Niagara, Orleans, Suffolk, and Wayne.

KIDS is a distributed data system. Data are collected locally using KIDS and submitted to the Department on a quarterly basis. The Department maintains and regularly updates its state-level database on the EIP, using quarterly data submitted by municipalities. This state-level database is used by the Department for program management purposes and to comply with federal and State reporting requirements, including this report.

In addition to KIDS, the Department maintains a Fiscal System application, which it uses to process all claims submitted by municipalities for State reimbursement. In accordance with State law, municipalities must submit claims for payment within two years of the date of service.

Data Table Descriptions and Data Limitations

Section 2557 of PHL requires the Department to report on data collected from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. Child and provider data included in this report are based on data submitted to the Department by municipalities in February, 2007 and are complete through December 31, 2006. Medicaid data were supplied by the Office of Medicaid Management, using the Medicaid Management Information System.

As required under Section 2557(4) of PHL, data are reported by county, New York City, and Rest of State excluding New York City, and State.

The following describes the data included in each of the tables, along with limitations that should be considered when interpreting the data.

Table 1 presents the number of children enrolled in the EIP by age. Children with an IFSP continuing in the program were assigned to an age group based on their age on January 1, and children entering the program in 2006 were assigned to an age group based on their age on the date of the initial IFSP.

Tables 2 and 3 present the number and percent of children authorized to receive a single service and authorized to receive multiple services, respectively, by type of service. For the purposes of these analyses, service coordination, evaluations, transportation, assistive technology devices, and respite services are excluded. For **Table 2**, a child was counted as receiving a single service if s/he was authorized to receive only one type of general early intervention service (e.g., physical therapy only, speech therapy only, or occupational therapy only.) during the entire time period, regardless of the length of time during the reporting period for which the service was authorized. For example, if a child received an initial IFSP in October, and was authorized to receive speech language therapy and no other service for the months of November and December, the child was counted as receiving a single service. For **Table 3**, a child was counted as receiving multiple services if s/he was authorized to receive two or more services at any time during the entire time period, regardless of whether authorizations for each type of service were overlapping or consecutive (e.g., consecutive weeks, months, etc. in the period January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006). Each child was counted under every service type for which they were authorized during this twelve-month period. For example, if a child received an initial IFSP in July, was authorized to receive physical therapy in September, and occupational therapy in December, the child was counted as receiving multiple services, and was counted under both service types. As a result of children being counted repeatedly across different service types, the sum of percentages across the service types is more than 100%.

Table 4 presents the number of State-approved agencies¹ and independent (individual) service providers that were under direct contract with one or more municipalities, and therefore were available to provide services to children during this time period, by service specialty, in full-time equivalents (FTEs). Agency and individual providers that are only approved by the Department and not reported as under contract, either by a municipality or by an approved agency (for individuals), are not included. These data are derived from applications submitted by providers at the time State approval is sought, and do not include changes after the initial application to the Department. Provider contract status is reported periodically to the Department by municipalities. These data likely under represent the current FTEs available to deliver early intervention services, particularly for agency providers.

Tables 5 and 6 present the number and percent of children authorized to receive a single service and multiple services, respectively, by the type of provider authorized to deliver the service(s) to the child. **Table 5** displays the data by agency and individual provider. **Table 6** presents the data by agency only, individual only, and both individual and agency, since children authorized to receive multiple services may be authorized to receive services from both agencies and individual providers.

Table 7 displays the number of State-approved providers under contract with municipalities to provide evaluations, and the number and percent of evaluators who delivered services to children whom they evaluated.

Table 8 displays the number and percent of children whose families were authorized to receive supportive services, which are defined as family training, counseling, parent support groups, or respite.

Table 9 displays the number and percent of children who were reported to have some form of third party insurance coverage during the reporting period. Children with unknown insurance status had no commercial insurance coverage or Medicaid coverage information reported during the time period.

Table 10 displays the number and percent of claims submitted to commercial insurers and the claims denied by insurers that were reconciled during the reporting period. Data submitted by municipalities using KIDS include claims submitted to insurers prior to January 1, 2006 that were subsequently denied, paid, or partially paid by insurers and reconciled between January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2006. Data reported by fiscal agents under contract with

¹ Under EIP regulations, an agency means incorporated entities, sole proprietorships, partnerships, and state operated facilities operating under the approval of any state early intervention agency. "Individual" providers means qualified individuals with appropriate licensure, certification, or registration identified as qualified personnel for purposes of the EIP.

municipalities to perform claiming functions include only those claims that were both submitted and reconciled during the period.

Table 11 displays the number and percent of claims submitted by municipalities that were denied by commercial insurers by reason of denial. The following are definitions used to report reasons for denial of claims by insurers:

- *Service Not Covered* - Denial of payment by an insurer for a service known to be in the child benefit package, for one of the following reasons: not a covered service, equipment, or device; or denied based on location of service (e.g., home or community setting).
- *No Response from Insurer* - No response from an insurer after repeated contact attempts by the municipality.
- *Other Denial* - Denial of payment for any reason other than those mentioned.
- *Not Medically Necessary* - Denial of payment by an insurer when preauthorization was sought and denied by the insurer or when the insurer has determined, independent of any preauthorization requirement, that the service was not medically necessary.
- *Out-of-Network Provider* - Denial of payment by an insurer based on the use of a non-participating provider.
- *No Preauthorization Obtained* - Denial of payment because preauthorization for the service was required, but was not sought and/or obtained by the municipality. Several municipalities indicated to the Department that this field was also used to report denials when preauthorization was sought, and the insurer denied the preauthorization.
- *Benefits Exhausted* - Denial of payment when policy limits have been reached (either visit limits or amount of reimbursement). This includes claims not submitted by municipalities to insurers upon notification that benefits have been exhausted.
- *Exceeds Insurer's Claiming Limit* - Denial of payment by an insurer when the claim exceeds the timeframe from date of service required by the insurer.
- *Child Not Covered* - Denial of payment by the insurer for services delivered to a child at a time when the child was not covered by the insurer (e.g., policy terminated or not renewed or services provided prior to the effective date).
- *No Primary Care Physician Referral* - Denial of payment by an insurer for failure to obtain a referral from the primary care provider prior to the provision of services (including evaluation services).
- *Technical Errors* - Denial of payment by an insurer due to technical errors on the claim, such as incorrect policy number, incorrect procedure code, incorrect or missing diagnosis, incorrect date of service, or duplicate dates of service.
- *Government Service Blanket Rejection* - Denial of payment by an insurer because the service was provided by a governmental program.

Tables 12 and 13 display the number and amount of claims submitted to Medicaid by payment status (Table 12), and reasons for denial of claims (Table 13).

Department Guidance and Oversight of the EIP

The Department used these and other data to improve ongoing state-level management and oversight of the EIP through the actions described below.

- A statewide monitoring system to review municipal administration of the EIP and agency and individual providers engaged in the service delivery system. Through its contractor, IPRO, the Department has completed two cycles of monitoring for all agency and individual providers contracted to deliver early intervention services and is in the process of completing a second monitoring cycle of the 58 municipalities as service providers. In addition, one monitoring cycle of the municipalities as local administrator has been completed and another is planned to begin in 2007. A total of 1,906 comprehensive reviews were conducted during the first cycle of monitoring and 941 during the second cycle to date. Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) are required and submitted when deficiencies are identified. Immediate remediation of serious deficiencies is required, with municipal oversight of remediation efforts. Focused site reviews are also conducted to ensure remediation has been completed according to the terms of the approved corrective action plan.
- Implementation of an auditing program for the EIP, including development and dissemination of an audit protocol for use in auditing providers and municipalities by the Department, and development of data-driven criteria to prioritize provider audits.
- Continuation of State-level matches of the EIP and Medicaid eligibility files to submit retroactive claims for federal Medicaid reimbursement. To date, more than \$85 million in federal reimbursement has been achieved through this process since 2001.
- The Department continues work to develop a state-of-the-art web-based data system to replace the EIP's aging legacy system, KIDS. Known as the New York State Early Intervention System (NYEIS), the new system will handle current and future business, statutory, and regulatory requirements and will employ proven hardware and software technology to ensure that data security meets defined performance standards, is cost effective, and easy to maintain and operate. NYEIS will provide controls to support EIP's legal and financial responsibilities and protect EIP's administrative and managerial integrity.

While NYEIS will serve EIP's most urgent needs, it will also provide a basis on which additional functional modules can be deployed as needed. It will provide the EIP with effective and efficient management tools on the State and local levels for the administration, reporting, and analysis of integrated EIP data. NYEIS will provide near-real-time interfaces to other systems/agencies and external providers (such as Medicaid), which will maximize reimbursement from Medicaid and third party insurances, thereby reducing the costs for the EIP to the State and localities.

- The Department continues to maintain the existing distributed data system, KIDS, which captures information about children participating in the EI program on the local level, and which assists municipalities in claiming reimbursement for EI services from insurance, Medicaid, and the State. These efforts include release of updated versions of KIDS to implement technical improvements and correct identified problems, and providing technical assistance and support to municipalities on the day-to-day operation of KIDS.
- The Department and State Education Department continue to provide ongoing training and technical assistance to municipalities, EIP providers, school district personnel, and parents to provide direction necessary to ensure that children potentially in need of Preschool Special Education receive an evaluation from their school districts by age three; and to ensure the smooth and timely transition of all children from the EIP to other services and supports, as needed.
- The Department continues to provide ongoing training and technical assistance to municipalities, EIP providers, and parents to improve evaluation procedures used to determine eligibility for children referred to the EIP.
- Training contracts have been established to deliver ongoing statewide training for providers, municipalities, and parents on a variety of topics related to the EIP, and to develop new and revised curricula for the EIP.
- The Department holds an annual meeting with Early Intervention Officials/Managers to disseminate information and discuss policy changes with municipal officials responsible for local implementation of the EIP. It also provides the municipalities with an opportunity to network and problem-solve with each other.
- The Department holds bimonthly conference calls with Early Intervention Officials/Managers to provide training, technical assistance, program updates and guidance.

