1		
2		NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
3		HEAL NY - PHASE 12
4		APPLICANTS CONFERENCE
5		
6		Friday, May 22, 2009
7		1:00 p.m. Empire State Plaza Mosting Room 6
8		Meeting Room 6 Albany, New York
9		
10	PANEL:	CHRIS DELKER
11		MARK KISSINGER
12		NEIL BENJAMIN
13		GUY WARNER
14		JOE MELOVECK
15		CRAIG SCHREIVOGL
16		MARYBETH HEFNER
17		MARTIN BIENSTOCK
18		DAVID HOLUPKO
19		DOUG REILLY
20		PAUL PFLIEGER
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	MR. WARNER: Good afternoon and
2	welcome to the HEAL 12 bidder's conference. My
3	name is Guy Warner. I'm the director of the
4	Bureau of Licensure and Certification.
5	Before I begin, I'd like to start
6	with a few ground rules. But first, I'd like to
7	have our panel members identify themselves real
8	quickly. I'll start with my immediate left.
9	MR. DELKER: Chris Delker,
10	Division of Health Facilities Planning.
11	MR. KISSINGER: Mark Kissinger,
12	Office of Long Term Care.
13	MR. BENJAMIN: Neil Benjamin,
14	Health Facilities Planning.
15	MR. MELOVECK: Joe Meloveck, Long
16	Term Care.
17	MR. SCHREIVOGL: Craig
18	Schreivogl, Dormitory Authority.
19	MR. HOLUPKO: Dave Holupko, Long
20	Term Care.
21	MR. REILLY: Doug Reilly, Long
22	Term Care.
23	MR. PFLIEGER: Paul Pflieger,
24	Long Term Care.
25	MR. WARNER: Okay. Thank you.

1	First, I would ask that anyone
2	who has a question during the presentation to
3	please hold them and do not ask questions during
4	the presentation. We'll do the presentation on
5	the HEAL 12 initiative and we will have a
6	question and answer session after that.
7	Secondly, HEAL protocol requires
8	that the conference begin precisely at one
9	o'clock - that's why I had to move things along -
10	and end at three o'clock. Therefore, we're going
11	to try to keep the presentation short to allow
12	for the maximum amount of questions.
13	And before I hand the
14	presentation off to the deputy commissioner, I'd
15	like to make a couple of acknowledgements.
16	First, to the entire Bureau of Licensure and
17	Certification, particularly Nancy Nokowski, who
18	couldn't be here today. She did an absolutely
19	fantastic job of organizing today's event.
20	Second, I'd like to acknowledge the HEAL unit and
21	all of their systems that they've provided us in
22	helping us get the presentation together today.
23	And third, Tiffany Lee in the Office of Long Term
24	Care, who gave us quite a bit of assistance in
25	getting a lot of the paperwork together. So I'd

1	like to thank her, as well.
2	So just to move right along,
3	we'll begin the part of our formal presentation.
4	I introduce to you the deputy commissioner of
5	long term care, Mr. Mark Kissinger.
6	MR. KISSINGER: Thanks, Guy.
7	And, first, I want to apologize for taking
8	everyone's time on a Friday, a beautiful Friday
9	before Memorial Day. And I want to do a couple
10	thank you's, as well. Thank you to Carla
11	Williams in my office and Laura LaFave in the
12	Office of Health Insurance for their technical
13	expertise in putting this together.
14	So, I think there's a guy I'm
15	supposed to point to for moving the slides.
16	There he is. Okay. So I'm just going we're
17	just going to go.
18	HEAL New York. You all know a
19	little probably a little about HEAL New York,
20	but it was a law created a couple of years ago to
21	really try to move the system forward, and the
22	RFA delineates exactly how the principles of
23	HEAL New York. This is the twelfth competitive
24	round for HEAL New York funds and we're refining
25	it all the time, trying to get it right. So

1 HEAL New York. 2. Next slide. So this is the 3 competitive procurement. There have been other 4 HEAL rounds which have been non-competitive, but 5 this is competitive. Competitive means there is 6 a number of rules, very strict rules, in the 7 State finance law and in the Department of 8 Health. That doesn't mean that people shouldn't 9 try to be creative with these applications, and 10 we tried to in this RFA be as flexible as we 11 could on a number of different approaches within 12 a competitive environment. Those two concepts of 13 flexibility and competition sometimes collide, 14 and so we will be as flexible as we can in review 15 and also in projects that come forward to us. 16 And I would urge people to really think about --17 read the RGA very carefully, but think about 18 projects that will move the long term care system 19 forward, like we all know. It talks about the general principles of that. 20 2.1 So the purpose of this 22 procurement is to develop the alternative long 23 term care initiatives with demonstrated 2.4 reductions in RHCF beds. We are looking for a 25 reduction in nursing home capacity, as well. The

1	budget that was enacted called for the reduction
2	of 6,000 beds over a five-year period, and as
3	everyone knows, if you know a little bit about
4	long term care, clearly the nursing homes, people
5	people are looking for alternatives to nursing
6	homes.
7	Questions regarding the process
8	you should put through the e-mail process so that
9	everyone has the benefit of the question and the
10	answer. And we will post questions and answers
11	on or about June 12. So that's not far away, so
12	that should give people plenty of time.
13	The goal of HEAL 12 is to assist
14	communities to identify, finance and develop
15	alternatives for care through coordination of a
16	variety of licensed residential programs and
17	development of other residential options and
18	reductions in nursing home capacity. So as I
19	said before, we're trying to move this system
20	from a nursing home based system to an
21	alternative to nursing home based system and that
22	is the overall goal. We also are trying to have
23	communities work together to try to move that
24	goal forward.
25	So if you look at the eligible

1	applicants, there is a wide variety of eligible
2	applicants for HEAL 12: nursing homes, CHHAs,
3	ALP, ALR, adult homes, enriched housing, active
4	parents or co-operator of a hospital, nursing
5	home or CHHAs, local governments, CCRCs or
6	fee-for-service CCRCs, not-for-profit senior
7	housing - this is a new entity to the eligible
8	applicant field - a not-for-profit consortium
9	comprised of any of the above - and consortiums
10	would be great, we're looking for them - or a
11	corporation specifically established to develop
12	alternative long term care community-based
13	long term care initiatives. So people should
14	look at that list very carefully and see if they
15	can fit as a eligible applicant and if they are
16	within that list.
17	The project timeframes. These
18	are very aggressive timeframes. They are
19	aggressive because we want to use this RFP to be
20	able to draw down that money. That means awards
21	have to be out by September 30th of this fiscal
22	year. The project will begin on or about
23	October 1st of this year; that's very aggressive.
24	We have this ability to do no cost extensions for
25	the awards, but don't people should not rely

1	on that ability. It's by exception only. We are
2	trying to move this money fast through the
3	process. So if you see when we say in rare
4	instances, we mean in rare instances, up to one
5	year no cost extension with prior approval of
6	DOH, DASNY and Office of Comptroller.
7	All reimbursable costs must be
8	incurred within the time period in the Grant
9	Disbursement Agreement. So it's a very
LO	aggressive timeline, but we are serious about
L1	trying to use this money for the F Sharp match.
L2	Eligible costs. People should
L3	look very carefully at this definition of what's
L 4	an eligible cost. I'm not going to read the
L5	slide to you. Those are available, but people
L 6	should look very carefully at what an eligible
L7	cost is. And if you look at the bottom of the
L8	slide towards the so if you go back one for a
L 9	second. Where it says "or other housing
20	configurations with coordinated medical services
21	that support long term care populations in the
22	community." So people should look at that very
23	carefully.
24	Okay. This also is an eligible
25	cost Again, acquisition, construction.

1	reconstruction, IT equipment or equipment to
2	develop affordable fee-for-service or continuing
3	care retirement communities is under 46 or 46-A.
4	This one is gives you a little
5	more definitions of what eligible costs are and
6	they are in the RGA. The basis for these awards
7	are responses to goals and objectives in the RGA.
8	You should read the RGA a number of times and be
9	very clear what the goals and objectives of this
LO	RGA are and then whether the activities are
L1	reasonable, cost-effective and financially
L2	feasible.
L3	Since it is a competitive RGA,
L 4	the awards will be based on a numerical score
L5	based on the following: scope of work, the need
L 6	for the project in the community, the degree to
L7	which the project meets the goals and priorities
L8	of the HEAL New York, the appropriateness of
L 9	expenses to the project, whether the project is
20	appropriate use of bonded funds, where
21	applicable.
22	It is kind of the standard
23	numerical scoring, seventy-five percent
24	technical, twenty-five percent financial. We
25	have a minimum score of sixty-five derived

1 through uniform scoring criteria that will be 2. used for the basis of the awards. 3 So in putting together an 4 application, I also want to say a simple 5 editorial item here. I've seen a number of 6 applications in my career with the State which 7 are great, but they fall down on some technical 8 aspects of the application, so they are unable to 9 be funded. So people should be very, very clear 10 and also very -- a stickler for the details on 11 the application. We will do the best that we 12 can, but competitive procurement rules are very 13 strict, so please review your applications before 14 you send them in, a number of times. Have other 15 people look at them if you have to, but make sure 16 you follow the rules. It is very important that 17 you follow the rules. And if you have questions 18 on the rules, e-mail them in or bring them today 19 but -- or both, because I want to make sure that 20 we have -- we're trying to get creative proposals 21 here, so I don't want to have something not be 22 able to be funded because of a technicality. 23 So the application should be a 2.4 brief summary of the proposed project and how it 25 will improve access to the care and support the

Τ	goals of the Commission.
2	Now, the Commission is the Berger
3	Commission. That's the spirit of the Berger
4	Commission. It is not necessarily the actual
5	Commission documents or the reports. So people
6	should look at the spirit of the whole report
7	of the Commission, which talks about which was
8	the right-sizing of the institutions, both in
9	hospitals and nursing homes. So you should be
LO	clear with a brief summary of how you fit into
L1	the spirit or the general theme of the
L2	Commission. Don't give us more than fifteen
L3	pages, plus attachments. Anything more than
L 4	fifteen pages is you're wasting your time.
L5	Technical application. Please
L 6	make sure there's no cost information in the
L7	technical application and make sure that
L8	technical and financial applications are in
L9	separate packages. Here is we're a stickler
20	for this, so please do not violate this. I'm
21	going to say it again. Technical and financial
22	applications in separate packages and no cost
23	information in the technical application.
24	So when we talk about community
25	need. What is community need? This is a long

1	term care focused application. So these things
2	all apply health status indicators,
3	demographics, status of population, look forward
4	on the population, availability of residential
5	options and services to maintain populations in
6	the community, not the nursing home, volume,
7	occupancy and discharges by existing providers.
8	Take a look at your whole healthcare and long
9	term care system and say, How we can document the
10	need for this proposal? Identify areas where
11	you're over capacity or under capacity. Know
12	your communities. Know your projects that you're
13	applying for. And please do not use generalized
14	statements and anecdotal information. You can
15	use them, but back them up with data because
16	saying that we think there's a problem or we
17	think there's an issue here is not going to get
18	you points on the scoring. So please document
19	community need.
20	Objectives and tasks. A
21	description of the objectives to be achieved in
22	progressing towards the project's goal. So be
23	very clear about what the objectives and tasks
24	are in your application and the dates of
25	completion for the milestones. So submit to us

1	an achievable application with milestones and
2	dates of completion.
3	Monitoring plan. It is merely a
4	methodology to track progress in the project. So
5	we realize there is a delay in times, but you
6	have to have a plan so that we can move your
7	proposal together with you on the path and then a
8	feedback mechanism to identify barriers and make
9	needed adjustments. Obviously, we know that just
10	because we say we're going to do one thing
11	doesn't mean that you've cleared all the hurdles,
12	both locally and statewide. But have a plan to
13	track progress and have a feedback mechanism to
14	identify those areas.
15	On the finance side, detailed
16	discussion of the reasonableness of each budget
17	item. Don't ask for things that aren't
18	reasonable. We've seen many applications where
19	you wouldn't believe what we've seen, people that
20	are asking for things that just aren't
21	reasonable. Look at that and discuss it in
22	detail. And then identify and describe other
23	sources of funding, if any. If you can get other
24	sources in the project for this funding, identify
25	those and describe those.

1	HEAL funds may not supplant other
2	funds. So and this is a lot of money in the
3	long term care sector. It's 175 million dollars.
4	Please don't supplant other funds with this.
5	HEAL funds are just supposed to be for moving
6	projects forward.
7	Okay. Now to the rules on
8	competitive procurement. We have a whole panel
9	of experts here. If I say something wrong,
10	please help me here because I'm not the expert on
11	competitive procurement. But what I do know is
12	that there is no negotiations or adjustments to a
13	project or budget once it's been submitted, and
14	if you have a question, if you're unclear about
15	something, get it in via e-mail because that's
16	the best way to do it, because everyone gets the
17	benefit of the question and the answer.
18	Questions are due quickly, May 29th, and we will
19	be posting the answers around June 12th. That
20	means by around June 12th, you'll have the
21	RGA, the question and answer, you'll have all
22	that in front of you to be able to do an
23	application by July 14. I'm trying to ruin every
24	State employee in the Health Department's summer
25	by scoring these things so and we pretty much

1	did, I think. So July 14th, and the reason it's
2	July 14th, it's very strict. Get them in on time
3	because we need to turn around and go through the
4	whole process and make the awards by September
5	30th. So May 29th these are the milestone
6	dates May 29th, June 12th, July 14th,
7	September 30th.
8	Application submission. This is
9	all in the RGA. Separate technical and
10	financial. Two originals signed, four hard
11	copies, six flash drives with files in a pdf
12	format Chris has something else and then
13	they are due by three o'clock on July 14, 2009.
14	Three o'clock, July 14, 2009. This is where they
15	go, and then I think there is one other detail I
16	forgot to mention so I'll defer to Chris on that.
17	MR. DELKER: Another thing about
18	competitive procurement is it's in the nature of
19	these gatherings that everything we say here
20	today is preliminary until we publish the
21	transcript with any corrections or clarifications
22	we might want to add. Believe it or not,
23	sometimes we do make mistakes and need to clarify
24	some things. So if Mark decides he wants to
25	change something he just said, he can do that

1	between now and the time we put the transcript
2	up, and the same goes for any answers you might
3	get to your questions subsequent to this in the
4	session. If we need to clarify or qualify
5	anything we say, our things here are not final
6	until we publish the transcript.
7	MR. WARNER: And I'd like to make
8	one point of clarification regarding the
9	application. We had mentioned the application
10	was in two pieces, the financial and the
11	technical piece. Each one of those can have
12	fifteen pages plus attachments, so just to
13	clarify, it isn't fifteen total. I promise you,
14	a dissertation longer than fifteen pages is not
15	going to be looked favorably on.
16	We are now finished with the
17	official portion of our presentation. This is
18	how we're going to handle the question portion
19	and the HEAL people have done this in the past
20	and it's worked very well so we're going to do
21	the same thing. At the end of our presentation,
22	we're going to ask those persons interested in
23	asking a question to form a single line behind
24	the microphone that Chris is standing at. Each
25	person asking a question will state their name

1	and organization and will be allowed one
2	question, and if necessary, one followup
3	question. This will allow for the maximum number
4	of people to be able to ask questions. At the
5	end of the at the end of the questions and if
6	time allows, if no one else is left that
7	everyone has asked their question and if we have
8	somebody who's interested in asking a second
9	question, we will then go through a second
10	question.
11	And then finally, before I turn
12	it over to the question and answer piece, I'd
13	like to remind everybody that after today's
14	presentation, after our questions and answers,
15	there will be no communications other than
16	through the e-mail that has been listed, and no
17	other questions can be e-mailed directly to staff
18	or asked after the meeting regarding HEAL 12. So
19	I will ask you to try not to corner staff after
20	the meeting and start asking questions about HEAL
21	12, because they're not going to be able to
22	answer it.
23	So at this point, I'm going to be
24	looking for the first question of the day. For a
25	brief moment there, I thought we were leaving

1	early.
2	MR. GORMLEY: Bill Gormley
3	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I
4	can't hear you.
5	MR. GORMLEY: I'm sorry. Bill
6	Gormley, G-O-R-M-L-E-Y, consultant. If a
7	facility was a Berger facility and they applied
8	for HEAL whatever 4, 7, 8 and didn't get
9	enough money to implement their recommendation,
10	can they do they have the right option to
11	come back in under HEAL 12?
12	MR. WARNER: I would say no. I
13	don't know what Chris wants to add to that.
14	MR. DELKER: No. If you were
15	successful in getting a HEAL grant under
16	7 or 8
17	MR. GORMLEY: Not successful.
18	MR. DELKER: If you're not
19	successful?
20	MR. GORMLEY: Not successful.
21	MR. DELKER: It would depend
22	it would depend on what you proposed, if they
23	meet the specifics of this RGA. This RGA is not
24	exactly the same as the other ones.
25	MR. GORMLEY: If one of the

1	recommendations was to take nursing home beds and
2	make them into ALP beds, and that didn't get
3	funded through HEAL 4, 7 or 8, can you come back
4	in under 12?
5	MR. DELKER: That is an activity
6	that is eligible for funding under this RGA,
7	conversion to ALP beds.
8	MR. GORMLEY: Right.
9	MR. DELKER: The fact that you
10	wrote it before and failed, are you going to tell
11	your clients you're going to submit the same
12	thing? I'm joking. And Bill excuse me and
13	Bill is very good at what he does. Don't get the
14	wrong idea. We get far more applications than we
15	can fund.
16	MR. GORMLEY: Now you can keep
17	going. Thank you.
18	MR. WARNER: Yes, sir.
19	MR. POFIT: Hi. Joe Pofit,
20	consultant. I came in a little late, so it may
21	have been addressed. But I know the major
22	objective of this is to downsize the nursing home
23	industry beds statewide, and I think you have
24	some numerical goal that you're trying to reach.
25	Is does it need to be a one-for-one

1	replacement? Example, if a client wants to
2	develop a fifty-unit independent housing on their
3	campus and they already have a nursing home,
4	assisted living and, say, daycare, adding a
5	residential option on the site. So if we want to
6	develop a fifty or seventy-five bed independent
7	housing unit for lower income to middle income,
8	are you expecting to have a one-for-one reduction
9	in skilled nursing beds of that client or of a
10	public community?
11	MR. KISSINGER: No. There is no
12	expectation there is nothing in this document
13	that says it is a one-for-one. On that type of
14	proposal, I would look at the document very
15	carefully, take your project and crosswalk to the
16	goals and objectives in the document. But
17	there's no specification for one for one.
18	MR. POFIT: And your scoring
19	can I ask another question?
20	MR. WARNER: As a followup, yes.
21	MR. POFIT: As a followup to
22	that, in your scoring of the actual application
23	I know this is probably an unfair question.
24	So if someone comes in and says
25	MR. WARNER: We'll have an unfair

1	answer for you.
2	MR. POFIT: has five beds and
3	they want to substitute five beds for
4	seventy-five units of housing, is that some kind
5	of are you going to apply some kind of scoring
6	mechanism on well, now they're taking out five
7	beds but they're adding seventy-five independent
8	living. Is there going to be some kind of
9	formulary approach to this in your scoring?
10	MR. KISSINGER: I think the best
11	way to answer that question is submit that on an
12	e-mail and we'll get back to you, because I don't
13	believe we have not crystalized the actual
14	scoring criteria. So that will be an appropriate
15	question for the e-mail so we all have the
16	benefit of I can't guarantee you a direct
17	answer to that, but I think at this point, submit
18	that through the e-mail process.
19	MR. POFIT: Thank you.
20	MS. KORMOS: Good afternoon.
21	Liz Kormos from
22	COURT REPORTER: Could you spell
23	your last name for me, please?
24	MS. KORMOS: K-O-R-M-O-S. If a
25	nursing home facility has previously applied for

1	a CON that was not accepted for replacement of
2	part of their beds, and now they wish to
3	potentially downsize and apply for HEAL 12 money
4	and use the old facility to transform it into low
5	income housing or construct a new facility, would
6	that qualify for HEAL 12?
7	MR. KISSINGER: Yes. You have to
8	look crosswalk that application with the
9	appropriate document the appropriate placement
10	in the RGA. But I would say on face value, yes,
11	that would be something that we would say met the
12	first task
13	MS. KORMOS: I believe previously
14	if you had previously applied for a CON, you
15	couldn't apply for HEAL
16	MR. WARNER: We're not talking
17	about an application that's currently in for a
18	CON, right?
19	MS. KORMOS: It's still there,
20	yes. Do we have to withdraw it?
21	MR. DELKER: If your application
22	if your application is in, you put in
23	documentation that demonstrates financial
24	feasibility, so the HEAL funding would be
25	replacing funding you've already secured one way

1	or another, whether it's borrowing or HEAL
2	cannot be used to supplant other funds, so it
3	would not be eligible.
4	MR. KISSINGER: But you could
5	withdraw your application the way I understand
6	it, you could withdraw the current CON and put in
7	an application. That's the way I interpret it.
8	MS. KORMOS: The budget for this
9	was 2003 and
10	MR. KISSINGER: Fiscal is
11	different than 2003.
12	MS. KORMOS: As a followup, are
13	architectural site plans and schematics required
14	to have these available or can you, basically,
15	submit plans on a square foot basis?
16	MR. DELKER: You should at least
17	have some preliminary sketches, just to give us
18	an idea of floor planning or configuration. It
19	doesn't have to be final certified drawings or
20	anything like that, but you should give us an
21	idea. Frankly, it makes it easier for the
22	reviewers when they're evaluating your
23	application. It will work in your favor if you
24	have some sort of diagrams.
25	MS. KORMOS: Thank you.

