NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DIVISION OF FAMILY HEALTH BUREAU OF EARLY INTERVENTION

NYS EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM

REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

JANUARY 1, 2007 - DECEMBER 31, 2007



New York State Department of Health Division of Family Health Bureau of Early Intervention

Early Intervention Program Annual Report to the Legislature January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

Under Section 2557 of the Public Health Law (PHL), the Department of Health is required to prepare and submit an annual report to the Governor and Legislature, for the Early Intervention Program (EIP). Specifically, the Department is required to report data, by municipality, on the EIP for the purposes of improving the efficiency, cost effectiveness, and quality of the EIP. This report covers the period from January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007.

Data Collection Methods

Since the inception of the EIP, the Department has supplied municipalities with a software application, known as the Kids Integrated Data System (KIDS), to use for the collection of data, local management of EIP activities, payment of bills submitted by providers, and processing of claims to commercial insurers, Medicaid, and the Department. The Department maintains the KIDS application and periodically issues revised versions of the application to municipalities to meet new program requirements and/or improve data collection capabilities.

All fifty-eight municipalities use KIDS for data collection and to assist with the management of program activities. All municipalities, with the exception of New York City, use the billing and claiming components of KIDS. New York City uses a contractor for its provider payment and claiming processes. The following other counties also used fiscal agents during the current reporting period to manage their claiming procedures, but use KIDS for claims processing: Dutchess, Erie, Genesee, Monroe, Niagara, Orleans, Suffolk, and Wayne.

KIDS is a distributed data system. Data are collected locally using KIDS and submitted to the Department four to five times per year. The Department maintains and regularly updates its state-level database on the EIP, using quarterly data submitted by municipalities. This state-level database is used by the Department for program management purposes and to comply with federal and State reporting requirements, including this report.

In addition to KIDS, the Department maintains a Fiscal System application, which it uses to process all claims submitted by municipalities for State reimbursement. In accordance with State law, municipalities must submit claims for payment within two years of the date of service.

Data Table Descriptions and Data Limitations

Section 2557 of PHL requires the Department to report on data collected from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007. Child and provider data included in this report are based on data submitted to the Department by municipalities in May 15, 2008 and are complete through March 31, 2008. Medicaid data were supplied by the Office of Health Insurance Programs, using the Medicaid Management Information System.

As required under Section 2557(4) of PHL, data are reported by county, New York City, and the Rest of State excluding New York City, and State.

The following describes the data included in each of the tables, along with limitations that should be considered when interpreting the data.

Table 1 presents the number of children enrolled in the EIP by age. Children with an IFSP continuing in the program are assigned to an age group based on their age on January 1, and children entering the program in 2007 were assigned to an age group based on their age on the date of the initial IFSP.

Tables 2 and 3 present the number and percent of children authorized to receive a single service and authorized to receive multiple services, respectively, by type For the purposes of these analyses, service coordination, of service. evaluations, transportation, assistive technology devices, and respite services are excluded. For **Table 2**, a child is counted as receiving a single service if s/he was authorized to receive only one type of general early intervention service (e.g., physical therapy only, speech therapy only, or occupational therapy only.) during the entire time period, regardless of the length of time during the reporting period for which the service was authorized. For example, if a child received an initial IFSP in October, and was authorized to receive speech language therapy and no other service for the months of November and December, the child is counted as receiving a single service. For **Table 3**, a child is counted as receiving multiple services if s/he was authorized to receive two or more services at any time during the entire time period, regardless of whether authorizations for each type of service were overlapping or consecutive (e.g., consecutive weeks or months between January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007). Each child is counted under every service type for which they were authorized during this twelve-month period. For example, if a child received an initial IFSP in July, was authorized to receive physical therapy in September, and occupational therapy in December, the child is counted as receiving multiple services, and is counted under both service types. As a result of children being counted repeatedly across different service types, the sum of percentages across the service types is more than 100%.

Table 4 presents the number of State-approved agencies¹ and independent (individual) service providers that were under direct contract with one or more municipalities, and therefore were available to provide services to children during this time period, by service specialty, in full-time equivalents (FTEs). Agency and individual providers that are only approved by the Department and not reported as under contract, either by a municipality or by an approved agency (for individuals), are not included. These data are derived from applications submitted by providers at the time State approval is sought, and do not include changes after the initial application to the Department. Provider contract status is reported periodically to the Department by municipalities. These data likely underrepresent the current FTEs available to deliver early intervention services, particularly for agency providers.

Tables 5 and 6 present the number and percent of children authorized to receive a single service and multiple services, respectively, by the type of provider authorized to deliver the service(s) to the child. **Table 5** displays the data by agency and individual provider. **Table 6** presents the data by agency only, individual only, and both individual and agency, since children authorized to receive multiple services may be authorized to receive services from both agencies and individual providers.

Table 7 displays the number of State-approved providers under contract with municipalities to provide evaluations, and the number and percent of evaluators who delivered services to children whom they evaluated.

Table 8 displays the number and percent of children whose families wereauthorized to receive supportive services, which are defined as family training,counseling, parent support groups, or respite.

Table 9 displays the number and percent of children who were reported to have some form of third party insurance coverage during the reporting period. Children with unknown insurance status had no commercial insurance coverage or Medicaid coverage information reported during the time period.

Table 10 displays the number and percent of claims submitted to commercial insurers and the claims denied by insurers that were reconciled during the reporting period. Data submitted by municipalities using KIDS include claims submitted to insurers prior to January 1, 2007 that were subsequently denied, paid, or partially paid by insurers and reconciled between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2007. Data reported by fiscal agents under contract with

¹ Under EIP regulations, an agency means incorporated entities, sole proprietorships, partnerships, and state operated facilities operating under the approval of any state early intervention agency. "Individual" providers means qualified individuals with appropriate licensure, certification, or registration identified as qualified personnel for purposes of the EIP.

municipalities to perform claiming functions include only those claims that were both submitted and reconciled during the period.

Table 11 displays the number and percent of claims submitted by municipalities that were denied by commercial insurers by reason of denial. The following are definitions used to report reasons for denial of claims by insurers:

- Service Not Covered Denial of payment by an insurer for a service known to be in the child benefit package, for one of the following reasons: not a covered service, equipment, or device; or denied based on location of service (e.g., home or community setting).
- *No Response from Insurer* No response from an insurer after repeated contact attempts by the municipality.
- Other Denial Denial of payment for any reason other than those mentioned.
- Not Medically Necessary Denial of payment by an insurer when preauthorization was sought and denied by the insurer or when the insurer has determined, independent of any preauthorization requirement, that the service was not medically necessary.
- *Out-of-Network Provider* Denial of payment by an insurer based on the use of a non-participating provider.
- No Preauthorization Obtained Denial of payment because preauthorization for the service was required, but was not sought and/or obtained by the municipality. Several municipalities indicated to the Department that this field was also used to report denials when preauthorization was sought, and the insurer denied the preauthorization.
- *Benefits Exhausted* Denial of payment when policy limits have been reached (either visit limits or amount of reimbursement). This includes claims not submitted by municipalities to insurers upon notification that benefits have been exhausted.
- *Exceeds Insurer's Claiming Limit* Denial of payment by an insurer when the claim exceeds the timeframe from date of service required by the insurer.
- *Child Not Covered* Denial of payment by the insurer for services delivered to a child at a time when the child was not covered by the insurer (e.g., policy terminated or not renewed or services provided prior to the effective date).
- No Primary Care Physician Referral Denial of payment by an insurer for failure to obtain a referral from the primary care provider prior to the provision of services (including evaluation services).
- *Technical Errors* Denial of payment by an insurer due to technical errors on the claim, such as incorrect policy number, incorrect procedure code, incorrect or missing diagnosis, incorrect date of service, or duplicate dates of service.
- Government Service Blanket Rejection Denial of payment by an insurer because the service was provided by a governmental program.

Tables 12 and 13 display the number and amount of claims submitted to Medicaid by payment status (Table 12), and reasons for denial of claims (Table 13).

Department Guidance and Oversight of the EIP

The Department used these and other data to improve ongoing state-level management and oversight of the EIP through the actions described below.

- A statewide monitoring system to review municipal administration of the EIP and agency and individual providers engaged in the service delivery system. Through its contractor, IPRO, the Department has completed two cycles of monitoring for all agency and individual providers contracted to deliver early intervention services and has completed a second monitoring cycle of the 58 municipalities as service providers. In addition, two monitoring cycles of the municipalities as local administrators will be completed by November 2008. A total of 1,906 comprehensive reviews were conducted during the first cycle of monitoring and 1,588 during the second cycle to date. Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) are required and submitted when deficiencies are identified. Immediate remediation of serious deficiencies is required, with municipal oversight of remediation has been completed according to the terms of the approved corrective action plan. To date, 398 focused reviews have been completed.
- Implementation of an auditing program for the EIP, including development and dissemination of an audit protocol for use in auditing providers and municipalities by the Department, and development of data-driven criteria to prioritize provider audits.
- Continuation of State-level matches of the EIP and Medicaid eligibility files to submit retroactive claims for federal Medicaid reimbursement. To date, more than \$109 million in federal reimbursement has been achieved through this process since 1999.
- The Department continues work to develop a state-of-the-art web-based data system to replace the EIP's aging legacy system, KIDS. Known as the New York State Early Intervention System (NYEIS), the new system will handle current and future business, statutory, and regulatory requirements and will employ proven hardware and software technology to ensure that data security meets defined performance standards, is cost effective, and easy to maintain and operate. NYEIS will provide controls to support EIP's legal and financial responsibilities and protect EIP's administrative and managerial integrity.

While NYEIS will serve EIP's most urgent needs, it will also provide a basis on which additional functional modules can be deployed as needed. It will provide the EIP with effective and efficient management tools on the State and local levels for the administration, reporting, and analysis of integrated EIP data. NYEIS will provide near-real-time interfaces to other systems/agencies and external providers (such as Medicaid), which will maximize reimbursement from Medicaid and third party insurances, thereby reducing the costs for the EIP to the State and localities. It is anticipated that NYEIS will be fully operational statewide in 2009.

- The Department continues to maintain the existing distributed data system, KIDS, which captures information about children participating in the EI program on the local level, and which assists municipalities in claiming reimbursement for EI services from insurance, Medicaid, and the State. These efforts include release of updated versions of KIDS to implement technical improvements and correct identified problems, and providing technical assistance and support to municipalities on the day-today operation of KIDS.
- The Department and State Education Department continue to provide ongoing training and technical assistance to municipalities, EIP providers, school district personnel, and parents to provide direction necessary to ensure that children potentially in need of Preschool Special Education receive an evaluation from their school districts by age three; and to ensure the smooth and timely transition of all children from the EIP to other services and supports, as needed.
- The Department continues to provide ongoing training and technical assistance to municipalities, EIP providers, and parents to improve evaluation procedures used to determine eligibility for children referred to the EIP.
- Training contracts have been established to deliver ongoing statewide training for providers, municipalities, and parents on a variety of topics related to the EIP, and to develop new and revised curricula for the EIP.
- The Department holds an annual meeting with Early Intervention Officials/ Managers to disseminate information and discuss policy changes with municipal officials responsible for local implementation of the EIP. It also provides the municipalities with an opportunity to network and problemsolve with each other.
- The Department holds bimonthly conference calls with Early Intervention Officials/Managers to provide training, technical assistance, program updates and guidance.