**Table 1 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Enrolled in the Early Intervention Program By Age.
(Children with an IFSP at Any Time During the Period)
January 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006**

Municipality	All Children Enrolled (1)	Age Group (2)							
		Birth -< 1 Year Old		1 -< 2 Years Old		2 -< 3 Years Old		3+ Years Old	
		Number	Percent (3)	Number	Percent (3)	Number	Percent (3)	Number	Percent (3)
Albany	497	66	13.3%	114	22.9%	300	60.4%	17	3.4%
Allegany	63	5	7.9%	23	36.5%	32	50.8%	3	4.8%
Broome	522	114	21.8%	175	33.5%	209	40.0%	24	4.6%
Cattaraugus	176	26	14.8%	55	31.3%	85	48.3%	10	5.7%
Cayuga	230	33	14.3%	64	27.8%	122	53.0%	11	4.8%
Chautauqua	258	45	17.4%	76	29.5%	135	52.3%	2	0.8%
Chemung	184	33	17.9%	55	29.9%	88	47.8%	8	4.3%
Chenango	97	15	15.5%	29	29.9%	51	52.6%	2	2.1%
Clinton	368	52	14.1%	111	30.2%	182	49.5%	23	6.3%
Columbia	144	18	12.5%	53	36.8%	65	45.1%	8	5.6%
Cortland	120	8	6.7%	42	35.0%	60	50.0%	10	8.3%
Delaware	102	14	13.7%	25	24.5%	48	47.1%	15	14.7%
Dutchess	1,058	100	9.5%	317	30.0%	595	56.2%	46	4.3%
Erie	2,386	217	9.1%	676	28.3%	1,392	58.3%	101	4.2%
Essex	90	13	14.4%	36	40.0%	40	44.4%	1	1.1%
Franklin	104	13	12.5%	27	26.0%	62	59.6%	2	1.9%
Fulton	88	5	5.7%	30	34.1%	50	56.8%	3	3.4%
Genesee	213	34	16.0%	61	28.6%	100	46.9%	18	8.5%
Greene	149	25	16.8%	48	32.2%	66	44.3%	10	6.7%
Hamilton	2	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Herkimer	86	8	9.3%	31	36.0%	44	51.2%	3	3.5%
Jefferson	163	26	16.0%	53	32.5%	78	47.9%	6	3.7%
Lewis	59	16	27.1%	19	32.2%	24	40.7%	0	0.0%
Livingston	145	18	12.4%	49	33.8%	61	42.1%	17	11.7%
Madison	110	16	14.5%	28	25.5%	59	53.6%	7	6.4%
Monroe	1,833	226	12.3%	548	29.9%	919	50.1%	140	7.6%
Montgomery	99	14	14.1%	28	28.3%	56	56.6%	1	1.0%
Nassau	4,126	426	10.3%	1,242	30.1%	2,236	54.2%	222	5.4%
Niagara	626	63	10.1%	171	27.3%	348	55.6%	44	7.0%
Oneida	503	56	11.1%	129	25.6%	288	57.3%	30	6.0%
Onondaga	1,182	144	12.2%	335	28.3%	639	54.1%	64	5.4%
Ontario	167	28	16.8%	44	26.3%	82	49.1%	13	7.8%
Orange	1,345	172	12.8%	456	33.9%	714	53.1%	3	0.2%
Orleans	117	16	13.7%	30	25.6%	68	58.1%	3	2.6%
Oswego	355	40	11.3%	108	30.4%	194	54.6%	13	3.7%
Otsego	90	7	7.8%	36	40.0%	43	47.8%	4	4.4%
Putnam	190	36	18.9%	59	31.1%	92	48.4%	3	1.6%
Rensselaer	483	67	13.9%	131	27.1%	263	54.5%	22	4.6%
Rockland	2,159	350	16.2%	678	31.4%	1,076	49.8%	55	2.5%
St. Lawrence	161	23	14.3%	40	24.8%	90	55.9%	8	5.0%
Saratoga	484	49	10.1%	127	26.2%	278	57.4%	30	6.2%
Schenectady	302	43	14.2%	93	30.8%	157	52.0%	9	3.0%
Schoharie	67	9	13.4%	20	29.9%	37	55.2%	1	1.5%
Schuyler	41	6	14.6%	10	24.4%	25	61.0%	0	0.0%
Seneca	70	8	11.4%	24	34.3%	37	52.9%	1	1.4%
Steuben	223	39	17.5%	64	28.7%	102	45.7%	18	8.1%
Suffolk	3,294	355	10.8%	934	28.4%	1,959	59.5%	46	1.4%
Sullivan	249	44	17.7%	74	29.7%	127	51.0%	4	1.6%
Tioga	133	29	21.8%	48	36.1%	48	36.1%	8	6.0%
Tompkins	295	48	16.3%	84	28.5%	143	48.5%	20	6.8%
Ulster	437	69	15.8%	134	30.7%	218	49.9%	16	3.7%
Warren	218	19	8.7%	82	37.6%	113	51.8%	4	1.8%
Washington	152	16	10.5%	45	29.6%	75	49.3%	16	10.5%
Wayne	285	29	10.2%	75	26.3%	169	59.3%	12	4.2%
Westchester	3,456	450	13.0%	1,052	30.4%	1,809	52.3%	145	4.2%
Wyoming	103	13	12.6%	33	32.0%	51	49.5%	6	5.8%
Yates	42	5	11.9%	7	16.7%	26	61.9%	4	9.5%
New York City	34,425	4,525	13.1%	10,157	29.5%	17,746	51.5%	1,997	5.8%
ROS	30,701	3,819	12.4%	9,139	29.8%	16,430	53.5%	1,313	4.3%
STATE	65,126	8,344	12.8%	19,296	29.6%	34,176	52.5%	3,310	5.1%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 12/06

- (1) Enrolled in EI - Children were counted as enrolled if they had an IFSP at any time during the year, regardless of the length of time in the EIP. The "All Children Enrolled" total in this table is greater than the sum of "Single Service Children" (Tables 2 & 5) and "Multiple Service Children" (Tables 3 & 6) because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for services which were excluded in those tables, like service coordination or evaluations.
- (2) Age - Children with an IFSP continuing in the program were assigned to an age category based on their age on January 1, and children entering the program were assigned to an age category based on the date of the initial IFSP.
- (3) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

Table 2 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive A Single Early Intervention Service By Type of Service.
January 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006

Municipality	Single Service Children	Service Type (1, 2)										
		AT Service	Audio-logy	Fam Cnsl/Sup (3)	Nutri-tion	OT	PT	Psych	Social Wk	Spec Inst	Speech	Vision
Albany	182	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	29.7%	0.0%	0.5%	4.9%	62.6%	0.0%
Allegany	32	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	40.6%	0.0%	0.0%	21.9%	34.4%	0.0%
Broome	218	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	6.9%	27.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	65.1%	0.0%
Cattaraugus	102	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	27.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	70.6%	0.0%
Cayuga	98	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	66.3%	0.0%
Chautauqua	147	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	24.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	68.7%	0.0%
Chemung	88	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	30.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	60.2%	0.0%
Chenango	39	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	64.1%	2.6%
Clinton	168	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	17.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	79.8%	0.0%
Columbia	55	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	21.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	72.7%	0.0%
Cortland	49	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	81.6%	0.0%
Delaware	38	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	44.7%	47.4%	0.0%
Dutchess	470	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.2%	1.5%	9.4%	0.0%	0.2%	3.6%	83.4%	0.0%
Erie	1,213	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	2.1%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	80.1%	0.2%
Essex	47	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	21.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	76.6%	0.0%
Franklin	47	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	17.0%	70.2%	0.0%
Fulton	25	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	64.0%	0.0%
Genesee	53	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.1%	24.5%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	47.2%	0.0%
Greene	53	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.0%	0.0%	3.8%	1.9%	77.4%	0.0%
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Herkimer	26	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	84.6%	0.0%
Jefferson	68	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	1.5%	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	76.5%	0.0%
Lewis	23	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%	65.2%	0.0%
Livingston	50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	56.0%	0.0%
Madison	54	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	24.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	64.8%	0.0%
Monroe	809	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	79.4%	0.2%
Montgomery	35	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	17.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	62.9%	0.0%
Nassau	1,577	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.1%	1.3%	29.9%	0.0%	0.1%	3.6%	64.1%	0.2%
Niagara	276	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	83.3%	0.0%
Oneida	175	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	5.7%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.6%	61.1%	0.0%
Onondaga	411	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	2.7%	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	71.3%	0.0%
Ontario	63	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	23.8%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	68.3%	0.0%
Orange	509	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	70.1%	0.0%
Orleans	58	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	15.5%	67.2%	0.0%
Oswego	125	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%	48.0%	39.2%	0.0%
Otsego	31	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	22.6%	0.0%	0.0%	22.6%	48.4%	0.0%
Putnam	83	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	39.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	47.0%	0.0%
Rensselaer	162	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	22.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	75.3%	0.0%
Rockland	600	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	31.0%	0.2%	0.0%	6.2%	59.2%	0.0%
St. Lawrence	49	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	2.0%	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%	30.6%	53.1%	0.0%
Saratoga	194	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	2.6%	16.5%	0.0%	0.5%	3.6%	75.8%	0.5%
Schenectady	121	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	18.2%	0.8%	0.8%	9.9%	65.3%	0.0%
Schoharie	25	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	32.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	56.0%	0.0%
Schuyler	25	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	68.0%	0.0%
Seneca	28	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	71.4%	0.0%
Steuben	72	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	18.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	70.8%	0.0%
Suffolk	1,079	0.1%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.6%	32.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	57.9%	0.4%
Sullivan	89	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	36.0%	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%	59.6%	0.0%
Tioga	66	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	54.5%	0.0%
Tompkins	101	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	25.7%	0.0%	5.9%	2.0%	64.4%	0.0%
Ulster	162	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.9%	22.2%	0.0%	2.5%	4.9%	66.7%	1.2%
Warren	128	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	84.4%	0.0%
Washington	70	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	17.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	80.0%	0.0%
Wayne	130	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	78.5%	0.8%
Westchester	1,382	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	2.5%	31.5%	0.1%	0.1%	13.7%	51.9%	0.0%
Wyoming	55	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	76.4%	0.0%
Yates	19	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	68.4%	0.0%
New York City	8,107	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.1%	4.3%	24.1%	0.0%	0.2%	25.1%	45.4%	0.1%
ROS	12,054	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	2.4%	23.6%	0.0%	0.2%	5.9%	67.1%	0.1%
STATE	20,161	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	3.2%	23.8%	0.0%	0.2%	13.6%	58.4%	0.1%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 12/06

(1) These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in this table and "Multiple Service Children" in Table 3 will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations.

(2) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

(3) Fam Cnsl/Sup. - Family Counseling, Family Support Group and Family Training were combined into one category for this report.