ALEXY ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTING SERVICES, LLC

1	MR. TOMAINO: I'm Joe Tomaino,
2	T-O-M-A-I-N-O, with RSM McGladrey Consulting.
3	The question
4	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Your
5	company? I didn't understand you.
6	MR. TOMAINO: RSM McGladrey,
7	M-C-G-L-A-D-R-E-Y. Would the HEAL money be
8	appropriate to be used for sponsors that could be
9	contribution financed? I know we can't replace
10	funds that are already there, but if McG doesn't
11	have the money for sponsors equity
12	MR. WARNER: Maybe one of our
13	DASNY people here
14	MR. KISSINGER: You have to be
15	more specific with your question.
16	MR. TOMAINO: Is there a
17	requirement with the financing of projects that
18	there be a contribution or sponsors equity of
19	twenty-five percent?
20	MR. KISSINGER: When you say
21	project, do you mean a nursing home?
22	MR. TOMAINO: Right.
23	MR. KISSINGER: The whole point
24	of the RGA is to drive alternatives to nursing
25	homes. So you can apply you should look at

1	the document and apply, but keep in mind that the
2	whole point is to drive alternatives and I
3	think frankly what I would say at this point
4	is I'm not sure we can answer that. You need to
5	submit that in writing.
6	MR. TOMAINO: Okay.
7	MR. KISSINGER: That's an easy
8	question with a very complicated answer.
9	MR. TOMAINO: Okay. Okay. Very
LO	good.
L1	MS. FINK: Hi. Lilly Fink, New
L2	York City Hospital Association. I was just
L3	wondering if there are matching funds required?
L 4	MR. WARNER: No, there are not.
L5	MS. FINK: As a followup
L 6	question, would applications with matching funds
L 7	be more favorably evaluated?
L8	MR. DELKER: Well, yes and no. I
L 9	think certainly if you have if you have
20	matching funds, it might attest to the viability
21	of your organization or long-term viability of
22	the project. On the other hand, part of the
23	purpose of this RGA is to assist in the
24	development of services that don't currently
25	exist and recognize that many organizations that

1	normally exist or are small would not have a lot
2	of assets. So it would not disadvantage you to
3	put in an application without matching funds.
4	They are not required and, certainly, how you
5	describe how your facility fits in and serves
6	your community would weigh heavily, more than
7	matching funds.
8	MS. FINK: Thank you very much.
9	MR. GLAUDE: Art Glaude,
10	G-L-A-U-D-E, Medical Care Facilities Financing
11	and Consulting. A followup to the previous
12	question on the equity and another question. If
13	you have a 350 bed nursing home, 80 million
14	dollar project and you're going to convert fifty
15	of those beds to assisted living ALP, would the
16	HEAL 12 be usable for the fifteen percent equity
17	contribution?
18	MR. KISSINGER: I have I think
19	that's a simple question with a complicated
20	answer that you should put it into the e-mail.
21	We'll put that on the Q and A.
22	MR. GLAUDE: Second question.
23	The project is going to take over, say, five
24	years of a phased construction project. Would a
25	phase construction project over five years be

1	eligible for HEAL 12?
2	MR. KISSINGER: No, probably not,
3	I would say. I mean, the grant disbursement
4	period is two years.
5	MR. GLAUDE: So the grant would
6	have to be allocated for, let's say, the first
7	phase of the project? Thank you.
8	MR. KOSKI: Andrew Koski,
9	K-O-S-K-I, with the Home Care Association of New
10	York State. Could the HEAL monies be used for
11	non-residential options, such as increasing slots
12	or increasing programs, long term healthcare,
13	adult day healthcare or to start new programs
14	such as those?
15	MR. KISSINGER: I don't think
16	no, at this point. I mean, I'm not totally a no
17	on that, but my sense is that's not what's in the
18	RGA.
19	MR. KOSKI: Should I put that in
20	writing or
21	MR. KISSINGER: You can. My
22	sense is that's probably we're talking about
23	moving the people moving the system to
24	non-nursing home but still residential.
25	Ultimately, we have shared goals on the whole

1	community-based home care side, as well. HEAL
2	New York is a capital project.
3	MR. KOSKI: I was thinking with
4	the right-sizing legislation
5	MR. KISSINGER: Right. We still
6	have the ability of right-sizing, and HEAL is
7	authorized in the statute for the capital
8	portion. We'll bang that around here and get you
9	a better answer than that.
LO	MR. WARNER: And it may be in the
L1	question and answers and it also may show up in
L2	the final transcript. Thank you.
L3	MR. MARX: Hello. David Marx
L 4	from the Boulevard ALP. If we have access to
L5	non-operational nursing home beds to which a
L 6	contract has been signed before the closing of
L7	the nursing home, can we use this process, A, for
L8	acquisition or equity, and would it be applicable
L 9	for the whole process would the
20	decertification of the nursing home into ALP beds
21	be something that you would consider?
22	MR. KISSINGER: So, are the beds
23	an ALP cert?
24	MR. MARX: Yes, I believe so.
25	MR. KISSINGER: Okay. So then

1	what I'm going to say is, we're going to get back
2	to you.
3	MR. MARX: And the second part of
4	that question, if I'm allowed, is if somebody
5	has decertified nursing home beds and this is
6	a question that's dear to our hearts and the
7	nursing home beds are now becoming ALP beds and
8	ALP beds do not have capital cost reimbursement,
9	would it be eligible for capital cost
LO	reimbursement?
L1	MR. KISSINGER: The issue of
L2	capital cost reimbursement itself is one that we
L3	spent a lot of time on, and there is current law
L 4	on that. So that's what we're doing. There was
L5	a law that was passed in 2003 that allows capital
L 6	cost reimbursement for a certain subset of our
L7	providers, but the general rule to that exception
L8	is that whatever the capital of the regional rate
L 9	of 1992 is captured in this law. This RFA or RGA
20	does not modify the current statute of this law.
21	We've had that discussion along with many people,
22	as well as others, including the Legislature. So
23	it doesn't modify that.
24	MR. MARX: Thank you.
25	MR. BENJAMIN: Can I just ask you

1	I just want to followup on the first part of
2	your question. I just want to make sure. The
3	situation that you described, are those I
4	think Mark said ALP cert, but I want to be a
5	little more clear. Do you actually have those
6	beds now? Can you say that you own those beds?
7	MR. MARX: I have a handshake on
8	them, but I don't want to actually finalize the
9	deal until I know
10	MR. BENJAMIN: Right, but I think
11	we'll talk more about it, but I think it would
12	be difficult for us to recognize beds that
13	haven't even been recognized or used through the
14	CON process.
15	MR. MARX: There was a contract
16	with the nursing home that was recognized by the
17	Department of Health.
18	MR. BENJAMIN: Right, but if you
19	don't have
20	MR. MARX: I don't have
21	MR. BENJAMIN: If you don't have
22	the requisite public health counsel approval for
23	these beds, I think it would be difficult for us
24	to recognize those beds within this process. It
25	would be very difficult, because you don't own

1	them in the eyes of Article 28 of the Public
2	Health law.
3	MR. MARX: But there is an
4	corporation that does.
5	MR. BENJAMIN: But that
6	corporation doesn't have Article 28 authority to
7	operate those beds. It doesn't really matter.
8	You have to have those beds licensed under
9	Article 28 for the organization that is applying
LO	for the HEAL funds.
L1	MR. KISSINGER: We'll put
L2	we'll ask that question and if you could
L3	followup with e-mail, we'll put that in the Q and
L 4	A to be clear about it.
L5	MR. MARX: Okay.
L 6	MR. GORMLEY: Jay Gormley,
L7	Metropolitan Jewish. My question has to do with
L8	the conversion of RCF beds to ALP beds. Will
L 9	there be a rightsizing application like there was
20	for HEAL 7 or 8, or is this application enough?
21	MR. WARNER: If you are
22	successful, there will be an initial application.
23	MR. GORMLEY: Okay. And then as
24	a followup question to that, how does that
25	should we address the ALP need methodology in the

1	application? Is there an assumption that if
2	we're converting an RHCF bed into ALP beds then
3	that's okay on need, or does there need to be a
4	dealing with the ALP slots that are available,
5	etcetera, etcetera?
6	MR. KISSINGER: I would say you
7	should look at you should look at all the
8	factors in your community. So I would say yes,
9	you should address what's going on
10	MR. GORMLEY: But if there is no
11	need, if there is a presumption on need that
12	converting RHCF beds to ALP beds
13	MR. KISSINGER: No. You should
14	present your case on why you think there's a need
15	in the application.
16	MR. GORMLEY: Okay.
17	MR. FELDMAN: Lowell Feldman from
18	the in the Bronx. If a facility wanted to
19	open in the Bronx and convert some beds to
20	multi-use but under different jurisdictions like
21	OMH, OASIS, would it be a consideration under
22	HEAL 12 to do so? And if so, what about the
23	licensure process? Would it be
24	MR. KISSINGER: My answer to that
25	would be if you don't apply, you have no shot at

1	doing that. So my sense would be my default
2	answer would be, we will get you an answer in the
3	Q and A, but I would anticipate that you should
4	apply. As far as the licensure processes of OMH,
5	OASIS or any other agency, we can work with you
6	on that, but nothing in here changes anything as
7	far as licensure.
8	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. KISSINGER: Sure.
10	MR. MADEALONI: Tony Madealoni,
11	consultant, M-A-D-E-A-L-O-N-I. The question that
12	I have is if two parties collaborate in an effort
13	where the nursing home is going to forfeit a
14	certain number of beds to an ALP bed, can the
15	HEAL monies be used for the acquisition of those
16	beds by the ALP to the nursing home in order for
17	the ALP to use those beds for adding operating
18	beds?
19	MR. KISSINGER: I don't know. I
20	actually don't know the answer to that question,
21	so we will get you an answer to that question.
22	MS. HALLAM: Hi. I'm
23	Bernadette Hallam from Eddy SeniorCare.
24	COURT REPORTER: Could you spell
25	your last name?

1	MS. HALLAM: Sure. H-A-L-L-A-M.
2	I just had a followup question in regards to the
3	home care program. I represent a PACE program
4	and PACE programs do have capital investments to
5	expand their services. And I'm wondering if that
6	expansion is related to a reduction in nursing
7	home beds to expand the opportunities would
8	that capital be something that these funds could
9	be used for?
10	MR. KISSINGER: Yes. I think so,
11	that that would be an appropriate application to
12	submit.
13	MS. HALLAM: As a followup
14	question, I see a lot in regards to operating
15	expenses, meaning as programs phase down, nursing
16	home beds phase down. Are there any
17	considerations for operating expenses as programs
18	to again, offset if there is that
19	correlation?
20	MR. WARNER: The answer would be
21	yes.
22	MR. BENJAMIN: I think you just
23	asked a question that's, essentially, working
24	capital eligible?
25	MS. HALLAM: Right.

1	MR. BENJAMIN: Yes. The answer
2	would be yes.
3	MS. HALLAM: Thank you.
4	MR. BOESKIN: Brian Boeskin from
5	New York State Health Facilities Association.
6	Last name is spelled B-O-E-S-K-I-N. My question
7	is if a project is successfully awarded grants
8	under HEAL 12, and during the grant period, the
9	facility is sold to another owner or there is a
10	change in ownership during the grant term, would
11	those HEAL benefits survive over to the next
12	owner?
13	MR. KISSINGER: I would defer to
14	counsel on that.
15	MS. HEFNER: I think it really
16	would depend on when you make but,
17	essentially, when we make these awards, we would
18	have to consider whether the resulting
19	organization was as eligible. So if you know
20	that something like that is occurring when you
21	submit that application, you should disclose that
22	in your application and provide as much
23	information on the resulting entity as you can
24	MR. BOESKIN: Thank you.
25	MR. HORMICK: Good afternoon. My

1	name is Dave Hormick. I'm a home care physician
2	and I practice in the capital region here.
3	COURT REPORTER: Could you spell
4	your last name, please? Excuse me. I didn't get
5	your name.
6	MR. HORMICK: H-O-R-M-I-C-K. The
7	nursing home diversion transition waiver program
8	was developed to prevent people from being
9	institutionalized as well as as its name would
LO	suggest, but there seems to be a scarcity of
L1	appropriate housing to permit people, really, to
L2	be deinstitutionalized who want to remain in
L3	non-institutionalized settings. I'm wondering
L 4	whether or not the HEAL 12 dollars could be used
L5	to provide non-regulated housing for people who
L 6	would otherwise be in regulated institutional
L7	care centers?
L8	MR. KISSINGER: There is a
L 9	housing subsidy that goes with the nursing homes
20	transition that was enacted by the Legislature
21	and Governor a couple years ago. And your
22	question I think I understand your question
23	can you develop non-regulated housing, i.e.,
24	non-ALP or adult home housing with this money. I
25	would refer you to the actual application and

1	that could be part of the application that you
2	submit as long as you look at the goals and
3	objectives of HEAL New York. So yes, you can do
4	that, but it must fit in the larger application
5	as part of the objectives of the program.
6	MR. TENAN: Paul Tenan,
7	consultant. Can the HEAL 12 monies be used for a
8	project that may be described as a medical home
9	with complimentary home care and associated
10	services for a senior population so long as it's
11	also associative sponsored at the hospital or
12	nursing home's affiliations to access community
13	housing locations? So the whole purpose would be
14	the reduction in readmissions, inappropriate
15	admissions of acute care and long term care and
16	retain a population in the lowest level of our
17	institutional choices.
18	MR. KISSINGER: That's a loaded
19	question
20	MR. TENAN: I'm trying to
21	MR. KISSINGER: Well, what is the
22	direct question?
23	MR. TENAN: The direct question
24	is, the reading my reading of the HEAL 12
25	application focuses a great deal on facilities

1	and seems to suggest some transference of
2	facility capacity from one level to another. And
3	then there is and other ideas. So if the
4	notion is to reduce the use of those levels of
5	facility capacity by having a structured,
6	integrated community effort, it really we're
7	talking about solid home care, solid medical care
8	and providing coordination of services to reduce
9	the threat during an episode for hospital
LO	readmission we all know that hospital
L1	readmissions and the loss of the roots within
L2	a community so a person can actually return to a
L3	community. So that's the specific question. So
L 4	is it under the realm of what you're seeking a
L5	possible
L 6	MR. KISSINGER: I would say I
L7	think so, if I understand you correctly.
L8	MR. TENAN: Worth the gamble?
L 9	MR. KISSINGER: I think so,
20	because I agree that we're trying to encourage
21	creativity by moving to a competitive environment
22	which is but yes, I would say I think so. But
23	we would further illustrate and expand on that
24	answer in the Q and A.
25	MR TENAN. So you may expand

1	upon it or suggest we also submit it?
2	MR. KISSINGER: I would say I
3	would watch the Q and A and but I would say
4	don't stop working on it.
5	COURT REPORTER: Can I get your
6	name? I didn't catch it.
7	MR. TENAN: Paul Tenan,
8	T-E-N-A-N.
9	MR. STEIF: Brian Steif, STEIF,
10	FRS Healthcare. My question is, does the
11	facility does the facility end up with a
12	reduction in beds in order to qualify for the
13	grant, or if they stop what if they want to
14	setup a new type of unit or to reconfigure the
15	space, because there's not as many new type of
16	patients, difficult to place patients, like
17	patients with dementia in those units, would that
18	qualify?
19	MR. KISSINGER: The goal of the
20	whole RFP is to result in the reduction of
21	nursing home beds. That's how we are going to
22	look at this RGA opportunity is the movement from
23	institutional long term care to non-institutional
24	but residential long term care. So I would say
25	that you should keep that in mind when you apply

1	for that opportunity. We'll answer in the Q and
2	A the direct question about, do these beds have
3	to result in the reduction of beds at the end of
4	the day. Overall guiding principle should be
5	that they reduce
6	MR. STEIF: Just as a followup.
7	Even though this would reduce the
8	hospitalization, the goal of the Berger
9	Commission
10	MR. KISSINGER: Yes. I think
11	I think I understand what you're saying. I would
12	say that just the goal of the RFA is to do what I
13	said and to move the system to non-institutional.
14	Whether you have to have a reduction at the end
15	of the day, we'll answer further. I would say
16	it's better to do that
17	MR. STEIF: Thank you.
18	MS. KANEB: Hi. Alisa Kaneb
19	COURT REPORTER: Your last name
20	please?
21	MS. KANEB: Kaneb, K-A-N-E-B.
22	You mentioned that the funds could not replace
23	financing. Does that behoove one to seek
24	financing before you make an application?
25	MR. DELKER: What we mean by not

MS. KANEB: Okay. But if you have MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there focused area, like you said, to meet the concep of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to	substitute for other funds that you already have. MS. KANEB: Okay. But if you have MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don't need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people		
MS. KANEB: Okay. But if you have MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there focused area, like you said, to meet the concep of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	MS. KANEB: Okay. But if you have MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don't need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	2	substitute for other runds that you arready have.
MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there focused area, like you said, to meet the concep of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don't need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people		
MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there lo focused area, like you said, to meet the concep of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don't need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission — but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to — MR. DELKER: No. We would not — we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps — in other words, this is the dream — MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	3	MS. KANEB: Okay. But if you
need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there focused area, like you said, to meet the concep of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	need matching funds or other types of financing if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	4	have
if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there lo focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	if you can propose a project that will stand on what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	5	MR. DELKER: Okay. But you don't
what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there focused area, like you said, to meet the concep of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone. MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	6	need matching funds or other types of financing
MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there focused area, like you said, to meet the concep of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission — but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to — MR. DELKER: No. We would not — we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps — in other words, this is the dream — MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	7	if you can propose a project that will stand on
focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	focused area, like you said, to meet the concept of the Berger Commission but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	8	what you would get from the HEAL 12 alone.
of the Berger Commission but are you going give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	of the Berger Commission but are you going to give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	9	MS. KANEB: Okay. And is there a
give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	give higher scores to Berger Commission facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	10	focused area, like you said, to meet the concept
facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	facilities, specifically, or is it just to MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	11	of the Berger Commission but are you going to
MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in	MR. DELKER: No. We would not we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	12	give higher scores to Berger Commission
we would not favor a category of applicants in	we would not favor a category of applicants in the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	13	facilities, specifically, or is it just to
	the scoring. MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	14	MR. DELKER: No. We would not
16 the scoring.	MS. KANEB: And one more. If you did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	15	we would not favor a category of applicants in
	did multiple apps in other words, this is the dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	16	the scoring.
MS. KANEB: And one more. If y	dream MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	17	MS. KANEB: And one more. If you
did multiple apps in other words, this is th	MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	18	did multiple apps in other words, this is the
19 dream	asking a third question. Maybe you should save it for the next round. There are other people	19	dream
MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're	it for the next round. There are other people	20	MR. DELKER: Excuse me. You're
21 asking a third question. Maybe you should save		21	asking a third question. Maybe you should save
22 it for the next round. There are other people	3 waiting. Thank you.	22	it for the next round. There are other people
23 waiting. Thank you.		23	waiting. Thank you.
MR. WARNER: That was	4 MR. WARNER: That was	24	MR. WARNER: That was
		25	Chris Delker.