Table 1 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Enrolled in the Early Intervention Program By Age. January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

Maria in 1964	All Children	5:41 4	X OLL		Age Group (2)			• V	
Municipality	Enrolled (1)	Birth -< 1 Number		1 -< 2 Ye Number		2 -< 3 Ye Number	Percent (3)	3+ Yea Number	Percent (3)
Albany	460	Number 40	Percent (3) 8.7%	Number 142	Percent (3) 30.9%	Number 256	55.7%	Number 22	4.8%
Albany		40	15.2%	20	30.3%	250	50.0%	3	4.8%
Allegany	66								
Broome	578	131	22.7%	194	33.6%	221	38.2%	32	5.5%
Cattaraugus	196	34	17.3%	53	27.0%	106	54.1%	3	1.5%
Cayuga	227	38	16.7%	68	30.0%	110	48.5%	11	4.8%
Chautauqua	287	58	20.2%	83	28.9%	139	48.4%	7	2.4%
Chemung	204	47	23.0%	56	27.5%	89	43.6%	12	5.9%
Chenango	112	19	17.0%	44	39.3%	49	43.8%	0	0.0%
Clinton	357	35	9.8%	144	40.3%	157	44.0%	21	5.9%
Columbia	144	15	10.4%	54	37.5%	68	47.2%	7	4.9%
Cortland	130	8	6.2%	44	33.8%	70	53.8%	8	6.2%
Delaware	120	24	20.0%	36	30.0%	55	45.8%	5	4.2%
Dutchess	1,057	107	10.1%	334	31.6%	568	53.7%	48	4.5%
Erie	2,467	296	12.0%	666	27.0%	1,435	58.2%	70	2.8%
Essex	77	7	9.1%	25	32.5%	45	58.4%	0	0.0%
Franklin	103	6	5.8%	28	27.2%	64	62.1%	5	4.9%
Fulton	75	7	9.3%	19	25.3%	48	64.0%	1	1.3%
Genesee	198	17	8.6%	76	38.4%	95	48.0%	10	5.1%
Greene	198	16	11.0%	42	29.0%	95	48.0% 56.6%	5	3.4%
					0.0%				
Hamilton Herkimer	3 73	0	0.0%	0 25		2 37	66.7%	1	33.3%
			9.6%		34.2%		50.7%	4	5.5%
Jefferson	189	23	12.2%	61	32.3%	97	51.3%	8	4.2%
Lewis	67	11	16.4%	26	38.8%	30	44.8%	0	0.0%
Livingston	152	25	16.4%	47	30.9%	75	49.3%	5	3.3%
Madison	102	14	13.7%	34	33.3%	49	48.0%	5	4.9%
Monroe	1,931	216	11.2%	603	31.2%	970	50.2%	142	7.4%
Montgomery	84	8	9.5%	24	28.6%	52	61.9%	0	0.0%
Nassau	4,264	467	11.0%	1,234	28.9%	2,308	54.1%	255	6.0%
Niagara	663	65	9.8%	205	30.9%	333	50.2%	60	9.0%
Oneida	477	58	12.2%	128	26.8%	272	57.0%	19	4.0%
Onondaga	1,280	168	13.1%	414	32.3%	622	48.6%	76	5.9%
Ontario	178	20	11.2%	57	32.0%	83	46.6%	18	10.1%
Orange	1,415	209	14.8%	504	35.6%	698	49.3%	4	0.3%
Orleans	112	19	17.0%	29	25.9%	63	56.3%	1	0.9%
Oswego	363	47	12.9%	110	30.3%	185	51.0%	21	5.8%
Otsego	91	18	19.8%	20	22.0%	50	54.9%	3	3.3%
Putnam	168	34	20.2%	43	25.6%	84	50.0%	7	4.2%
Rensselaer	487	61	12.5%	154	31.6%	256	52.6%	16	3.3%
Rockland	2,236	401	17.9%	706	31.6%	1,070	47.9%	59	2.6%
St. Lawrence	151	15	9.9%	700	47.7%	57	37.7%		4.6%
	498			159		255		41	
Saratoga	280	43 32	8.6%		31.9%		51.2%		8.2%
Schenectady	1		11.4%	89	31.8%	146	52.1%	13	
Schoharie	70	16	22.9%	23	32.9%	28	40.0%	3	4.3%
Schuyler	40	6	15.0%	12	30.0%	18	45.0%	4	10.0%
Seneca	72	9	12.5%	23	31.9%	35	48.6%	5	6.9%
Steuben	237	28	11.8%	83	35.0%	111	46.8%	15	6.3%
Suffolk	3,236	392	12.1%	931	28.8%	1,866	57.7%	47	1.5%
Sullivan	229	48	21.0%	75	32.8%	103	45.0%	3	1.3%
Tioga	152	26	17.1%	54	35.5%	68	44.7%	4	2.6%
Tompkins	321	38	11.8%	112	34.9%	140	43.6%	31	9.7%
Ulster	483	79	16.4%	148	30.6%	233	48.2%	23	4.8%
Warren	224	26	11.6%	96	42.9%	98	43.8%	4	1.8%
Washington	162	14	8.6%	54	33.3%	87	53.7%	7	4.3%
Wayne	289	27	9.3%	81	28.0%	166	57.4%	15	5.2%
Westchester	3,854	494	12.8%	1,228	31.9%	1,948	50.5%	184	4.8%
Wyoming	82	3	3.7%	26	31.7%	43	52.4%	10	12.2%
Yates	51	10	19.6%	13	25.5%	25	49.0%	3	5.9%
New York City	34,419	4,298	12.5%	9,715	28.2%	17,410	50.6%	2,996	8.7%
Rest of State	31,769	4,298	12.5%	9,715	30.9%	16,453	51.8%	1,393	4.4%
NESLUI SIGIE	31,709	4,092	12.9%	9,031	30.9%	10,403	01.0%	1,393	4.4%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 3/31/2008

(1) Enrolled in EI - Children were counted if they were enrolled in EI at any time during the year, regardless of the length of time in the EIP. The "All Children Enrolled" total in this table is greater than the sum of "Single Service Children" (Tables 2 & 5) and "Multiple Service Children" (Tables 3 & 6) because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for services which were excluded in those tables, like service coordination or evaluations.

(2) Age - Children with an IFSP continuing in the program were assigned to an age category based on their age on January 1, and children entering the program were assigned to an age category based on the date of the initial IFSP.

(3) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

Table 2 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive A Single Early InterventionService By Type of Service.January 1, 2007- December 31, 2007

	Cimerle					Dervice Ty	/pe (1, 2)					
	Single			Fam								
	Service	AT	Audio-	Cnsl/	Nutri-				Social			
Municipality	Children	Service	logy	Sup (3)	tion	ОТ	PT	Psych	Wk	Spec Inst		Vision
Albany	170	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	25.3%	0.0%	0.6%	5.9%	65.3%	0.0%
Allegany	29	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	37.9%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%	37.9%	0.0%
Broome	259	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.4%	5.0%	30.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	58.7%	0.0%
Cattaraugus	101	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	25.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	69.3%	0.0%
Cayuga	97	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	28.9%	0.0%	1.0%	5.2%	61.9%	0.0%
Chautauqua	149	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	63.1%	0.0%
Chemung	95	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	32.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	57.9%	0.0%
Chenango	50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	32.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	52.0%	2.0%
Clinton	154	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	79.2%	0.0%
Columbia	53	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	9.4%	0.0%	1.9%	1.9%	84.9%	0.0%
Cortland	61	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	6.6%	0.0%	1.6%	14.8%	75.4%	0.0%
Delaware	48	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%	22.9%	52.1%	0.0%
Dutchess	450	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.2%	1.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	85.1%	0.0%
Erie	1,168	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	2.3%	15.6%	0.0%	0.2%	2.1%	79.6%	0.2%
Essex	41	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.4%	0.0%
Franklin	57	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	17.5%	71.9%	1.8%
Fulton	23	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%	73.9%	0.0%
Genesee	49	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	16.3%	67.3%	0.0%
Greene		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	78.0%	0.0%
Hamilton	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Herkimer	21	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	61.9%	0.0%
Jefferson	84	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	2.4%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	82.1%	0.0%
Lewis	21	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	62.1% 57.1%	0.0%
	47		0.0%			9.5% 8.5%		0.0%				
Livingston		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		27.7%		0.0%	14.9%	48.9%	0.0%
Madison	41	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	31.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%	51.2%	0.0%
Monroe	856	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	79.2%	0.2%
Montgomery	33	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	69.7%	0.0%
Nassau	1,523	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.1%	30.8%	0.1%	0.1%	3.2%	64.0%	0.1%
Niagara	284	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	13.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	84.2%	0.0%
Oneida	178	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	21.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	68.0%	0.0%
Onondaga	458	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	21.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	68.3%	0.4%
Ontario	69	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	75.4%	0.0%
Orange	506	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	28.3%	0.0%	0.4%	6.9%	61.1%	0.2%
Orleans	41	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	70.7%	0.0%
Oswego	127	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%	35.4%	46.5%	0.0%
Otsego	34	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	44.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.7%	38.2%	0.0%
Putnam	67	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.3%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	44.8%	0.0%
Rensselaer	145	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	15.9%	0.0%	0.7%	3.4%	79.3%	0.0%
Rockland	630	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	3.5%	34.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	55.7%	0.0%
St. Lawrence	50	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.0%	44.0%	0.0%
Saratoga	201	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	9.5%	0.0%	0.5%	3.0%	85.6%	0.5%
Schenectady	92	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	19.6%	0.0%	1.1%	7.6%	69.6%	0.0%
Schoharie	24	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	50.0%	0.0%
Schuyler	26	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	19.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	76.9%	0.0%
Seneca	32	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	21.9%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	62.5%	0.0%
Steuben	75	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	62.7%	0.0%
Suffolk	1,024	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.2%	34.7%	0.1%	0.0%	4.0%	57.0%	0.4%
Sullivan	81	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	40.7%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%
Tioga	74	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	32.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	58.1%	0.0%
Tompkins	102	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	16.7%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	78.4%	0.0%
Ulster	183	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	1.1%	19.1%	0.0%	3.8%	4.9%	69.9%	0.0%
Warren	130	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	83.8%	0.0%
Washington	79	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	89.9%	0.0%
Wayne	144	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	81.9%	0.0%
Westchester	1,483	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	28.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%	59.1%	0.0%
Wyoming	29	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	17.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	82.8%	0.1%
Yates	29	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	81.8%	0.0%
New York City	3,356		0.0%			4.5% 4.8%	4.5% 24.0%	0.0%		9.1%	44.8%	
NEW TORK OILY		0.0% 0.0%	0.0%	1.9% 0.5%	0.1%	4.8%	24.0%	0.1%	0.4%		44.8%	0.1%
Rest of State	12,122				U.U.70	Z.Z70	∠J.0%	0.0%	0.∠%	5.6%	07.0%	0.1%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 3/31/2008

(1) These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in this table and "Multiple Service Children" in Table 3 will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations.

(2) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

(3) Fam Cnsl/Sup. - Family Counseling, Family Support Group and Family Training were combined into one category for this report.