**Table 3 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive Multiple Early Intervention Services
By Type of Service.
January 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Mult. Service Children	Service Type (1, 2)											
		AT Service	Audiology	Fam Cns/Sup (3)	Nursing	Nutrition	OT	PT	Psych	Social Wk	Spec Inst	Speech	Vision
Albany	294	0.7%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	7.1%	54.4%	46.3%	2.4%	17.7%	73.1%	89.8%	3.1%
Allegany	28	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	53.6%	67.9%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	82.1%	7.1%
Broome	279	0.0%	0.0%	22.9%	0.0%	0.4%	66.7%	61.6%	0.0%	16.5%	34.4%	84.6%	2.5%
Cattaraugus	73	4.1%	0.0%	19.2%	0.0%	0.0%	46.6%	52.1%	0.0%	0.0%	54.8%	87.7%	1.4%
Cayuga	82	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	45.1%	53.7%	0.0%	0.0%	72.0%	85.4%	8.5%
Chautauqua	108	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	55.6%	49.1%	0.9%	11.1%	46.3%	83.3%	9.3%
Chemung	91	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	64.8%	63.7%	0.0%	0.0%	46.2%	84.6%	0.0%
Chenango	58	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	69.0%	55.2%	0.0%	0.0%	51.7%	91.4%	10.3%
Clinton	189	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	2.1%	58.7%	40.7%	1.1%	0.5%	68.3%	91.0%	2.6%
Columbia	87	1.1%	0.0%	28.7%	0.0%	4.6%	39.1%	41.4%	0.0%	17.2%	51.7%	89.7%	3.4%
Cortland	70	0.0%	1.4%	4.3%	2.9%	1.4%	51.4%	48.6%	0.0%	2.9%	71.4%	82.9%	4.3%
Delaware	54	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	7.4%	53.7%	46.3%	0.0%	13.0%	70.4%	61.1%	7.4%
Dutchess	578	0.5%	1.0%	33.2%	0.0%	19.2%	51.7%	34.8%	0.2%	10.0%	57.3%	89.4%	0.2%
Erie	1,096	2.8%	1.6%	12.9%	0.0%	0.2%	58.1%	53.9%	1.2%	4.5%	60.0%	80.1%	3.9%
Essex	42	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	2.4%	54.8%	45.2%	0.0%	2.4%	64.3%	95.2%	4.8%
Franklin	57	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.4%	47.4%	1.8%	0.0%	68.4%	87.7%	1.8%
Fulton	61	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	50.8%	42.6%	0.0%	1.6%	80.3%	80.3%	4.9%
Genesee	158	14.6%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	13.3%	56.3%	47.5%	2.5%	0.0%	84.2%	80.4%	3.2%
Greene	96	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	8.3%	62.5%	52.1%	1.0%	16.7%	60.4%	84.4%	0.0%
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Herkimer	59	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	64.4%	61.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.1%	89.8%	1.7%
Jefferson	85	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	55.3%	54.1%	1.2%	0.0%	65.9%	88.2%	0.0%
Lewis	36	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	80.6%	0.0%	0.0%	63.9%	86.1%	8.3%
Livingston	85	4.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	48.2%	42.4%	1.2%	5.9%	77.6%	78.8%	3.5%
Madison	55	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	47.3%	52.7%	0.0%	3.6%	83.6%	90.9%	7.3%
Monroe	1,001	0.4%	2.8%	14.1%	0.0%	10.3%	51.8%	44.7%	6.0%	27.9%	64.3%	87.5%	4.8%
Montgomery	62	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	74.2%	48.4%	0.0%	1.6%	80.6%	85.5%	1.6%
Nassau	2,456	1.6%	0.0%	30.3%	0.1%	7.8%	45.2%	42.4%	1.8%	6.4%	75.2%	90.2%	1.1%
Niagara	323	6.2%	1.2%	42.7%	0.0%	0.0%	48.0%	39.3%	4.0%	0.6%	61.9%	88.5%	1.9%
Oneida	327	0.0%	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	42.8%	43.4%	0.0%	7.3%	66.1%	88.7%	4.0%
Onondaga	743	0.1%	3.1%	23.0%	0.3%	2.4%	58.3%	46.8%	0.0%	10.8%	72.9%	82.9%	3.2%
Ontario	103	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	2.9%	43.7%	55.3%	5.8%	15.5%	74.8%	71.8%	1.9%
Orange	820	2.4%	3.2%	28.3%	0.0%	0.6%	48.5%	44.5%	0.0%	17.6%	66.7%	90.5%	2.0%
Orleans	57	3.5%	0.0%	35.1%	0.0%	10.5%	40.4%	42.1%	1.8%	1.8%	77.2%	75.4%	0.0%
Oswego	221	0.0%	4.1%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	42.1%	47.5%	0.0%	0.5%	95.0%	76.0%	0.5%
Otsego	59	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%	1.7%	20.3%	59.3%	0.0%	5.1%	79.7%	81.4%	6.8%
Putnam	103	29.1%	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%	1.9%	64.1%	54.4%	0.0%	26.2%	67.0%	77.7%	1.0%
Rensselaer	312	1.3%	0.6%	5.1%	0.3%	0.0%	40.4%	47.4%	0.6%	32.1%	78.2%	91.0%	7.4%
Rockland	1,537	0.3%	0.5%	21.0%	0.2%	3.4%	51.5%	47.9%	0.7%	1.6%	77.6%	84.7%	2.5%
St. Lawrence	108	0.0%	1.9%	1.9%	0.9%	4.6%	35.2%	40.7%	0.0%	3.7%	87.0%	82.4%	4.6%
Saratoga	279	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	12.9%	41.9%	44.4%	1.1%	26.2%	83.2%	85.7%	3.2%
Schenectady	174	0.6%	0.0%	3.4%	9.2%	5.2%	45.4%	48.3%	1.7%	14.4%	83.9%	87.9%	5.2%
Schoharie	40	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.5%	55.0%	0.0%	27.5%	65.0%	87.5%	7.5%
Schuyler	16	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	56.3%	50.0%	6.3%	0.0%	56.3%	81.3%	0.0%
Seneca	41	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	26.8%	56.1%	0.0%	2.4%	82.9%	75.6%	0.0%
Steuben	142	3.5%	0.0%	23.9%	0.0%	0.0%	70.4%	51.4%	0.0%	0.0%	62.0%	84.5%	2.1%
Suffolk	2,110	2.2%	0.2%	43.6%	0.4%	3.8%	30.0%	38.6%	0.4%	6.7%	79.7%	85.4%	2.9%
Sullivan	153	4.6%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	47.7%	54.9%	11.8%	19.0%	61.4%	89.5%	4.6%
Tioga	64	0.0%	0.0%	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%	64.1%	65.6%	3.1%	3.1%	29.7%	89.1%	6.3%
Tompkins	188	0.0%	1.1%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	55.9%	52.1%	9.6%	24.5%	67.6%	86.7%	0.0%
Ulster	272	0.7%	3.7%	14.3%	0.0%	8.5%	51.1%	45.6%	0.0%	27.2%	68.8%	86.8%	2.6%
Warren	89	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	1.1%	3.4%	62.9%	50.6%	0.0%	0.0%	58.4%	92.1%	0.0%
Washington	76	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	64.5%	46.1%	0.0%	19.7%	50.0%	85.5%	5.3%
Wayne	151	2.0%	0.7%	6.0%	0.0%	4.0%	57.6%	52.3%	5.3%	4.0%	74.2%	85.4%	5.3%
Westchester	1,942	9.6%	0.1%	24.9%	0.0%	1.5%	57.9%	53.9%	0.9%	2.1%	66.9%	80.1%	1.3%
Wyoming	46	4.3%	0.0%	28.3%	0.0%	2.2%	50.0%	52.2%	0.0%	13.0%	47.8%	80.4%	4.3%
Yates	23	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.9%	47.8%	8.7%	0.0%	56.5%	78.3%	4.3%
New York City	24,807	0.4%	0.0%	44.9%	0.0%	2.1%	54.1%	50.8%	0.4%	13.0%	64.8%	76.8%	1.3%
ROS	17,859	2.5%	0.8%	22.0%	0.2%	4.3%	49.4%	46.7%	1.4%	9.0%	70.2%	85.5%	2.7%
STATE	42,666	1.3%	0.4%	35.3%	0.1%	3.0%	52.1%	49.1%	0.8%	11.3%	67.1%	80.4%	1.9%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 12/06

(1) These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in Table 2 and "Multiple Service Children" in this table will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

(2) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

(3) Fam Cns/Sup. - Family Counseling, Family Support Group and Family Training services were combined into one category for this report.

Table 4 - Number of NYS Approved Agency and Individual Providers Under Contract with Municipalities to Provide EI Services by Service Specialty (1,2) Expressed as FTEs by Type of Discipline (3) As of December 31, 2006

Municipality	Audiologists		Certified Low Vision Specialists		Certified Occupational Therapy Assistants		Licensed Practical Nurses, Registered Nurses and Nurse Practitioners		Occupational Therapists		Orientation and Mobility Specialists		Pediatricians, Other Physicians and Physician Assistants		Physical Therapists		Physical Therapy Assistants	
	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.
Albany	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6	0.0	6.0	0.0	32.4	10.1	0.2	0.0	4.3	0.0	38.5	6.3	0.3	0.0
Allegany	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	2.4	0.0	9.3	1.3	0.3	0.0	1.9	0.0	9.2	2.3	0.5	0.0
Broome	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	8.9	0.0	6.1	2.2	2.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	12.2	1.4	3.2	0.0
Cattaraugus	4.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	1.9	0.0	16.4	1.0	0.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	22.7	3.3	3.5	0.0
Cayuga	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	0.0	2.4	0.0	17.5	2.0	0.6	0.0	0.9	0.0	16.6	2.4	7.6	0.0
Chautauqua	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	13.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	12.5	5.2	0.0	0.0
Chemung	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	11.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	11.9	2.0	1.0	0.0
Chenango	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.1	0.8	0.0	4.2	4.4	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	10.6	1.9	2.3	0.0
Clinton	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Columbia	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	0.0	5.5	0.0	23.5	2.2	0.2	0.3	4.0	0.0	27.5	1.4	0.3	0.0
Cortland	2.6	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	5.4	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.8	0.0	5.8	0.0	2.0	0.0
Delaware	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	18.9	0.0	11.7	2.4	2.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	21.3	0.6	8.9	0.0
Dutchess	1.6	0.0	0.3	0.0	3.4	0.0	7.2	0.0	21.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.0	22.4	0.0	1.3	0.0
Erie	7.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	11.5	0.0	61.9	0.0	0.3	0.0	5.8	0.0	67.2	0.0	4.3	0.0
Essex	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	4.3	0.0	3.8	0.2	0.1	0.0	2.3	0.0	4.3	1.0	0.0	0.0
Franklin	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	17.4	0.0	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	5.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
Fulton	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	17.1	0.0	9.2	0.8	2.7	0.0	2.9	0.0	8.7	0.7	0.6	0.0
Genesee	3.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	3.6	0.0	17.4	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	25.5	1.0	1.7	0.0
Greene	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	9.1	0.0	14.9	3.5	0.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	15.9	2.3	1.2	0.0
Hamilton	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Herkimer	2.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	11.2	0.0	21.0	0.0	38.8	2.3	2.5	0.0	5.5	1.0	35.8	1.5	8.6	0.0
Jefferson	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	10.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	10.6	0.0	3.0	0.0
Lewis	2.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	32.6	1.4	2.6	0.0	2.4	0.0	26.9	1.0	4.5	0.0
Livingston	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	26.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	16.7	0.3	0.0	0.0
Madison	5.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	9.5	0.0	2.3	0.0	32.8	0.0	2.5	0.0	1.4	0.0	32.1	0.0	6.0	0.0
Monroe	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	38.1	4.4	2.0	0.1	3.1	0.0	25.9	2.2	0.7	0.0
Montgomery	4.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.8	0.0	66.6	0.0	30.8	2.1	2.7	0.0	10.4	0.0	35.8	1.8	14.5	0.0
Nassau	32.4	0.0	1.9	0.5	40.0	0.0	15.2	0.0	461.9	3.8	0.8	0.0	25.6	0.0	466.7	5.5	16.0	0.0
Niagara	8.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	49.1	0.0	10.6	0.0	76.1	1.7	0.3	0.0	2.2	0.0	63.1	1.8	5.0	0.0
Oneida	2.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	8.9	0.0	34.2	0.0	2.5	0.0	1.4	0.0	30.8	0.0	6.0	0.0
Onondaga	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	4.0	0.0	36.8	3.4	0.6	0.0	6.3	1.0	34.7	2.4	1.1	0.0
Ontario	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.4	0.0	21.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	10.8	0.5	0.1	0.0
Orange	5.6	0.0	6.3	0.0	12.6	0.0	12.3	0.0	99.3	4.0	1.0	0.2	8.2	0.0	115.1	2.2	0.4	0.0
Orleans	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.2	0.0	10.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.1	0.0	4.7	0.0	1.7	0.0
Oswego	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	29.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	2.5	1.0	24.2	0.0	7.5	0.0
Otsego	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	10.6	0.0	20.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	19.2	1.4	6.5	0.0
Putnam	3.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	87.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	96.7	0.5	0.0	0.0
Rensselaer	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	5.8	0.0	30.7	6.4	0.2	0.0	4.8	0.0	42.6	5.2	2.9	0.0
Rockland	8.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	12.6	0.0	17.9	0.0	132.0	6.6	3.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	136.1	6.5	0.0	0.0
St. Lawrence	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	1.6	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.9	0.0	12.4	0.0	2.0	0.0
Saratoga	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.8	0.0	66.8	0.0	50.2	10.3	0.3	0.0	14.5	0.0	70.3	7.5	16.3	0.0
Schenectady	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	11.8	0.0	66.3	0.0	42.1	1.8	0.2	0.0	12.9	0.0	52.7	1.5	14.5	0.0
Schoharie	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	15.7	0.0	36.6	1.8	0.2	0.0	4.0	0.0	31.9	1.0	0.3	0.0
Schuyler	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	2.8	0.0	10.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	11.3	0.0	1.0	0.0
Seneca	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.6	1.0	4.9	0.3	0.1	0.0	1.4	0.0	5.6	0.1	0.1	0.0
Steuben	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.5	0.0	15.0	3.9	2.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	15.2	4.3	1.0	0.0
Suffolk	36.7	0.0	1.5	2.6	33.4	0.0	387.6	0.0	464.8	9.1	2.7	0.0	92.3	0.0	454.1	15.1	9.8	0.0
Sullivan	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	13.8	0.0	42.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	44.1	1.5	2.0	0.0
Tioga	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	7.8	0.0	10.5	1.2	2.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	15.3	1.2	2.8	0.0
Tompkins	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.1	0.0	6.3	0.5	0.5	0.0	1.8	0.0	5.3	0.9	0.5	0.0
Ulster	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	16.4	7.6	0.0	0.3	4.9	0.0	19.0	2.6	1.9	0.0
Warren	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	5.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.6	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.5	0.0
Washington	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	4.7	0.0	18.6	3.5	0.1	0.0	2.6	0.0	21.5	2.6	0.6	0.0
Wayne	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	25.7	2.3	0.1	0.0	3.1	0.0	21.6	0.8	0.1	0.0
Westchester	16.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	19.7	0.0	38.8	0.0	245.4	4.1	5.2	0.0	27.9	0.0	232.8	8.6	1.0	0.0
Wyoming	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.6	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	6.2	0.0	2.5	0.0
Yates	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	8.1	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	8.1	1.2	0.5	0.0
New York City	39.9	0.0	2.5	0.0	69.9	0.0	68.3	0.0	721.8	0.0	5.7	0.0	56.8	0.0	712.5	0.0	16.5	0.0
Rest of State	123.5	3.2	8.6	3.2	182.7	0.1	654.5	1.0	1,086.5	102.0	18.2	0.5	193.8	1.0	1,113.2	94.8	89.7	0.0
New York State	151.0	3.2	10.9	3.2	234.3	0.1	705.0	1.0	1,468.0	102.0	18.2	0.5	232.8	1.0	1,489.1	94.8	105.5	0.0