1	MR. DELKER: I did not mean to
2	pre-empt in this case. I couldn't think fast
3	enough to answer the third question. I did not
4	mean to be discourteous to the questioner. We
5	just have to be fair in this process.
6	MS. SOMMER: Francesca Sommer,
7	S-O-M-M-E-R, New York Coalition for Quality
8	Assisted Living. For the transcript, you can put
9	NYCQAL, N-Y-C-Q-A-L. A question that no one's
10	asked yet is concerning healthcare facilities.
11	Can healthcare facilities use the funds to either
12	convert healthcare facility beds or add new beds
13	convert ALP beds or add new beds to an
14	existing facility?
15	MR. KISSINGER: They are an
16	eligible applicant under the RGA.
17	MS. SOMMER: Thank you.
18	MR. COSSOCO: David Cossoco,
19	C-O-S-S-O-C-O. We're going back to the question
20	that was asked very early on regarding an
21	application, an application that would be for a
22	facility that has already put in its CON
23	application. I can think of many opportunities
24	where the HEAL grant could be for a completely
25	separate reason than the initial CON it's already

1	submitted for. If that is the finding, do you
2	see an issue with that?
3	MR. WARNER: No.
4	MR. COSSOCO: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. MARX: My name is
6	Robert Marx, M-A-R-X. We have an approval for an
7	ALP, and when it was originally submitted, it was
8	submitted whereby we have two bedroom units
9	and we learned about the possibilities that we
10	could possibly enlarge the building and
11	accommodate more people, individually, in the
12	community. Would the HEAL process be an
13	appropriate vehicle for this?
14	MR. KISSINGER: It since it's
15	a question on a very specific application, I
16	would prefer we'll get back to you on that.
17	I'm not sure on that answer, so I'd rather do
18	some research and get back to you on that.
19	MR. MARX: Thank you.
20	MR. KISSINGER: The other thing I
21	just want to say. We did mention on page ten of
22	the RGA there is no regional breakout for these
23	funds like other HEAL. The grant cannot exceed
24	25 million dollars, so I want to be sure people
25	saw that in the RGA.

1	MR. MARX: I'm not looking for
2	more than that.
3	MS. NEWCOMB: Hi. I'm
4	Lisa Newcomb from the Empire State Association,
5	N-E-W-C-O-M-B. A followup on Francesca's
6	question. While we were encouraged that RHCFs
7	are eligible applicants, it seems that there's an
8	insurmountable barrier for most of them that they
9	have to produce a closed nursing home bed a
10	closed nursing home bed in order to qualify. Is
11	that the case?
12	MR. KISSINGER: Like I said in
13	the earlier question, that is the spirit and goal
14	of the RGA. Obviously, we're looking at
15	reduction in capacity. The direct question on
16	whether, at the end of the day, you have to have
17	a closed nursing home bed, I think we're going to
18	defer an answer on that, because as I read the
19	RGA, that no, you do not, but I want to make
20	sure.
21	MS. NEWCOMB: Okay. And if I may
22	followup. There may be some closed nursing home
23	beds or beds that are in the process of being
24	closed that the community does not know about,
25	and I'm wondering if the public, you know, can be

1	told that now so that we are aware where there
2	may be opportunities in what communities under
3	HEAL?
4	MR. KISSINGER: Yeah. We can
5	provide that information. We haven't figured out
6	the timeline as far as how far back to count
7	closed beds. It has been the experience where
8	nursing home beds are closed sometimes in places
9	that we hadn't so we haven't said we'll
10	have to say by start date and tell you what
11	happened since then. We haven't fixed that date
12	yet, but we'll get you an answer on that in the
13	question and answer.
14	MS. NEWCOMB: Thank you.
15	MS. GOMLAK: Hi. Aimee Gomlak,
16	Catholic Health, G-O-M-L-A-K. The question I
17	have is if you have institutional nursing home
18	beds and you'd like to convert them to
19	patient-centered care or greenhouses, is that
20	actually considered a decrease? Do you actually
21	have to give up beds to do it? If you have a
22	120-bed facility and you're going to close it and
23	make it eighty greenhouses, is it enough that
24	you've reduced it by forty beds or is that a no?
25	MR. KISSINGER: No. The we're

1	looking for a reduction in the number of nursing
2	home beds. So if you go from 120 to 80, that's
3	fine. That's a reduction.
4	MS. GOMLAK: But would you pay
5	the capital, then, to rebuild the eighty to a
6	greenhouse?
7	MR. KISSINGER: That I think
8	that would be an eligible
9	MS. GOMLAK: You think yes?
10	MR. KISSINGER: Yes.
11	MS. GOMLAK: Okay.
12	MR. KISSINGER: But whether
13	obviously everyone there is an overriding push
14	for outside projects so we'd have to see in
15	the CON process whether that would be
16	MS. GOMLAK: Right. There would
17	have to be a CON involved.
18	MR. KISSINGER: Another thing
19	that I want to make people aware. There is
20	nothing in here and I look to my partners on
21	this that waives anything as far as CON.
22	There is still a rigorous process to the
23	Certificate of Need through the county, if
24	applicable, or administratively. That we're
25	not waiving the CON anywhere in this document.

1	And then as far as the ALP spots,
2	this still gives you the opportunity to apply
3	and we tried to be clear with that, but I just
4	want to make it on the record that there are
5	still processes in the Health Department that
6	people have to go through in order to achieve
7	their CON. And I look to Chris
8	MR. DELKER: Yes, that's true.
9	But I'd like to add, also, that with projects
10	if there is a CON required, how do we know that's
11	going to get approved by the time of the grant.
12	We are fast-tracking any CON applications when
13	they come in for the purpose of getting them
14	approved in a timely way so that they are
15	approved for the two-year grant period. We are
16	trying to integrate our databases more between
17	HEAL and CON so we are aware that CON may
18	sometimes need to be speeded up for the HEAL
19	applicants.
20	MS. GOMLAK: So the
21	MR. WARNER: Now I have to be
22	Chris Delker. That's your third question, so
23	we'll have to wait on that one.
24	MR. DELKER: Hold that question.
25	MR. WARNER: Yes, hold that

1	question.
2	MS. PALMER: Hi. Mary Palmer,
3	Franklin County Nursing Home. I know nothing
4	about ALPs or CHHAs. Where might I find that
5	information quickly on those programs?
6	MR. KISSINGER: The DOH website.
7	The DOH website and then there is information
8	available on the through the statute, the law
9	that the Governor wrote
10	MS. PALMER: Are there certain
11	people we can ask questions of?
12	MR. KISSINGER: On what is an
13	ALP?
14	MS. PALMER: You know, if we have
15	a specific question once we look at the
16	regulation, is there certain contacts?
17	MR. WARNER: Yes. You can reach
18	out and give me a call. Our main number is
19	518-408-1624. I'm not giving out my own number,
20	right? And Mary, my e-mail is
21	grw01@health.state.ny.us.
22	MR. ASH: Steve Ash from
23	affiliated nursing homes at Rochester General
24	Hospital, A-S-H. I have a question regarding
25	reducing 120 institutional beds to 80 greenhouse

1	beds. Given the current two-year grant
2	disbursement period, does the construction of
3	those eighty hospital beds have to be completed
4	within two years or is the start of that project
5	financing?
6	MR. DELKER: Your HEAL costs
7	would have to be incurred in the two-year period.
8	And generally, there is a sixty-day lapse in
9	incurred costs and so hopefully at the time of
10	construction
11	MR. ASH: Okay. But the question
12	around the capital, that was speaking to the
13	equity portion of the financing or the whole
14	project, the eighty beds? I wasn't sure I
15	understood that question so I want to make sure I
16	understand the answer.
17	MR. KISSINGER: Well, I'm not
18	sure I understand your question. What's your
19	question?
20	MR. ASH: The eligible costs
21	would be the construction cost or a portion of
22	the financing of construction, the equity
23	contribution?
24	MR. KISSINGER: Yeah. The equity
25	contribution, we'll get back to you We'll get

1	back to everyone on that one, that distinct
2	question. I think Chris said appropriately, your
3	HEAL costs must be incurred within that
4	timeframe. If there are things you want to do
5	using your HEAL award, you should make sure that
6	you can do them within the two-year period.
7	MS. HEFNER: That's the second
8	question we had about the project that might go
9	longer than the timeframe allowed through the
10	HEAL contract. And what's going to be important
11	is in your application, you describe some phase
12	of that project that's complete-able (sic) in
13	that timeframe. So don't just give us a five
14	year project and say we'll get it twenty percent
15	done. There has to be some completion, something
16	that we can look at and measure and say that has
17	value to us and that's equivalent to the funds
18	but the first phase has to have a clear
19	beginning, middle and end to use HEAL funds. If
20	there are later phases to come, more sections to
21	do something with, that's fine, but we need a
22	clearly defined phase that would happen within
23	the two-year period.
24	MR. ASH: Thank you.
25	MS. BANTA: Good afternoon.

1	Pat Banta, B-A-N-T-A, Assisted Living. Given
2	this whole discussion around the one-to-one
3	nursing home closure of beds, as an assisted
4	living provider, would it be worth the time to
5	spend to write up this proposal and submit it
6	without having that direct link to the nursing
7	home? I guess my question to you is, are we
8	eligible for this or not?
9	MR. KISSINGER: Well, we're not
10	going to tell people whether they should or
11	should not apply.
12	MS. BANTA: That's not really my
13	question.
14	MR. KISSINGER: So when you say
15	"are we eligible," who do you mean?
16	MS. BANTA: An assisted living
17	residence.
18	MR. KISSINGER: A licensed ALR?
19	MS. BANTA: Yes.
20	MR. KISSINGER: And the expenses
21	that you want to get a grant for are what?
22	MS. BANTA: Well, we want to
23	provide an infrastructure change that will allow
24	for improved communication with our outside
25	providers and the acute setting, as well as other

1	long term care centers.
2	MR. KISSINGER: I would say look
3	at the RGA and see how that fits into the
4	community plan for the proposal for long term
5	care. How does that fit in with the overall plan
6	for movement of a system from an institutional
7	based to a non-institutional based facility.
8	MS. BANTA: So a followup then.
9	We don't have to show that contract closure of
10	beds in the nursing home, since I'm not a nursing
11	home?
12	MR. KISSINGER: That's a question
13	we're going to ask, as well, and we're going to
14	get back to you on as far as you have to show
15	at the end of that you have that somewhere in
16	that region that there's closed nursing home
17	beds. We'll get back to you on that question.
18	Clearly, the goal of the RGA, and we tried to be
19	clear, is to move the system from institutional
20	to non-institutional. So that's the goal of the
21	RGA and that's how we score the RGA and how we
22	are approaching this in the grant opportunity.
23	So again, should be spend the time submitting an
24	application? It is really your call and we will
25	get you an answer by June 12th on whether you

1	actually have to show a reduction in beds.
2	MS. BANTA: Thanks.
3	MR. WARNER: And that will be on
4	or about June 12th. Unless, of course, Mark can
5	tell us
6	MR. ATTWELL: Hi. I'm
7	Mark Attwell, A-T-T-W-E-L-L. I represent the
8	National Institute for People with Disabilities.
9	And I was wondering whether the application or
10	the scoring process anticipated any specific site
11	control at the time of the application? Let's
12	say at the end of the day, you don't necessarily
13	need to have a one-to-one relationship with the
14	reduction of a nursing home bed, but otherwise
15	look for a viable alternative to long-term care.
16	What kind of site control do you need on that
17	type of application?
18	MR. DELKER: Well, you should
19	have some indication, if you don't actually have
20	a lease or anything or a commitment to a lease, a
21	letter of intent from the owner of the premises.
22	You don't actually have to have site control for
23	HEAL purposes, but some reasonable document
24	stating the reasonable expectation for these
25	purposes. Now in the CON process, if there is a

ALEXY ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTING SERVICES, LLC

1	CON involved, then the site control has to be
2	more firm. But for the HEAL purposes, we'll
3	evaluate it if it's a reasonable service.
4	MR. WARNER: Excuse me. If
5	you're going to be getting ALP beds, yes, you
6	would have to have something
7	MR. ATTWELL: So just for
8	clarification, the grant funds are eligible for
9	both the actual acquisition of a piece of
10	property or for long term
11	MR. DELKER: Both are eligible.
12	MR. ATTWELL: Thank you.
13	MR. HEIM: Dan Heim, H-E-I-M, New
14	York Association of Homes and Services for the
15	Aging. The question I have is if an applicant is
16	from a community or area where there has not been
17	a nursing home closure or decertification of
18	beds, and yet either the need methodology for
19	nursing home beds and/or prevailing occupancy in
20	area facilities would suggest that nursing home
21	beds are, in fact, needed and perhaps needed even
22	more than they exist, would that situation be
23	viewed similarly or as favorably as having
24	nursing home beds closed?
25	MR. KISSINGER: We'll get you a

1	specific answer on that, but my general answer
2	would be one of the elements is to prove
3	public need for the services. So if there is an
4	area of the State that is under-bedded then we
5	would look at that when we look at the
6	applications. Obviously, we're undergoing a
7	process to change the 709.3 planning for need
8	methodology nursing homes, so that's going on at
9	the same time, but that's not finalized at all.
10	I would say that you would have to, in the
11	application that has to be shown or demonstrated
12	and we'd look at it. I can't say if we'd look at
13	it more favorably or less favorably. We would
14	take that into consideration.
15	MR. HEIM: Okay. The followup
16	question to that would be for purposes of showing
17	a nexus between, say, a nursing home that's being
18	downsized and an application, is it going to be
19	the planning unit that's used for that purpose,
20	i.e. the county or the local community, or is
21	that really up to the applicant to draw the
22	appropriate regions?
23	MR. KISSINGER: I would say it's
24	up to the applicant. Obviously, there's a number
25	of different ways that that regions we have

1	Berger regions, we have counties. There is
2	different reimbursable regions. There are a
3	number of different things, and it's really up to
4	applicant to show how they'll define region.
5	MR. HEIM: Thank you.
6	MR. WARNER: Do we have anyone
7	else that would like to ask a first question?
8	MR. GLAUDE: Procedural question.
9	Can we how do we get the transcript? Can we
10	leave our business card in order to get today's
11	transcript?
12	MR. WARNER: You certainly can
13	leave your business card, but the transcript will
14	be posted on the website once we get it back and
15	once we do our review.
16	MS. HEFNER: And if you did speak
17	today, I would ask that you do leave your
18	business card on the table so that we can be sure
19	we have your name and entity correct in the
20	transcript.
21	MR. WARNER: Any other first
22	questions? Anyone that we cutoff that would like
23	to ask a second question, please stand up. We'd
24	be more than happy to answer that.
25	MS KANER. Alisa Kaneb.

1	K-A-N-E-B. The question was that some of these
2	other grant rounds that we've been through,
3	sometimes you got a little bit and then we're
4	kicked out because you didn't qualify or
5	whatever. Would it behoove a person to do
6	multiple, not that we have the time or money to,
7	but different grant proposals that maybe this one
8	would be different scenarios that might work?
9	MR. DELKER: Are you talking
10	about submitting multiple applications?
11	MS. KANEB: Yes. Right.
12	MR. DELKER: Well, bear in mind,
13	this is a very competitive process, and in
14	capital restructuring projects and HEAL in the
15	past, we've gotten three to four times the number
16	of requests that we can actually fund, so you'd
17	be competing against yourself in a lot of ways.
18	So I don't know. It might be better to have
19	MS. HEFNER: I think we'll have
20	to come to a conclusion as to whether you'd be
21	allowed to do that. But if we decide to allow
22	them, you'll have to decide whether you're
23	willing to win more than one. Because if you do
24	win more than one
25	MS. KANEB: Well, that's the

1	question.
2	MS. HEFNER: you would have to
3	make it very clear in your application that if
4	there is an either/or for you, that you make it
5	clear so we don't give you both of them,
6	obviously.
7	MR. WARNER: And one thing as a
8	clarification, which I don't believe we
9	mentioned, that this is pretty much an all or
10	nothing. We're not going to be going through a
11	negotiation process or a partial awarding where
12	if you ask for 12 million, you'll end up getting
13	7 million. We're not doing that.
14	MR. POFIT: Joe Pofit,
15	consultant. I'm not sure you answered this
16	question. It has to do with eligible applicants.
17	If I have a business corporation, say an LLC
18	which is acquiring the assets of a nursing home
19	that has not been established by Public Health,
20	am I eligible for HEAL funding because I'm going
21	to acquire that nursing home and convert some of
22	those beds from long term care to alternative
23	levels of care? Is that an eligible pathway?
24	MR. KISSINGER: Well, I would
25	look at number twelve on page eighty, corporation

1	entity established specifically to develop long
2	term care initiatives. I would look at that one
3	and I would also look at number eleven twelve
4	would be the one you qualify for there.
5	Obviously, you're not a nursing home yet, so you
6	don't qualify for number one. But I think I
7	would guide you to number twelve.
8	MR. POFIT: Okay. And as you
9	know, some sponsors have multiple corporations
LO	that sponsor existing nursing homes, although
L1	they're setting up a new corporation to acquire
L2	the assets of a nursing home to do the
L3	conversion. If the sponsor also has a great deal
L 4	of experience through the other corporations, but
L5	the other corporations are not applying, I'm
L 6	assuming you would look upon that very favorably
L 7	as an applicant?
L8	MR. KISSINGER: Well, yeah. We
L 9	need to have a lead applicant, so be clear about
20	who the lead applicant is and that lead applicant
21	has to page eight. So, I mean, I'm amazed by
22	the creativity of the questions involved in this,
23	but we again, you need to apply under one
24	through twelve. And then if you're not one of
25	one through twelve, then you're not going to get