Table 3 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive Multiple Early Intervention Services By Type of Service. January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

		Service Type (1, 2)											
Municipality	Mult. Service Children 266	AT Service	Audio- logy 0.0%	Fam Cnsl/ Sup (3) 8.6%	Nursing 0.4%	Nutri- tion 6.8%	OT 53.8%	PT 45.5%	Psych 1.5%	Social Wk 16.9%	Spec Inst 78.6%	Speech 91.0%	Vision 3.4%
Albany Allegany	<u>∠00</u> 34	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	0.4%	0.0%	41.2%	45.5%	0.0%	0.0%	70.6%	91.0% 55.9%	<u>3.4%</u> 11.8%
Broome	295	0.0%	0.0%	23.3%	0.0%	4.4%	63.1%	64.4%	0.0%	15.3%	40.0%	84.7%	2.0%
Cattaraugus	293	1.1%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	35.5%	52.7%	0.0%	0.0%	52.7%	90.3%	0.0%
	93 78	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.6%	55.1%	0.0%	6.4%	67.9%	90.3% 78.2%	6.4%
Cayuga Chautauqua	133	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.9%	51.1%	0.0%	15.8%	38.3%	82.0%	9.8%
Chemung	103	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	57.3%	68.9%	0.0%	0.0%	36.9%	80.6%	0.0%
Chenango	61	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	70.5%	63.9%	0.0%	0.0%	45.9%	85.2%	6.6%
Clinton	200	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	1.0%	52.0%	38.0%	0.0%	0.0%	73.5%	95.0%	0.0%
Columbia	200	2.4%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	7.2%	47.0%	53.0%	0.0%	13.3%	50.6%	95.0%	3.6%
Cortland	65	2.4%	1.5%	3.1%	4.6%	3.1%	47.0%	40.0%	0.0%	6.2%	73.8%	96.4% 86.2%	4.6%
Delaware	68	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	4.0%	7.4%	49.2%	40.0%	0.0%	11.8%	73.5%	80.2%	4.0%
Dutchess	572	0.2%	1.6%	33.2%	0.0%	22.2%	49.5%	36.0%	0.2%	8.2%	58.2%	90.6%	0.3%
Erie	1,195	3.3%	2.0%	14.5%	0.0%	0.5%	57.3%	53.6%	0.9%	4.0%	60.3%	77.5%	4.8%
Essex	35	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	2.9%	5.7%	31.4%	51.4%	0.0%	0.0%	65.7%	91.4%	0.0%
Franklin	45	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.7%	40.0%	4.4%	0.0%	77.8%	88.9%	2.2%
Fulton	49 147	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	51.0%	53.1% 49.7%	0.0%	2.0%	79.6%	85.7%	10.2%
Genesee		21.1%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	13.6%	57.8%		4.1%	2.7%	79.6%	79.6%	4.8%
Greene	93	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	11.8%	64.5%	44.1%	1.1%	23.7%	74.2%	91.4%	0.0%
Hamilton	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Herkimer	51	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	70.6%	62.7%	0.0%	0.0%	58.8%	88.2%	2.0%
Jefferson	98	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	67.3%	60.2%	1.0%	0.0%	45.9%	82.7%	0.0%
Lewis	45	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	62.2%	0.0%	0.0%	77.8%	80.0%	0.0%
Livingston	104	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	42.3%	39.4%	1.0%	13.5%	83.7%	82.7%	1.9%
Madison	61	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.0%	47.5%	0.0%	8.2%	95.1%	78.7%	4.9%
Monroe	1,054	0.4%	2.2%	10.4%	0.0%	11.7%	52.7%	45.2%	6.4%	31.4%	62.8%	87.9%	4.4%
Montgomery	51	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	49.0%	0.0%	0.0%	84.3%	74.5%	3.9%
Nassau	2,626	1.4%	0.0%	30.1%	0.0%	7.2%	45.9%	42.5%	1.3%	7.7%	74.6%	89.8%	0.9%
Niagara	337	7.1%	1.2%	41.5%	0.0%	0.0%	43.6%	38.0%	3.9%	0.9%	60.5%	88.1%	2.1%
Oneida	294	0.0%	0.3%	4.4%	0.3%	0.0%	50.3%	42.5%	0.0%	4.1%	68.7%	90.5%	3.1%
Onondaga	804	0.1%	2.4%	20.9%	0.4%	1.1%	55.2%	45.6%	0.0%	11.2%	74.3%	83.1%	3.0%
Ontario	108	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	5.6%	44.4%	52.8%	1.9%	8.3%	78.7%	77.8%	3.7%
Orange	859	0.9%	12.3%	28.4%	0.0%	0.7%	48.9%	41.6%	0.0%	18.3%	66.7%	87.4%	1.9%
Orleans	64	1.6%	0.0%	21.9%	0.0%	6.3%	34.4%	29.7%	0.0%	0.0%	76.6%	85.9%	0.0%
Oswego	227	0.0%	2.2%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	45.4%	43.6%	1.8%	0.0%	91.2%	78.9%	0.0%
Otsego	56	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	16.1%	53.6%	0.0%	3.6%	82.1%	76.8%	10.7%
Putnam	97	32.0%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	4.1%	53.6%	53.6%	0.0%	21.6%	70.1%	77.3%	1.0%
Rensselaer	328	0.6%	1.5%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	34.8%	43.6%	0.6%	38.7%	75.3%	92.1%	5.2%
Rockland	1,580	0.2%	0.8%	21.0%	0.2%	3.3%	46.3%	47.3%	0.7%	1.7%	80.3%	84.7%	2.2%
St. Lawrence	100	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	32.0%	52.0%	0.0%	4.0%	92.0%	76.0%	4.0%
Saratoga	289	0.0%	0.0%	10.4%	0.0%	14.9%	38.8%	42.9%	1.0%	24.6%	77.9%	90.3%	3.8%
Schenectady	182	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	8.2%	4.9%	45.6%	46.7%	0.5%	8.2%	76.9%	87.4%	3.8%
Schoharie	42	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	45.2%	57.1%	0.0%	38.1%	50.0%	85.7%	7.1%
Schuyler	10	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	80.0%	0.0%
Seneca	37	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.5%	64.9%	0.0%	2.7%	83.8%	78.4%	0.0%
Steuben	154	3.2%	0.0%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	63.6%	51.3%	0.0%	0.6%	68.2%	83.1%	1.3%
Suffolk	2,088	2.4%	0.0%	44.3%	0.2%	4.0%	31.4%	39.8%	0.8%	7.6%	78.8%	83.4%	3.0%
Sullivan	140	5.7%	0.0%	2.1%	0.7%	0.0%	43.6%	60.0%	3.6%	19.3%	57.9%	88.6%	4.3%
Tioga	77	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	80.5%	58.4%	3.9%	3.9%	24.7%	92.2%	5.2%
Tompkins	200	0.0%	1.0%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	53.5%	50.5%	8.5%	29.5%	58.0%	88.5%	0.0%
Ulster	294	0.3%	1.7%	13.9%	0.0%	11.6%	53.4%	46.9%	0.0%	27.9%	67.0%	88.1%	5.1%
Warren	93	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	49.5%	62.4%	0.0%	0.0%	51.6%	92.5%	1.1%
Washington	81	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	65.4%	53.1%	0.0%	13.6%	49.4%	85.2%	7.4%
Wayne	142	0.7%	0.0%	5.6%	0.7%	4.2%	46.5%	45.8%	4.9%	3.5%	73.2%	85.9%	2.8%
Westchester	2,206	10.2%	0.0%	22.7%	0.0%	0.5%	58.2%	52.9%	0.7%	1.3%	65.3%	83.2%	1.0%
Wyoming	48	10.2%	0.0%	45.8%	0.0%	6.3%	41.7%	45.8%	0.0%	14.6%	64.6%	85.4%	2.1%
Yates	26	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	46.2%	53.8%	7.7%	0.0%	65.4%	69.2%	3.8%
New York City	28,155	0.4%	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	1.7%	46.8%	46.9%	0.2%	9.8%	57.3%	72.9%	1.2%
Rest of State	18,669	2.6%	1.2%	21.5%	0.2%	4.3%	48.7%	46.5%	1.2%	9.4%	69.7%	85.5%	2.5%
New York State	46,824	1.3%	0.5%	56.7%	0.2%	2.8%	47.6%	46.8%	0.6%	9.6%	62.2%	77.9%	1.7%
INGW FOR State	+0,024	1.3%	0.5%	50.1%	0.1%	2.0%	41.0%	40.0%	0.0%	9.0%	02.2%	11.9%	1.170

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 3/31/2008

(1) These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in Table 2 and "Multiple Service Children" in this table will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

(2) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

(3) Fam Cnsl/Sup. - Family Counseling, Family Support Group and Family Training services were combined into one category for this report.

Table 4 - Number of NYS Approved Agency and Individual Providers Under Contract with Municipalities to Provide El
Services by Service Specialty (1,2) Expressed as FTEs by Type of Discipline (3) As of December 31, 2007

							le	e					í					
Municipality	Audiologists		Certified Low Vision	Specialists	Certified Occupational Therapy	Assistants	_	Nurses and Nurse Practitioners	Occupational	Signal	Orientation and Mobility	Specialists	Pediatricians, Other Physicians and Physician	Assistants	Physical Therabists		Physical Therapy	Assistants
	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.	Agency	Ind.
Albany	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6	0.0	6.0	0.0	31.7	8.7	0.2	0.0	4.4	0.0	36.9	4.3	0.3	0.0
Allegany	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	3.4	0.0		1.3	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0		2.0	1.5	0.0
Broome	0.6 4.1	0.5 0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0	5.5 10.3	0.0	9.1 1.6	0.0	7.6 15.4	2.8 1.5	2.0 0.0	0.0	2.4 1.3	0.0		2.0 2.5	3.2 3.5	0.0
Cattaraugus Cayuga	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	0.0	2.4	0.0	34.3	2.0	0.6	0.0	2.4	0.0		2.3	7.6	0.0
Chautauqua	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	5.8	0.0		2.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0		5.9	0.0	0.0
Chemung	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	2.2	0.0		1.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	14.9	2.2	1.0	0.0
Chenango	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.1	0.8	0.0		3.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0		2.9	2.2	0.0
Clinton	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Columbia	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	5.9	0.0		3.6	0.2	0.3	4.0	0.0		1.1	0.3	0.0
Cortland	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	5.7	0.0		0.0	2.5	0.0	2.4	0.0		0.3	2.3	0.0
Delaware	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	12.6	0.0		4.1	2.0	0.0	10.5	0.0		1.3	8.2	0.0
Dutchess	3.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	3.4	0.0	15.4	0.0		0.5	0.0	0.0	12.1	0.0	164.8	0.0	1.3	0.0
Erie	7.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	14.5	0.0		0.0	0.3	0.0	6.7	0.0		0.0	4.3	0.0
Essex	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	4.3	0.0		0.7	0.1	0.0	2.4	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Franklin	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	17.4	0.0	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	5.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
Fulton	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	17.1	0.0	9.2	0.8	2.7	0.0	2.9	0.0	8.7	0.5	0.6	0.0
Genesee	3.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	3.9	0.0	18.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.0	28.5	0.0	1.7	0.0
Greene	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	25.4	2.7	0.2	0.0	3.1	0.0	27.6	2.3	1.3	0.0
Hamilton	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.7	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.3	0.0
Herkimer	2.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	9.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	21.5	2.4	2.5	0.0	2.9	1.0	19.1	1.5	3.0	0.0
Jefferson	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	6.8	0.0	1.0	0.0	15.4	1.0	2.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	27.4	0.0	1.5	0.0
Lewis	2.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	13.8	1.4	2.6	0.0	1.0	0.0	15.6	1.0	4.5	0.0
Livingston	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	28.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	18.6	0.3	0.0	0.0
Madison	5.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	9.2	0.0	2.3	0.0	39.1	0.0	2.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	32.6	0.0	3.5	0.0
Monroe	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	31.6	4.4	2.0	0.1	3.1	0.0	26.9	2.2	0.7	0.0
Montgomery	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.3	0.0	9.2	0.0	11.8	1.7	2.7	0.0	2.9	0.0	9.2	1.8	0.4	0.0
Nassau	31.6	0.0	1.9	0.5	40.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	482.3	3.0	1.8	0.0	25.7	0.0	478.3	5.0	16.0	0.0
Niagara	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.1	0.0	10.6	0.0	72.8	1.3	0.3	0.0	2.2	0.0		2.3	4.0	0.0
Oneida	2.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	10.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	19.8	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.7	0.0		0.0	6.0	0.0
Onondaga	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	3.0	0.0		3.2	0.6	0.0	6.3	1.0		2.4	1.1	0.0
Ontario	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	1.1	0.0		1.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0		0.4	0.1	0.0
Orange	5.6	0.0	1.3	0.0	12.6	0.0	12.4	0.0	107.7	4.7	1.0	0.2	6.6	0.0		1.9	0.4	0.0
Orleans	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.2	0.0		0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	4.3	0.0	1.7	0.0
Oswego	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	0.0	0.5	0.0		0.0	0.5	0.0	3.7	1.0	52.2	0.0	7.5	0.0
Otsego	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2	0.0	13.6	0.0	24.2	0.7	2.2	0.0	11.0	0.0	26.8	1.4	8.8	0.0
Putnam	4.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	159.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	204.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rensselaer	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	5.8	0.0		5.4	0.2	0.0	4.8	0.0		4.3	2.9	0.0
Rockland	7.8	0.0		0.0	13.0	0.0		0.0		5.1	3.0	0.0				3.3		
St. Lawrence	2.6 6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.1 19.8	0.0	1.4 64.2	0.0		0.0 10.8	0.1	0.0	1.4 14.5	0.0		0.0		
Saratoga Schenectady	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.8	0.0		0.0		3.5	0.3	0.0	14.5	0.0		1.2		
Schoharie	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0		0.0		3.5 1.6	0.2	0.0	2.7	0.0		1.2		
Schuyler	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0		0.0		1.0	0.2	0.0	2.4	0.0		0.0		
Seneca	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0		1.0		0.3	0.0	0.0	1.3			0.0		
Steuben	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0		2.4	2.0	0.0	2.5	0.0		3.1	1.0	
Suffolk	28.5	0.0	1.5	2.6	30.4	0.0	262.9	0.0		3.7	2.0	0.0	82.9	0.0		8.9		0.0
Sullivan	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	13.4	0.0		3.4	0.0	0.0	6.1	0.0		1.5	2.0	
Tioga	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	7.8	0.0		1.2	2.0	0.0	0.8	0.0		1.2		
Tompkins	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0		1.4	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0		0.7	0.5	
Ulster	1.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	9.1	0.0		0.0		5.0	0.0	0.3	3.3			1.6		0.0
Warren	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.3	0.0	3.3	0.0		0.0		
Washington	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	0.0	4.7	0.0		3.0	0.1	0.0	2.8	0.0		2.6		
Wayne	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	2.1	0.0		1.9	0.1	0.0	2.1	0.0		1.5		0.0
Westchester	14.5	0.5	0.2	0.0	19.7	0.0	27.5	0.0	309.8	4.1	5.2	0.0	26.2	0.0	342.8	7.4	1.0	0.0
Wyoming	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	19.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	31.7	1.0	3.2	0.0
Yates	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	8.6	0.0	16.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	11.1	1.2	0.5	0.0
New York City	40.9	0.0	2.5	0.0	61.1	0.0	56.8	0.0		0.0	5.7	0.0	50.0	0.0		0.0	16.1	0.0
Rest of State	109.8	1.6	3.6	3.2	193.3	0.1	503.8	1.0		94.8	17.5	0.5	179.6	1.0			82.1	0.0
New York State	139.1	1.6	5.9	3.2	236.1	0.1	542.7	1.0	1,509.8	94.8	17.5	0.5	210.8	1.0	1,580.4	82.4	97.5	0.0

