

RFA Number 1308110527

New York State Department of Health

*Office of Health Insurance Programs
Division of Health Reform & Health Insurance Exchange Integration*

Consumer Assistance for the Aged, Blind and Disabled

Questions and Answers

- Q1. Would we as a recipient of the current grant (IPA – Navigator for the ACA) be able to apply for this new grant using the same staff and / or manager or is a completely new staff needed? (Page 16 of RFA)
- A1. Yes a current IPA/Navigator awardee may apply. If the proposed program manager and/or other staff are not dedicated solely to the Consumer Assistance to the Aged, Blind and Disabled project, the applicant must provide a detailed explanation of the other responsibilities of the staff person and how the person is funded. In addition, if the program manager is not 100% dedicated to the project, the application must detail who will be responsible for additional oversight of the project.
- Q2. Would we as a current IPA/Navigator grant recipient be able to be a subcontractor under a Central Agency for the Consumer Assistance for the Aged, Blind and Disabled RFA?
- A2. Yes, a current IPA/Navigator grantee can apply to be a Central Agency or a subcontractor under the Consumer Assistance Program for the Aged, Blind and Disabled.
- Q3. Can a CA apply for only certain counties as described above the chart on pg 19 for the NYC region?
- A3. Yes, a CA can apply for all or part of the regions identified on the chart on page 19 of the RFA. The application must clearly detail the proposed service area.
- Q4. How many blind/aged/disabled applications were received in the most recent calendar year?
- A4. Attached to this Q&A document is a chart that provides the estimated number of the non-magi applications by county.

- Q5. Will only one entity be awarded per region, or can the award be split?
- A5. Awards may be split within a region if the highest scoring applicants do not request the maximum award for that region. As stated in the RFA on page 35, "All applications will be ranked by score from highest to lowest, regardless of the region to be served. Funding will be awarded to the highest scoring proposals in order of highest to lowest ranking, working down the list until funding is exhausted."
- Q6. Will there be other channels by which hospitals, nursing homes, and other providers can submit applications to the DOH or will all applications from the region have to be submitted through the awardee and its subcontractors?
- A6. Applications will continue to be submitted to local Departments of Social Services through existing channels.
- Q7. Can an applicant apply if they did not submit a letter of intent?
- A7. Yes, an applicant can apply if they did not submit a letter of intent.
- Q8. Can a local Department of Social Services apply?
- A8. Yes, as stated on Page 3 of the RFA, local government agencies are also eligible to apply for funding under this procurement.

7/11/2013 PROJECTION OF POTENTIAL NON-MAGI POPULATION IN CURRENT
CASE LOAD BY DISTRICT - JAN 2013

COUNTY	POTENTIAL NON-MAGI CASES
ALBANY	17,680
ALLEGANY	3,721
BROOME	15,873
CATTARAUGUS	6,281
CAYUGA	4,980
CHAUTAUQUA	11,504
CHEMUNG	7,829
CHENANGO	4,440
CLINTON	6,923
COLUMBIA	4,273
CORTLAND	3,478
DELAWARE	3,545
DUTCHESS	13,731
ERIE	65,811
ESSEX	2,800
FRANKLIN	4,043
FULTON	5,263
GENESEE	3,418
GREENE	3,355
HAMILTON	238
HERKIMER	5,327
JEFFERSON	7,489
LEWIS	1,926
LIVINGSTON	3,184
MADISON	4,049
MONROE	51,509
MONTGOMERY	4,326
NASSAU	68,023
NIAGARA	13,892
ONEIDA	20,057
ONONDAGA	31,035
ONTARIO	5,778
ORANGE	20,548
ORLEANS	2,771
OSWEGO	8,185
OTSEGO	4,053
PUTNAM	3,278
RENSELAER	10,678
ROCKLAND	15,698
SAINT LAWRENCE	9,087
SARATOGA	9,094
SCHENECTADY	12,951
SCHOHARIE	2,016
SCHUYLER	1,430
SENECA	2,012
STEUBEN	7,664
SUFFOLK	70,361
SULLIVAN	6,261
TIOGA	3,106
TOMPKINS	4,606
ULSTER	11,432
WARREN	4,551
WASHINGTON	4,402
WAYNE	5,437
WESTCHESTER	48,221
WYOMING	2,248
YATES	1,547
NEW YORK CITY	959,424
STATEWIDE	1,636,842