1	through the first screening. So if you can say
2	you're a corporation or entity specifically
3	established for long-term care, it may fit. If
4	not, you're not
5	MR. POFIT: I need your name,
6	sir. Sir, I need your name.
7	MR. POFIT: Pofit, P-O-F-I-T.
8	MS. KORMON: Liz Kormon,
9	K-O-R-M-O-N. This is for another client. I just
10	want to clarify residential/non-residential
11	programs such as funding for facilities that
12	would be an inclusion model, adult daycare
13	program which would have a respite component for
14	an Alzheimer's population in order delay entry
15	into a nursing home in that community. Would
16	that qualify?
17	MR. KISSINGER: A facility that
18	applies for establishing a social model daycare
19	program?
20	MS. KORMON: Yes. It's actually
21	to be sponsored by a nursing home CCRC. They're
22	looking to add another level to provide care for
23	Alzheimer's patients in a community with a social
24	model that has an active as-needed respite
25	component to it and they want to build a facility

1	that is specific to this population.
2	MR. KISSINGER: So they want to
3	build I'm just trying to understand the
4	question.
5	MS. KORMON: Yes. There's a
6	capital component. They actually want to build
7	or acquire an assisted living facility to
8	MR. KISSINGER: And at the end of
9	the process, it would be what?
10	MS. KORMON: It would be a social
11	model adult daycare or respite. So it would only
12	be it's very downsized. It's very small, so
13	it would only be maximum two people staying at
14	night on an as-needed basis.
15	MR. KISSINGER: I don't know.
16	Honestly, I can't give you an answer on that yet.
17	We'll have to look at that.
18	MS. KORMON: Should I write the
19	question in?
20	MR. KISSINGER: Yes.
21	MS. KORMON: Thank you.
22	MR. KISSINGER: Yes, sir.
23	MR. KILLIAN: Steve Killian with
24	Hearth Management. We're an assisting living
25	enriched housing adult home and we have an

1	Alzheimer's dementia wing in the facility. And
2	currently we're looking to try to get an ALR
3	license and there are some building modifications
4	that need to be made. And so are we eligible for
5	the HEAL program and do we have to show need,
6	because what I'm hearing is that we absolutely
7	have to have this ALP to run our Alzheimer's
8	dementia wing?
9	MR. WARNER: Do you have an ALR
10	application in?
11	MR. KILLIAN: Yes.
12	MR. WARNER: I think unless you
13	remove that application I would say no,
14	because you're talking about supplanting HEAL
15	funds are not allowed to use supplant funds.
16	MR. KILLIAN: Well, I guess we're
17	being forced to switch an existing Alzheimer's
18	dementia facility
19	MR. KISSINGER: We're not forcing
20	you to do anything.
21	MR. KILLIAN: Well, I'd like to
22	run it the same way we have it now.
23	MR. KISSINGER: The law changed.
24	The law changed in 2004. We're not forcing you
25	to do anything or not do anything. We're telling

1	you, you need to comply with the laws and
2	regulations. That may require you to change. I
3	realize what you're asking for. And you put in a
4	license for an ALR that demonstrates financial
5	feasibility for that ALR?
6	MR. KILLIAN: Yes.
7	MR. KISSINGER: Okay. Then you
8	can't use HEAL funds to supplant what you already
9	have demonstrated financial need for. So you
10	need to look at that application carefully and
11	then and I would say on its face, I would
12	say no, but we will clarify that. But I would
13	say no, that's not an eligible cost.
14	MR. KILLIAN: Okay.
15	SPEAKER: Could I followup on
16	that, because I think when some people originally
17	made their applications, they weren't necessarily
18	aware of all the standards that they were going
19	to be held to some things that were not
20	originally put forth, we don't believe. So in
21	that case, perhaps, they haven't demonstrated
22	financial feasibility and it wouldn't be
23	supplanting the funds?
24	MR. KISSINGER: Is that a
25	question or

1	SPEAKER: It's a question.
2	MR. KISSINGER: I heard you raise
3	your voice at the end. So I think that the way I
4	would look and we'll look at that internally.
5	I would say that if that application is
6	withdrawn, then we would look at that in a
7	different way than if it was an active
8	application before us. Because going into the
9	ALR process, people applied and as long as
10	your application is in, it's in so I would say
11	no. On an individual basis we'll be as
12	flexible as we can within the current rules.
13	SPEAKER: Because I don't know if
14	you could withdraw if you had a dementia unit.
15	MR. KISSINGER: You can withdraw
16	if you yes, that's interesting. You're right.
17	That's an interesting question. We'll get you an
18	answer on that.
19	MR. WARNER: We'll have to
20	discuss it.
21	MR. KISSINGER: We'll discuss it
22	internally. Sir?
23	MR. MADEALONI: Tony Madealoni.
24	Do you need me to spell it again? It's just like
25	it sounds. Actually, I just wanted to do this

1	until it's Memorial Day. I have a followup
2	question, but I just want to defer that to the
3	second question. The first question is this: If
4	a nursing home and a ALP, which are related
5	parties, enter into an agreement with a nursing
6	home, and the ALP is going to build additional
7	beds, and part of the grant request is to allow
8	for the financing complete financing of the
9	ALP addition, would the entering of a contract
10	with a developer for that addition constitute the
11	incurring of grant expenses?
12	MS. HEFNER: Paying a contractor
13	would.
14	MR. MADEALONI: So if that
15	contract was both signed and there was an upfront
16	payment
17	MS. HEFNER: Well, not an
18	advancement.
19	MR. MADEALONI: Just looking at
20	alternatives in a very short time period and
21	construction is not particularly
22	MS. HEFNER: One thing to keep in
23	mind is when it comes to using F Sharp funds, F
24	Sharp funds cannot be used for the advancement on
25	our part or on your part.

1	MR. MADEALONI: So it would have
2	to be for a completed milestone?
3	MS. HEFNER: Correct.
4	MR. MADEALONI: My second
5	question is actually a followup. The question
6	that led to your answer about looking at item
7	twelve as far as the project, the original
8	question seemed to have a little bit of a broader
9	use, both broad and narrow. In his example, he
10	indicated a nursing home with an ALP, but then
11	also went on with alternatives. Now, for
12	alternative long term care services where you
13	clearly establish a corporation for certain
14	alternative long term care services but before
15	now, the only way you can establish as an ALP is
16	you'd have to go through a process beforehand.
17	So I just want to make sure I'm not
18	misinterpreting. If an ALP simply has an
19	application to become an ALP but has not been
20	established as one, then that's not an eligible
21	applicant, or is it?
22	MR. KISSINGER: I don't think it
23	is. The way page eight reads is an assisted
24	living program, not an assisted living program to
25	be. It doesn't say that, you know

1	MR. MADEALONI: That's my
2	understanding, so I just wanted to clarify it.
3	That wouldn't be item twelve in that example, an
4	entity that is looking to become an ALP as
5	opposed to an active ALP.
6	MR. KISSINGER: We put in item
7	twelve to allow for flexibility in a competitive
8	process. Those two concepts collide, but item
9	twelve allows people, corporation or entity
10	that's specifically established to develop
11	long-term care. So whether an ALP-to-be
12	qualifies for that, I think we'd have to look at
13	that on a case-by-case basis. Clearly it's not
14	if an ALP is not established, it's not an ALP.
15	You can call it one all you want, but it's not.
16	MR. MADEALONI: I mean, you could
17	establish a corporation without the purposes of
18	an ALP but other alternative long-term care
19	services and get that through the Secretary of
20	State and attempting to add the purpose of an
21	ALP, you're not an ALP. So I just want to
22	understand that an ALP-to-be, as you just
23	mentioned, is not in and of itself an eligible
24	applicant.
25	MR. KISSINGER: We'll try to

1	clarify all this in the Q and A. But yeah, I
2	think you're right.
3	MR. MADEALONI: Thank you.
4	MR. WARNER: Any other second
5	questions? Do we have any third questions?
6	MS. HEFNER: I just want to
7	clarify one of the questions that was asked
8	earlier.
9	MR. WARNER: Sure.
10	MS. HEFNER: One of the questions
11	that was asked about whether or not they should
12	put matching funds in and whether putting
13	matching funds in would be an advantage or a
14	disadvantage. And we said that would not be.
15	However, I want to be clear that we can only fund
16	applications that we can afford. So the idea of
17	holding back your matching funds because you
18	don't care if they're there or they won't help
19	you actually could hurt you in that when we start
20	to make the awards, we award from the top scoring
21	down. And if we cannot afford an application, we
22	pass it by and we award to the next application
23	that we can afford. We don't take an application
24	if we have, you know, \$300,000 left and the
25	application is for \$350,000. We don't give that

1	last \$300,000 to them. We pass them over and go
2	to the next one that we can afford. So be
3	careful that you really do understand
4	MR. WARNER: Thank you.
5	MR. TENAN: Paul Tenan. This is
6	a question triggered by the comments about
7	matching funds. Does the budget part of this RFA
8	seek or is there any special requirement to show
9	sustainability through revenue sources for a
10	project at the end of the two-year funding?
11	MR. DELKER: Well, when we look
12	at the financial factors, one of the things we
13	look at is what will be left after this HEAL
14	funding is gone? Will that capital improvement
15	result in services that sustain themselves
16	through revenues or whatever. So since we look
17	at viability we don't want to just pay for
18	reconfigured space that's a shell.
19	MR. TENAN: My question is
20	actually going in that direction at a technical
21	level. On what basis what is the averages
22	that you would like to see in order to
23	demonstrate that sufficient revenues would
24	support the advancement of the HEAL 12
25	application? Would you be looking for dollar for

1	dollar operating revenue services
2	MR. DELKER: Yeah. I mean, what
3	kind of revenues will you generate from potential
4	slots? Will that be able to pay your costs
5	and your staffing costs? The State what is
6	the State going to get for return on its
7	investment of these HEAL dollars? What is going
8	to be left of it
9	MR. TENAN: Now, I didn't
10	necessarily see maybe I overlooked something.
11	I didn't necessarily see a specific case that
12	required demonstration of revenues
13	MR. DELKER: I think the
14	financial application asks for three-year
15	projections of revenue related to the project,
16	financial applications
17	MR. TENAN: Related to the
18	project it's that component rather than
19	MR. DELKER: Well, I mean, the
20	HEAL could be part of the larger project, but
21	overall, is whatever HEAL is paying for going to
22	be the same through the subsequent revenues
23	MR. TENAN: We would rather be
24	able to demonstrate viability at the time of the
25	project rather than isolating this investment.

1	MR. DELKER: Yes. I mean, if
2	HEAL is an investment of a larger endeavor that's
3	a productive investment for the community, that
4	would be sufficient.
5	MR. TENAN: Okay. Thank you.
6	MS. HEFNER: There is a section
7	in that attachment eleven in regards to that
8	describes what we would be looking for.
9	MR. WARNER: Any additional
10	questions? Okay. At this time, I'd like to
11	point, again, to the screen. We are now done
12	with the question and answer period. We are
13	going to be unable to answer any questions other
14	than those that come to that particular website
15	healnyphase12@health.state.ny.us. Please, don't
16	put us in a position to have to tell you no.
17	Without any more questions, we are completed for
18	today. Thank you very much.
19	(Whereupon the conference
20	concluded at 2:25 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	I, Nora B. Lamica, a Shorthand Reporter and	
4	Notary Public in and for the State of New York,	
5	do hereby certify that the foregoing record taken	1
6	by me is a true and accurate transcript of the	
7	same, to the best of my ability and belief.	
8		
9		
10		
11	Nora B. Lamica	
12		
13	DATE: June 1, 2009	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1		1	1
\$	6	21:7, 33:17	67:14, 67:18, 67:21,	22:21, 22:22, 23:5,
•		addition [2] - 65:9,	67:22	23:7, 23:23, 26:3,
\$300,000 [2] - 68:24,	6 [1] - 1:7	65:10	ALP-to-be [2] - 67:11,	31:19, 31:20, 31:22,
69:1	6,000 [1] - 6:2	additional [2] - 65:6,	67:22	32:1, 32:15, 34:11,
\$350,000 [1] - 68:25	_	71:9	ALPs [1] - 48:4	35:21, 35:22, 36:25,
	7	address [2] - 31:25,	ALR [7] - 7:3, 51:18,	37:1, 37:4, 37:25,
1	7 [5] - 18:8, 18:16,	32:9	62:2, 62:9, 63:4,	40:24, 42:21, 42:23,
1 [1] - 72:13	19:3, 31:20, 58:13	addressed [1] - 19:21	63:5, 64:9	43:15, 50:11, 52:24,
12 [22] - 1:3, 2:2, 3:5,	709.3 [1] - 55:7	adjustments [2] -	alternative [8] - 5:22,	53:9, 53:11, 53:17,
6:11, 6:13, 7:2,		13:9, 14:12	6:21, 7:12, 53:15,	55:11, 55:18, 58:3,
17:18, 17:21, 18:11,	8	administratively [1] -	58:22, 66:12, 66:14,	62:10, 62:13, 63:10, 64:5, 64:8, 64:10,
19:4, 22:3, 22:6,		46:24	67:18 alternatives [6] - 6:5,	66:19, 68:21, 68:22,
26:16, 27:1, 32:22,	8 [4] - 18:8, 18:16,	admissions [1] - 37:15	6:15, 24:24, 25:2,	68:23, 68:25, 69:25,
35:8, 36:14, 37:7,	19:3, 31:20 80 [3] - 26:13, 46:2,	adult [6] - 7:3, 27:13,	65:20, 66:11	70:14
37:24, 41:8, 58:12,	48:25	36:24, 60:12, 61:11,	Alzheimer's [5] -	applications [14] -
69:24	40.23	61:25	60:14, 60:23, 62:1,	5:9, 10:6, 10:13,
120 [2] - 46:2, 48:25	Α	advancement [3] -	62:7, 62:17	11:18, 11:22, 13:18,
120-bed [1] - 45:22 12th [5] - 14:19, 14:20,		65:18, 65:24, 69:24	amazed [1] - 59:21	19:14, 25:16, 47:12,
15:6, 52:25, 53:4	A-S-H [1] - 48:24	advantage [1] - 68:13	amount [1] - 3:12	55:6, 57:10, 63:17,
14 [3] - 14:23, 15:13,	A-T-T-W-E-L-L [1] -	affiliated [1] - 48:23	Andrew [1] - 27:8	68:16, 70:16
15:14	53:7	affiliations [1] - 37:12	anecdotal [1] - 12:14	applied [4] - 18:7,
14th [3] - 15:1, 15:2,	ability [4] - 7:24, 8:1,	afford [4] - 68:16,	answer [38] - 3:6,	21:25, 22:14, 64:9
15:6	28:6, 72:7 able [8] - 7:20, 10:22,	68:21, 68:23, 69:2	6:10, 14:17, 14:21,	applies [1] - 60:18 apply [12] - 12:2, 21:5,
175 [1] - 14:3	14:22, 17:4, 17:21,	affordable [1] - 9:2	17:12, 17:22, 21:1,	22:3, 22:15, 24:25,
1992 [1] - 29:19	50:12, 70:4, 70:24	afternoon [4] - 2:1,	21:11, 21:17, 25:4,	25:1, 32:25, 33:4,
1:00 [1] - 1:6	absolutely [2] - 3:18,	21:20, 35:25, 50:25	25:8, 26:20, 28:9, 32:24, 33:2, 33:20,	39:25, 47:2, 51:11,
1st [1] - 7:23	62:6	agency [1] - 33:5 aggressive [4] - 7:18,	33:21, 34:20, 35:1,	59:23
	accepted [1] - 22:1	7:19, 7:23, 8:10	38:24, 40:1, 40:15,	applying [3] - 12:13,
2	access [3] - 10:25,	Aging [1] - 54:15	42:3, 43:17, 44:18,	31:9, 59:15
2003 [3] - 23:9, 23:11,	28:14, 37:12	ago [2] - 4:20, 36:21	45:12, 45:13, 49:16,	approach [1] - 21:9
29:15	accommodate [1] -	agree [1] - 38:20	52:25, 55:1, 56:24,	approaches [1] - 5:11
2004 [1] - 62:24	43:11	Agreement [1] - 8:9	61:16, 64:18, 66:6,	approaching [1] -
2009 [4] - 1:6, 15:13,	accurate [1] - 72:6	agreement [1] - 65:5	71:12, 71:13	52:22
15:14, 72:13	achievable [1] - 13:1	Aimee [1] - 45:15	answered [1] - 58:15	appropriate [9] - 9:20,
22 [1] - 1:6	achieve [1] - 47:6	Albany [1] - 1:8	answers [5] - 6:10,	21:14, 22:9, 24:8,
25 [1] - 43:24	achieved [1] - 12:21	Alisa [2] - 40:18, 56:25	14:19, 16:2, 17:14,	34:11, 36:11, 43:13, 55:22
28 [3] - 31:1, 31:6,	acknowledge [1] - 3:20	allocated [1] - 27:6	28:11 anticipate [1] - 33:3	appropriately [1] -
31:9	acknowledgements	allow [6] - 3:11, 17:3,	anticipated [1] - 53:10	50:2
29th [3] - 14:18, 15:5,	[1] - 3:15	51:23, 57:21, 65:7,	apologize [1] - 4:7	appropriateness [1] -
15:6	acquire [3] - 58:21,	67:7	applicable [3] - 9:21,	9:18
2:25 [1] - 71:20	59:11, 61:7	allowed [5] - 17:1,	28:18, 46:24	approval [3] - 8:5,
3	acquiring [1] - 58:18	29:4, 50:9, 57:21, 62:15	applicant [13] - 7:8,	30:22, 43:6
	acquisition [4] - 8:25,	allows [3] - 17:6,	7:15, 42:16, 54:15,	approved [3] - 47:11,
30th [3] - 7:21, 15:5,	28:18, 33:15, 54:9	29:15, 67:9	55:21, 55:24, 56:4,	47:14, 47:15
15:7	active [4] - 7:3, 60:24,	alone [1] - 41:8	59:17, 59:19, 59:20,	apps [1] - 41:18
350 [1] - 26:13	64:7, 67:5	ALP [41] - 7:3, 19:2,	66:21, 67:24	architectural [1] -
	activities [1] - 9:10	19:7, 26:15, 28:14,	APPLICANTS [1] - 1:4	23:13
4	activity [1] - 19:5	28:20, 28:23, 29:7,	applicants [6] - 7:1,	area [4] - 41:10, 54:16,
4 [2] - 18:8, 19:3	actual [5] - 11:4,	29:8, 30:4, 31:18,	7:2, 41:15, 44:7,	54:20, 55:4
46 [1] - 9:3	20:22, 21:13, 36:25, 54:0	31:25, 32:2, 32:4,	47:19, 58:16	areas [2] - 12:10, 13:14
46-A [1] - 9:3	54:9 acute [2] - 37:15,	32:12, 33:14, 33:16,	Application [1] - 15:8 application [60] -	Art [1] - 26:9
	51:25	33:17, 36:24, 42:13,	10:4, 10:8, 10:11,	Article [3] - 31:1, 31:6,
5	add [7] - 15:22, 18:13,	43:7, 47:1, 48:13,	10:4, 10:0, 10:11,	31:9
518-408-1624 [1] -	42:12, 42:13, 47:9,	54:5, 62:7, 65:4, 65:6, 65:9, 66:10,	11:23, 12:1, 12:24,	as-needed [2] - 60:24,
48:19	60:22, 67:20	66:15, 66:18, 66:19,	13:1, 14:23, 16:9,	61:14
70.10	adding [3] - 20:4,	67:4, 67:5, 67:11,	20:22, 22:8, 22:17,	ASH [4] - 48:22, 49:11,
		3, 37.0, 37.11,		
	<u> </u>			