Data Source: NYS EI Provider Approval database as of June 23, 2008

Providers with contracts in more than one county are counted more than once.
 Providers with qualified personnel in more than one area of licensure, certification or registration are counted more than once.
 Full time equivalents and types of qualified personnel were take from provider applications for state approval.

 Table 4 - Number of NYS Approved Agency and Individual Providers Under Contract with Municipalities to Provide El

 Services by Service Specialty (1,2) Expressed as FTEs by Type of Discipline (3) As of December 31, 2007

Municipality	Psychologists		Registered		Social Workers			Teachers		Fatriologists and Audiologists		- Partially Sighted	۳å	- Handicapped	Teachers of the Speech and Hearing	На
Albany	Agency 13.1	Ind. 0.0	Agency 0.1	Ind. 0.0	Agency 4.9	Ind. 3.1	Agency 37.9	Ind. 6.4	Agency 51.8	Ind. 18.4	Agency 1.0	Ind. 1.4	Agency 5.2	Ind. 0.0	Agency 19.2	Ind. 2.2
Allegany	5.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	8.3	3.3	15.1	2.6	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.0	19.2	0.3
Broome	3.0	0.0	3.6	0.5	6.7	0.0	14.2	1.3	13.7	13.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	4.0
Cattaraugus	5.5	0.0	6.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	19.9	2.8	38.8	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	3.6	1.0
Cayuga	7.6	0.0	0.7	0.0	9.3	0.4	49.3	4.1	76.8	5.1	0.4	0.0	4.0	0.0	10.9	0.8
Chautauqua	2.7	0.0	0.1	0.0	3.0	0.3	12.7	2.8	14.0	6.3	0.0	0.8	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5
Chemung	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	0.0	9.1	1.8	24.8	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.9
Chenango	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	9.9	1.4	9.3	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6
Clinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.1	0.0	13.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Columbia	7.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	4.9	1.3	30.4	4.6	70.0	3.3	2.5	0.5	0.3	0.0	15.3	0.5
Cortland	6.8	0.0	1.1	0.5	5.8	0.4	41.5	0.0	60.3	1.4	0.3	0.0	4.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Delaware	7.0	0.0	3.3	0.1	9.9	1.1	21.1	1.9	17.5	3.6	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.1	3.6	1.3
Dutchess	10.5	0.0	6.0	0.0	32.2	0.0	117.7	0.0	102.5	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.0	13.0	0.0
Erie	13.6	0.0	1.8	0.0	14.5	0.0	71.3	0.0	138.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	15.5	0.0
Essex	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	15.5	0.0	10.8	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0
Franklin	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	9.0	0.0	7.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
Fulton	2.6	0.0	0.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	17.1	2.0	10.5	3.3	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
Genesee	2.1	0.0	1.5	0.5	3.8	0.3	10.0	1.0	37.1	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.0	5.5	0.0
Greene	6.6	0.5	0.5	0.8	7.4	1.5	34.7	3.8	66.3	6.4	1.5	0.4	0.3	0.0	16.7	0.9
Hamilton	1.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.6	0.0	12.0	0.0	4.9	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
Herkimer	6.8	0.0	1.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	19.2	1.9	32.4	2.5	2.1	0.0	2.0	0.0	23.0	
Jefferson	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	3.3	0.0	22.1	0.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
Lewis	4.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	9.4	0.0	15.2	1.0	19.6	1.5	2.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.5	0.0
Livingston	9.5 9.1	0.0	2.0 1.0	0.0	7.4 15.1	0.5	41.7 53.2	2.0 0.0	86.4	2.5 0.0	1.7 2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1 18.3	0.5
Madison Monroe	9.1	0.0	2.0	0.0 0.5	13.1	0.0 2.1	64.3	4.3	85.7 104.3	13.6	3.7	0.0	4.0	0.0	10.3	0.0
	2.9	0.2	0.4	0.0	5.9	0.0	18.6	4.5	12.6	2.3	2.8	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.6	0.9
Montgomery Nassau	82.6	0.0	15.6	0.0	234.9	0.0	1,075.7	1.8	921.6	7.5	13.6	0.0	8.6	0.0	258.8	0.7
Niagara	13.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	13.0	0.0	73.8	2.1	116.4	11.1	1.7	0.0	1.9	0.0	12.4	1.9
Oneida	3.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	18.7	0.0	25.3	1.5	2.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	10.3	0.5
Onondaga	9.3	0.0	1.1	0.0	8.0	3.8	65.8	1.8	101.8	9.7	0.9	0.4	4.7	0.0	23.5	3.0
Ontario	3.9	0.0	1.6	0.0	2.9	0.0	24.2	0.0	39.7	3.8	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.3
Orange	10.8	0.0	2.4	0.5	53.0	1.8	307.9	4.2	186.8	6.6	4.1	0.6	2.2	0.0	109.2	2.8
Orleans	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.8	0.0	8.2	0.0	15.0	3.4	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.5	1.0
Oswego	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	0.0	39.1	0.5	84.3	2.2	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	10.8	0.5
Otsego	9.8	0.5	4.3	0.0	17.2	0.8	33.3	1.5	26.9	4.1	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	9.6	1.1
Putnam	15.8	0.0	4.1	0.0	43.0	0.0	310.0	0.0	133.8	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	82.0	0.0
Rensselaer	12.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	4.1	2.1	38.5	1.4	46.0	14.0	1.0	1.0	4.3	0.0	20.3	1.5
Rockland	16.5	0.4		0.0	62.0	0.0	372.4		218.3	9.1	8.1		1.8	0.5	115.3	
St. Lawrence	1.9	0.0		0.0	0.8	0.0	7.2	0.0	23.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.2	
Saratoga	19.4	0.0		1.0	16.1	1.8	43.1	11.2	70.5	31.2	0.8	0.0	5.2	0.0	25.8	
Schenectady	18.5	0.0		0.0	17.9	0.5	30.9	3.1	52.8	11.2	0.8	0.5	4.0	0.0	24.2	
Schoharie	4.3	0.0		0.0	5.8	1.0	18.1	1.3	23.9	3.8	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	
Schuyler Seneca	3.4	0.0		0.0	6.2 3.7	0.5	8.7 13.1	1.0 1.3	18.3 8.5	1.3 1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0 0.4	
Seneca Steuben	4.2	0.0		0.0	3.7 5.2	0.0	7.1	5.5	23.2	1.8	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	
Suffolk	4.2	0.0		1.0	288.8	1.5	930.5	6.7	724.0	26.4	38.3	0.0	3.8	0.2	2.0	
Sullivan	10.2	0.0		0.0	13.5	0.0	36.2	4.0	55.3	2.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.0	4.9	
Tioga	4.0	0.0		0.0	8.6	0.2	19.6	2.0	26.1	4.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	2.6
Tompkins	2.7	0.0		0.0	5.0	0.9	14.5	2.1	8.5	9.7	0.4	0.0	4.0	0.3	2.0	
Ulster	5.5	0.0		0.0	19.0	1.5	44.2	2.3	69.6	7.1	2.2	0.5	0.5	0.0	16.2	
Warren	7.2	0.0		0.0	4.7	0.0	24.7	0.0	19.5	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6	
Washington	5.2	0.0		0.0	1.3	1.0	22.5	1.7	36.7	11.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6	
Wayne	5.1	0.0	3.2	0.0	4.5	0.0	29.1	0.9	46.1	5.5	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	
Westchester	47.9	1.5		0.0	200.8	0.8	579.5	3.3	402.7	11.4	8.9	0.5	0.8	0.1	145.5	
Wyoming	1.4	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	0.3	12.4	0.0	28.3	3.0	0.5	0.0	0.9	0.0	5.8	0.6
Yates	3.6	0.0	1.2	0.0	3.3	0.0	17.2	0.3	31.3	4.2	1.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.5
New York City	97.1	0.0	20.5	0.0	382.9	0.0	1,270.8	0.0	1,136.4	0.0	15.8	0.0	7.6	0.0	317.8	0.0
Rest of State	256.6	3.3	82.1	5.0	581.3	22.8	1,892.3	95.6	1,943.7	273.7	67.7	7.8	28.7	1.7	549.4	51.5
New York State	311.3	3.3	95.3	5.0	755.5	22.8	2,425.9	95.6	2,492.1	273.7	72.1	7.8	34.7	1.7	658.2	51.5

Data Source: NYS EI Provider Approval database as of June 23, 2008

(1) Providers with contracts in more than one county are counted more than once.

(2) Providers with qualified personnel in more than one area of licensure, certification or registration are counted more than once.

(3) Full time equivalents and types of qualified personnel were take from provider applications for state approval.