Data Source: NYS EI Provider Approval database 1/26/07

- (1) Providers with contracts in more than one county are counted more than once.
- (2) Providers with qualified personnel in more than one area of licensure, certification or registration are counted more than once.
- (3) Full time equivalents and types of qualified personnel were taken from provider applications for state approval.

Table 4 - Number of NYS Approved Agency and Individual Providers Under Contract with Municipalities to Provide EI Services by Service Specialty (1,2) Expressed as FTEs by Type of Discipline (3) As of December 31, 2006

Municipality	Psychologists		Registered Dieticians		Social Workers		Special Education Teachers		Speech and Language Pathologists and Audiologists		Teachers of the Blind and Partially Sighted		Teachers of the Deaf and Hearing Handicapped		Teachers of the Speech and Hearing Handicapped	
	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.
Albany	13.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	4.9	3.1	36.9	7.4	54.2	25.7	0.5	1.4	5.2	0.0	19.2	4.1
Allegany	2.7	0.2	1.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	7.9	2.9	10.6	2.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.3
Broome	2.7	0.0	3.6	0.0	6.5	0.0	14.2	1.6	14.7	14.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	4.1
Cattaraugus	2.1	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	3.3	33.8	6.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.1	1.0
Cayuga	3.1	0.0	0.7	1.0	4.8	0.0	20.3	2.8	20.0	8.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	1.9
Chautauqua	4.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	3.3	0.3	18.2	2.0	17.6	3.7	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.0	9.1	0.5
Chemung	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	0.0	9.3	4.2	23.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.0	2.3
Chenango	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	9.9	1.4	9.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.4
Clinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.0	0.0	11.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Columbia	4.9	0.0	2.1	0.0	4.7	1.8	27.2	4.1	43.2	6.5	2.0	0.5	0.4	0.0	12.3	0.5
Cortland	2.9	0.0	1.1	0.5	3.5	0.0	17.0	0.0	9.8	0.9	0.3	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
Delaware	5.9	0.0	6.3	0.1	8.4	0.6	17.2	1.0	15.3	3.2	0.8	0.0	0.5	0.1	4.2	0.8
Dutchess	5.2	0.0	2.5	0.0	22.6	0.0	44.5	0.0	59.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.0	6.0	0.0
Erie	13.6	0.0	3.8	0.0	14.5	0.0	67.1	0.0	129.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	15.5	0.0
Essex	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	13.5	0.0	9.8	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.0
Franklin	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	9.0	0.0	7.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
Fulton	2.6	0.0	0.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	17.1	3.0	10.5	3.3	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
Genesee	1.1	0.2	1.5	0.5	3.3	0.3	10.0	1.9	33.1	1.4	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.3	5.5	0.5
Greene	6.2	0.0	0.4	0.3	7.5	1.2	36.1	4.8	36.9	5.8	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	23.3	1.4
Hamilton	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
Herkimer	10.8	0.0	4.0	0.0	12.2	0.0	40.8	0.9	79.0	2.7	2.1	0.0	2.0	0.0	21.5	0.7
Jefferson	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	7.3	0.0	14.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Lewis	9.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	39.2	1.0	72.1	0.8	2.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.5	0.0
Livingston	9.3	0.0	1.0	0.0	6.4	0.5	39.7	1.0	78.2	1.5	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	4.1	0.5
Madison	9.1	0.0	1.0	0.0	15.3	0.0	49.7	0.0	78.9	0.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	16.8	0.0
Monroe	10.7	1.2	2.5	0.5	11.4	2.1	65.3	4.6	105.3	14.3	3.7	1.6	0.9	0.0	11.9	1.4
Montgomery	9.7	0.0	0.9	0.0	13.3	0.0	17.5	5.0	26.8	2.3	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.1
Nassau	80.5	0.0	16.9	0.0	234.2	0.5	1,046.4	3.4	881.3	8.0	12.2	0.0	8.6	0.3	255.8	1.2
Niagara	13.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	14.0	0.0	73.6	2.1	125.7	7.6	1.7	0.1	1.9	0.0	12.4	1.9
Oneida	7.9	0.0	1.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	40.3	0.0	73.2	1.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	9.3	0.0
Onondaga	9.3	0.0	2.1	0.0	8.0	4.3	65.8	2.8	101.8	10.6	0.9	0.4	4.7	0.0	23.5	3.5
Ontario	5.9	0.0	2.1	0.0	4.6	1.0	22.2	0.0	43.1	3.8	1.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.3
Orange	11.1	0.0	2.2	0.5	55.6	1.0	298.4	4.4	174.7	6.7	4.2	0.6	2.2	0.0	109.2	2.9
Orleans	1.7	0.0	1.0	0.5	4.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	23.5	2.5	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.5	0.3
Oswego	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	0.0	34.6	0.5	64.7	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	10.8	0.5
Otsego	8.8	0.0	2.0	0.0	14.6	0.5	26.8	3.1	22.6	4.9	0.6	0.0	0.8	0.0	9.6	1.6
Putnam	13.9	0.0	3.1	0.0	44.6	0.8	245.5	2.0	117.3	8.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.7	1.1
Rensselaer	12.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	4.1	2.4	40.0	3.9	48.2	15.0	1.0	1.2	4.3	0.0	20.6	2.4
Rockland	16.7	0.4	6.7	0.0	60.3	0.3	303.6	8.2	191.2	13.0	7.2	0.5	1.8	0.5	114.3	1.9
St. Lawrence	2.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.8	0.0	15.2	0.0	17.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.2	0.0
Saratoga	18.9	0.0	1.4	0.5	15.9	3.5	41.5	13.7	64.9	29.2	0.3	0.0	5.2	0.0	25.8	3.5
Schenectady	18.5	0.2	2.7	0.0	17.9	0.0	33.4	3.6	54.4	10.5	0.3	0.0	4.0	0.0	24.2	2.4
Schoharie	8.6	0.0	0.4	0.0	8.5	1.5	43.0	1.3	76.0	2.8	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.5
Schuyler	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0	9.8	2.0	19.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
Seneca	2.6	0.0	0.7	0.0	3.7	0.0	16.1	1.3	9.5	1.8	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.5
Steuben	4.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	5.7	0.3	10.8	5.1	28.0	8.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.5	1.9
Suffolk	128.1	0.0	39.1	1.0	324.5	2.5	1,065.2	15.8	967.9	54.8	41.6	1.8	8.9	0.3	314.1	17.2
Sullivan	9.7	0.0	3.0	0.0	13.4	1.0	36.7	4.0	62.1	2.9	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.0	4.9	0.0
Tioga	4.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	8.6	0.2	18.9	2.0	24.1	5.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	3.6
Tompkins	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.9	11.5	1.8	7.5	9.2	0.3	0.0	4.0	0.3	1.1	1.9
Ulster	5.9	0.0	2.1	0.5	17.3	3.5	43.2	2.9	45.9	10.8	2.0	0.7	0.2	0.0	21.2	1.4
Warren	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.5	0.0	3.6	0.0	2.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Washington	5.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.3	0.5	24.0	1.9	36.4	11.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.8
Wayne	7.2	0.0	2.2	0.0	7.0	0.0	33.9	0.9	52.1	5.9	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.5	0.0
Westchester	49.7	2.0	8.3	0.0	202.9	2.6	512.3	4.0	378.3	14.2	8.8	0.5	0.8	0.1	146.5	3.5
Wyoming	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.3	7.4	0.0	8.2	1.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6
Yates	2.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	3.3	0.0	9.7	0.0	12.3	4.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.7
New York City	124.3	0.0	19.3	0.0	392.1	0.0	1,247.1	0.0	1,120.9	0.0	15.6	0.0	8.8	0.0	338.4	0.0
Rest of State	267.6	4.0	90.8	5.0	610.0	29.7	1,857.7	114.6	2,006.4	319.6	69.9	8.4	29.7	2.1	567.0	67.7
New York State	347.2	4.0	103.3	5.0	794.0	29.7	2,443.7	114.6	2,550.9	319.6	74.3	8.4	37.0	2.1	699.2	67.7

Data Source: NYS EI Provider Approval database 1/26/07

(1) Providers with contracts in more than one county are counted more than once.

(2) Providers with qualified personnel in more than one area of licensure, certification or registration are counted more than once.

(3) Full time equivalents and types of qualified personnel were taken from provider applications for state approval.