49:20, 50:24 Ash [1] - 48:22 aspects [1] - 10:8 assets [3] - 26:2, 58:18, 59:12 assist [2] - 6:13, 25:23 assistance [1] - 3:24 assisted [7] - 20:4, 26:15, 51:3, 51:16, 61:7, 66:23, 66:24 Assisted [2] - 42:8, 51:1 assisting [1] - 61:24 associated [1] - 37:9 Association [5] -25:12, 27:9, 35:5. 44:4. 54:14 associative [1] - 37:11 assuming [1] - 59:16 assumption [1] - 32:1 attachment [1] - 71:7 attachments [2] -11:13, 16:12 attempting [1] - 67:20 attest [1] - 25:20 ATTWELL [3] - 53:6, 54:7, 54:12 Attwell [1] - 53:7 Authority [1] - 2:18 authority [1] - 31:6 authorized [1] - 28:7 availability [1] - 12:4 available [4] - 8:15, 23:14, 32:4, 48:8 averages [1] - 69:21 award [3] - 50:5, 68:20, 68:22 awarded [1] - 35:7 awarding [1] - 58:11 awards [8] - 7:20, 7:25, 9:6, 9:14, 10:2, 15:4, 35:17, 68:20 aware [4] - 45:1, 46:19, 47:17, 63:18

В

B-O-E-S-K-I-N [1] bang [1] - 28:8 BANTA [8] - 50:25, 51:1, 51:12, 51:16, 51:19, 51:22, 52:8, 53:2 Banta [1] - 51:1 barrier [1] - 44:8 barriers [1] - 13:8 based [8] - 6:20, 6:21, 7:12, 9:14, 9:15, 28:1, 52:7

23:15, 61:14, 64:11, 67:13, 69:21 bear [1] - 57:12 beautiful [1] - 4:8 become [2] - 66:19, 67:4 becoming [1] - 29:7 bed [8] - 20:6, 26:13, 32:2, 33:14, 44:9, 44:10, 44:17, 53:14 bedded [1] - 55:4 bedroom [1] - 43:8 beds [69] - 5:24, 6:2, 19:1, 19:2, 19:7, 19:23, 20:9, 21:2, 21:3, 21:7, 22:2, 26:15, 28:15, 28:20. 28:22, 29:5, 29:7, 29:8, 30:6, 30:12, 30:23, 30:24, 31:7, 31:8, 31:18, 32:2, 32:12, 32:19, 33:14, 33:16, 33:17, 33:18, 34:7, 34:16, 39:12, 39:21, 40:2, 40:3, 42:12, 42:13, 44:23, 45:7, 45:8, 45:18, 45:21, 45:24, 46:2, 48:25, 49:1, 49:3, 49:14, 51:3, 52:10, 52:17, 53:1, 54:5, 54:18, 54:19, 54:21, 54:24, 58:22, 65:7 beforehand [1] -66:16 begin [4] - 2:5, 3:8, 4:3, 7:22 beginning [1] - 50:19 behind [1] - 16:23 behoove [2] - 40:23, 57:5 belief [1] - 72:7 benefit [3] - 6:9, 14:17, 21:16 benefits [1] - 35:11 **BENJAMIN** [9] - 1:12,

2:13, 29:25, 30:10,

30:18, 30:21, 31:5,

Benjamin [1] - 2:13

11:3, 18:7, 40:8,

41:11, 41:12, 56:1

Bernadette [1] - 33:23

best [4] - 10:11, 14:16,

Berger [7] - 11:2,

34:22. 35:1

21:10, 72:7

better [3] - 28:9,

40:16, 57:18

between [3] - 16:1,

basis [7] - 9:6, 10:2,

bidder's [1] - 2:2 **BIENSTOCK** [1] - 1:17 Bill [4] - 18:2, 18:5, 19:12, 19:13 bit [4] - 3:24, 6:3, 57:3, 66:8 **BOESKIN** [2] - 35:4, 35:24 Boeskin [1] - 35:4 bonded [1] - 9:20 borrowing [1] - 23:1 bottom [1] - 8:17 Boulevard [1] - 28:14 breakout [1] - 43:22 Brian [2] - 35:4, 39:9 brief [3] - 10:24, 11:10, 17:25 bring [1] - 10:18 broad [1] - 66:9 broader [1] - 66:8 Bronx [2] - 32:18, 32:19 budget [5] - 6:1, 13:16, 14:13, 23:8, 69:7 build [4] - 60:25, 61:3, 61:6, 65:6 building [2] - 43:10, 62:3 Bureau [2] - 2:4, 3:16 business [4] - 56:10, 56:13, 56:18, 58:17 C

47:16, 55:17

C-O-S-S-O-C-O [1] -42:19 campus [1] - 20:3 cannot [4] - 23:2, 43:23, 65:24, 68:21 capacity [7] - 5:25, 6:18, 12:11, 38:2, 38:5, 44:15 capital [16] - 28:2, 28:7, 29:8, 29:9, 29:12, 29:15, 29:18, 34:4, 34:8, 34:24, 36:2, 46:5, 49:12, 57:14, 61:6, 69:14 captured [1] - 29:19 card [3] - 56:10, 56:13, 56:18 care [38] - 4:5, 5:18, 5:23, 6:4, 6:15, 7:12, 7:13, 8:21, 9:3, 10:25, 12:1, 12:9, 14:3, 28:1, 34:3, 36:1, 36:17, 37:9, 37:15, 38:7, 39:23,

39:24, 45:19, 52:1, 52:5, 53:15, 58:22, 58:23, 59:2, 60:3, 60:22, 66:12, 66:14, 67:11, 67:18, 68:18 Care [8] - 2:12, 2:16, 2:20, 2:22, 2:24, 3:24, 26:10, 27:9 career [1] - 10:6 careful [1] - 69:3 carefully [7] - 5:17, 7:14, 8:13, 8:16, 8:23, 20:15, 63:10 Carla [1] - 4:10 case [7] - 32:14, 42:2, 44:11, 63:21, 67:13, 70:11 case-by-case [1] -67:13 catch [1] - 39:6 category [1] - 41:15 Catholic [1] - 45:16 CCRC [1] - 60:21 CCRCs [2] - 7:5, 7:6 centered [1] - 45:19 centers [2] - 36:17, 52.1 cert [2] - 28:23, 30:4 certain [5] - 29:16, 33:14, 48:10, 48:16, 66:13 certainly [3] - 25:19, 26:4, 56:12 Certificate [1] - 46:23 Certification [2] - 2:4, 3:17 certified [1] - 23:19 certify [1] - 72:5 change [5] - 15:25, 35:10, 51:23, 55:7, 63:2 changed [2] - 62:23, 62:24 changes [1] - 33:6 CHHAs [3] - 7:2, 7:5, 48:4 choices [1] - 37:17 CHRIS [1] - 1:10 Chris [9] - 2:9, 15:12, 15:16, 16:24, 18:13, 41:25, 47:7, 47:22, 50.2 City [1] - 25:12 clarification [3] - 16:8, 54:8, 58:8 clarifications [1] -15:21 clarify [8] - 15:23, 16:4, 16:13, 60:10,

63:12, 67:2, 68:1,

68:7 clear [13] - 9:9, 10:9, 11:10, 12:23, 30:5, 31:14, 47:3, 50:18, 52:19, 58:3, 58:5, 59:19, 68:15 cleared [1] - 13:11 Clearly [2] - 52:18, 67:13 clearly [3] - 6:4, 50:22, 66:13 client [3] - 20:1, 20:9, 60:9 clients [1] - 19:11 close [1] - 45:22 closed [9] - 44:9, 44:10, 44:17, 44:22, 44:24, 45:7, 45:8, 52:16, 54:24 closing [1] - 28:16 closure [3] - 51:3, 52:9, 54:17 co [1] - 7:4 co-operator [1] - 7:4 Coalition [1] - 42:7 collaborate [1] - 33:12 collide [2] - 5:13, 67:8 **comments** [1] - 69:6 Commission [10] -11:1, 11:2, 11:3, 11:4, 11:5, 11:7, 11:12, 40:9, 41:11, 41:12 commissioner [2] -3:14, 4:4 commitment [1] -53:20 communication [1] -51:24 communications [1] -17:15 communities [5] -6:14, 6:23, 9:3, 12:12, 45:2 community [23] -7:12, 8:22, 9:16, 11:24, 11:25, 12:6, 12:19, 20:10, 26:6, 28:1, 32:8, 37:12, 38:6, 38:12, 38:13, 43:12, 44:24, 52:4, 54:16, 55:20, 60:15, 60:23, 71:3 community-based [2] - 7:12, 28:1 company [1] - 24:5 competing [1] - 57:17 competition [1] - 5:13 competitive [13] -4:23, 5:3, 5:4, 5:5,

5:12, 9:13, 10:12, 14:8, 14:11, 15:18, 38:21, 57:13, 67:7 Competitive [1] - 5:5 complete [2] - 50:12, 65.8 complete-able [1] -50:12 completed [3] - 49:3, 66:2, 71:17 completely [1] - 42:24 completion [3] -12:25, 13:2, 50:15 complicated [2] -25:8, 26:19 complimentary [1] -37:9 comply [1] - 63:1 component [4] -60:13, 60:25, 61:6, 70:18 comprised [1] - 7:9 Comptroller [1] - 8:6 CON [18] - 22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 23:6, 30:14, 42:22, 42:25, 46:15, 46:17, 46:21, 46:25, 47:7, 47:10, 47:12, 47:17, 53:25, 54:1 concept [1] - 41:10 concepts [2] - 5:12, 67:8 concerning [1] - 42:10 concluded [1] - 71:20 conclusion [1] - 57:20 CONFERENCE [1] conference [3] - 2:2, 3:8, 71:19 configuration [1] -23:18 configurations [1] -8:20 consider [2] - 28:21, 35:18 consideration [2] -32:21. 55:14 considerations [1] -34:17 considered [1] - 45:20 consortium [1] - 7:8 consortiums [1] - 7:9 constitute [1] - 65:10 construct [1] - 22:5 construction [8] -8:25, 26:24, 26:25, 49:2, 49:10, 49:21, 49:22, 65:21 consultant [5] - 18:6, 19:20, 33:11, 37:7,

58:15 Consulting [2] - 24:2, 26:11 contacts [1] - 48:16 continuing [1] - 9:2 contract [6] - 28:16, 30:15, 50:10, 52:9, 65:9, 65:15 contractor [1] - 65:12 contribution [5] -24:9, 24:18, 26:17, 49:23, 49:25 control [4] - 53:11, 53:16, 53:22, 54:1 conversion [3] - 19:7, 31:18, 59:13 convert [6] - 26:14, 32:19, 42:12, 42:13, 45:18, 58:21 converting [2] - 32:2, 32:12 coordinated [1] - 8:20 coordination [2] -6:15, 38:8 copies [1] - 15:11 corner [1] - 17:19 corporation [10] -7:11, 31:4, 31:6, 58:17, 58:25, 59:11, 60:2, 66:13, 67:9, 67:17 corporations [3] -59:9, 59:14, 59:15 correct [1] - 56:19 Correct [1] - 66:3 corrections [1] - 15:21 correctly [1] - 38:17 correlation [1] - 34:19 COSSOCO [2] - 42:18, 43:4 Cossoco [1] - 42:18 cost [14] - 7:24, 8:5, 8:14, 8:17, 8:25, 9:11, 11:16, 11:22, 29:8, 29:9, 29:12, 29:16, 49:21, 63:13 cost-effective [1] -9:11 costs [9] - 8:7, 8:12, 9:5, 49:6, 49:9, 49:20, 50:3, 70:4, 70:5 counsel [2] - 30:22,

35.14

55:20

count [1] - 45:6

counties [1] - 56:1

County [1] - 48:3

county [2] - 46:23,

couple [4] - 3:15, 4:9,

4:20, 36:21 course [1] - 53:4 COURT [7] - 18:3, 21:22, 24:4, 33:24, 36:3, 39:5, 40:19 CRAIG [1] - 1:15 Craig [1] - 2:17 created [1] - 4:20 creative [2] - 5:9, 10:20 creativity [2] - 38:21, 59:22 criteria [2] - 10:1, 21:14 crosswalk [2] - 20:15, 22:8 crystalized [1] - 21:13 current [5] - 23:6, 29:13, 29:20, 49:1, 64:12 cutoff [1] - 56:22

D **Dan** [1] - 54:13 DASNY [2] - 8:6, 24:13 data [1] - 12:15 databases [1] - 47:16 **DATE** [1] - 72:13 date [2] - 45:10, 45:11 dates [3] - 12:24, 13:2, 15:6 Dave [2] - 2:19, 36:1 David [2] - 28:13, 42:18 **DAVID** [1] - 1:18 daycare [4] - 20:4, 60:12, 60:18, 61:11 deal [3] - 30:9, 37:25, 59:13

37:8 describes [1] - 71:8 dealing [1] - 32:4 dear [1] - 29:6 detail [2] - 13:22, decertification [2] -15:15 28:20, 54:17 detailed [1] - 13:15 decertified [1] - 29:5 details [1] - 10:10 decide [2] - 57:21, develop [9] - 5:22, 57:22 decides [1] - 15:24 decrease [1] - 45:20 67:10 default [1] - 33:1 developed [1] - 36:8 defer [4] - 15:16, 35:13, 44:18, 65:2 development [2] define [1] - 56:4 6:17, 25:24 defined [1] - 50:22 **definition** [1] - 8:13 different [9] - 5:11, definitions [1] - 9:5 23:11, 32:20, 55:25, degree [1] - 9:16 56:2, 56:3, 57:7,

57:8, 64:7

difficult [4] - 30:12,

30:23, 30:25, 39:16

delineates [1] - 4:22 **DELKER** [28] - 1:10, 2:9, 15:17, 18:14, 18:18, 18:21, 19:5, 19:9, 22:21, 23:16, 25:18, 40:25, 41:5, 41:14, 41:20, 42:1, 47:8, 47:24, 49:6, 53:18, 54:11, 57:9, 57:12, 69:11, 70:2, 70:13, 70:19, 71:1 **Delker** [3] - 2:9, 41:25, 47:22 dementia [5] - 39:17, 62:1, 62:8, 62:18, 64:14 demographics [1] -12:3 demonstrate [2] -69:23, 70:24 demonstrated [4] -5:23, 55:11, 63:9, 63:21 demonstrates [2] -22:23, 63:4 demonstration [1] -70:12 **DEPARTMENT** [1] -1:2 Department [3] - 5:7, 30:17, 47:5 Department's [1] -14:24 deputy [2] - 3:14, 4:4 derived [1] - 9:25 describe [4] - 13:22, 13:25, 26:5, 50:11 described [2] - 30:3, description [1] - 12:21 6:14, 7:11, 9:2, 20:2, 20:6, 36:23, 59:1, developer [1] - 65:10 diagrams [1] - 23:24

direct [6] - 21:16, 37:22, 37:23, 40:2, 44:15, 51:6 direction [1] - 69:20 directly [1] - 17:17 director [1] - 2:3 Disabilities [1] - 53:8 disadvantage [2] -26:2, 68:14 disbursement [2] -27:3, 49:2 Disbursement [1] -8:9 discharges [1] - 12:7 disclose [1] - 35:21 discourteous [1] -42:4 discuss [3] - 13:21, 64:20, 64:21 discussion [3] -13:16, 29:21, 51:2 dissertation [1] -16:14 distinct [1] - 50:1 diversion [1] - 36:7 **Division** [1] - 2:10 document [9] - 12:9, 12:18, 20:12, 20:14, 20:16, 22:9, 25:1, 46:25, 53:23 documentation [1] -22:23 documents [1] - 11:5 **DOH** [3] - 8:6, 48:6, 48.7 dollar [3] - 26:14, 69:25, 70:1 dollars [4] - 14:3, 36:14, 43:24, 70:7 done [3] - 16:19, 50:15, 71:11 Dormitory [1] - 2:18 **DOUG** [1] - 1:19 Doug [1] - 2:21 down [5] - 7:20, 10:7, 34:15, 34:16, 68:21 downsize [2] - 19:22, 22:3 downsized [2] - 55:18, 61:12 draw [2] - 7:20, 55:21 drawings [1] - 23:19 dream [1] - 41:19 drive [2] - 24:24, 25:2 drives [1] - 15:11 due [2] - 14:18, 15:13 during [5] - 3:2, 3:3, 35:8, 35:10, 38:9

deinstitutionalized [1]

delay [2] - 13:5, 60:14

- 36:12

e-mail [10] - 6:8,
Eddy [1] - 33:23 editorial [1] - 10:5 effective [1] - 9:11 effort [2] - 33:12, 38:6 eight [2] - 59:21, 66:23 eighty [5] - 45:23, 46:5, 49:3, 49:14, 58:25 either [2] - 42:11, 54:18 either/or [1] - 58:4 elements [1] - 55:2 eleven [2] - 59:3, 71:7 eligible [29] - 6:25, 7:1, 7:7, 7:15, 8:14, 8:16, 8:24, 9:5, 19:6, 23:3, 27:1, 29:9, 34:24, 35:19, 42:16, 44:7, 46:8, 49:20, 51:8, 51:15, 54:8, 54:11, 58:16, 58:20, 58:23, 62:4, 63:13, 66:20, 67:23 Eligible [1] - 8:12 Empire [2] - 1:7, 44:4 employee [1] - 14:24 empt [1] - 42:2 enacted [2] - 6:1, 36:20 encourage [1] - 38:20
46:5, 49:3, 49:14, 58:25 either [2] - 42:11, 54:18 either/or [1] - 58:4 elements [1] - 55:2 eleven [2] - 59:3, 71:7 eligible [29] - 6:25, 7:1, 7:7, 7:15, 8:14, 8:16, 8:24, 9:5, 19:6, 23:3, 27:1, 29:9, 34:24, 35:19, 42:16, 44:7, 46:8, 49:20, 51:8, 51:15, 54:8, 54:11, 58:16, 58:20, 58:23, 62:4, 63:13, 66:20, 67:23 Eligible [1] - 8:12 Empire [2] - 1:7, 44:4 employee [1] - 14:24 empt [1] - 42:2 enacted [2] - 6:1, 36:20 encourage [1] - 38:20
elements [1] - 55:2 eleven [2] - 59:3, 71:7 eligible [29] - 6:25, 7:1, 7:7, 7:15, 8:14, 8:16, 8:24, 9:5, 19:6, 23:3, 27:1, 29:9, 34:24, 35:19, 42:16, 44:7, 46:8, 49:20, 51:8, 51:15, 54:8, 54:11, 58:16, 58:20, 58:23, 62:4, 63:13, 66:20, 67:23 Eligible [1] - 8:12 Empire [2] - 1:7, 44:4 employee [1] - 14:24 empt [1] - 42:2 enacted [2] - 6:1, 36:20 encourage [1] - 38:20
23:3, 27:1, 29:9, 34:24, 35:19, 42:16, 44:7, 46:8, 49:20, 51:8, 51:15, 54:8, 54:11, 58:16, 58:20, 58:23, 62:4, 63:13, 66:20, 67:23 Eligible [1] - 8:12 Empire [2] - 1:7, 44:4 employee [1] - 14:24 empt [1] - 42:2 enacted [2] - 6:1, 36:20 encourage [1] - 38:20
Eligible [1] - 8:12 Empire [2] - 1:7, 44:4 employee [1] - 14:24 empt [1] - 42:2 enacted [2] - 6:1, 36:20 encourage [1] - 38:20
36:20 encourage [1] - 38:20
end [15] - 3:10, 16:21,
17:5, 39:11, 40:3, 40:14, 44:16, 50:19, 52:15, 53:12, 58:12, 61:8, 64:3, 69:10 endeavor [1] - 71:2
enlarge [1] - 43:10 enriched [2] - 7:3, 61:25 enter [1] - 65:5 entering [1] - 65:9
entire [1] - 3:16 entity [7] - 7:7, 35:23, 56:19, 59:1, 60:2, 67:4, 67:9 entry [1] - 60:14 environment [2] -