Table 5 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive A Single Service (1)By Type of Provider.January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

	Single Service	Agen		Individ	dual
Municipality	Children	Number	Percent (2)	Number	Percent (2)
Albany	170	48	28.2%	122	71.8%
Allegany	29	18	62.1%	11	37.9%
Broome	259	118	45.6%	141	54.4%
Cattaraugus	101	23	22.8%	78	77.2%
Cayuga	97	31	32.0%	66	68.0%
Chautauqua	149	73	49.0%	76	51.0%
Chemung	95	70	73.7%	25	26.3%
Chenango	50	1	2.0%	49	98.0%
Clinton	154	135	87.7%	19	12.3%
Columbia	53	22	41.5%	31	58.5%
Cortland	61	59	96.7%	2	3.3%
Delaware	48	15	31.3%	33	68.8%
Dutchess	450	450	100.0%	0	0.0%
Erie	1,168	1,168	100.0%	0	0.0%
Essex	41	19	46.3%	22	53.7%
Franklin	57	57	100.0%	0	0.0%
Fulton	23	8	34.8%	15	65.2%
Genesee	49	45	91.8%	4	8.2%
Greene	50	19	38.0%	31	62.0%
Hamilton	2	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Herkimer	21	16	76.2%	5	23.8%
Jefferson	84	84	100.0%	0	0.0%
Lewis	21	12	57.1%	9	42.9%
Livingston	47	19	40.4%	28	59.6%
Madison	41	37	90.2%	4	9.8%
Monroe	856	699	81.7%	157	18.3%
Montgomery	33	11	33.3%	22	66.7%
Nassau	1,523	1,467	96.3%	56	3.7%
Niagara	284	198	69.7%	86	30.3%
Oneida	178	168	94.4%	10	5.6%
Onondaga	458	458	100.0%	0	0.0%
Ontario	69	56	81.2%	13	18.8%
Orange	506	374	73.9%	132	26.1%
Orleans	41	29	70.7%	12	29.3%
Oswego	127	111	87.4%	16	12.6%
Otsego	34	1	2.9%	33	97.1%
Putnam	67	64	95.5%	3	4.5%
Rensselaer	145	81	55.9%	64	44.1%
Rockland	630	583	92.5%	47	7.5%
St. Lawrence	50	50	100.0%	0	0.0%
Saratoga	201	68	33.8%	133	66.2%
Schenectady	92	25	27.2%	67	72.8%
Schoharie	24	2	8.3%	22	91.7%
Schuyler	26	23	88.5%	3	11.5%
Seneca	32	26	81.3%	6	18.8%
Steuben	75	44	58.7%	31	41.3%
Suffolk	1,024	949	92.7%	75	7.3%
Sullivan	81	52	64.2%	29	35.8%
Tioga	74	45	60.8%	29	39.2%
Tompkins	102	29	28.4%	73	71.6%
Ulster	183	130	71.0%	53	29.0%
Warren	130	130	100.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	79	16	20.3%	63	79.7%
Wayne	144	78	54.2%	66	45.8%
Westchester	1,483	1,399	94.3%	84	5.7%
Wyoming	29	21	72.4%	8	27.6%
Yates	20	3	13.6%	19	86.4%
New York City	3,356	3,356	100.0%	0	0.0%
Rest of State	12,122	9,937	82.0%	2185	18.0%
New York State	15,478	13,293	85.9%	2185	14.1%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 3/31/2008, NYS EI Provider Approval database as of June 23, 2008

(1) Single Service Children - A child was counted as a 'single service child' if only one type of service was authorized in the reporting period. These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in this table and "Multiple Service Children" in Table 6 will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services

like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

(2) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

Table 6 - Number and Percent of Children Within Municipalities Authorized to Receive Multiple Services (1) By Type of Provider

of Provider.	
January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007	

N A A A A A A A A A A	Multi Service	Agenc	y Only	Individu	al Only	Individual	& Agency
Municipality	Children	Number	Percent (2)	Number	Percent (2)	Number	Percent (2)
Albany	266	41	15.4%	106	39.8%	119	44.7%
Allegany	34	9	26.5%	10	29.4%	15	44.1%
Broome	295	61	20.7%	33	11.2%	201	68.1%
Cattaraugus	93	8	8.6%	48	51.6%	37	39.8%
Cayuga	78	4	5.1%	32	41.0%	42	53.8%
Chautauqua	133	31	23.3%	16	12.0%	86	64.7%
Chemung	103	42	40.8%	12	11.7%	49	47.6%
Chenango	61	1	1.6%	48	78.7%	12	19.7%
Clinton	200	169	84.5%	0	0.0%	31	15.5%
Columbia	83	10	12.0%	28	33.7%	45	54.2%
Cortland	65	48	73.8%	0	0.0%	17	26.2%
Delaware	68	8	11.8%	8	11.8%	52	76.5%
Dutchess	572	572	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Erie	1,195	1,195	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Essex	35	11	31.4%	1	2.9%	23	65.7%
Franklin	45	45	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fulton	49	7	14.3%	10	20.4%	32	65.3%
Genesee	147	112	76.2%	0	0.0%	35	23.8%
Greene	93	4	4.3%	23	24.7%	66	71.0%
Hamilton	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Herkimer	51	10	19.6%	2	3.9%	39	76.5%
Jefferson	98	95	96.9%	0	0.0%	3	3.1%
Lewis	45	6	13.3%	8	17.8%	31	68.9%
Livingston	104	46	44.2%	10	9.6%	48	46.2%
Madison	61	47	77.0%	0	0.0%	14	23.0%
Monroe	1,054	664	63.0%	35	3.3%	355	33.7%
Montgomery	51	6	11.8%	24	47.1%	21	41.2%
Nassau	2,626	2,297	87.5%	0	0.0%	329	12.5%
Niagara	337	213	63.2%	5	1.5%	119	35.3%
Oneida	294	273	92.9%	0	0.0%	21	7.1%
Onondaga	804	804	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ontario	108	76	70.4%	3	2.8%	29	26.9%
Orange	859	372	43.3%	36	4.2%	451	52.5%
Orleans	64	53	82.8%	0	0.0%	11	17.2%
Oswego	227	212	93.4%	1	0.4%	14	6.2%
Otsego	56	0	0.0%	34	60.7%	22	39.3%
Putnam	97	74	76.3%	0	0.0%	23	23.7%
Rensselaer	328	130	39.6%	41	12.5%	157	47.9%
Rockland	1,580	1,348	85.3%	5	0.3%	227	14.4%
St. Lawrence	100	100	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Saratoga	289	18	6.2%	128	44.3%	143	49.5%
Schenectady	182	48	26.4%	35	19.2%	99	54.4%
Schoharie	42	1	2.4%	24	57.1%	17	40.5%
Schuyler	10	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%
Seneca	37	32	86.5%	0	0.0%	5	13.5%
Steuben	154	22	14.3%	21	13.6%	111	72.1%
Suffolk	2,088	1,674	80.2%	18	0.9%	396	19.0%
Sullivan	140	36	25.7%	23	16.4%	81	57.9%
Tioga	77	39	50.6%	0	0.0%	38	49.4%
Tompkins	200	25	12.5%	38	19.0%	137	68.5%
Ulster	294	139	47.3%	4	1.4%	151	51.4%
Warren	93	74	79.6%	0	0.0%	19	20.4%
Washington	81	7	8.6%	40	49.4%	34	42.0%
Wayne	142	76	53.5%	13	9.2%	53	37.3%
Westchester	2,206	1,842	83.5%	4	0.2%	360	16.3%
Wyoming	48	30	62.5%	0	0.2%	18	37.5%
Yates	26	11	42.3%	0	0.0%	15	57.7%
New York City	28,155	28,153	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%
Rest of State	18,669	13,284	71.2%	928	5.0%	4,457	23.9%
	46,824	41,437	88.5%	928	2.0%	4,457	9.5%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of 3/31/2008, NYS EI Provider Approval database as of June 23, 2008

(1) Multiple Service Children - A child was counted as a 'multiple service child' if more than one type of service was authorized in the reporting period. These data exclude evaluations, service coordination, respite, transportation, and AT devices. The sum of "Single Service Children" in Table 5 and "Multiple Service Children" in this table will be less than the "All Enrolled" in Table 1 because some children with IFSPs may only be authorized for excluded services like service coordination or evaluations in this time period.

(2) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

Table 7 - Number and Percent of Evaluators Contracted with Municipalities to ProvideEvaluations Who also Provided Services to Children Whom They Evaluated.January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

Municipality	Number of	Evaluators providing services to children whom they					
Municipality	Evaluators (1)	evaluate					
Allegen	.,	Number	Percent				
Albany	82	34	41.5%				
Allegany	21	11	52.4%				
Broome	49	18	36.7%				
Cattaraugus	30	17	56.7%				
Cayuga	40	25	62.5%				
Chautauqua	30	14	46.7%				
Chemung	25	16	64.0%				
Chenango	21	10	47.6%				
Clinton	7	4	57.1%				
Columbia	42	17	40.5%				
Cortland	12	8	66.7%				
Delaware	38	18	47.4%				
Dutchess	7	5	71.4%				
Erie	23	18	78.3%				
Essex	14	8	57.1%				
Franklin		4					
	9		44.4%				
Fulton	8	7	87.5%				
Genesee	13	9	69.2%				
Greene	47	18	38.3%				
Hamilton	3	3	100.0%				
Herkimer	20	12	60.0%				
Jefferson	8	6	75.0%				
Lewis	18	11	61.1%				
Livingston	9	8	88.9%				
Madison	13	12	92.3%				
Monroe	61	40	65.6%				
Montgomery	28	13	46.4%				
Nassau	100	76	76.0%				
Niagara	16	13	81.3%				
Oneida	11	9	81.8%				
Onondaga	23	13	56.5%				
Ontario	16	11	68.8%				
	11	9	81.8%				
Orange	3	3	100.0%				
Orleans							
Oswego	18	10	55.6%				
Otsego	29	10	34.5%				
Putnam	9	6	66.7%				
Rensselaer	65	34	52.3%				
Rockland	71	40	56.3%				
St. Lawrence	9	7	77.8%				
Saratoga	113	53	46.9%				
Schenectady	56	26	46.4%				
Schoharie	23	12	52.2%				
Schuyler	11	7	63.6%				
Seneca	9	6	66.7%				
Steuben	44	16	36.4%				
Suffolk	146	74	50.7%				
Sullivan	34	13	38.2%				
Tioga	35	17	48.6%				
Tompkins	23	12	52.2%				
Ulster			52.2%				
	60	31					
Warren	6	6	100.0%				
Washington	38	19	50.0%				
Wayne	32	23	71.9%				
Westchester	41	32	78.0%				
Wyoming	14	9	64.3%				
Yates	18	9	50.0%				
New York City	90	81	90.0%				
Rest of State	1,195	554	46.4%				
New York State	1,266	616	48.7%				

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of March 31, 2008, NYS Provider Approval database June 23, 2008

(1) Evaluators are providers approved by NYSDOH and under contract with that municipality to provide evaluation services. A provider may contract with more than one municipality.

(2) Evaluators who provided general services between 1/1/07 and 12/31/07 to a child from any municipality whom they had evaluated at any time.

Table 8 - Number and Percent of Children Whose Families Were Authorized to Receive Supportive Services. January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

Municipality	Total Children (1)	Received Su Service (2	
		Number	Percent
Albany	460	36	7.8%
Allegany	66	21	31.8%
Broome	578	74	12.8%
Cattaraugus	196	9	4.6%
Cayuga	227	7	3.1%
Chautauqua	287	1	0.3%
Chemung	204	3	1.5%
Chenango	112	2	1.8%
Clinton	357	15	4.2%
Columbia	144	13	9.0%
Cortland	130	5	3.8%
Delaware	120	16	13.3%
Dutchess	1,057	228	21.6%
Erie	2,467	195	7.9%
Essex	77	2	2.6%
Franklin	103	0	0.0%
Fulton	75	4	5.3%
Genesee	198	26	13.1%
Greene	145	8	5.5%
Hamilton	3	0	0.0%
Herkimer	73	0	0.0%
Jefferson	189	15	7.9%
Lewis	67	5	7.5%
Livingston	152	0	0.0%
ů.	102	8	
Madison Monroe	1,931	275	7.8% 14.2%
Montgomery	84	0	0.0%
Nassau	4,264	825	19.3%
Niagara	663	156	23.5%
Oneida	477	24	5.0%
Onondaga	1,280	210	16.4%
Ontario	178	31	17.4%
Orange	1,415	263	18.6%
Orleans	112	17	15.2%
Oswego	363	27	7.4%
Otsego	91	13	14.3%
Putnam	168	12	7.1%
Rensselaer	487	34	7.0%
Rockland	2,236	336	15.0%
St. Lawrence	151	17	11.3%
Saratoga	498	47	9.4%
Schenectady	280	24	8.6%
Schoharie	70	10	14.3%
Schuyler	40	0	0.0%
Seneca	72	5	6.9%
Steuben	237	42	17.7%
Suffolk	3,236	954	29.5%
Sullivan	229	11	4.8%
Tioga	152	25	16.4%
Tompkins	321	46	14.3%
Ulster	483	55	11.4%
Warren	224	2	0.9%
Washington	162	5	3.1%
Wayne	289	36	12.5%
Westchester	3,854	526	13.6%
Wyoming	82	22	26.8%
Yates	51	1	2.0%
New York City	34,419	22,599	65.7%
Rest of State	31,769	4,744	14.9%
New York State	66,188	27,343	41.3%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of March 31, 2008

Total Children - Children were included if they were enrolled in El at any time during the period, regardless of the length of time.
 Supportive Services - Family Counseling, Family Support Group, Family Training, and Respite services.

