

Criminal Justice Sub-committee Meeting

MINUTES

SEPTEMBER 11TH,
2013

11:00AM-12:01PM

CONFERENCE CALL:

TYPE OF MEETING	Criminal Justice Sub-Committee
NOTE TAKER	Shirley Cisneros
TIMEKEEPER	Shirley Cisneros
ATTENDEES	Rosemary Cabrera, Robert Lebman, Michelle Colon, Ellen Breslin, Neil Pessin, Lenna Johnson and Karen Nelson; Michelle Colon; Lynda Holmann, Shari Suchoff

- 1) Overview of the proposed changes
- 2) Recommendations and approvals
- 3) Discussion of possible next steps

OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED CHANGES

ROSEMARY CABRERA

DISCUSSION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criminal Justice meeting in Albany will take place on September 24th • Committee members to forward their titles to add to the power-point presentation • Risk for the Criminal Justice population will be added to the power-point presentation • Lynda Holmann to review the definitions of categories and Risks- believes definitions slide is a good idea for those that are not familiar with the full terms • Recommendation to have a category for those individuals that were never incarcerated but arrested <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Recommendation that a referral form can be created that can estimate what category the individuals will fall under • Recommendation to ask about the Definition of inappropriate non-adherence- to look at Greg's old slide presentations for ideas • Recommendation to ask at the meeting on the 24th in Albany- ER visit definition (in a controlled setting)
CONCLUSIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The above will be asked at the Criminal Justice meeting on the 24th in Albany

RECOMMENDATIONS AND APPROVALS

ROSEMARY CABRERA

DISCUSSION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lynda Holmann believes that 19 is a very high acuity score for a homeless criminal justice individual to be scored (not all fall under all categories to score so high) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Robert Lebman to have a meeting with Rosemary to further discuss • Recommendations- an enhancement of care coordination due to the norm of services needed to serve the population • *a slide will be added to the power-point that has all of the recommendations • Integration of information – prior approval before September 24th • Provider Connectivity- Recommendation: Karen believes that provider connectivity will be known if they are discharged and are homeless or repeat offender and it will bump them to a fairly high risk area- after six months is that really going to help to make an accurate assessment (harder for care managers) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -very hard to evaluate on discharge and people on discharge may be very high risk -listing of factors that make the population very different- case load is very different <p>**Criminal justice committee goal is to be able to identify the risk and then attach an acuity score to the risk – then at point of referral get as much information as possible</p>
CONCLUSIONS	<p>**One tool at a higher level- the use of a compass assessment tool- used for people who are going to be released out of incarceration and determining the risk of re-entering into the</p>

	criminal justice system – to be included in the risk assessment (Michelle to forward it to Rosemary so she may see it)
--	--

DISCUSSION OF POSSIBLE NEXT STEPS

ROSEMARY CABRERA

DISCUSSION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendation: how will the risk acuity score be readjusted once the respective patients are stabilized- use clean data? After 6 months? After a year? • To be discussed in the next upcoming meetings
-------------------	--

--	--

CONCLUSIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forward all of the changes and make final recommendations before September 24th • Power-point presentation will be updated
--------------------	--