



PCMH Data in SIM

Hello,
Has anyone been able to use the SIM data to connect providers to the practices or groups through which they have their PCMH recognition? I haven't seen any documentation on the way "PCMH_billed_provider" relates to other information in the dataset(s).
If anyone from Salient is out there reading this, we could use better documentation for the new data as it is released. An ERD would be *amazingly* useful, as would an updated data dictionary.
Have a great weekend, everyone!
Jamie



Question by [Jamie Bono](#)
On June 19, 2015 at 15:43 - 65 views, 1 reply, 2 followers

0

Salient Interactive Miner data PCMH provider data

John Amisano from Salient HHS was kind enough to respond to Jamie Bono via email and has given us permission to share this information on the MIX.
Hi Jamie, I apologize for the delay, but I was able to follow up on some of the very valid concerns that you raised below.
The source of the PCMH flag is a file from NCQA that identifies all accredited PCMH facilities and providers by NPI. We used this file to flag all of the matching provider NPI's and associated MMIS ID's in our system, essentially allowing you to use the PCMH flag as another dimension of the Paid Provider and Provider keys. Since our system is sourced from the Medicaid claims and encounter information, the only way that an NPI shows up in our system is if the NPI or associated MMIS number (which are crosswalked) shows up in the billing or servicing/attending provider field on the claim. The only hierarchical information available for the Medicaid Claims is the roll-up to the Entity ID level. We are currently working on designing a "Provider Cube" to provide for the needs of participants in the DSRIP initiative. This cube will allow more functionality in terms of adding information about the provider (e.g. better provider rollups/hierarchies) as well as a structure for capturing providers that do not appear on claims.
Unfortunately, as this time, there is no way to use the Salient Medicaid System alone to roll up the individual providers that receive accreditation through a practice with the associated practice. We have raised your concern to DOH, and they agreed that this is an issue that will receive some additional discussion and attention.
As for the release strategy for new data elements – we have arrived at the same conclusion as you that merely providing a brief definition of some data elements may not be sufficient, and we are discussing supplemental documentation to accompany these releases in the future, such as webinars or Bookmarks to demonstrate how the data element should be used in an analysis. We are also working on an overhaul of our data dictionary to provide more complete information about the source and description of our data elements to aid in interpretation.
Thank you again for taking the time to provide feedback, and please let us know if you have any suggestions for future releases.
John Amisano, M.P.H.
Director of Outcome Support
By [Kathleen Davis](#), 8 months ago

0

Reply to this contribution...