Table 9 - Number and Percent Of Children Within Municipalities with Sources of Third Party Reimbursement (Medicaid and Commercial Insurance). January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

Municipality	Enrolled in El (1)	Medicaid	Only (2,4)	Commercial In (3,4	-	Both	(4)	Unknow	vn (4)
	.,	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Albany	460	150	32.6%	261	56.7%	18	3.9%	31	6.7%
Allegany	66	27	40.9%	23	34.8%	12	18.2%	4	6.1%
Broome	578	262	45.3%	245	42.4%	42	7.3%	29	5.0%
Cattaraugus	196	91	46.4%	76	38.8%	19	9.7%	10	5.1%
Cayuga	227	119	52.4%	92	40.5%	15	6.6%	1	0.4%
Chautauqua	287	149	51.9%	74	25.8%	56	19.5%	8	2.8%
Chemung	204	117	57.4%	61	29.9%	23	11.3%	3	1.5%
Chenango	112	72	64.3%	22	19.6%	8	7.1%	10	8.9%
Clinton	357	170	47.6%	154	43.1%	27	7.6%	6	1.7%
Columbia	144	64	44.4%	71	49.3%	8	5.6%	1	0.7%
Cortland	130	63	48.5%	53	40.8%	12	9.2%	2	1.5%
Delaware	120	57	47.5%	41	34.2%	12	10.0%	10	8.3%
Dutchess	1,057	183	17.3%	758	71.7%	82	7.8%	34	3.2%
Erie	2,467	869	35.2%	1,361	55.2%	232	9.4%	5	0.2%
Essex	77	36	46.8%	29	37.7%	5	6.5%	7	9.1%
Franklin	103	57	55.3%	36	35.0%	7	6.8%	3	2.9%
Fulton	75	44	58.7%	20	26.7%	5	6.7%	6	8.0%
Genesee	198	73	36.9%	99	50.0%	18	9.1%	8	4.0%
Greene	145	61	42.1%	67	46.2%	11	7.6%	6	4.1%
Hamilton	3	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Herkimer	73	48	65.8%	21	28.8%	3	4.1%	1	1.4%
Jefferson	189	65	34.4%	100	52.9%	18	9.5%	6	3.2%
Lewis	67	29	43.3%	28	41.8%	6	9.0%	4	6.0%
Livingston	152	54	35.5%	84	55.3%	11	7.2%	3	2.0%
Madison	102	48	47.1%	47	46.1%	6	5.9%	1	1.0%
Monroe	1,931	647	33.5%	1127	58.4%	117	6.1%	40	2.1%
Montgomery	84	39	46.4%	25	29.8%	16	19.0%	4	4.8%
Nassau	4,264	643	15.1%	3,449	80.9%	146	3.4%	26	0.6%
Niagara	663	211	31.8%	389	58.7%	42	6.3%	21	3.2%
Oneida	477	225	47.2%	201	42.1%	21	4.4%	30	6.3%
Onondaga	1,280	459	35.9%	683	53.4%	82	6.4%	56	4.4%
Ontario	178	53	29.8%	95	53.4%	17	9.6%	13	7.3%
Orange	1,415	300	21.2%	873	61.7%	161	11.4%	81	5.7%
Orleans	112	59	52.7%	39	34.8%	13	11.6%	1	0.9%
Oswego	363	194	53.4%	138	38.0%	4	1.1%	27	7.4%
Otsego	91	42	46.2%	29	31.9%	20	22.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	168	11	6.5%	148	88.1%	8	4.8%	1	0.6%
Rensselaer	487	196	40.2%	257	52.8%	14	2.9%	20	4.1%
Rockland	2,236	72	3.2%	1,164	52.1%	954	42.7%	46	2.1%
St. Lawrence	151	93	61.6%	43	28.5%	9	6.0%	6	4.0%
Saratoga	498	98	19.7%	304	61.0%	51	10.2%	45	9.0%
Schenectady	280	130	46.4%	118	42.1%	24	8.6%	8	2.9%
Schoharie	70	27	38.6%	34	48.6%	9	12.9%	0	0.0%
Schuyler	40	15	37.5%	11	27.5%	1	2.5%	13	32.5%
Seneca	72	34	47.2%	32	44.4%	5	6.9%	1	1.4%
Steuben	237	122	51.5%	90	38.0%	19	8.0%	6	2.5%
Suffolk	3,236	549	17.0%	2,477	76.5%	13	4.0%	79	2.3%
Sullivan	229	65	28.4%	94	41.0%	64	27.9%	6	2.4%
Tioga	152	56	36.8%	77	50.7%	17	11.2%	2	1.3%
Tompkins	321	124	38.6%	174	54.2%	17	5.6%	5	1.5%
Ulster	483	75	15.5%	258	53.4%	116	24.0%	34	7.0%
Warren	224	73	32.1%	119	53.1%	23	10.3%	10	4.5%
Washington	162	59	36.4%	70	43.2%	19	10.3%	10	4.5%
Washington	289	75	26.0%	161	43.2%	32	11.7%	21	7.3%
Westchester	3,854	993	26.0%	2,692	55.7% 69.9%	136	3.5%	33	0.9%
Wyoming	3,654	23	25.0%	2,692	57.3%	130	3.5% 12.2%	2	2.4%
	51	23	28.0% 56.9%	47	57.3% 31.4%	10	2.0%	5	2.4% 9.8%
Yates	34,419		56.9% 57.9%	8,677	25.2%	1,178	2.0%	5 4,637	
New York City		19,927							13.5%
Rest of State	31,769	8,699	27.4%	19,258	60.6%	2,956	9.3%	856	2.7%
New York State	66,188	28,626	43.3%	27,935	42.2%	4,134	6.2%	5,493	8.3%

Data Source: KIDS data complete as of March 31, 2008

(1) Enrolled in EI - Children were included if they were enrolled in EI at any time during the period, regardless of the length of time.
 (2) Medicaid - Children were counted as having Medicaid if the "Medicaid" field in KIDS was equal to "Yes".
 (3) Commercial Insurance - Children were counted as having insurance if there was an insurance record present for the child in KIDS. This includes both insurance companies that are

regulated by NYS and those that are not. It is estimated that 27.9% of the children enrolled in EI are covered by an insurance company regulated by NYS.

(4) Sum of percents may not equal 100% due to rounding.

Table 10 - Number and Percent of Claims Submitted to Commercial Insurers And Percent of Claims Denied by Insurers. January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	Claims									
Municipality	Submitted	Reconciled (2)	Denied	Percent Denied / Reconciled (3)						
Albany	16,718	16,771	13,569	80.9%						
Allegany	1,405	1,676	1,194	71.2%						
Broome	13,614	12,608	7,209	57.2%						
Cattaraugus	5,008	4,059	3,415	84.1%						
Cayuga	4,371	5,400	3,956	73.3%						
Chautauqua	8,643	9,137	6,204	67.9%						
Chemung	6,707	1,692	1,355	80.1%						
Chenango	2,041	2,071	701	33.8%						
Clinton	10,139	10,433	7,568	72.5%						
Columbia	5,133	4,595	3,535	76.9%						
Cortland	4,474	4,194	3,108	74.1%						
Delaware	2,777	3,551	2,900	81.7%						
Dutchess (1)	11,710	10,991	7,344	66.8%						
Erie (0)	64,627	57,401	38,407	66.9%						
Essex	1,135	1,379	898	65.1%						
Franklin	1,557	1,563	744	47.6%						
Fulton	1,597	1,636	1,396	85.3%						
Genesee (1)	4,235	4,210	3,363	79.9%						
Greene	4,897	4,893	3,936	80.4%						
Hamilton	60	90	90	100.0%						
Herkimer	3,329	3,667	2,656	72.4%						
Jefferson	6,119	6,350	5,510	86.8%						
Lewis	1,722	1,855	1,336	72.0%						
Livingston	5,893	5,895	3,435 2,407	<u> </u>						
Madison	3,892	4,099	,							
Monroe (1)	42,227	42,152 2,356	<u>33,509</u> 2,008	79.5% 85.2%						
Montgomery Nassau	2,601	2,336	2,008							
	17,317	17,259	13,750	79.7%						
Niagara (1) Oneida	11,631	11,259	5,731	49.9%						
Onondaga	42,942	45,164	35,243							
Ontario	9,894	9,925	8,222	82.8%						
Orange	77,087	73,038	57,761	79.1%						
Orleans(1)	1,399	1,335	1,054	79.0%						
Oswego	6,776	6,838	6,056	88.6%						
Otsego	1,555	1,621	1,093	67.4%						
Putnam	8,221	8,532	8,096	94.9%						
Rensselaer	16,127	5,589	3,649	65.3%						
Rockland	167,536	163,182	143,624	88.0%						
St. Lawrence	2,142	2,564	1,822	71.1%						
Saratoga	22,316	21,802	19,634	90.1%						
Schenectady	8,309	5,699	5,346	93.8%						
Schoharie	2,405	2,354	1,701	72.3%						
Schuyler	494	317	279	88.0%						
Seneca	2,658	2,440	2,028	83.1%						
Steuben	5,288	5,668	4,793	84.6%						
Suffolk(1)	134,533	131,241	91,822	70.0%						
Sullivan	7,559	6,186	4,761	77.0%						
Tioga	4,687	4,555	2,597	57.0%						
Tompkins	11,261	9,845	5,593	56.8%						
Ulster	21,603	20,483	17,964	87.7%						
Warren	12,925	14,064	12,480	88.7%						
Washington	6,288	6,546	5,111	78.1%						
Wayne (1)	7,370	7,369	6,405	86.9%						
Westchester	233,493	230,014	199,716	86.8%						
Wyoming	3,497	3,524	2,856	81.0%						
Yates	745	783	702	89.7%						
New York City (1)	390,273	390,273	317,473	81.3%						
ROS	1,353,894	1,312,792	1,044,228	79.5%						
STATE	1,744,167	1,703,065	1,361,701	80.0%						

Data Sources: KIDS data complete as of 12/07. Municipal Fiscal Agent Reports submitted 2/08.

(0) These counties submitted Fiscal Agent Reports last year

(1) Data from these counties were submitted by fiscal agents via a special report. NYS Department of Health is unable to verify the accuracy of those fiscal agent reports. All other data were collected and submitted by counties using KIDS.

(2) Claims Reconciled - These are claims submitted to insurers that were subsequently denied, paid, or partially paid between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2007. Reconcilation data from fiscal agents include only claims submitted in the reporting period. Reconcilation data from KIDS include claims reconciled during the period, regardless of when they were submitted. The number of claims submitted may not equal the number reconciled since some reconciled claims were submitted prior to January 1.

(3) Percent Denied/Reconciled - Number of claims denied divided by claims reconciled in reporting period.