5:12, 38:21

episode [1] - 38:9 equipment [2] - 9:1 equity [8] - 24:11, 24:18, 26:12, 26:16, 28:18, 49:13, 49:22, 49.24 equivalent [1] - 50:17 essentially [2] - 34:23, establish [3] - 66:13, 66:15, 67:17 established [7] - 7:11, 58:19, 59:1, 60:3, 66:20, 67:10, 67:14 establishing [1] -60:18 etcetera [2] - 32:5 evaluate [1] - 54:3 evaluated [1] - 25:17 evaluating [1] - 23:22 event [1] - 3:19 exactly [2] - 4:22, 18:24 Example [1] - 20:1 example [2] - 66:9, 67:3 exceed [1] - 43:23 exception [2] - 8:1, 29:17 excuse [1] - 19:12 Excuse [3] - 36:4, 41:20, 54:4 exist [3] - 25:25, 26:1, 54:22 existing [4] - 12:7, 42:14, 59:10, 62:17 expand [4] - 34:5, 34:7, 38:23, 38:25 expansion [1] - 34:6 expectation [2] -20:12, 53:24 **expecting** [1] - 20:8 expenses [5] - 9:19, 34:15, 34:17, 51:20, 65:11 **experience** [2] - 45:7, 59:14 expert [1] - 14:10 expertise [1] - 4:13 experts [1] - 14:9 extension [1] - 8:5

F

extensions [1] - 7:24

eyes [1] - 31:1

face [2] - 22:10, 63:11 Facilities [4] - 2:10, 2:14, 26:10, 35:5 facilities [6] - 37:25,

41:13, 42:10, 42:11, 54:20, 60:11 facility [22] - 18:7, 21:25, 22:4, 22:5, 26:5, 32:18, 35:9, 38:2, 38:5, 39:11, 42:12. 42:14. 42:22. 45:22, 52:7, 60:17, 60:25, 61:7, 62:1, 62:18 fact [2] - 19:9, 54:21 factors [2] - 32:8, 69:12 failed [1] - 19:10 fair [1] - 42:5 fall [1] - 10:7 fantastic [1] - 3:19 far [10] - 6:11, 19:14, 33:4, 33:7, 45:6, 46:21, 47:1, 52:14, 66:7 fast [3] - 8:2, 42:2, 47:12 fast-tracking [1] -47:12 favor [2] - 23:23, 41:15 favorably [6] - 16:15, 25:17, 54:23, 55:13, 59:16 feasibility [3] - 22:24, 63:5, 63:22 feasible [1] - 9:12 fee [2] - 7:6, 9:2 fee-for-service [2] -7:6, 9:2 feedback [2] - 13:8, 13:13 FELDMAN [2] - 32:17, 33:8 Feldman [1] - 32:17 few [1] - 2:6 field [1] - 7:8 fifteen [6] - 11:12, 11:14, 16:12, 16:13, 16:14, 26:16 fifty [3] - 20:2, 20:6, 26:14 fifty-unit [1] - 20:2 figured [1] - 45:5 files [1] - 15:11 final [3] - 16:5, 23:19, 28:12 finalize [1] - 30:8 finalized [1] - 55:9 finally [1] - 17:11 finance [3] - 5:7, 6:14, 13:15

11:18, 11:21, 15:10, 16:10, 22:23, 63:4, 63:9, 63:22, 69:12, 70:14, 70:16 financially [1] - 9:11 financing [9] - 24:17, 40:23, 40:24, 41:6, 49:5, 49:13, 49:22, 65:8 Financing [1] - 26:10 fine [2] - 46:3, 50:21 finished [1] - 16:16 FINK [3] - 25:11, 25:15, 26:8 Fink [1] - 25:11 firm [1] - 54:2 first [11] - 2:6, 4:7, 17:24, 22:12, 27:6, 30:1, 50:18, 56:7, 56:21, 60:1, 65:3 First [2] - 3:1, 3:16 fiscal [1] - 7:21 Fiscal [1] - 23:10 fit [5] - 7:15, 11:10, 37:4, 52:5, 60:3 fits [2] - 26:5, 52:3 five [14] - 6:2, 9:23, 9:24, 9:25, 20:6, 21:2, 21:3, 21:4, 21:6, 21:7, 24:19, 26:23, 26:25, 50:13 five-year [1] - 6:2 fixed [1] - 45:11 flash [1] - 15:11 flexibility [2] - 5:13, 67:7 flexible [3] - 5:10, 5:14, 64:12 floor [1] - 23:18 focused [2] - 12:1, 41:10 focuses [1] - 37:25 **follow** [2] - 10:16, 10:17 following [1] - 9:15 followup [19] - 17:2, 20:20, 20:21, 23:12, 25:15, 26:11, 30:1, 31:13, 31:24, 34:2, 34:13, 40:6, 44:5, 44:22, 52:8, 55:15, 63:15, 65:1, 66:5 foot [1] - 23:15 forced [1] - 62:17 forcing [2] - 62:19, 62:24

foregoing [1] - 72:5

forfeit [1] - 33:13

forgot [1] - 15:16

form [1] - 16:23

formal [1] - 4:3 format [1] - 15:12 formulary [1] - 21:9 **forth** [1] - 63:20 forty [1] - 45:24 forward [6] - 4:21, 5:15, 5:19, 6:24, 12:3, 14:6 four [2] - 15:10, 57:15 Francesca [1] - 42:6 Francesca's [1] - 44:5 Franklin [1] - 48:3 Frankly [1] - 23:21 frankly [1] - 25:3 Friday [3] - 1:6, 4:8 front [1] - 14:22 FRS [1] - 39:10 fund [3] - 19:15, 57:16, 68:15 funded [3] - 10:9, 10:22, 19:3 funding [9] - 13:23, 13:24, 19:6, 22:24, 22:25, 58:20, 60:11, 69:10, 69:14 funds [33] - 4:24, 9:20, 14:1, 14:2, 14:4, 14:5, 23:2, 24:10, 25:13, 25:16, 25:20, 26:3, 26:7, 31:10, 34:8, 40:22, 41:2, 41:6, 42:11, 43:23, 50:17, 50:19, 54:8, 62:15, 63:8, 63:23, 65:23, 65:24, 68:12, 68:13, 68:17, 69:7

G

G-O-M-L-A-K [1] -45:16 gamble [1] - 38:18 gatherings [1] - 15:19 General [1] - 48:23 general [4] - 5:20, 11:11, 29:17, 55:1 generalized [1] -12:13 generally [1] - 49:8 **generate** [1] - 70:3 Given [2] - 49:1, 51:1 GLAUDE [5] - 26:9, 26:10, 26:22, 27:5, 56:8 Glaude [1] - 26:9 goal [11] - 6:13, 6:22, 6:24, 12:22, 19:24, 39:19, 40:8, 40:12, 44:13, 52:18, 52:20

goals [7] - 9:7, 9:9,

financed [1] - 24:9

financial [12] - 9:24,

9:17, 11:1, 20:16, 27:25, 37:2 GOMLAK [6] - 45:15, 46:4. 46:9. 46:11. 46:16, 47:20 Gomlak [1] - 45:15 **GORMLEY** [12] - 18:2, 18:5, 18:6, 18:17, 18:20, 18:25, 19:8, 19:16, 31:16, 31:23, 32:10, 32:16 Gormley [3] - 18:2, 18:6, 31:16 governments [1] - 7:5 Governor [2] - 36:21, grant [18] - 18:15, 27:3, 27:5, 35:8, 35:10, 39:13, 42:24, 43:23, 47:11, 47:15, 49:1, 51:21, 52:22, 54:8, 57:2, 57:7, 65:7, 65:11 Grant [1] - 8:8 grants [1] - 35:7 great [4] - 7:10, 10:7, 37:25, 59:13 greenhouse [2] - 46:6, 48:25 greenhouses [2] -45:19, 45:23 ground [1] - 2:6 grw01@health.state. ny.us [1] - 48:21 guarantee [1] - 21:16 guess [2] - 51:7, 62:16 guide [1] - 59:7 guiding [1] - 40:4 GUY [1] - 1:13 guy [1] - 4:14 Guy [2] - 2:3, 4:6

Н

H-A-L-L-A-M [1] - 34:1 H-O-R-M-I-C-K [1] -36:6 HALLAM [5] - 33:22, 34:1, 34:13, 34:25, 35:3 Hallam [1] - 33:23 hand [2] - 3:13, 25:22 handle [1] - 16:18 handshake [1] - 30:7 happy [1] - 56:24 hard [1] - 15:10 HEAL [69] - 1:3, 2:2, 3:5, 3:7, 3:20, 4:18, 4:19, 4:23, 4:24, 5:1, 5:4, 6:13, 7:2, 9:18,

14:1, 14:5, 16:19, 17:18, 17:20, 18:8, 18:11, 18:15, 19:3, 22:3, 22:6, 22:15, 22:24, 23:1, 24:7, 26:16, 27:1, 27:10, 28:1, 28:6, 31:10, 31:20. 32:22. 33:15. 35:8, 35:11, 36:14, 37:3, 37:7, 37:24, 41:8, 42:24, 43:12, 43:23, 45:3, 47:17, 47:18, 49:6, 50:3, 50:5, 50:10, 50:19, 53:23, 54:2, 57:14, 58:20, 62:5, 62:14, 63:8, 69:13, 69:24, 70:7, 70:20, 70:21, 71.2 healnyphase12@ health.state.ny.us [1] - 71:15 health [2] - 12:2, 30:22 Health [11] - 2:10, 2:14, 4:12, 5:8, 14:24, 30:17, 31:2, 35:5, 45:16, 47:5, 58:19 HEALTH [1] - 1:2 Healthcare [1] - 39:10 healthcare [6] - 12:8, 27:12, 27:13, 42:10, 42:11, 42:12 hear [1] - 18:4 heard [1] - 64:2 hearing [1] - 62:6 Hearth [1] - 61:24 hearts [1] - 29:6 heavily [1] - 26:6 HEFNER [13] - 1:16, 35:15, 50:7, 56:16, 57:19, 58:2, 65:12, 65:17, 65:22, 66:3, 68:6, 68:10, 71:6 **HEIM** [4] - 54:13, 55:15, 56:5 Heim [1] - 54:13 **held** [1] - 63:19 Hello [1] - 28:13 help [2] - 14:10, 68:18 helping [1] - 3:22 hereby [1] - 72:5 Hi [8] - 19:19, 25:11, 33:22, 40:18, 44:3, 45:15, 48:2, 53:6 higher [1] - 41:12 hold [2] - 3:3, 47:25

Hold [1] - 47:24

holding [1] - 68:17

Holupko [1] - 2:19 **HOLUPKO** [2] - 1:18, 2:19 Home [2] - 27:9, 48:3 home [60] - 5:25, 6:18, 6:20, 6:21, 7:5, 12:6, 19:1, 19:22, 20:3, 21:25, 24:21, 26:13, 27:24, 28:1, 28:15, 28:17, 28:20, 29:5, 29:7, 30:16, 33:13, 33:16, 34:3, 34:7, 34:16, 36:1, 36:7, 36:24, 37:8, 37:9, 38:7, 39:21, 44:9, 44:10, 44:17, 44:22, 45:8, 45:17, 46:2, 51:3, 51:7, 52:10, 52:11, 52:16, 53:14, 54:17, 54:19, 54:20, 54:24, 55:17, 58:18, 58:21, 59:5, 59:12, 60:15, 60:21, 61:25, 65:4, 65:6, 66:10 home's [1] - 37:12 Homes [1] - 54:14 homes [10] - 6:4, 6:6, 7:2, 7:3, 11:9, 24:25, 36:19, 48:23, 55:8, 59:10 Honestly [1] - 61:16 hopefully [1] - 49:9 HORMICK [2] - 35:25, 36:6 Hormick [1] - 36:1 hospital [5] - 7:4, 37:11, 38:9, 38:10, 49:3 Hospital [2] - 25:12, 48:24 hospitalization [1] -40.8 hospitals [1] - 11:9 housing [14] - 7:3, 7:7, 8:19, 20:2, 20:7, 21:4, 22:5, 36:11, 36:15, 36:19, 36:23, 36:24, 37:13, 61:25 hurdles [1] - 13:11 hurt [1] - 68:19 ı

improvement [1] -69:14 inappropriate [1] -37:14 including [1] - 29:22 inclusion [1] - 60:12 income [3] - 20:7, 22:5 increasing [2] - 27:11, 27:12 incurred [4] - 8:8, 49:7, 49:9, 50:3 incurring [1] - 65:11 independent [3] -20:2, 20:6, 21:7 indicated [1] - 66:10 indication [1] - 53:19 indicators [1] - 12:2 individual [1] - 64:11 individually [1] -43:11 industry [1] - 19:23 information [7] -11:16, 11:23, 12:14, 35:23, 45:5, 48:5, 48.7 infrastructure [1] -51:23 initial [2] - 31:22, 42:25 **initiative** [1] - 3:5 initiatives [3] - 5:23, 7:13, 59:2 instances [2] - 8:4 Institute [1] - 53:8 institutional [11] -36:16, 37:17, 39:23, 40:13, 45:17, 48:25, 52:6, 52:7, 52:19, 52:20 institutionalized [2] -36:9, 36:13 institutions [1] - 11:8 Insurance [1] - 4:12 insurmountable [1] -44:8

integrate [1] - 47:16

integrated [1] - 38:6

interested [2] - 16:22,

interesting [2] - 64:16,

intent [1] - 53:21

17:8

13:24

50:10

illustrate [1] - 38:23

immediate [1] - 2:8

implement [1] - 18:9

important [2] - 10:16,

improve [1] - 10:25

improved [1] - 51:24

64:17 internally [2] - 64:4, 64:22 interpret [1] - 23:7 introduce [1] - 4:4 investment [4] - 70:7, 70:25, 71:2, 71:3 investments [1] - 34:4 involved [3] - 46:17, 54:1, 59:22 isolating [1] - 70:25 issue [3] - 12:17, 29:11, 43:2 IT [1] - 9:1 item [6] - 10:5, 13:17, 66:6, 67:3, 67:6, 67:8 itself [2] - 29:12, 67:23 J

Jay [1] - 31:16 Jewish [1] - 31:17 **job** [1] - 3:19 JOE [1] - 1:14 **Joe** [4] - 2:15, 19:19, 24:1, 58:14 joking [1] - 19:12 July [6] - 14:23, 15:1, 15:2, 15:6, 15:13, 15:14 June [7] - 6:11, 14:19, 14:20, 15:6, 52:25, 53:4, 72:13 jurisdictions [1] -32:20

K

K-A-N-E-B [2] - 40:21, 57:1 K-O-R-M-O-N [1] -60.9 K-O-R-M-O-S [1] -21:24 KANEB [8] - 40:18, 40:21, 41:3, 41:9, 41:17, 56:25, 57:11, 57:25 Kaneb [3] - 40:18, 40:21, 56:25 keep [5] - 3:11, 19:16, 25:1, 39:25, 65:22 kicked [1] - 57:4 KILLIAN [6] - 61:23, 62:11, 62:16, 62:21, 63:6, 63:14 Killian [1] - 61:23 kind [6] - 9:22, 21:4, 21:5, 21:8, 53:16,

i.e [2] - 36:23, 55:20

23:21, 68:16

ideas [1] - 38:3

Identify [1] - 12:10

identify [6] - 2:7, 6:14,

13:8, 13:14, 13:22,

idea [4] - 19:14, 23:18,

70:3 Kissinger [2] - 2:11, 4:5 KISSINGER [76] -1:11, 2:11, 4:6, 20:11, 21:10, 22:7, 23:4, 23:10, 24:14, 24:20, 24:23, 25:7, 26:18, 27:2, 27:15, 27:21, 28:5, 28:22, 28:25, 29:11, 31:11, 32:6, 32:13, 32:24, 33:9, 33:19, 34:10, 35:13, 36:18, 37:18, 37:21, 38:16, 38:19, 39:2, 39:19, 40:10, 42:15, 43:14, 43:20, 44:12, 45:4, 45:25, 46:7, 46:10, 46:12, 46:18, 48:6, 48:12, 49:17, 49:24, 51:9, 51:14, 51:18, 51:20, 52:2, 52:12, 54:25, 55:23, 58:24, 59:18, 60:17, 61:2, 61:8, 61:15, 61:20, 61:22, 62:19, 62:23, 63:7, 63:24, 64:2, 64:15, 64:21, 66:22, 67:6, 67:25 knows [1] - 6:3 KORMON [6] - 60:8, 60:20, 61:5, 61:10, 61:18, 61:21 Kormon [1] - 60:8 KORMOS [7] - 21:20, 21:24, 22:13, 22:19, 23:8, 23:12, 23:25 Kormos [1] - 21:21 KOSKI [4] - 27:8, 27:9, 27:19, 28:3 Koski [1] - 27:8

L

LaFave [1] - 4:11
Lamica [2] - 72:3,
72:11
lapse [1] - 49:8
larger [3] - 37:4,
70:20, 71:2
last [5] - 21:23, 33:25,
36:4, 40:19, 69:1
Last [1] - 35:6
late [1] - 19:20
Laura [1] - 4:11
law [10] - 4:20, 5:7,
29:13, 29:15, 29:19,
29:20, 31:2, 48:8,
62:23, 62:24

least [1] - 23:16 leave [3] - 56:10, 56:13, 56:17 leaving [1] - 17:25 led [1] - 66:6 Lee [1] - 3:23 left [5] - 2:8, 17:6, 68:24, 69:13, 70:8 legislation [1] - 28:4 Legislature [2] -29:22, 36:20 less [1] - 55:13 letter [1] - 53:21 level [4] - 37:16, 38:2, 60:22, 69:21 levels [2] - 38:4, 58:23 license [2] - 62:3, 63:4 licensed [3] - 6:16, 31:8, 51:18 Licensure [2] - 2:4, 3:16 licensure [3] - 32:23, 33:4, 33:7 Lilly [1] - 25:11 line [1] - 16:23 link [1] - 51:6 Lisa [1] - 44:4 list [2] - 7:14, 7:16 listed [1] - 17:16 Living [2] - 42:8, 51:1 living [9] - 20:4, 21:8, 26:15, 51:4, 51:16, 61:7, 61:24, 66:24 Liz [2] - 21:21, 60:8 LLC [1] - 58:17 loaded [1] - 37:18 local [2] - 7:5, 55:20 locally [1] - 13:12 locations [1] - 37:13 long-term [5] - 25:21, 53:15, 60:3, 67:11, 67:18 Look [1] - 13:21 look [40] - 6:25, 7:14, 8:13, 8:16, 8:17, 8:22, 10:15, 11:6, 12:3, 12:8, 20:14, 22:8, 24:25, 32:7, 37:2, 39:22, 46:20, 47:7, 48:15, 50:16, 52:2, 53:15, 55:5, 55:12, 58:25, 59:2, 59:3, 59:16, 61:17, 63:10, 64:4, 64:6, 67:12, 69:11, 69:13, 69:16

laws [1] - 63:1

lead [3] - 59:19, 59:20

learned [1] - 43:9

lease [2] - 53:20

looked [1] - 16:15 looking [14] - 5:24, 6:5, 7:10, 17:24, 44:1, 44:14, 46:1, 60:22, 62:2, 65:19, 66:6, 67:4, 69:25, 71:8 loss [1] - 38:11 low [1] - 22:4 Lowell [1] - 32:17 lowest [1] - 37:16