**Table 5 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive A Single Service (1)
By Type of Provider.
January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Single Service Children	Agency		Individual	
		Number	Percent (2)	Number	Percent (2)
Albany	182	58	31.9%	124	68.1%
Allegany	32	20	62.5%	12	37.5%
Broome	218	110	50.5%	108	49.5%
Cattaraugus	102	25	24.5%	77	75.5%
Cayuga	98	41	41.8%	57	58.2%
Chautauqua	147	91	61.9%	56	38.1%
Chemung	88	60	68.2%	28	31.8%
Chenango	39	5	12.8%	34	87.2%
Clinton	168	132	78.6%	36	21.4%
Columbia	55	21	38.2%	34	61.8%
Cortland	49	49	100.0%	0	0.0%
Delaware	38	13	34.2%	25	65.8%
Dutchess	470	464	98.7%	6	1.3%
Erie	1,213	1,213	100.0%	0	0.0%
Essex	47	27	57.4%	20	42.6%
Franklin	47	47	100.0%	0	0.0%
Fulton	25	8	32.0%	17	68.0%
Genesee	53	47	88.7%	6	11.3%
Greene	53	18	34.0%	35	66.0%
Hamilton	0	0	na	0	na
Herkimer	26	21	80.8%	5	19.2%
Jefferson	68	68	100.0%	0	0.0%
Lewis	23	10	43.5%	13	56.5%
Livingston	50	30	60.0%	20	40.0%
Madison	54	52	96.3%	2	3.7%
Monroe	809	675	83.4%	134	16.6%
Montgomery	35	18	51.4%	17	48.6%
Nassau	1,577	1,490	94.5%	87	5.5%
Niagara	276	188	68.1%	88	31.9%
Oneida	175	174	99.4%	1	0.6%
Onondaga	411	411	100.0%	0	0.0%
Ontario	63	54	85.7%	9	14.3%
Orange	509	380	74.7%	129	25.3%
Orleans	58	47	81.0%	11	19.0%
Oswego	125	118	94.4%	7	5.6%
Otsego	31	2	6.5%	29	93.5%
Putnam	83	66	79.5%	17	20.5%
Rensselaer	162	90	55.6%	72	44.4%
Rockland	600	527	87.8%	73	12.2%
St. Lawrence	49	49	100.0%	0	0.0%
Saratoga	194	74	38.1%	120	61.9%
Schenectady	121	42	34.7%	79	65.3%
Schoharie	25	9	36.0%	16	64.0%
Schuyler	25	24	96.0%	1	4.0%
Seneca	28	17	60.7%	11	39.3%
Steuben	72	43	59.7%	29	40.3%
Suffolk	1,079	983	91.1%	96	8.9%
Sullivan	89	49	55.1%	40	44.9%
Tioga	66	38	57.6%	28	42.4%
Tompkins	101	40	39.6%	61	60.4%
Ulster	162	116	71.6%	46	28.4%
Warren	128	128	100.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	70	14	20.0%	56	80.0%
Wayne	130	79	60.8%	51	39.2%
Westchester	1,382	1,297	93.8%	85	6.2%
Wyoming	55	46	83.6%	9	16.4%
Yates	19	4	21.1%	15	78.9%
New York City	8,107	8,107	100.0%	0	0.0%
ROS	12,054	9,922	82.3%	2,132	17.7%
STATE	20,161	18,029	89.4%	2,132	10.6%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 12/06, NYS EI Provider Approval database as of 2/7/2007

(1) Single Service Children - A child was counted as a 'single service child' if only one type of service was authorized in the reporting period. These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in this table and "Multiple Service Children" in Table 6 will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

(2) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

Table 6 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive Multiple Services (1) By Type of Provider.
January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006

Municipality	Multi Service Children	Agency Only		Individual Only		Individual & Agency	
		Number	Percent (2)	Number	Percent (2)	Number	Percent (2)
Albany	294	43	14.6%	113	38.4%	138	46.9%
Allegany	28	7	25.0%	3	10.7%	18	64.3%
Broome	279	74	26.5%	39	14.0%	166	59.5%
Cattaraugus	73	5	6.8%	30	41.1%	38	52.1%
Cayuga	82	3	3.7%	32	39.0%	47	57.3%
Chautauqua	108	31	28.7%	13	12.0%	64	59.3%
Chemung	91	35	38.5%	3	3.3%	53	58.2%
Chenango	58	0	0.0%	36	62.1%	22	37.9%
Clinton	189	146	77.2%	0	0.0%	43	22.8%
Columbia	87	10	11.5%	33	37.9%	44	50.6%
Cortland	70	68	97.1%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%
Delaware	54	3	5.6%	12	22.2%	39	72.2%
Dutchess	578	552	95.5%	0	0.0%	26	4.5%
Erie	1,096	1,096	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Essex	42	10	23.8%	1	2.4%	31	73.8%
Franklin	57	57	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fulton	61	6	9.8%	15	24.6%	40	65.6%
Genesee	158	121	76.6%	1	0.6%	36	22.8%
Greene	96	5	5.2%	32	33.3%	59	61.5%
Hamilton	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Herkimer	59	11	18.6%	2	3.4%	46	78.0%
Jefferson	85	83	97.6%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%
Lewis	36	5	13.9%	7	19.4%	24	66.7%
Livingston	85	48	56.5%	7	8.2%	30	35.3%
Madison	55	48	87.3%	0	0.0%	7	12.7%
Monroe	1,001	607	60.6%	40	4.0%	354	35.4%
Montgomery	62	4	6.5%	15	24.2%	43	69.4%
Nassau	2,456	2,131	86.8%	1	0.0%	324	13.2%
Niagara	323	212	65.6%	4	1.2%	107	33.1%
Oneida	327	317	96.9%	0	0.0%	10	3.1%
Onondaga	743	743	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ontario	103	72	69.9%	4	3.9%	27	26.2%
Orange	820	310	37.8%	41	5.0%	469	57.2%
Orleans	57	46	80.7%	0	0.0%	11	19.3%
Oswego	221	204	92.3%	1	0.5%	16	7.2%
Otsego	59	1	1.7%	37	62.7%	21	35.6%
Putnam	103	54	52.4%	2	1.9%	47	45.6%
Rensselaer	312	127	40.7%	31	9.9%	154	49.4%
Rockland	1,537	1,201	78.1%	69	4.5%	267	17.4%
St. Lawrence	108	108	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Saratoga	279	29	10.4%	86	30.8%	164	58.8%
Schenectady	174	72	41.4%	21	12.1%	81	46.6%
Schoharie	40	2	5.0%	23	57.5%	15	37.5%
Schuyler	16	12	75.0%	0	0.0%	4	25.0%
Seneca	41	33	80.5%	0	0.0%	8	19.5%
Steuben	142	22	15.5%	23	16.2%	97	68.3%
Suffolk	2,110	1,603	76.0%	24	1.1%	483	22.9%
Sullivan	153	44	28.8%	18	11.8%	91	59.5%
Tioga	64	15	23.4%	4	6.3%	45	70.3%
Tompkins	188	36	19.1%	23	12.2%	129	68.6%
Ulster	272	109	40.1%	6	2.2%	157	57.7%
Warren	89	65	73.0%	0	0.0%	24	27.0%
Washington	76	4	5.3%	35	46.1%	37	48.7%
Wayne	151	89	58.9%	13	8.6%	49	32.5%
Westchester	1,942	1,588	81.8%	6	0.3%	348	17.9%
Wyoming	46	32	69.6%	0	0.0%	14	30.4%
Yates	23	10	43.5%	6	26.1%	7	30.4%
New York City	24,807	24,807	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ROS	17,859	12,369	69.3%	912	5.1%	4578	25.6%
STATE	42,666	37,176	87.1%	912	2.1%	4578	10.7%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 12/06, NYS EI Provider Approval database as of 2/7/2007

(1) Multiple Service Children - A child was counted as a 'multiple service child' if more than one type of service was authorized in the reporting period. These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in Table 5 and "Multiple Service Children" in this table will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

(2) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

**Table 7 - Number and Percent of Evaluators Contracted with Municipalities to Provide Evaluations Who also Provided Services to Children Whom They Evaluated.
January 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Number of Evaluators (1)	Evaluators providing services to children whom they evaluated (2)	
		Number	Percent
Albany	97	44	45.4%
Allegany	23	12	52.2%
Broome	48	24	50.0%
Cattaraugus	34	15	44.1%
Cayuga	37	24	64.9%
Chautauqua	27	15	55.6%
Chemung	24	15	62.5%
Chenango	21	7	33.3%
Clinton	7	4	57.1%
Columbia	41	23	56.1%
Cortland	10	5	50.0%
Delaware	34	12	35.3%
Dutchess	17	10	58.8%
Erie	24	20	83.3%
Essex	16	7	43.8%
Franklin	9	5	55.6%
Fulton	20	8	40.0%
Genesee	17	8	47.1%
Greene	45	22	48.9%
Hamilton	3	2	66.7%
Herkimer	20	9	45.0%
Jefferson	10	7	70.0%
Lewis	18	13	72.2%
Livingston	9	7	77.8%
Madison	13	10	76.9%
Monroe	66	44	66.7%
Montgomery	28	12	42.9%
Nassau	105	76	72.4%
Niagara	15	12	80.0%
Oneida	13	9	69.2%
Onondaga	29	17	58.6%
Ontario	20	15	75.0%
Orange	14	11	78.6%
Orleans	6	6	100.0%
Oswego	16	9	56.3%
Otsego	31	9	29.0%
Putnam	10	7	70.0%
Rensselaer	79	46	58.2%
Rockland	82	45	54.9%
St. Lawrence	11	10	90.9%
Saratoga	122	53	43.4%
Schenectady	52	26	50.0%
Schoharie	24	16	66.7%
Schuyler	7	7	100.0%
Seneca	10	9	90.0%
Steuben	53	22	41.5%
Suffolk	245	82	33.5%
Sullivan	37	15	40.5%
Tioga	35	21	60.0%
Tompkins	23	12	52.2%
Ulster	39	25	64.1%
Warren	4	3	75.0%
Washington	35	19	54.3%
Wayne	36	25	69.4%
Westchester	46	35	76.1%
Wyoming	7	7	100.0%
Yates	19	10	52.6%
New York City	108	97	89.8%
ROS	1,330	602	45.3%
STATE	1,415	676	47.8%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of December 31, 2006, NYS Provider Approval database January 26, 2007

(1) Evaluators are providers approved by NYSDOH and under contract with that municipality to provide evaluation services. A provider may contract with more than one municipality.

(2) Evaluators who provided general services between 1/1/06 and 12/31/06 to a child from any municipality whom they had evaluated at any time.

Table 8 - Number and Percent of Children Whose Families Were Authorized to Receive Supportive Services.