	Claims	Reasons For Denial											
Municipality	Denied In Reporting Period (2)	Service Not Covered		No Response From Insurer		Other	Denial	Not Medically Necessary		Out-of-Network Provider		No Preauth- orization Obtained (3)	
Albany	13,569	4,239	33.3%	3	0.0%	1,133	8.9%	313 2.5%		5,190 40.7%		318	2.5%
Allegany	1,194	233	19.5%	56	4.7%	586	49.1%	0	0.0%	91	7.6%	2	0.2%
Broome	7,209	1,542	21.4%	196	2.7%	1,455	20.2%	133	1.8%	1,318	18.3%	817	11.3%
Cattaraugus	3,415	1,140	45.9%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	17	0.7%	554	22.3%	620	25.0%
Cayuga	3,956	1,232	32.5%	0	0.0%	122	3.2%	59	1.6%	1,567	41.4%	350	9.2%
Chautauqua	6,204	2,134	35.0%	26	0.4%	172	2.8%	0	0.0%	2,287	37.5%	3	0.0%
Chemung	1,355	1,124	85.2%	0	0.0%	151	11.4%	0	0.0%	30	2.3%	1	0.1%
Chenango	701	168	47.1%	0	0.0%	105	29.4%	0	0.0%	81	22.7%	2	0.6%
Clinton	7,568	252	6.3%	20	0.5%	360	8.9%	398	9.9%	1,792	44.5%	0	0.0%
Columbia	3,535	2,587	75.6%	0	0.0%	117	3.4%	3	0.1%	576	16.8%	2	0.1%
Cortland	3,108	1,551	51.4%	0	0.0%	70	2.3%	7	0.2%	1,136	37.7%	67	2.2%
Delaware	2,900	438	15.8%	1	0.0%	197	7.1%	320	11.5%	1,000	36.0%	57	2.1%
Dutchess (1)	7,344	1,307	17.8%	327	4.5%	951	12.9%	843	11.5%	958	13.0%	1,481	20.2%
Erie (0)	38,407	1,158	3.0%	37	0.1%	2,294	6.0%	12	0.0%	10,816	28.4%	957	2.5%
Essex	898	173	25.9%	6	0.9%	214	32.0%	0	0.0%	199	29.7%	0	0.0%
Franklin	744	106	15.7%	0	0.0%	128	18.9%	0	0.0%	356	52.6%	0	0.0%
Fulton	1,396	139	10.0%	0	0.0%	95	6.8%	0	0.0%	1,142	81.9%	0	0.0%
Genesee (1)	3,363	58	1.7%	6	0.2%	92	2.7%	393	11.7%	644	19.1%	0	0.0%
Greene	3,936	275	7.0%	0	0.0%	10	0.3%	12	0.3%	3,232	82.3%	0	0.0%
Hamilton	90	48	53.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	20.0%
Herkimer	2,656	756	28.5%	576	21.7%	173	6.5%	24	0.9%	209	7.9%	214	8.1%
Jefferson	5,510	824	35.5%	287	12.4%	137	5.9%	27	1.2%	679	29.2%	30	1.3%
Lewis	1,336	44	12.9%	0	0.0%	105	30.8%	0	0.0%	105	30.8%	2	0.6%
Livingston	3,435	2,211	65.9%	0	0.0%	128	3.8%	128	3.8%	239	7.1%	21	0.6%
Madison	2,407	329	27.0%	0	0.0%	224	18.4%	91	7.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monroe (1)	33,509	1,713	5.1%	61	0.2%	602	1.8%	18,186	54.3%	73	0.2%	119	0.4%
Montgomery	2,008	979	50.7%	64	3.3%	35	1.8%	0	0.0%	343	17.8%	292	15.1%
Nassau	212,586	28,210	14.8%	285	0.1%	9,834	5.2%	19,249	10.1%	120,107	63.2%	4,301	2.3%
Niagara (1)	13,750	149	1.1%	73	0.5%	157	1.1%	34	0.2%	7,056	51.3%	93	0.7%
Oneida	5,731	2,542	52.3%	0	0.0%	193	4.0%	353	7.3%	1,150	23.6%	164	3.4%
Onondaga	35,243	3,801	16.7%	0	0.0%	2,214	9.7%	433	1.9%	11,859	52.2%	1,022	4.5%
Ontario	8,222	1,625	20.9%	0	0.0%	183	2.4%	3,239	41.6%	1,710	22.0%	0	0.0%
Orange	57,761	12,625	22.7%	1,994	3.6%	12,068	21.7%	3,659	6.6%	10,716	19.3%	6,806	12.2%
Orleans(1)	1,054	95	9.0%	0	0.0%	44	4.2%	273	25.9%	421	39.9%	0	0.0%
Oswego	6,056	2,130	74.4%	234	8.2%	129	4.5%	29	1.0%	143	5.0%	119	4.2%
Otsego	1,093	89	18.4%	58	12.0%	46	9.5%	28	5.8%	63	13.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	8,096	1,953	28.1%	798	11.5%	374	5.4%	35	0.5%	2,668	38.3%	77	1.1%
Rensselaer	3,649	421	13.5%	0	0.0%	341	10.9%	221	7.1%	877	28.1%	96	3.1%
Rockland	143,624	8,738	6.2%	35	0.0%	7,823	5.6%	221	0.2%	120,237	85.4%	1,146	0.8%
St. Lawrence	1,822	298	21.6%	88	6.4%	73	5.3%	3	0.2%	865	62.8%	6	0.4%
Saratoga	19,634	5,917	36.8%	0	0.0%	273	1.7%	2,593	16.1%	4,830	30.1%	1,198	7.5%
Schenectady	5,346	733	45.8%	24	1.5%	258	16.1%	0	0.0%	307	19.2%	45	2.8%
Schoharie	1,701	1,248	76.1%	4	0.2%	84	5.1%	18	1.1%		15.2%	0	0.0%
Schuyler	279	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seneca	2,028	785	40.0%	7	0.4%	55	2.8%	86	4.4%	831	42.3%	8	0.4%
Steuben	4,793	1,006	25.0%	80	2.0%	211	5.2%	26	0.6%	2,216	55.1%	1	0.0%
Suffolk (1)	91,822	22,157	24.1%	23,854	26.0%	16,578	18.1%	14	0.0%	6,668	7.3%	14,284	15.6%
Sullivan	4,761	233	6.6%	1	0.0%	1,463	41.6%	24	0.7%	1,044	29.7%	158	4.5%
Tioga Tompking	2,597	1,049	44.6%	100	0.1%	352	15.0%	15	0.6%	753	32.0%	12	0.5%
Tompkins	5,593	2,206	47.3%	123	2.6%	1,240	26.6%	43	0.9%	435 9 727	9.3%	24	0.5%
Ulster	17,964	2,038	11.5%	201	0.0%	1,131	6.4%	456	2.6%	8,727	49.2%	3,740	21.1%
Warren	12,480	4,437	35.9%	281	2.3%	456	3.7%	92	0.7%	1,522	12.3%	4,255	34.4%
Washington	5,111	781	15.4% 8.0%	368	7.3%	31	0.6%	2 954	0.1%	1,658 32	32.8%	1,470	29.1%
Wayne (1)	6,405	513		1 621	0.0%	6 5 1 5	2.3%	3,854	60.2%		0.5%	210	0.2%
Westchester	199,716	40,049 87	29.7%	1,621 0		6,515	4.8%	3,182 0	2.4%	60,999	45.3%	219	0.2%
Wyoming Votos	2,856		9.1%	0	0.0%	205	21.5%	0	0.0%	65	6.8%	0	0.0%
Yates	702 317 473	185	29.5%		0.0%	84	13.4%	-	0.0%	306 6 716	48.7%		1.1%
New York City (1)	317,473	22,267	7.0%	221,884	69.9%	7,503	2.4%	26,583	8.4%	6,716	2.1%	2,194	0.7%
Rest of State	1,044,228		19.1%	31,597	3.5%	72,219	8.0%	59,152	6.5%		44.5%	44,635	4.9%
New York State	1,361,701	195,127	15.9%	253,481	20.7%	79,722	6.5%	85,735	7.0%	409,847	33.5%	46,829	3.8%

Table 11 - Reasons for Commercial Insurers' Denial of Early Intervention Claims by Number and Percent of Denials. January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

Data Sources: KIDS data complete as of 3/31/08. Municipal Fiscal Agent Reports submitted 2/08.

(0) These counties submitted Fiscal Agent Reports last year

(1) Data from these counties were submitted by fiscal agents via a special report. NYS Department of Health is unable to verify the accuracy of those fiscal agent reports. All other data were collected and submitted by counties using KIDS.

(2) 137,883 claims were excluded because the reason for denial was not recorded.

(3) Data reported in this column include both denials by insurers when municipalities sought preauthorization, and denials when preauthorization was not sought.

	Reasons For Denial											1	
Municipality	Benefits E	Exhausted	Exceeds Insurer's Claiming Limit		Child Not	Covered		ary Care n Referral	Technical Error		Government Service Blanket Rejection		
Albany	1,215	9.5%	0	0.0%	162	1.3%	164	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Allegany	186	15.6%	3	0.3%	37	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Broome	173	2.4%	384	5.3%	962	13.3%	229	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Cattaraugus	112	4.5%	2	0.1%	21	0.8%	10	0.4%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	
Cayuga	0	0.0%	126	3.3%	323	8.5%	9	0.2%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Chautauqua	1,103	18.1%	287	4.7%	83	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Chemung	13	1.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Chenango	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Clinton	415	10.3%	531	13.2%	154	3.8%	90	2.2%	13	0.3%	0	0.0%	
Columbia	31	0.9%	21	0.6%	15	0.4%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	66	1.9%	
Cortland	98	3.2%	12	0.4%	71	2.4%	0	0.0%	5	0.2%	0	0.0%	
Delaware	108	3.9%	195	7.0%	62	2.2%	2	0.1%	1	0.0%	395	14.2%	
Dutchess (1)	378	5.1%	153	2.1%	372	5.1%	348	4.7%	226	3.1%	0	0.0%	
Erie (0)	20,830	54.7%	1,117	2.9%	864	2.3%	010	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Essex	0	0.0%	53	7.9%	24	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Franklin	64	9.5%	0	0.0%	24	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Fulton	19	9.3%	0	0.0%	23	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Genesee (1)	135	4.0%	1,998	59.4%	32	0.0%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
()			,										
Greene	87	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	312	7.9%	
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	26.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Herkimer	0	0.0%	41	1.5%	663	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Jefferson	7	0.3%	96	4.1%	132	5.7%	103	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Lewis	18	5.3%	45	13.2%	22	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Livingston	481	14.3%	39	1.2%	110	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Madison	45	3.7%	422	34.7%	27	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	79	6.5%	
Monroe (1)	8,166	24.4%	3,648	10.9%	647	1.9%	294	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Montgomery	117	6.1%	0	0.0%	79	4.1%	0	0.0%	22	1.1%	0	0.0%	
Nassau	2,055	1.1%	1,398	0.7%	4,111	2.2%	482	0.3%	35	0.0%	25	0.0%	
Niagara (1)	1,433	10.4%	4,255	30.9%	243	1.8%	257	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Oneida	306	6.3%	56	1.2%	84	1.7%	0	0.0%	16	0.3%	0	0.0%	
Onondaga	582	2.6%	1,768	7.8%	643	2.8%	393	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Ontario	704	9.1%	261	3.4%	56	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Orange	1,892	3.4%	2,564	4.6%	2,913	5.2%	394	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Orleans(1)	134	12.7%	72	6.8%	0	0.0%	15	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Oswego	0	0.0%	48	1.7%	20	0.7%	7	0.2%	4	0.1%	0	0.0%	
Otsego	0	0.0%	74	15.3%	5	1.0%	121	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Putnam	0	0.0%	416	6.0%	441	6.3%	199	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Rensselaer	411	13.2%	413	13.2%	344	11.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Rockland	740	0.5%	1,549	1.1%	229	0.2%	19	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
St. Lawrence	0	0.0%	4	0.3%	40	2.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	
Saratoga	396	2.5%	29	0.2%	729	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	92	0.6%	
Schenectady	167	10.4%	10	0.6%	56	3.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Schoharie	28	1.7%	0	0.0%	10		0		0		0	0.0%	
Schuyler	0	0.0%	150	96.2%	0		6	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Seneca	44	2.2%	69	3.5%	30	1.5%	0	0.0%	49	2.5%	0	0.0%	
Steuben	0	0.0%	110	2.7%	95	2.4%	277	6.9%	49	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Suffolk (1)	2,455	2.7%	1,550	1.7%	4,260	4.6%	0	0.9%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	
Suffork (1) Sullivan		2.7%					2		0	0.0%	∠ 88		
	51		228	6.5%	229 72	6.5%	73	0.1%	0		88 0	2.5%	
Tioga Tompking	16	0.7%	8	0.3%		3.1%		3.1%		0.0%	0	0.0%	
Tompkins	55	1.2%	192	4.1%	249	5.3%	97	2.1%	0	0.0%		0.0%	
Ulster	21	0.1%	391	2.2%	1,183	6.7%	45	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Warren	373	3.0%	450	3.6%	506	4.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	
Washington	449	8.9%	74	1.5%	222	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Wayne (1)	1,148	17.9%	478	7.5%	154	2.4%	69	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Westchester	8,302	6.2%	7,181	5.3%	2,817	2.1%	3,773	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Wyoming	526	55.2%	42	4.4%	28	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Yates	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	46	7.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
New York City (1)	1,234	0.4%	6,089	1.9%	12,586	4.0%	1,109	0.3%	9,067	2.9%	241	0.1%	
Rest of State	56,089	6.2%	33,014	3.6%	24,725	2.7%	7,486	0.8%	377	0.0%	1,060	0.1%	
New York State	57,323	4.7%	39,103	3.2%	37,311	3.0%	8,595	0.7%	9,444	0.8%	1,301	0.1%	

Table 11 - Reasons for Commercial Insurers' Denial of Early Intervention Claims by Number and Percent of Denials. January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

Data Sources: KIDS data complete as of 3/31/08. Municipal Fiscal Agent Reports submitted 2/08.