М M-A-D-E-A-L-O-N-I [1] - 33:11 M-A-R-X [1] - 43:6 M-C-G-L-A-D-R-E-Y 111 - 24:7 MADEALONI [9] -33:10, 64:23, 65:14, 65:19, 66:1, 66:4, 67:1, 67:16, 68:3 Madealoni [2] - 33:10, 64:23 mail [10] - 6:8, 10:18, 14:15, 17:16, 21:12, 21:15, 21:18, 26:20, 31:13, 48:20 mailed [1] - 17:17 main [1] - 48:18 maintain [1] - 12:5 major [1] - 19:21 Management [1] -61:24 Mark [6] - 2:11, 4:5, 15:24, 30:4, 53:4, 53:7 MARK [1] - 1:11 MARTIN [1] - 1:17 MARX [12] - 28:13, 28:24, 29:3, 29:24, 30:7, 30:15, 30:20, 31:3, 31:15, 43:5, 43:19, 44:1 Marx [2] - 28:13, 43:6 Mary [2] - 48:2, 48:20 **MARYBETH** [1] - 1:16 match [1] - 8:11 matching [10] - 25:13, 25:16, 25:20, 26:3, 26:7, 41:6, 68:12, 68:13, 68:17, 69:7 matter [1] - 31:7 maximum [3] - 3:12, 17:3, 61:13 McG [1] - 24:10 McGladrey [2] - 24:2,

mean [15] - 5:8, 8:4, 13:11, 24:21, 27:3, 27:16, 40:25, 42:1, 42:4, 51:15, 59:21, 67:16, 70:2, 70:19, 71.1 meaning [1] - 34:15 means [3] - 5:5, 7:20, 14:20 measure [1] - 50:16 mechanism [3] - 13:8, 13:13, 21:6 Medical [1] - 26:10 medical [3] - 8:20, 37:8, 38:7 meet [2] - 18:23, 41:10 Meeting [1] - 1:7 meeting [2] - 17:18, 17:20 meets [1] - 9:17 Meloveck [1] - 2:15 MELOVECK [2] - 1:14, 2:15 members [1] - 2:7 Memorial [2] - 4:9, 65:1 mention [2] - 15:16, 43:21 mentioned [4] - 16:9, 40:22, 58:9, 67:23 merely [1] - 13:3 met [1] - 22:11 methodology [4] -13:4, 31:25, 54:18, 55:8 Metropolitan [1] -31:17 microphone [1] -16:24 middle [2] - 20:7, 50:19 might [7] - 15:22, 16:2, 25:20, 48:4, 50:8, 57:8, 57:18 milestone [2] - 15:5, 66:2 milestones [2] -12:25, 13:1 million [5] - 14:3, 26:13, 43:24, 58:12, 58:13 mind [4] - 25:1, 39:25, 57:12, 65:23 minimum [1] - 9:25 misinterpreting [1] -66:18 mistakes [1] - 15:23 model [4] - 60:12, 60:18, 60:24, 61:11

modifications [1] -

62:3 modify [2] - 29:20, 29:23 moment [1] - 17:25 money [10] - 7:20, 8:2, 8:11, 14:2, 18:9, 22:3, 24:7, 24:11, 36:24, 57:6 monies [3] - 27:10, 33:15, 37:7 Monitoring [1] - 13:3 most [1] - 44:8 move [10] - 3:9, 4:2, 4:21, 5:18, 6:19, 6:23, 8:2, 13:6, 40:13, 52:19 movement [2] - 39:22, 52:6 moving [5] - 4:15, 14:5, 27:23, 38:21 MR [239] - 2:1, 2:9, 2:11, 2:13, 2:15, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 2:23, 2:25, 4:6, 15:17, 16:7, 18:2, 18:5, 18:12, 18:14, 18:17, 18:18, 18:20, 18:21, 18:25, 19:5, 19:8, 19:9, 19:16, 19:18, 19:19, 20:11, 20:18, 20:20, 20:21, 20:25, 21:2, 21:10, 21:19, 22:7, 22:16, 22:21, 23:4, 23:10, 23:16, 24:1, 24:6, 24:12, 24:14, 24:16, 24:20, 24:22, 24:23, 25:6, 25:7, 25:9, 25:14, 25:18, 26:9, 26:18, 26:22, 27:2, 27:5, 27:8, 27:15, 27:19, 27:21, 28:3, 28:5, 28:10, 28:13, 28:22, 28:24, 28:25, 29:3, 29:11, 29:24, 29:25, 30:7, 30:10, 30:15, 30:18, 30:20, 30:21, 31:3, 31:5, 31:11, 31:15, 31:16, 31:21, 31:23, 32:6, 32:10, 32:13, 32:16, 32:17, 32:24, 33:8, 33:9, 33:10, 33:19, 34:10, 34:20, 34:22, 35:1, 35:4, 35:13, 35:24, 35:25, 36:6, 36:18, 37:6, 37:18, 37:20, 37:21, 37:23, 38:16, 38:18, 38:19, 38:25, 39:2, 39:7,

24:6

39:9, 39:19, 40:6, 40:10, 40:17, 40:25, 41:5, 41:14, 41:20, 41:24, 42:1, 42:15, 42:18, 43:3, 43:4, 43:5, 43:14, 43:19, 43:20, 44:1, 44:12, 45:4. 45:25. 46:7. 46:10, 46:12, 46:18, 47:8, 47:21, 47:24, 47:25, 48:6, 48:12, 48:17, 48:22, 49:6, 49:11, 49:17, 49:20, 49:24, 50:24, 51:9, 51:14, 51:18, 51:20, 52:2, 52:12, 53:3, 53:6, 53:18, 54:4, 54:7, 54:11, 54:12, 54:13, 54:25, 55:15, 55:23, 56:5, 56:6, 56:8, 56:12, 56:21, 57:9, 57:12, 58:7, 58:14, 58:24, 59:8, 59:18, 60:5, 60:7, 60:17, 61:2, 61:8, 61:15, 61:20, 61:22, 61:23, 62:9, 62:11, 62:12, 62:16, 62:19, 62:21, 62:23, 63:6, 63:7, 63:14, 63:24, 64:2, 64:15, 64:19, 64:21, 64:23, 65:14, 65:19, 66:1, 66:4, 66:22, 67:1, 67:6, 67:16, 67:25, 68:3, 68:4, 68:9, 69:4, 69:5, 69:11, 69:19, 70:2, 70:9, 70:13, 70:17, 70:19, 70:23, 71:1, 71:5, 71:9 MS [62] - 21:20, 21:24, 22:13, 22:19, 23:8, 23:12, 23:25, 25:11, 25:15, 26:8, 33:22, 34:1, 34:13, 34:25, 35:3, 35:15, 40:18, 40:21, 41:3, 41:9, 41:17, 42:6, 42:17, 44:3, 44:21, 45:14, 45:15, 46:4, 46:9, 46:11, 46:16, 47:20, 48:2, 48:10, 48:14, 50:7, 50:25, 51:12, 51:16, 51:19, 51:22, 52:8, 53:2, 56:16, 56:25, 57:11, 57:19, 57:25, 58:2, 60:8, 60:20, 61:5, 61:10, 61:18, 61:21, 65:12, 65:17, 65:22, 66:3, 68:6, 68:10, 71:6

multi [1] - 32:20 multi-use [1] - 32:20 multiple [4] - 41:18, 57:6, 57:10, 59:9 must [3] - 8:7, 37:4, 50:3

Ν N-E-W-C-O-M-B [1] -44:5 N-Y-C-Q-A-L [1] - 42:9 name [15] - 2:3, 16:25, 21:23, 33:25, 35:6, 36:1, 36:4, 36:5, 36:9, 39:6, 40:19, 43:5, 56:19, 60:5, 60:6 Nancy [1] - 3:17 narrow [1] - 66:9 National [1] - 53:8 nature [1] - 15:18 necessarily [5] - 11:4, 53:12, 63:17, 70:10, 70.11 necessary [1] - 17:2 need [34] - 9:15, 11:25, 12:10, 12:19, 15:3, 15:23, 16:4, 19:25, 25:4, 31:25, 32:3, 32:11, 32:14, 41:6, 47:18, 50:21, 53:13, 53:16, 54:18, 55:3, 55:7, 59:19, 59:23, 60:5, 60:6, 62:4, 62:5, 63:1, 63:9, 63:10, 64:24 Need [1] - 46:23 needed [5] - 13:9, 54:21, 60:24, 61:14 negotiation [1] - 58:11 negotiations [1] -14:12 **NEIL** [1] - 1:12 Neil [1] - 2:13 new [8] - 7:7, 22:5, 27:13, 39:14, 39:15, 42:12, 42:13, 59:11 **NEW** [1] - 1:2 New [15] - 1:8, 4:18, 4:19, 4:23, 4:24, 5:1, 9:18, 25:11, 27:9, 28:2, 35:5, 37:3, 42:7, 54:13, 72:4 **NEWCOMB** [3] - 44:3, 44:21, 45:14 Newcomb [1] - 44:4

Next [1] - 5:2

68:22, 69:2

next [4] - 35:11, 41:22,

night [1] - 61:14 Nokowski [1] - 3:17 non [12] - 5:4, 27:11, 27:24, 28:15, 36:13, 36:15, 36:23, 36:24, 39:23, 40:13, 52:7, 52:20 non-ALP [1] - 36:24 non-competitive [1] non-institutional [4] -39:23, 40:13, 52:7, 52:20 non-institutionalized [1] - 36:13 non-nursing [1] -27:24 non-operational [1] -28:15 non-regulated [2] -36:15, 36:23 non-residential [1] -27:11 Nora [2] - 72:3, 72:11 normally [1] - 26:1 not-for-profit [2] - 7:6, 7:8 Notary [1] - 72:4 nothing [5] - 20:12, 33:6, 46:20, 48:3, 58:10 **notion** [1] - 38:4 number [17] - 5:6, 5:11, 9:8, 10:5, 10:14, 17:3, 33:14, 46:1, 48:18, 48:19, 55:24, 56:3, 57:15, 58:25, 59:3, 59:6, 59:7 numerical [3] - 9:14, 9:23, 19:24 nursing [63] - 5:25, 6:4, 6:5, 6:18, 6:20, 6:21, 7:2, 7:4, 11:9, 12:6, 19:1, 19:22, 20:3, 20:9, 21:25, 24:21, 24:24, 26:13, 27:24, 28:15, 28:17, 28:20, 29:5, 29:7, 30:16. 33:13. 33:16. 34:6, 34:15, 36:7, 36:19, 37:12, 39:21, 44:9, 44:10, 44:17, 44:22, 45:8, 45:17, 46:1, 48:23, 51:3, 51:6, 52:10, 52:16, 53:14, 54:17, 54:19,

nexus [1] - 55:17

59:5, 59:10, 59:12, 60:15, 60:21, 65:4, 65:5, 66:10 Nursing [1] - 48:3 NY [1] - 1:3 NYCQAL [1] - 42:9

0

o'clock [4] - 3:9, 3:10, 15:13, 15:14 OASIS [2] - 32:21, 33:5 objective [1] - 19:22 objectives [7] - 9:7, 9:9, 12:21, 12:23, 20:16, 37:3, 37:5 Objectives [1] - 12:20 obviously [2] - 46:13, 58:6 Obviously [5] - 13:9, 44:14, 55:6, 55:24, 59:5 occupancy [2] - 12:7, 54:19 occurring [1] - 35:20 October [1] - 7:23 **OF** [1] - 1:2 Office [4] - 2:12, 3:23, 4:12, 8:6 office [1] - 4:11 official [1] - 16:17 offset [1] - 34:18 old [1] - 22:4 **OMH** [2] - 32:21, 33:4 once [4] - 14:13, 48:15, 56:14, 56:15 One [2] - 65:22, 68:10 one [48] - 3:8, 8:4, 8:18, 9:4, 13:10, 15:15, 16:8, 16:11, 17:1, 17:2, 17:6, 18:25, 19:25, 20:8, 20:13, 20:17, 22:25, 24:12, 29:12, 38:2, 40:23, 41:17, 47:23, 50:1, 51:2, 53:13, 55:2, 57:7, 57:23, 57:24, 58:7, 59:2, 59:4, 59:6, 59:23, 59:24, 59:25, 66:20, 67:15, 68:7, 69:2, 69:12 one's [1] - 42:9 one-for-one [3] -19:25, 20:8, 20:13 one-to-one [2] - 51:2, 53:13 ones [1] - 18:24

open [1] - 32:19

operate [1] - 31:7 operating [4] - 33:17, 34:14, 34:17, 70:1 operational [1] - 28:15 **operator** [1] - 7:4 opportunities [3] -34:7, 42:23, 45:2 opportunity [4] -39:22, 40:1, 47:2, 52:22 opposed [1] - 67:5 option [2] - 18:10, 20:5 options [3] - 6:17, 12:5, 27:11 order [7] - 33:16, 39:12, 44:10, 47:6, 56:10, 60:14, 69:22 organization [4] -17:1, 25:21, 31:9, 35:19 organizations [1] -25:25 organizing [1] - 3:19 original [1] - 66:7 originally [3] - 43:7, 63:16, 63:20 originals [1] - 15:10 otherwise [2] - 36:16, 53:14 outside [2] - 46:14, 51:24 overall [3] - 6:22, 52:5, 70:21 Overall [1] - 40:4 overlooked [1] - 70:10 overriding [1] - 46:13 own [3] - 30:6, 30:25, 48:19 owner [3] - 35:9, 35:12, 53:21 ownership [1] - 35:10

Р

P-O-F-I-T [1] - 60:7 p.m [2] - 1:6, 71:20 PACE [2] - 34:3, 34:4 packages [2] - 11:19, 11:22 page [4] - 43:21, 58:25, 59:21, 66:23 pages [4] - 11:13, 11:14, 16:12, 16:14 PALMER [3] - 48:2, 48:10, 48:14 Palmer [1] - 48:2 panel [2] - 2:7, 14:8 PANEL [1] - 1:10 paperwork [1] - 3:25

54:20, 54:24, 55:8,

55:17, 58:18, 58:21,

parents [1] - 7:4 part [12] - 4:3, 22:2, 25:22, 29:3, 30:1, 37:1, 37:5, 65:7, 65:25, 69:7, 70:20 partial [1] - 58:11 particular [1] - 71:14 particularly [2] - 3:17, parties [2] - 33:12, partners [1] - 46:20 pass [2] - 68:22, 69:1 passed [1] - 29:15 past [2] - 16:19, 57:15 Pat [1] - 51:1 path [1] - 13:7 pathway [1] - 58:23 patient [1] - 45:19 patient-centered [1] -45:19 patients [4] - 39:16, 39:17, 60:23 PAUL [1] - 1:20 Paul [4] - 2:23, 37:6, 39:7, 69:5 pay [3] - 46:4, 69:17, 70:4 paying [1] - 70:21 Paying [1] - 65:12 payment [1] - 65:16 pdf [1] - 15:11 People [2] - 8:12, 53:8 people [32] - 5:8, 5:16, 6:4, 6:5, 6:12, 7:13, 7:25, 8:15, 8:22, 10:9, 10:15, 11:5, 13:19, 16:19, 17:4, 24:13, 27:23, 29:21, 36:8, 36:11, 36:15, 41:22, 43:11, 43:24, 46:19, 47:6, 48:11, 51:10, 61:13, 63:16, 64:9, 67:9 percent [5] - 9:23, 9:24, 24:19, 26:16, 50:14 perhaps [2] - 54:21, 63:21 period [11] - 6:2, 8:8, 27:4, 35:8, 47:15, 49:2, 49:7, 50:6, 50:23, 65:20, 71:12 permit [1] - 36:11 person [3] - 16:25, 38:12, 57:5 persons [1] - 16:22 Pflieger [1] - 2:23 PFLIEGER [2] - 1:20, 2:23

phase [7] - 26:25, 27:7, 34:15, 34:16, 50:11, 50:18, 50:22 PHASE [1] - 1:3 phased [1] - 26:24 phases [1] - 50:20 physician [1] - 36:1 piece [3] - 16:11, 17:12, 54:9 pieces [1] - 16:10 place [1] - 39:16 placement [1] - 22:9 places [1] - 45:8 plan [5] - 13:3, 13:6, 13:12, 52:4, 52:5 planning [3] - 23:18, 55:7, 55:19 Planning [2] - 2:10, 2:14 plans [2] - 23:13, 23:15 Plaza [1] - 1:7 plenty [1] - 6:12 plus [2] - 11:13, 16:12 **POFIT** [9] - 19:19, 20:18, 20:21, 21:2, 21:19, 58:14, 59:8, 60:5, 60:7 Pofit [3] - 19:19, 58:14, 60:7 point [9] - 4:15, 16:8, 17:23, 21:17, 24:23, 25:2, 25:3, 27:16, 71:11 points [1] - 12:18 population [6] - 12:3, 12:4, 37:10, 37:16, 60:14.61:1 populations [2] - 8:21, 12:5 portion [5] - 16:17, 16:18, 28:8, 49:13, 49:21 position [1] - 71:16 possibilities [1] - 43:9 possible [1] - 38:15 possibly [1] - 43:10 post [1] - 6:10 posted [1] - 56:14 posting [1] - 14:19 potential [1] - 70:3 potentially [1] - 22:3 practice [1] - 36:2 pre [1] - 42:2 pre-empt [1] - 42:2 precisely [1] - 3:8

prefer [1] - 43:16

preliminary [2] -

15:20, 23:17

premises [1] - 53:21

present [1] - 32:14 presentation [10] -3:2, 3:4, 3:11, 3:14, 3:22, 4:3, 16:17. 16:21, 17:14 presumption [1] -32.11 pretty [2] - 14:25, 58:9 prevailing [1] - 54:19 prevent [1] - 36:8 previous [1] - 26:11 previously [3] - 21:25, 22:13, 22:14 principle [1] - 40:4 principles [2] - 4:22, 5:20 priorities [1] - 9:17 **problem** [1] - 12:16 **Procedural** [1] - 56:8 process [24] - 6:7, 6:8, 8:3, 15:4, 21:18, 28:17, 28:19, 30:14, 30:24, 32:23, 42:5, 43:12, 44:23, 46:15, 46:22, 53:10, 53:25, 55:7, 57:13, 58:11, 61:9, 64:9, 66:16, 67:8 processes [2] - 33:4, 47:5 procurement [6] - 5:3, 5:22, 10:12, 14:8, 14:11, 15:18 produce [1] - 44:9 **productive** [1] - 71:3 profit [2] - 7:6, 7:8 program [9] - 34:3, 36:7, 37:5, 60:13, 60:19, 62:5, 66:24 programs [8] - 6:16, 27:12, 27:13, 34:4, 34:15, 34:17, 48:5, 60:11 progress [2] - 13:4, 13:13 progressing [1] -12.22 project [33] - 7:17, 7:22, 9:16, 9:17, 9:19, 10:24, 13:4, 13:24, 14:13, 20:15, 24:21, 25:22, 26:14, 26:23, 26:24, 26:25, 27:7, 28:2, 35:7, 37:8, 41:7, 49:4, 49:14, 50:8, 50:12, 50:14, 66:7, 69:10, 70:15, 70:18, 70:20,

projects [8] - 5:15, 5:18, 12:12, 14:6, 24:17, 46:14, 47:9, 57:14 promise [1] - 16:13 property [1] - 54:10 proposal [5] - 12:10, 13:7, 20:14, 51:5, 52.4 proposals [2] - 10:20, 57:7 propose [1] - 41:7 proposed [2] - 10:24, 18:22 protocol [1] - 3:7 **prove** [1] - 55:2 provide [5] - 35:22, 36:15, 45:5, 51:23, 60:22 provided [1] - 3:21 provider [1] - 51:4 providers [3] - 12:7, 29:17, 51:25 providing [1] - 38:8 Public [3] - 31:1, 58:19, 72:4 public [4] - 20:10, 30:22, 44:25, 55:3 publish [2] - 15:20, 16:6 purpose [6] - 5:21, 25:23, 37:13, 47:13, 55:19, 67:20 purposes [5] - 53:23, 53:25, 54:2, 55:16, 67:17 push [1] - 46:13 put [17] - 6:8, 16:1, 22:22, 23:6, 26:3, 26:20, 26:21, 27:19, 31:11, 31:13, 42:8, 42:22, 63:3, 63:20, 67:6, 68:12, 71:16 putting [3] - 4:13, 10:3, 68:12 Q

qualifies [1] - 67:12

22:6, 39:12, 39:18,

44:10, 57:4, 59:4,

questioner [1] - 42:4

questions [20] - 3:3,

3:12, 6:10, 10:17,

17:14, 17:17, 17:20,

16:3, 17:4, 17:5,

qualify [9] - 16:4,

59:6, 60:16

Quality [1] - 42:7

projections [1] - 70:15

rare [2] - 8:3, 8:4 rate [1] - 29:18 rather [4] - 43:17, **RCF** [1] - 31:18 reach [2] - 19:24, 48:17 9:8. 44:18 reading [2] - 37:24 readmission [1] -38:10 readmissions [2] -37:14, 38:11 reads [1] - 66:23 real [1] - 2:7 55:21, 56:3, 69:3 realm [1] - 38:14 reason [2] - 15:1, 42:25 53:24, 54:3 13:16 rebuild [1] - 46:5 30:12, 30:24 recognized [2] -30:13, 30:16 18:9 - 19:1 reconfigure [1] -39:14 reconfigured [1] -69:18 reconstruction [1] -