January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006

Municipality	Total Children (1)	Received Supportive Service (2)	
		Number	Percent
Albany	497	41	8.2%
Allegany	63	14	22.2%
Broome	522	74	14.2%
Cattaraugus	176	15	8.5%
Cayuga	230	13	5.7%
Chautauqua	258	3	1.2%
Chemung	184	4	2.2%
Chenango	97	2	2.1%
Clinton	368	10	2.7%
Columbia	144	28	19.4%
Cortland	120	5	4.2%
Delaware	102	11	10.8%
Dutchess	1,058	231	21.8%
Erie	2,386	166	7.0%
Essex	90	5	5.6%
Franklin	104	0	0.0%
Fulton	88	1	1.1%
Genesee	213	19	8.9%
Greene	149	7	4.7%
Hamilton	2	0	0.0%
Herkimer	86	1	1.2%
Jefferson	163	19	11.7%
Lewis	59	7	11.9%
Livingston	145	1	0.7%
Madison	110	8	7.3%
Monroe	1,833	283	15.4%
Montgomery	99	0	0.0%
Nassau	4,126	782	19.0%
Niagara	626	150	24.0%
Oneida	503	25	5.0%
Onondaga	1,182	214	18.1%
Ontario	167	42	25.1%
Orange	1,345	249	18.5%
Orleans	117	25	21.4%
Oswego	355	33	9.3%
Otsego	90	8	8.9%
Putnam	190	32	16.8%
Rensselaer	483	34	7.0%
Rockland	2,159	326	15.1%
St. Lawrence	161	14	8.7%
Saratoga	484	39	8.1%
Schenectady	302	16	5.3%
Schoharie	67	6	9.0%
Schuyler	41	0	0.0%
Seneca	70	4	5.7%
Steuben	223	46	20.6%
Suffolk	3,294	962	29.2%
Sullivan	249	17	6.8%
Tioga	133	12	9.0%
Tompkins	295	36	12.2%
Ulster	437	49	11.2%
Warren	218	4	1.8%
Washington	152	12	7.9%
Wayne	285	42	14.7%
Westchester	3,456	508	14.7%
Wyoming	103	15	14.6%
Yates	42	0	0.0%
New York City	34,425	11,232	32.6%
ROS	30,701	4,670	15.2%
STATE	65,126	15,902	24.4%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of December 31, 2006

(1) Total Children - Children were included if they had an IFSP at any time during the period, regardless of the length of time.

(2) Supportive Services - Family Counseling, Family Support Group, Family Training, and Respite services.

**Table 9 - Number and Percent Of Children Within Municipalities with Sources of Third Party Reimbursement
(Medicaid and Commercial Insurance).
January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Enrolled in EI (1,4)	Medicaid Only (2,4)		Commercial Insurance Only (3,4)		Both (4)		Unknown (4)	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Albany	497	182	36.6%	264	53.1%	21	4.2%	30	6.0%
Allegany	63	28	44.4%	25	39.7%	8	12.7%	2	3.2%
Broome	522	213	40.8%	217	41.6%	59	11.3%	33	6.3%
Cattaraugus	176	44	25.0%	70	39.8%	54	30.7%	8	4.5%
Cayuga	230	113	49.1%	104	45.2%	10	4.3%	3	1.3%
Chautauqua	258	107	41.5%	67	26.0%	79	30.6%	5	1.9%
Chemung	184	105	57.1%	57	31.0%	18	9.8%	4	2.2%
Chenango	97	58	59.8%	24	24.7%	10	10.3%	5	5.2%
Clinton	368	191	51.9%	157	42.7%	19	5.2%	1	0.3%
Columbia	144	60	41.7%	72	50.0%	9	6.3%	3	2.1%
Cortland	120	59	49.2%	50	41.7%	9	7.5%	2	1.7%
Delaware	102	47	46.1%	37	36.3%	9	8.8%	9	8.8%
Dutchess	1,058	173	16.4%	657	62.1%	58	5.5%	170	16.1%
Erie	2,386	718	30.1%	1,286	53.9%	365	15.3%	17	0.7%
Essex	90	47	52.2%	30	33.3%	5	5.6%	8	8.9%
Franklin	104	55	52.9%	39	37.5%	9	8.7%	1	1.0%
Fulton	88	50	56.8%	27	30.7%	6	6.8%	5	5.7%
Genesee	213	84	39.4%	107	50.2%	12	5.6%	10	4.7%
Greene	149	71	47.7%	69	46.3%	3	2.0%	6	4.0%
Hamilton	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Herkimer	86	57	66.3%	24	27.9%	3	3.5%	2	2.3%
Jefferson	163	56	34.4%	86	52.8%	13	8.0%	8	4.9%
Lewis	59	31	52.5%	17	28.8%	5	8.5%	6	10.2%
Livingston	145	52	35.9%	85	58.6%	6	4.1%	2	1.4%
Madison	110	41	37.3%	55	50.0%	13	11.8%	1	0.9%
Monroe	1,833	603	32.9%	1079	58.9%	105	5.7%	46	2.5%
Montgomery	99	44	44.4%	31	31.3%	16	16.2%	8	8.1%
Nassau	4,126	612	14.8%	3,354	81.3%	146	3.5%	14	0.3%
Niagara	626	191	30.5%	368	58.8%	42	6.7%	25	4.0%
Oneida	503	213	42.3%	216	42.9%	21	4.2%	53	10.5%
Onondaga	1,182	345	29.2%	596	50.4%	167	14.1%	74	6.3%
Ontario	167	44	26.3%	93	55.7%	23	13.8%	7	4.2%
Orange	1,345	281	20.9%	862	64.1%	120	8.9%	82	6.1%
Orleans	117	45	38.5%	42	35.9%	30	25.6%	0	0.0%
Oswego	355	185	52.1%	136	38.3%	5	1.4%	29	8.2%
Otsego	90	39	43.3%	33	36.7%	17	18.9%	1	1.1%
Putnam	190	14	7.4%	166	87.4%	8	4.2%	2	1.1%
Rensselaer	483	187	38.7%	241	49.9%	17	3.5%	38	7.9%
Rockland	2,159	119	5.5%	1,190	55.1%	792	36.7%	58	2.7%
St. Lawrence	161	95	59.0%	45	28.0%	16	9.9%	5	3.1%
Saratoga	484	86	17.8%	311	64.3%	47	9.7%	40	8.3%
Schenectady	302	153	50.7%	126	41.7%	18	6.0%	5	1.7%
Schoharie	67	26	38.8%	31	46.3%	7	10.4%	3	4.5%
Schuyler	41	14	34.1%	12	29.3%	0	0.0%	15	36.6%
Seneca	70	29	41.4%	30	42.9%	6	8.6%	5	7.1%
Steuben	223	121	54.3%	73	32.7%	21	9.4%	8	3.6%
Suffolk	3,294	559	17.0%	2,498	75.8%	107	3.2%	130	3.9%
Sullivan	249	81	32.5%	104	41.8%	57	22.9%	7	2.8%
Tioga	133	49	36.8%	61	45.9%	18	13.5%	5	3.8%
Tompkins	295	116	39.3%	155	52.5%	18	6.1%	6	2.0%
Ulster	437	113	25.9%	228	52.2%	50	11.4%	46	10.5%
Warren	218	62	28.4%	116	53.2%	24	11.0%	16	7.3%
Washington	152	56	36.8%	64	42.1%	19	12.5%	13	8.6%
Wayne	285	78	27.4%	149	52.3%	41	14.4%	17	6.0%
Westchester	3,456	804	23.3%	2,467	71.4%	172	5.0%	13	0.4%
Wyoming	103	29	28.2%	58	56.3%	10	9.7%	6	5.8%
Yates	42	24	57.1%	12	28.6%	1	2.4%	5	11.9%
New York City	34,425	18,946	55.0%	6,034	17.5%	981	2.8%	8,464	24.6%
ROS	30,701	8,060	26.3%	18,574	60.5%	2,944	9.6%	1,123	3.7%
STATE	65,126	27,006	41.5%	24,608	37.8%	3,925	6.0%	9,587	14.7%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of December 31, 2006

- (1) Enrolled in EI - Children were included if they had an IFSP at any time during the period, regardless of the length of time.
- (2) Medicaid - Children were counted as having Medicaid if the "Medicaid" field in KIDS was equal to 'Yes'.
- (3) Commercial Insurance - Children were counted as having insurance if there was an insurance record present for the child in KIDS. This includes both insurance companies that are regulated by NYS and those that are not. It is estimated that 27.9% of the children enrolled in EI are covered by an insurance company regulated by NYS.
- (4) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

**Table 10 - Number and Percent of Claims Submitted to Commercial Insurers And Percent of Claims Denied
by Insurers.
January 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Claims			
	Submitted	Reconciled (2)	Denied	Percent Denied / Reconciled (3)
Albany	12,740	14,590	10,872	74.5%
Allegany	1,578	1,485	628	42.3%
Broome	12,935	13,045	7,342	56.3%
Cattaraugus	2,459	2,519	1,476	58.6%
Cayuga	5,103	4,195	3,387	80.7%
Chautauqua	5,472	4,837	2,763	57.1%
Chemung	3,695	188	83	44.1%
Chenango	1,896	1,774	302	17.0%
Clinton	11,087	10,060	5,740	57.1%
Columbia	1,476	1,469	730	49.7%
Cortland	2,318	2,649	1,756	66.3%
Delaware	1,523	1,438	1,169	81.3%
Dutchess (1)	11,211	10,560	8,242	78.0%
Erie (1)	50,205	49,659	28,909	58.2%
Essex	701	183	70	38.3%
Franklin	1,347	1,364	457	33.5%
Fulton	506	481	275	57.2%
Genesee (1)	5,021	4,946	4,324	87.4%
Greene	2,411	2,827	1,738	61.5%
Hamilton	80	28	20	71.4%
Herkimer	3,034	1,833	1,741	95.0%
Jefferson	2,074	1,724	947	54.9%
Lewis	926	869	422	48.6%
Livingston	3,089	3,670	1,069	29.1%
Madison	2,164	2,219	986	44.4%
Monroe (1)	39,571	39,187	33,176	84.7%
Montgomery	3,018	3,044	2,541	83.5%
Nassau	93,350	77,468	29,170	37.7%
Niagara (1)	16,188	16,041	12,347	77.0%
Oneida	12,531	13,166	5,455	41.4%
Onondaga	19,453	15,596	9,594	61.5%
Ontario	9,003	7,530	6,147	81.6%
Orange	72,413	74,021	58,214	78.6%
Orleans(1)	1,551	1,551	1,174	75.7%
Oswego	3,767	3,668	3,114	84.9%
Otsego	1,418	1,143	844	73.8%
Putnam	5,938	7,515	6,022	80.1%
Rensselaer	15,746	12,395	10,234	82.6%
Rockland	45,813	53,106	28,192	53.1%
St. Lawrence	1,374	1,247	621	49.8%
Saratoga	10,530	6,330	4,574	72.3%
Schenectady	2,240	2,512	1,633	65.0%
Schoharie	4,266	4,538	4,032	88.8%
Schuyler	483	212	65	30.7%
Seneca	1,354	1,360	916	67.4%
Steuben	2,074	1,385	1,101	79.5%
Suffolk(1)	130,677	110,641	79,144	71.5%
Sullivan	6,581	6,275	4,246	67.7%
Tioga	2,764	2,048	860	42.0%
Tompkins	9,630	9,435	3,975	42.1%
Ulster	13,423	15,328	13,279	86.6%
Warren	8,870	8,189	6,859	83.8%
Washington	4,101	4,169	3,433	82.3%
Wayne (1)	9,343	9,343	8,030	85.9%
Westchester	119,104	133,869	106,512	79.6%
Wyoming	3,365	3,298	2,397	72.7%
Yates	715	860	745	86.6%
New York City (1)	229,818	229,818	171,452	74.6%
ROS	815,705	785,082	534,094	68.0%
STATE	1,045,523	1,014,900	705,546	69.5%

Data Sources: KIDS data complete as of 12/06. Municipal Fiscal Agent Reports submitted 2/07.

(1) Data from these counties were submitted via a special report created to capture data from fiscal agents. All other data were collected and submitted by counties using KIDS.

(2) Claims Reconciled - These are claims submitted to insurers that were subsequently denied, paid, or partially paid between January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2006. Reconciliation data from fiscal agents include only claims submitted in the reporting period. Reconciliation data from KIDS include claims reconciled during the period, regardless of when they were submitted. The number of claims submitted may not equal the number reconciled since some reconciled claims were submitted prior to January 1.