(0) These counties submitted Fiscal Agent Reports last year

(1) Data from these counties were submitted via a special report created to capture data from fiscal agents. All other data were collected and submitted by counties using KIDS.

(2) 137,883 claims were excluded because the reason for denial was not recorded.

(3) Data reported in this column include both denials by insurers when municipalities sought preauthorization, and denials when preauthorization was not sought.

Table 12 - Number and Amount of Claims Submitted By Municipalities to Medicaid By Payment Status. January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

Municipality	Claims		Claims Paid				
wantepanty	Submitted	Claims	Percent	Amount	Claims	Percent	Amount
Albany	19,943	18,687	93.7%	\$1,141,531.00	1,256	6.3%	\$69,196.00
Allegany	2,732	2,480	90.8%	\$190,131.95	252	9.2%	\$22,169.00
Broome	21,875	19,920	91.1%	\$1,303,726.03	1,955	8.9%	\$113,984.00
Cattaraugus	5,265	5,017	95.3%	\$315,218.78	248	4.7%	\$13,599.00
Cayuga	7,529	7,067	93.9%	\$421,455.70	462	6.1%	\$21,340.00
Chautauqua	18,354	14,480	78.9%	\$908,203.04	3,874	21.1%	\$303,872.00
Chemung	6,915	6,728	97.3%	\$445,060.34	187	2.7%	\$12,056.25
Chenango	6,245	5,578	89.3%	\$436,465.71	667	10.7%	\$35,794.50
Clinton	20,461	19,372	94.7%	\$1,276,093.76	1,089	5.3%	\$55,205.00
Columbia	4,509	4,188	92.9%	\$269,226.00	321	7.1%	\$17,171.00
Cortland	5,200	5,130	98.7%	\$264,312.15	70	1.3%	\$3,221.00
Delaware	5,269	4,985	94.6%	\$361,114.78	284	5.4%	\$19,350.50
Dutchess	22,544	19,998	88.7%	\$1,262,456.02	2,546	11.3%	\$142,806.00
Erie	91,903	84,292	91.7%	\$4,739,606.99	7,611	8.3%	\$401,739.00
Essex	2,404	2,090	86.9%	\$131,271.04	314	13.1%	\$17,864.50
Franklin	3,033	2,830	93.3%	\$165,807.01	203	6.7%	\$12,898.00
Fulton	3,613	3,210	88.8%	\$215,113.00	403	11.2%	\$25,697.00
Genesee	8,571	8,105	94.6%	\$574,209.47	466	5.4%	\$36,844.75
Greene	9,873	5,726	58.0%	\$388,883.00	4,147	42.0%	\$182,578.00
Hamilton	163	161	98.8%	\$10,310.25	2	1.2%	\$71.25
Herkimer	203	188	92.6%	\$11,671.30	15	7.4%	\$753.25
Jefferson	5,348	5,027	94.0%	\$287,125.08	321	6.0%	\$15,221.25
Lewis	1,465	1,332	90.9%	\$37,067.50	133	9.1%	\$3,355.00
Livingston	4,564	3,954	86.6%	\$220,885.27	610	13.4%	\$35,272.25
Madison	4,709	4,507	95.7%	\$272,706.55	202	4.3%	\$7,289.50
Monroe	74,495	60,824	81.6%	\$4,168,317.05	13.671	18.4%	\$850,608.50
Montgomery	4,718	4,251	90.1%	\$269,995.00	467	9.9%	\$29,147.00
Nassau	84,078	68,319	81.3%	\$5,917,533.37	15,759	18.7%	\$1,376,300.00
Niagara	24,735	22,916	92.6%	\$1,315,057.40	1,819	7.4%	\$129,947.00
Oneida	19,884	19,510	98.1%	\$1,156,737.70	374	1.9%	\$19,408.75
Onondaga	55,370	45,840	82.8%	\$2,686,531.95	9,530	17.2%	\$563,870.25
Ontario	9,241	7,126	77.1%	\$404,942.86	2,115	22.9%	\$121,426.50
Orange	42,811	34,699	81.1%	\$2,513,399.28	8,112	18.9%	\$513,065.50
Orleans	5,083	4,533	89.2%	\$306,194.87	550	10.8%	\$37,006.00
Oswego	12,296	11,013	89.6%	\$722,140.10	1,283	10.8%	\$76,518.75
Otsego	3,195	2,879	90.1%	\$179,903.97	316	9.9%	\$18,361.50
Putnam	4,471	1,862	41.6%	\$129,956.00	2,609	58.4%	\$182,501.00
Rensselaer	21,469	19,581	91.2%	\$1,202,934.41	1,888	8.8%	\$93,537.00
Rockland	108,019	96,895	89.7%	\$7,652,564.54	11,124	10.3%	\$833,411.00
St Lawrence	6,654	6,298	94.6%	\$407,219.90	356	5.4%	\$20,288.75
Saratoga	20,820	13,702	<u>94.0%</u> 65.8%	\$867,033.00	7,118	34.2%	\$438,530.00
-	19,084	15,146	79.4%		3,938		
Schenectady Schoharie	3,991	2,859	79.4%	\$808,868.44 \$182,106.81	1,132	20.6% 28.4%	\$198,267.00 \$72,514.00
Schuyler	909	2,859	90.3%	\$55,659.50	88	9.7%	
,	4,119	3,252	79.0%	\$166,352.34	867	21.0%	
Seneca Steuben	16,077	9,724	60.5%	\$651,818.00	6,353	39.5%	\$406,715.50
Suffolk	61,712	49,136	79.6%	\$4,241,632.97	12,576	20.4%	\$1,014,289.00
Sullivan	7,270	5,597	79.0%	\$431,495.94	1,673	20.4%	\$1,014,289.00
	4,453	4,212	94.6%	\$273,308.63	241	23.0% 5.4%	
Tioga Tompking							. ,
Tompkins	11,170	10,089	90.3%	\$594,696.98	1,081	9.7%	\$62,306.00 \$25,200,25
Ulster	13,876	13482	97.2%	\$980,119.30 \$272,787,00	394	2.8%	\$25,299.25
Warren Washington	10,636	6,373	59.9%	\$373,787.90	4,263	40.1%	\$242,182.75 \$252,265,00
Washington	9,911	4,728	47.7%	\$301,616.11	5,183	52.3%	\$253,365.00
Wayne	10,256	9,509	92.7%	\$635,003.65	747	7.3%	\$39,624.50
Westchester	147,180	127,685	86.8%	\$7,925,434.63	19,495	13.2%	\$1,114,561.00
Wyoming	3,265	2,725	83.5%	\$171,412.09	540	16.5%	
Yates	1,719	1,673	97.3%	\$102,296.00	46	2.7%	
New York City	4,194,459	2,360,548	56.3%	\$196,463,782.63	1,833,911	43.7%	
Rest of State	1,105,657	942,311	85.2%	\$63,445,722.41	163,346	14.8%	
New York State	5,300,116	3,302,859	62.3%	\$259,909,505.04	1,997,257	37.7%	\$151,905,475.50

Data Source: OMM/DOIT, report received 5/8/08

Table 13 - Number of Claims Submitted By Municipalities and Denied by Medicaid By Reason for Denial.January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

Municipality		Recipient Ineligible On Service Date	Third Party Indicated/ Other Insurance Amt Not Submitted	Duplicate Claim In History	Recipient Not On Restricted Recipient File	Recipient Sex or Birth Date Not Equal File	Recipient Not Authorized For Restricted Program On Service Date	Recipient Coverage Indicates Capitation Claims And Prepaid Capitation Plan Refer Service Only	Recipient ID Number Not On File	Claim Provider Not Equal Restriction Recipient File Provider	Recipient Age Invalid For Early Intervention Claim	Other
Albany	1,256	967	0	11	64	5	188	0	0	21	0	0
Allegany	252	32	0	98	6	0	31	3	0	47	0	35
Broome	1,955	1,562	0	13	54	16	246	44	0	20	0	0
Cattaraugus	248	194	0	9	38	0					0	0
Cayuga	462	291	0	2	21	45		0	-		0	0
Chautauqua	3,874	2,028	0	1,818	0	-						0
Chemung	187	64	0	61	6		26			-		0
Chenango	667	357	0	7	132	61	77	0	-		0	0
Clinton Columbia	1,089 321	677 251	0	1	<u>160</u> 54	70 0		0	-	-	0	0
Cortland	70	61	0	4	0				-		-	2
Delaware	284	251	0	0	3	10					0	0
Dutchess	2,546	1,980	0	24	311	3			10	-	0	0
Erie	7,611	7,005	0	14	103	74		54	21	50		0
Essex	314	255	0	5	0		8				0	0
Franklin	203	142	0	53	0		6	0	0		0	1
Fulton	403	162	0	198	25	0	12	0	0	6		0
Genesee	466	442	0	16	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	4,147	986	0	2,041	667	0			-		0	0
Hamilton	2	2	0	0	0	-					0	0
Herkimer	15	8	0	3	2			0			0	0
Jefferson	321	230	0	0	28	0			-		0	0
Lewis	133 610	133 400	0	0 115	0	-		0	0	-		0
Livingston Madison	202	400 53	0	0	67	0		0	-		0	1
Monroe	13,671	8,174	0	2,845	1,611	350	554	33	0		0	11
Montgomery	467	327	0	14	104	0		0	-			0
Nassau	15,759	13,713	35	1,665	145	56	84	0	2	27	0	32
Niagara	1,819	1,307	0	342	23	71	72	0	0	3	0	1
Oneida	374	283	0	12	15	28			0	27	0	0
Onondaga	9,530	2,890	0	5,840	129	19		37	0		0	0
Ontario	2,115	1,176	0	812	5	12		0	79		0	0
Orange	8,112	5,167	0	1,133	948	72			7		0	3
Orleans Oswego	550 1 283	121 1.100	0	377 59	10 13	0 41	42		0	-	0	0
Otsego	316	221	1	28	2			-	•	5	0	6
Putnam	2,609	874	0	1,594	60	11	46				0	0
Rensselaer	1,888	900	0	0	516	275			-		0	0
Rockland	11,124	10,366	0	398	145		137					0
St. Lawrence	356	239	0	1	69	3	41	0	0	0	0	3
Saratoga	7,118	2,218	0	3,841	398	484	116			20		0
Schenectady	3,938	3,059	0	21	402	114		52				0
Schoharie	1,132	87	0	1,028	0		10			-		0
Schuyler	88	86	0	2	0	-		-	-	-		0
Seneca Stoubon	867 6,353	416 835	0	422 5 170	0 68						0	0
Steuben Suffolk	6,353	835 8,846	0	5,179 1,764	68 1,409	180		86	-		0	109
Sullivan	1,673	1,074	0	1,764	83	348						0
Tioga	241	1,074	0	4	47	16						0
Tompkins	1,081	1,009	0	23	15					-		0
Ulster	394	239	0	11	12							0
Warren	4,263	1,956	0	2,252	54	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	5,183	1,645	0	1,887	1,324	74	142	0	0	111	0	0
Wayne	747	560	0	157	6				-		0	0
Westchester	19,495	15,160	0	2,069	1,128				0	_	0	0
Wyoming	540	497	0	1	16					-		0
Yates	46	2	0	0	16				-			0
New York City	1,833,911	925,386	457,261	175,689	194,330		7,465		7,707			298
Rest of State	163,346	103,249	36 457,297	38,279 213,968	10,518 204,848	2,924 58,485	5,352 12,817		132 7,839		0 414	206