48:11, 56:22, 59:22, 68:5, 68:7, 68:10, 71:10, 71:13, 71:17 Questions [2] - 6:7, 14.18 quickly [3] - 2:8, 14:18, 48:5 quite [1] - 3:24

R

raise [1] - 64:2

70:18, 70:23, 70:25 read [4] - 5:17, 8:14, realize [2] - 13:5, 63:3 really [11] - 4:21, 5:16, 31:7, 35:15, 36:11, 38:6, 51:12, 52:24, reasonable [6] - 9:11, 13:18, 13:21, 53:23, reasonableness [1] recognize [3] - 25:25, recommendation [1] recommendations [1] record [2] - 47:4, 72:5 reduce [4] - 38:4, 38:8, 40:5, 40:7 reduced [1] - 45:24

project's [1] - 12:22

70:25

reducing [1] - 48:25 reduction [14] - 5:25, 6:1, 20:8, 34:6, 37:14, 39:12, 39:20, 40:3, 40:14, 44:15, 46:1, 46:3, 53:1, 53:14 reductions [2] - 5:24, 6:18 refer [1] - 36:25 refining [1] - 4:24 regarding [5] - 6:7, 16:8, 17:18, 42:20, 48:24 regards [3] - 34:2, 34:14, 71:7 region [3] - 36:2, 52:16, 56:4 regional [2] - 29:18, 43:22 regions [4] - 55:22, 55:25, 56:1, 56:2 regulated [3] - 36:15, 36:16, 36:23 regulation [1] - 48:16 regulations [1] - 63:2 Reilly [1] - 2:21 **REILLY** [2] - 1:19, 2:21 reimbursable [2] -8:7, 56:2 reimbursement [4] -29:8, 29:10, 29:12, 29:16 related [3] - 34:6, 65:4, 70:15 Related [1] - 70:17 relationship [1] -53:13 rely [1] - 7:25 remain [1] - 36:12 remind [1] - 17:13 remove [1] - 62:13 replace [2] - 24:9, 40:22 replacement [2] -20:1, 22:1 replacing [1] - 22:25 report [1] - 11:6 Reporter [1] - 72:3 **REPORTER** [7] - 18:3, 21:22, 24:4, 33:24, 36:3, 39:5, 40:19 reports [1] - 11:5 represent [2] - 34:3, 53.7 request [1] - 65:7 requests [1] - 57:16 require [1] - 63:2

required [5] - 23:13,

25:13, 26:4, 47:10, 70:12 requirement [2] -24:17, 69:8 requires [1] - 3:7 requisite [1] - 30:22 research [1] - 43:18 residence [1] - 51:17 residential [8] - 6:16, 6:17, 12:4, 20:5, 27:11, 27:24, 39:24, 60:10 residential/non [1] -60:10 residential/nonresidential [1] -60:10 respite [3] - 60:13, 60:24, 61:11 responses [1] - 9:7 restructuring [1] -57:14 result [3] - 39:20, 40:3, 69:15 resulting [2] - 35:18, 35:23 retain [1] - 37:16 retirement [1] - 9:3 return [2] - 38:12, 70:6 revenue [3] - 69:9, 70:1, 70:15 revenues [5] - 69:16, 69:23, 70:3, 70:12, 70:22 review [3] - 5:14, 10:13, 56:15 reviewers [1] - 23:22 **RFA** [5] - 4:22, 5:10, 29:19. 40:12. 69:7 RFP [2] - 7:19, 39:20 RGA [26] - 5:17, 9:6, 9:7, 9:8, 9:10, 9:13, 14:21, 15:9, 18:23, 19:6, 22:10, 24:24, 25:23, 27:18, 29:19, 39:22, 42:16, 43:22, 43:25, 44:14, 44:19, 52:3, 52:18, 52:21 RHCF [3] - 5:24, 32:2, 32:12 RHCFs [1] - 44:6 right-sizing [3] - 11:8, 28:4, 28:6 rightsizing [1] - 31:19

rigorous [1] - 46:22

Rochester [1] - 48:23

round [2] - 4:24, 41:22

Robert [1] - 43:6

Room [1] - 1:7

roots [1] - 38:11

rounds [2] - 5:4, 57:2 **RSM** [2] - 24:2, 24:6 ruin [1] - 14:23 rule [1] - 29:17 rules [9] - 2:6, 5:6, 10:12, 10:16, 10:17, 10:18, 14:7, 64:12 run [2] - 62:7, 62:22 S save [1] - 41:21 saw [1] - 43:25 1:15, 2:17 **scope** [1] - 9:15 52:21 scores [1] - 41:12 53:10, 68:20 screen [1] - 71:11 Second [2] - 3:20, 26:22 second [9] - 8:19, 17:8, 17:9, 29:3, 66:4, 68:4 Secondly [1] - 3:7 section [1] - 71:6 sector [1] - 14:3

scarcity [1] - 36:10 scenarios [1] - 57:8 schematics [1] - 23:13 SCHREIVOGL [2] -Schreivogl [1] - 2:18 score [3] - 9:14, 9:25, scoring [12] - 9:23, 10:1, 12:18, 14:25, 20:18, 20:22, 21:5, 21:9, 21:14, 41:16, screening [1] - 60:1 50:7, 56:23, 65:3, Secretary [1] - 67:19 sections [1] - 50:20 secured [1] - 22:25 see [9] - 7:14, 8:3, 34:14, 43:2, 46:14, 52:3, 69:22, 70:10, 70:11 seek [2] - 40:23, 69:8 seeking [1] - 38:14 send [1] - 10:14 senior [2] - 7:6, 37:10 SeniorCare [1] - 33:23 sense [3] - 27:17, 27:22, 33:1 Separate [1] - 15:9 separate [3] - 11:19, 11:22, 42:25 **September** [3] - 7:21, 15:4, 15:7 serious [1] - 8:10 serves [1] - 26:5

service [3] - 7:6, 9:2, 54:3 Services [1] - 54:14 services [12] - 8:20, 12:5, 25:24, 34:5, 37:10, 38:8, 55:3, 66:12, 66:14, 67:19, 69:15, 70:1 session [2] - 3:6, 16:4 setting [2] - 51:25, 59:11 settings [1] - 36:13 setup [1] - 39:14 seventy [4] - 9:23. 20:6, 21:4, 21:7 seventy-five [4] -9:23, 20:6, 21:4, 21:7 shared [1] - 27:25 **Sharp** [3] - 8:11, 65:23, 65:24 shell [1] - 69:18 short [2] - 3:11, 65:20 **Shorthand** [1] - 72:3 shot [1] - 32:25 show [7] - 28:11, 52:9, 52:14, 53:1, 56:4, 62:5, 69:8 showing [1] - 55:16 **shown** [1] - 55:11 sic [1] - 50:12 side [2] - 13:15, 28:1 signed [3] - 15:10, 28:16, 65:15 similarly [1] - 54:23 simple [2] - 10:4, 26:19 simply [1] - 66:18 **single** [1] - 16:23 site [6] - 20:5, 23:13, 53:10, 53:16, 53:22, 54:1 situation [2] - 30:3, 54:22 six [1] - 15:11 sixty [2] - 9:25, 49:8 sixty-day [1] - 49:8 sixty-five [1] - 9:25 sizing [3] - 11:8, 28:4, 28:6 sketches [1] - 23:17 skilled [1] - 20:9 slide [3] - 5:2, 8:15, 8:18 slides [1] - 4:15 slots [3] - 27:11, 32:4, 70:4 small [2] - 26:1, 61:12

social [3] - 60:18,

60:23, 61:10

sold [1] - 35:9 solid [2] - 38:7 someone [1] - 20:24 sometimes [5] - 5:13, 15:23, 45:8, 47:18, 57:3 somewhere [1] -52:15 **SOMMER** [3] - 42:6, 42:7, 42:17 Sommer [1] - 42:6 sorry [3] - 18:3, 18:5, 24:4 sort [1] - 23:24 sounds [1] - 64:25 sources [3] - 13:23, 13:24, 69:9 **space** [2] - 39:15, 69:18 **SPEAKER** [3] - 63:15, 64:1, 64:13 speaking [1] - 49:12 special [1] - 69:8 specific [8] - 24:15, 38:13, 43:15, 48:15, 53:10, 55:1, 61:1, 70:11 specifically [5] - 7:11, 41:13, 59:1, 60:2, 67:10 specification [1] -20:17 specifics [1] - 18:23 **speeded** [1] - 47:18 spell [4] - 21:22, 33:24, 36:3, 64:24 spelled [1] - 35:6 spend [2] - 51:5, 52:23 spent [1] - 29:13 **spirit** [4] - 11:3, 11:6, 11:11, 44:13 **sponsor** [2] - 59:10, 59:13 sponsored [2] - 37:11, 60:21 sponsors [4] - 24:8, 24:11, 24:18, 59:9 spots [1] - 47:1 square [1] - 23:15 staff [2] - 17:17, 17:19 staffing [1] - 70:5 stand [2] - 41:7, 56:23 standard [1] - 9:22 standards [1] - 63:18 standing [1] - 16:24 start [7] - 2:5, 2:8, 17:20, 27:13, 45:10, 49:4, 68:19 state [1] - 16:25

STATE [1] - 1:2 State [12] - 1:7, 5:7, 10:6, 14:24, 27:10, 35:5, 44:4, 55:4, 67:20, 70:5, 70:6, 72.4 statements [1] - 12:14 statewide [2] - 13:12, 19:23 stating [1] - 53:24 status [2] - 12:2, 12:3 statute [3] - 28:7, 29:20, 48:8 staying [1] - 61:13 **STEIF** [4] - 39:9, 40:6, 40:17 Steif [1] - 39:9 Steve [2] - 48:22, 61:23 stickler [2] - 10:10, 11:19 still [6] - 22:19, 27:24, 28:5, 46:22, 47:2, 47:5 stop [2] - 39:4, 39:13 strict [3] - 5:6, 10:13, 15:2 structured [1] - 38:5 submission [1] - 15:8 submit [11] - 12:25, 19:11, 21:11, 21:17, 23:15, 25:5, 34:12, 35:21, 37:2, 39:1, submitted [4] - 14:13, 43:1, 43:7, 43:8 submitting [2] - 52:23, 57:10 subsequent [2] - 16:3, 70:22 subset [1] - 29:16 subsidy [1] - 36:19 substitute [2] - 21:3, 41:2 successful [5] -18:15, 18:17, 18:19, 18:20, 31:22 successfully [1] - 35:7 sufficient [2] - 69:23, suggest [4] - 36:10, 38:1, 39:1, 54:20 summary [2] - 10:24, 11:10 summer [1] - 14:24 supplant [5] - 14:1, 14:4, 23:2, 62:15, 63.8 supplanting [3] - 41:1, 62:14, 63:23

support [3] - 8:21, 10:25, 69:24 supposed [2] - 4:15, 14:5 survive [1] - 35:11 sustain [1] - 69:15 sustainability [1] -69:9 switch [1] - 62:17 system [10] - 4:21, 5:18, 6:19, 6:20, 6:21, 12:9, 27:23, 40:13, 52:6, 52:19 systems [1] - 3:21

Τ

T-E-N-A-N [1] - 39:8 table [1] - 56:18 talks [2] - 5:19, 11:7 task [1] - 22:12 tasks [2] - 12:20, 12:23 technical [9] - 4:12, 9:24, 10:7, 11:17, 11:18, 11:23, 15:9, 16:11, 69:20 Technical [2] - 11:15, 11:21 technicality [1] -10:22 ten [1] - 43:21 TENAN [12] - 37:6, 37:20, 37:23, 38:18, 38:25, 39:7, 69:5, 69:19, 70:9, 70:17, 70:23, 71:5 Tenan [3] - 37:6, 39:7, 69:5 term [27] - 4:5, 5:18, 5:23, 6:4, 7:12, 7:13, 8:21, 12:1, 12:9, 14:3, 25:21, 27:12, 35:10, 37:15, 39:23, 39:24, 52:1, 52:4, 53:15, 54:10, 58:22, 59:2, 60:3, 66:12, 66:14, 67:11, 67:18 Term [6] - 2:12, 2:16, 2:20, 2:22, 2:24, theme [1] - 11:11 themselves [2] - 2:7, 69:15 Therefore [1] - 3:10 they've [1] - 3:21 thinking [1] - 28:3 third [5] - 3:23, 41:21, 42:3, 47:22, 68:5

threat [1] - 38:9

three [4] - 3:10, 15:13, 57:15, 70:14 three-year [1] - 70:14 Tiffany [1] - 3:23 timeframe [3] - 50:4, 50:9, 50:13 timeframes [2] - 7:17, 7:18 timeline [2] - 8:10, 45.6 timely [1] - 47:14 today [6] - 3:18, 3:22, 10:18, 15:20, 56:17, 71:18 today's [3] - 3:19, 17:13, 56:10 together [6] - 3:22, 3:25, 4:13, 6:23, 10:3, 13:7 TOMAINO [7] - 24:1, 24:2, 24:6, 24:16, 24:22, 25:6, 25:9 Tomaino [1] - 24:1 Tony [2] - 33:10, 64:23 top [1] - 68:20 total [1] - 16:13 totally [1] - 27:16 towards [2] - 8:18, 12:22 track [2] - 13:4, 13:13 tracking [1] - 47:12 transcript [10] - 15:21, 16:1, 16:6, 28:12, 42:8, 56:9, 56:11, 56:13, 56:20, 72:6 transference [1] - 38:1 transform [1] - 22:4 transition [2] - 36:7, 36:20 tried [3] - 5:10, 47:3, 52:18 triggered [1] - 69:6 true [2] - 47:8, 72:6 try [7] - 3:11, 4:21, 5:9, 6:23, 17:19, 62:2, 67:25 trying [12] - 4:25, 6:19, 6:22, 8:2, 8:11, 10:20, 14:23, 19:24, 37:20, 38:20, 47:16, 61:3 turn [2] - 15:3, 17:11 twelfth [1] - 4:23

Three [1] - 15:14

24:19

two [14] - 5:12, 16:10,
27:4, 33:12, 43:8,
47:15, 49:1, 49:4,
49:7, 50:6, 50:23,
61:13, 67:8, 69:10

Two [1] - 15:10

two-year [6] - 47:15,
49:1, 49:7, 50:6,
50:23, 69:10

type [4] - 20:13, 39:14,
39:15, 53:17

types [1] - 41:6

twenty-five [2] - 9:24,

Ultimately [1] - 27:25 unable [2] - 10:8, 71:13 unclear [1] - 14:14 under [15] - 9:3, 12:11, 18:11, 18:15, 19:4, 19:6, 31:8, 32:20, 32:21, 35:8, 38:14, 42:16, 45:2, 55:4, 59:23 under-bedded [1] -55:4 undergoing [1] - 55:6 understood [1] -49:15 unfair [2] - 20:23, 20:25 uniform [1] - 10:1 unit [6] - 3:20, 20:2, 20:7, 39:14, 55:19, 64:14 units [3] - 21:4, 39:17, 43:8 Unless [1] - 53:4 unless [1] - 62:12 up [14] - 8:4, 12:15, 16:2, 28:11, 39:11, 45:21, 47:18, 51:5, 55:21, 55:24, 56:3, 56:23, 58:12, 59:11 upfront [1] - 65:15 urge [1] - 5:16 usable [1] - 26:16

V

value [2] - 22:10, 50:17 variety [2] - 6:16, 7:1 vehicle [1] - 43:13 via [1] - 14:15 viability [4] - 25:20, 25:21, 69:17, 70:24 viable [1] - 53:15 viewed [1] - 54:23 violate [1] - 11:20 voice [1] - 64:3 volume [1] - 12:6

W

wait [1] - 47:23 waiting [1] - 41:23 waiver [1] - 36:7 waives [1] - 46:21 waiving [1] - 46:25 wants [3] - 15:24, 18:13, 20:1 WARNER [32] - 1:13, 2:1, 2:25, 16:7, 18:12, 19:18, 20:20, 20:25, 22:16, 24:12, 25:14, 28:10, 31:21, 34:20, 41:24, 43:3, 47:21, 47:25, 48:17, 53:3, 54:4, 56:6, 56:12, 56:21, 58:7, 62:9, 62:12, 64:19, 68:4, 68:9, 69:4, 71:9 Warner [1] - 2:3 wasting [1] - 11:14 watch [1] - 39:3 ways [2] - 55:25, 57:17 website [4] - 48:6, 48:7, 56:14, 71:14 weigh [1] - 26:6 welcome [1] - 2:2 whereby [1] - 43:8 whole [12] - 11:6, 12:8, 14:8, 15:4, 24:23, 25:2, 27:25, 28:19, 37:13, 39:20, 49:13, 51:2 wide [1] - 7:1 Williams [1] - 4:11 willing [1] - 57:23 win [2] - 57:23, 57:24 wing [2] - 62:1, 62:8 wish [1] - 22:2 withdraw [5] - 22:20, 23:5, 23:6, 64:14, 64:15 withdrawn [1] - 64:6 wondering [5] - 25:13, 34:5, 36:13, 44:25, 53:9 words [1] - 41:18 Worth [1] - 38:18

worth [1] - 51:4

writing [2] - 25:5,

write [2] - 51:5, 61:18

ALEXY ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (518) 708-6100

twelve [9] - 58:25,

67:7, 67:9

twenty [3] - 9:24,

24:19, 50:14

59:3, 59:7, 59:24,

59:25, 66:7, 67:3,

27:20 wrote [2] - 19:10, 48:9

Υ

year [12] - 6:2, 7:22, 7:23, 8:5, 47:15, 49:1, 49:7, 50:6, 50:14, 50:23, 69:10, 70:14 years [6] - 4:20, 26:24, 26:25, 27:4, 36:21, 49:4 **YORK** [1] - 1:2 York [15] - 1:8, 4:18, 4:19, 4:23, 4:24, 5:1, 9:18, 25:12, 27:10, 28:2, 35:5, 37:3, 42:7, 54:14, 72:4 you's [1] - 4:10 yourself [1] - 57:17