(3) Percent Denied/Reconciled - Number of claims denied divided by claims reconciled in reporting period.

**Table 11 - Reasons for Commercial Insurers' Denial of Early Intervention Claims by Number and Percent of Denials.
January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Claims Denied In Reporting Period (2)	Reasons For Denial											
		Service Not Covered		No Response From Insurer		Other Denial		Not Medically Necessary		Out-of-Network Provider		No Preauth- orization Obtained (3)	
Albany	10,872	1,864	17.3%	520	4.8%	3,563	33.0%	95	0.9%	1,923	17.8%	1,704	15.8%
Allegany	628	151	24.0%	3	0.5%	92	14.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Broome	7,342	2,034	27.7%	947	12.9%	1,061	14.5%	62	0.8%	429	5.8%	1,058	14.4%
Cattaraugus	1,476	411	27.8%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	27	1.8%	203	13.8%
Cayuga	3,387	2,790	82.4%	2	0.1%	97	2.9%	48	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chautauqua	2,763	1,388	50.7%	256	9.3%	323	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chemung	83	14	16.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chenango	302	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	302	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Clinton	5,740	99	1.7%	515	9.0%	545	9.5%	366	6.4%	1,878	32.8%	14	0.2%
Columbia	730	413	56.7%	0	0.0%	147	20.2%	48	6.6%	15	2.1%	18	2.5%
Cortland	1,756	1,234	70.6%	0	0.0%	135	7.7%	43	2.5%	0	0.0%	54	3.1%
Delaware	1,169	611	52.3%	51	4.4%	105	9.0%	0	0.0%	53	4.5%	114	9.8%
Dutchess (1)	8,242	1,122	13.6%	161	2.0%	536	6.5%	2,233	27.1%	1,056	12.8%	2,453	29.8%
Erie (1)	28,909	1,177	4.1%	16	0.1%	4,120	14.3%	10	0.0%	432	1.5%	1,021	3.5%
Essex	70	41	58.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	4.3%
Franklin	457	88	19.3%	0	0.0%	344	75.3%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fulton	275	40	14.5%	0	0.0%	159	57.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Genesee (1)	4,324	102	2.4%	25	0.6%	75	1.7%	674	15.6%	1,718	39.7%	10	0.2%
Greene	1,738	624	35.9%	15	0.9%	223	12.8%	1	0.1%	152	8.7%	322	18.5%
Hamilton	20	19	95.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%
Herkimer	1,741	850	48.8%	85	4.9%	49	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	508	29.2%
Jefferson	947	467	49.3%	39	4.1%	73	7.7%	0	0.0%	7	0.7%	109	11.5%
Lewis	422	72	17.1%	24	5.7%	32	7.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	5.0%
Livingston	1,069	535	50.0%	0	0.0%	60	5.6%	0	0.0%	27	2.5%	11	1.0%
Madison	986	131	13.3%	0	0.0%	267	27.2%	71	7.2%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Monroe (1)	33,176	2,033	6.1%	68	0.2%	447	1.3%	16,101	48.5%	2,886	8.7%	1	0.0%
Montgomery	2,541	1,186	46.7%	156	6.1%	55	2.2%	0	0.0%	137	5.4%	528	20.8%
Nassau	29,170	8,345	29.8%	122	0.4%	4,837	17.3%	3,832	13.7%	5,101	18.2%	1,365	4.9%
Niagara (1)	12,347	264	2.1%	13	0.1%	135	1.1%	32	0.3%	5,175	41.9%	45	0.4%
Oneida	5,455	1,832	33.6%	5	0.1%	542	9.9%	1,366	25.0%	340	6.2%	565	10.4%
Onondaga	9,594	3,352	35.2%	0	0.0%	2,129	22.4%	256	2.7%	621	6.5%	683	7.2%
Ontario	6,147	1,591	25.9%	92	1.5%	351	5.7%	3,407	55.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Orange	58,214	12,666	21.8%	10,531	18.1%	7,666	13.2%	5,745	9.9%	4,653	8.0%	10,864	18.7%
Orleans(1)	1,174	69	5.9%	0	0.0%	13	1.1%	240	20.4%	602	51.3%	44	3.7%
Oswego	3,114	2,418	77.6%	355	11.4%	52	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	107	3.4%
Otsego	844	201	23.8%	99	11.7%	27	3.2%	14	1.7%	150	17.8%	0	0.0%
Putnam	6,022	1,791	29.7%	1,389	23.1%	554	9.2%	17	0.3%	709	11.8%	60	1.0%
Rensselaer	10,234	3,433	33.5%	271	2.6%	705	6.9%	755	7.4%	3,594	35.1%	546	5.3%
Rockland	28,192	12,473	44.2%	64	0.2%	10,449	37.1%	7	0.0%	737	2.6%	924	3.3%
St. Lawrence	621	74	12.6%	0	0.0%	141	24.1%	12	2.1%	32	5.5%	4	0.7%
Saratoga	4,574	1,886	41.5%	0	0.0%	156	3.4%	1	0.0%	303	6.7%	1,571	34.6%
Schenectady	1,633	502	30.7%	0	0.0%	447	27.4%	9	0.6%	180	11.0%	10	0.6%
Schoharie	4,032	3,849	95.5%	150	3.7%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Schuyler	65	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seneca	916	638	69.7%	44	4.8%	9	1.0%	7	0.8%	2	0.2%	11	1.2%
Steuben	1,101	362	32.9%	3	0.3%	296	26.9%	9	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Suffolk (1)	79,144	22,355	28.2%	5,865	7.4%	19,879	25.1%	41	0.1%	6,663	8.4%	7,609	9.6%
Sullivan	4,246	430	10.1%	181	4.3%	1,161	27.3%	83	2.0%	159	3.7%	671	15.8%
Tioga	860	493	57.7%	101	11.8%	146	17.1%	2	0.2%	8	0.9%	2	0.2%
Tompkins	3,975	1,662	41.8%	35	0.9%	1,675	42.1%	18	0.5%	1	0.0%	66	1.7%
Ulster	13,279	2,360	17.8%	545	4.1%	1,391	10.5%	8	0.1%	1,379	10.4%	6,088	45.8%
Warren	6,859	3,030	44.4%	20	0.3%	422	6.2%	106	1.6%	490	7.2%	1,311	19.2%
Washington	3,433	943	27.5%	430	12.5%	15	0.4%	20	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,086	31.6%
Wayne (1)	8,030	313	3.9%	7	0.1%	81	1.0%	4,884	60.8%	725	9.0%	4	0.0%
Westchester	106,512	33,311	31.3%	16,591	15.6%	5,294	5.0%	8,285	7.8%	12,679	11.9%	10,175	9.6%
Wyoming	2,397	569	23.7%	6	0.3%	139	5.8%	0	0.0%	93	3.9%	451	18.8%
Yates	745	272	36.5%	94	12.6%	34	4.6%	0	0.0%	34	4.6%	57	7.7%
New York City (1)	171,452	15,134	8.8%	109,884	64.1%	2,218	1.3%	14,315	8.3%	3,033	1.8%	3,869	2.3%
ROS	534,094	140,980	26.5%	39,896	7.5%	71,559	13.4%	48,909	9.2%	55,172	10.4%	52,472	9.9%
STATE	705,546	156,114	22.2%	149,780	21.3%	73,777	10.5%	63,224	9.0%	58,205	8.3%	56,341	8.0%

Data Sources: KIDS data complete as of 12/06. Municipal Fiscal Agent Reports submitted 2/07.

(1) Data from these counties were submitted via a special report created to capture data from fiscal agents. All other data were collected and submitted by counties using KIDS.

(2) 1,675 claims were excluded because the reason for denial was not recorded.

(3) Data reported in this column include both denials by insurers when municipalities sought preauthorization, and denials when preauthorization was not sought.

**Table 11 - Reasons for Commercial Insurers' Denial of Early Intervention Claims by Number and Percent of Denials.
January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Reasons For Denial											
	Benefits Exhausted		Exceeds Insurer's Claiming Limit		Child Not Covered		No Primary Care Physician Referral		Technical Error		Government Service Blanket Rejection	
Albany	483	4.5%	0	0.0%	502	4.6%	47	0.4%	65	0.6%	35	0.3%
Allegany	189	30.1%	0	0.0%	193	30.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Broome	277	3.8%	243	3.3%	612	8.3%	619	8.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cattaraugus	743	50.3%	4	0.3%	43	2.9%	9	0.6%	0	0.0%	34	2.3%
Cayuga	41	1.2%	220	6.5%	187	5.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%
Chautauqua	550	20.1%	97	3.5%	126	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chemung	0	0.0%	46	55.4%	23	27.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chenango	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Clinton	1,289	22.5%	802	14.0%	175	3.1%	0	0.0%	41	0.7%	0	0.0%
Columbia	5	0.7%	15	2.1%	25	3.4%	0	0.0%	17	2.3%	25	3.4%
Cortland	133	7.6%	13	0.7%	134	7.7%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Delaware	1	0.1%	18	1.5%	18	1.5%	95	8.1%	0	0.0%	103	8.8%
Dutchess (1)	228	2.8%	108	1.3%	187	2.3%	158	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Erie (1)	20,647	71.4%	1,014	3.5%	472	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Essex	25	35.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Franklin	4	0.9%	0	0.0%	20	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fulton	71	25.8%	0	0.0%	5	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Genesee (1)	273	6.3%	1,441	33.3%	6	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greene	31	1.8%	7	0.4%	99	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	264	15.2%
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Herkimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	249	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	28	3.0%	30	3.2%	171	18.1%	23	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lewis	240	56.9%	23	5.5%	10	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Livingston	267	25.0%	123	11.5%	45	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Madison	125	12.7%	73	7.4%	0	0.0%	23	2.3%	0	0.0%	290	29.5%
Monroe (1)	6,690	20.2%	3,738	11.3%	948	2.9%	264	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	342	13.5%	0	0.0%	137	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nassau	1,235	4.4%	468	1.7%	1,879	6.7%	657	2.3%	10	0.0%	122	0.4%
Niagara (1)	2,340	19.0%	3,233	26.2%	117	0.9%	993	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oneida	493	9.0%	80	1.5%	232	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Onondaga	474	5.0%	532	5.6%	997	10.5%	473	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ontario	589	9.6%	98	1.6%	19	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Orange	2,135	3.7%	1,469	2.5%	2,014	3.5%	324	0.6%	0	0.0%	119	0.2%
Orleans(1)	61	5.2%	119	10.1%	8	0.7%	18	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oswego	0	0.0%	50	1.6%	61	2.0%	26	0.8%	10	0.3%	35	1.1%
Otsego	0	0.0%	34	4.0%	84	10.0%	235	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	42	0.7%	574	9.5%	414	6.9%	471	7.8%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rensselaer	395	3.9%	257	2.5%	272	2.7%	6	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rockland	705	2.5%	2,576	9.1%	198	0.7%	59	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
St. Lawrence	166	28.4%	10	1.7%	118	20.2%	8	1.4%	0	0.0%	20	3.4%
Saratoga	191	4.2%	29	0.6%	388	8.5%	8	0.2%	0	0.0%	14	0.3%
Schenectady	331	20.3%	62	3.8%	77	4.7%	0	0.0%	15	0.9%	0	0.0%
Schoharie	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	32	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Schuyler	0	0.0%	65	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seneca	89	9.7%	28	3.1%	37	4.0%	2	0.2%	49	5.3%	0	0.0%
Steuben	0	0.0%	225	20.4%	134	12.2%	72	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Suffolk (1)	2,799	3.5%	6,791	8.6%	7,127	9.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%	11	0.0%
Sullivan	275	6.5%	340	8.0%	795	18.7%	151	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tioga	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	67	7.8%	21	2.5%	14	1.6%	0	0.0%
Tompkins	148	3.7%	105	2.6%	149	3.7%	115	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ulster	7	0.1%	511	3.8%	683	5.1%	307	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warren	442	6.5%	248	3.6%	747	10.9%	10	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	271	7.9%	239	7.0%	418	12.2%	11	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wayne (1)	879	10.9%	874	10.9%	135	1.7%	128	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Westchester	6,170	5.8%	7,868	7.4%	3,425	3.2%	2,565	2.4%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wyoming	760	31.7%	89	3.7%	289	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Yates	81	10.9%	53	7.1%	104	14.0%	16	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
New York City (1)	1,073	0.6%	2,737	1.6%	11,705	6.8%	1,704	1.0%	5,731	3.3%	49	0.0%
ROS	53,760	10.1%	35,042	6.6%	25,408	4.8%	7,916	1.5%	231	0.0%	1,074	0.2%
STATE	54,833	7.8%	37,779	5.4%	37,113	5.3%	9,620	1.4%	5,962	0.8%	1,123	0.2%

Data Sources: KIDS data complete as of 12/06. Municipal Fiscal Agent Reports submitted 2/07.

(1) Data from these counties were submitted via a special report created to capture data from fiscal agents. All other data were collected and submitted by counties using KIDS.

(2) 1,675 claims were excluded because the reason for denial was not recorded.

(3) Data reported in this column include both denials by insurers when municipalities sought preauthorization, and denials when preauthorization was not sought.

**Table 12 - Number and Amount of Claims Submitted By Municipalities to Medicaid By Payment Status.
January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Claims Submitted	Claims Paid			Claims Denied		
		Claims	Percent	Amount	Claims	Percent	Amount
Albany	15,763	15,495	98.3%	\$964,024.40	268	1.7%	\$18,172.00
Allegany	2,415	2,373	98.3%	\$173,005.82	42	1.7%	\$2,982.50
Broome	15,928	15,896	99.8%	\$1,020,118.46	32	0.2%	\$2,336.00
Cattaraugus	2,267	2,160	95.3%	\$136,796.43	107	4.7%	\$6,402.00
Cayuga	1,168	1,164	99.7%	\$75,089.00	4	0.3%	\$206.00
Chautauqua	11,314	11,184	98.9%	\$700,504.03	130	1.1%	\$9,700.00
Chemung	5,230	5,122	97.9%	\$334,203.50	108	2.1%	\$4,702.00
Chenango	2,015	1,899	94.2%	\$152,793.32	116	5.8%	\$9,640.00
Clinton	17,605	17,463	99.2%	\$1,148,363.97	142	0.8%	\$7,689.00
Columbia	2,960	2,889	97.6%	\$181,340.91	71	2.4%	\$4,455.00
Cortland	3,759	3,759	100.0%	\$208,154.20	0	0.0%	\$0.00
Delaware	2,302	2,246	97.6%	\$158,941.25	56	2.4%	\$2,870.75
Dutchess	12,829	12,307	95.9%	\$798,992.34	522	4.1%	\$36,478.00
Erie	67,355	67,302	99.9%	\$3,715,368.70	53	0.1%	\$1,660.00
Essex	1,085	1,085	100.0%	\$69,073.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00
Franklin	2,822	2,787	98.8%	\$161,982.20	35	1.2%	\$2,820.25
Fulton	3,499	3,402	97.2%	\$223,769.00	97	2.8%	\$5,239.00
Genesee	9,620	9,619	100.0%	\$710,540.46	1	0.0%	\$165.00
Greene	3,677	3,517	95.6%	\$225,423.00	160	4.4%	\$6,867.00
Hamilton	0	0	na	\$0.00	0	na	\$0.00
Herkimer	3,433	3,372	98.2%	\$200,606.00	61	1.8%	\$3,641.00
Jefferson	4,364	4,336	99.4%	\$267,490.86	28	0.6%	\$1,680.00
Lewis	2,713	2,655	97.9%	\$136,964.61	58	2.1%	\$3,500.75
Livingston	2,855	2,837	99.4%	\$169,048.62	18	0.6%	\$655.50
Madison	3,386	3,362	99.3%	\$199,246.50	24	0.7%	\$826.50
Monroe	30,047	29,951	99.7%	\$2,011,745.79	96	0.3%	\$11,562.25
Montgomery	4,255	4,151	97.6%	\$279,586.00	104	2.4%	\$6,929.00
Nassau	58,991	57,039	96.7%	\$4,837,911.36	1,952	3.3%	\$166,074.00
Niagara	16,789	16,582	98.8%	\$934,293.75	207	1.2%	\$11,257.00
Oneida	18,036	17,832	98.9%	\$1,067,457.64	204	1.1%	\$13,010.25
Onondaga	38,428	38,254	99.5%	\$2,251,132.87	174	0.5%	\$11,837.75
Ontario	6,855	6,733	98.2%	\$387,632.99	122	1.8%	\$8,145.50
Orange	25,337	24,083	95.1%	\$1,735,313.66	1,254	4.9%	\$84,060.25
Orleans	4,080	4,058	99.5%	\$292,063.12	22	0.5%	\$1,184.00
Oswego	11,325	11,280	99.6%	\$723,060.50	45	0.4%	\$3,914.50
Otsego	3,696	3,689	99.8%	\$218,148.53	7	0.2%	\$134.00
Putnam	2,031	1,977	97.3%	\$138,485.14	54	2.7%	\$3,465.00
Rensselaer	14,492	14,332	98.9%	\$914,798.00	160	1.1%	\$9,559.00
Rockland	68,903	68,869	100.0%	\$5,495,263.42	34	0.0%	\$2,605.00
St Lawrence	5,747	5,700	99.2%	\$376,650.22	47	0.8%	\$3,094.50
Saratoga	6,551	6,456	98.5%	\$398,383.50	95	1.5%	\$6,466.00
Schenectady	11,099	10,419	93.9%	\$576,162.12	680	6.1%	\$28,597.00
Schoharie	2,900	2,829	97.6%	\$183,633.90	71	2.4%	\$5,436.00
Schuyler	479	421	87.9%	\$26,707.75	58	12.1%	\$2,593.00
Seneca	1,321	1,177	89.1%	\$62,244.37	144	10.9%	\$8,577.00
Steuben	8,660	8,587	99.2%	\$572,853.75	73	0.8%	\$4,942.25
Suffolk	40,638	39,792	97.9%	\$3,485,833.81	846	2.1%	\$74,658.00
Sullivan	7,663	7,416	96.8%	\$572,029.75	247	3.2%	\$19,993.75
Tioga	3,092	3,006	97.2%	\$203,213.15	86	2.8%	\$5,820.00
Tompkins	6,332	5,946	93.9%	\$344,042.49	386	6.1%	\$21,675.00
Ulster	624	579	92.8%	\$44,289.50	45	7.2%	\$3,784.75
Warren	3,318	3,259	98.2%	\$202,420.53	59	1.8%	\$2,982.00
Washington	5,168	4,800	92.9%	\$295,872.50	368	7.1%	\$20,792.00
Wayne	10,343	10,129	97.9%	\$677,575.83	214	2.1%	\$13,859.75
Westchester	76,851	75,757	98.6%	\$4,715,607.02	1,094	1.4%	\$56,110.00
Wyoming	2,694	2,688	99.8%	\$174,007.12	6	0.2%	\$326.00
Yates	2,032	2,028	99.8%	\$119,726.00	4	0.2%	\$70.00
New York City	2,464,257	2,154,267	87.4%	\$175,713,369.95	309,990	12.6%	\$25,599,979.75
ROS	699,141	688,050	98.4%	\$46,449,986.66	11,091	1.6%	\$746,202.75
STATE	3,163,398	2,842,317	89.9%	\$222,163,356.61	321,081	10.1%	\$26,346,182.50

Data Source: OMM/DOIT, report received 2/16/07

**Table 13 - Number of Claims Submitted By Municipalities and Denied by Medicaid By Reason for Denial.
January 1, 2006 – December 31, 2006**

Municipality	Total Denials	Duplicate Claim In History	Third Party Indicated/Other Insurance Amt Not Submitted	Recipient Sex or Birth Date Not Equal File	Recipient Ineligible On Service Date	Recipient Not On Restricted Recipient File	Recipient Coverage Indicates Capitation Claims And Prepaid Capitation Plan Refer Service Only	Service Date Not Within 90 Days Of Receipt Date	Recipient Not Authorized For CMCM/MSC/IRA On Service Date	Claim Provider Not Equal Restriction Recipient File Provider	Other
Albany	268	0	105	69	92	0	2	0	0	0	0
Allegany	42	4	26	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broome	32	1	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattaraugus	107	1	48	0	37	8	0	0	9	4	0
Cayuga	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	130	0	111	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chemung	108	17	0	44	0	0	0	0	7	40	0
Chenango	116	11	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	142	0	22	0	120	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	71	2	58	0	0	3	0	0	2	6	0
Cortland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	56	0	0	0	56	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dutchess	522	1	179	52	289	1	0	0	0	0	0
Erie	53	5	0	0	0	12	0	0	30	6	0
Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	35	0	33	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	97	17	20	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	11
Genesee	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	160	1	0	6	88	41	0	1	11	12	0
Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Herkimer	61	0	33	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	28	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewis	58	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	18	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	10	1	0
Madison	24	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	16	0
Monroe	96	4	8	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	22
Montgomery	104	0	90	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nassau	1,951	184	1,149	37	467	89	0	0	24	1	1
Niagara	207	0	9	0	147	6	2	0	43	0	0
Oneida	204	1	62	0	141	0	0	0	0	0	0
Onondaga	174	14	95	40	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	122	6	99	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	1,254	90	311	19	609	125	23	0	37	12	28
Orleans	22	0	6	0	1	8	0	0	7	0	0
Oswego	45	9	23	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Putnam	54	0	12	18	24	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rensselaer	160	0	66	8	70	0	0	0	0	0	16
Rockland	34	14	0	16	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
St. Lawrence	47	1	1	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saratoga	95	0	29	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schenectady	680	0	0	22	477	84	5	0	55	37	0
Schoharie	71	0	47	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schuyler	58	0	0	0	0	52	0	0	5	0	1
Seneca	144	92	2	0	2	0	0	48	0	0	0
Steuben	73	17	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suffolk	846	20	53	73	123	0	0	577	0	0	0
Sullivan	247	10	4	233	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tioga	86	1	64	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tompkins	386	8	67	35	187	26	0	0	0	63	0
Ulster	45	0	30	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Warren	59	25	12	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0
Washington	368	0	32	0	336	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	214	37	114	2	59	0	0	0	0	2	0
Westchester	1,094	29	29	9	749	136	0	0	132	10	0
Wyoming	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yates	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
New York City	309,989	94,164	81,272	77,009	54,958	910	1,372	0	12	4	289
ROS	11,090	632	3,213	834	4,460	606	55	626	376	210	79
STATE	321,079	94,796	84,485	77,843	59,418	1,516	1,427	626	388	214	368

Data Source: OMM/DOIT