Innovations Fund Grants Results Meeting

Balancing Incentive Program (BIP)
Empire State Plaza, Conference room 6
Albany, NY
BIP Innovations Fund Grant Awards created to:

- Engage and incentivize Stakeholders to:
  - Take a role in implementing BIP and have an impact on rebalancing MA in NYS
  - “Think outside the box” and develop capacity building projects
  - Develop creative solutions in removing barriers to community-based/least restrictive LTSS settings
  - Improve quality of life by enhancing assistance provided to MA eligible individuals and help them remain within the community or facilitate their transition out of institutional level of care
BIP Innovations Fund Grant Awards created to:

- **Purpose**
  - Improve health outcomes
  - Increase systemic efficiency and effectiveness
BIP Innovations Fund Grant Awards Goals:

- Implement LTC infrastructure changes that can be applied statewide
- Support increased utilization/capacity
- Mitigate barriers to community-based living
- Facilitate enhanced/improved community-based care and living options
- Reduce institutional LTC placement
- Utilize provider expertise/experience to “think differently” about community-based services and supports
Innovations Fund Grants

Initially, $45M was allocated for a fixed term 8/1/2014 – 9/30/2015

- 75 applications were received/reviewed and scored
- 54 selected/awarded and contracts managed by 8 BIP staff
- Largest $3M; Smallest $175K
- 70% were located in the metropolitan regions and 30% upstate
- 2 were designed to reach the entire state
Innovations Fund Grants


- $5M additional BIP funds were recast – increasing the Total Innovations Fund Award to $54M
- 5/54 grants identified/selected and given proportionate amounts of $5M and +12 months of demonstration time (varying end dates)
- 2 projects given additional demonstration time through 6/2017
Innovations Fund Grants

Common themes for proposed projects were to:

- Enhance proactive case management strategies
- Expand existing program service/increase supports and resources
- Increase outreach and marketing of existing services
- Increase staff skills and training to meet specialized needs
- Implement new technologies that will enhance/improve services
Objectives for convening today:

- Share project outcomes, findings, and best practices that best promote the BIP goals
- Showcase outstanding ideas and initiatives
- Celebrate awardees and provide opportunity to present their ideas and efforts to impact the LTC delivery system
- Foster networking and hear results of this significant investment
Innovations Fund Grants Results Meeting

- **4 Featured Presentations**
  - Advanced Care Alliance of NY
  - Lifespan of Greater Rochester
  - Children’s Home of Jefferson County
  - St. Mary’s Hospital for Children

- **5 Highlighted Presentations**
  - Parker Jewish Institute for Health Care and Rehabilitation
  - God’s Love We Deliver
  - Catholic Managed Long Term Care
  - Erie County Department of Social Services
  - The Hebrew Home for the Aged at Riverdale

- Video Vignettes
- Question and Discussion
Urgent Care for People with I/DD
BIP Innovation Grant
“Centered Around You”

Steven Vernikoff
President, ACA

Terri Seppala
Director, Urgent Care Program Grant
Medical Utilization Higher for I/DD than General Population

Throughout NYS, per capita Medicaid ER and IP claims are higher in the I/DD population than the general population.

Source: OPWDD, October 2015
Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities (I/DD) and DSRIP Opportunities for PPSs to engage providers and Medicaid members
We Are Filling Gaps

1. Personal
   • Centered on individuals, in their residences

2. Less Expensive
   • Reducing unnecessary ER/ preventable hospitalizations

3. Efficient
   • Leveraging technology, right resources for right work

4. More Accessible
   • Care at home, 24/7 nurse line, free transportation to appts

5. Scalable
Our Urgent Care Program for People With Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities
Brings Clinical Expertise Into the Home
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Cost Savings

Cost Savings for Non-Duals Enrolled Prior to August 19, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th># of Individuals</th>
<th>6-Month Medicaid Savings (Calculated*)</th>
<th>2-Year Medicaid Savings (Extrapolated**)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midpoint Cohort^</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>$197,000</td>
<td>$780,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIP Cohort^^</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2.74 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Outcomes:

22% Cost Reduction in 6 Months

* Calculated 6-month Medicaid savings using PSYCKES OMH data base. Methodology available upon request.
** The 2-year Medicaid savings were extrapolated based on the 6-month Medicaid savings calculation.
^ The Midpoint Cohort only includes Medicaid-only individuals (non-duals) enrolled prior to August 2015.
^^ The BIP Cohort includes Medicaid-only individuals (non-duals).
Significant Projected Cost Savings
Extrapolated to DSRIPs, ACA, and NYS I/DD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th># of Individuals</th>
<th>6-Month Medicaid Savings (Extrapolated*)</th>
<th>2-Year Medicaid Savings (Extrapolated**)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSRIP-NYC Boroughs &amp; Long Island only^</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>$4.80 million</td>
<td>$19.20 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA Members^</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>$8.57 million</td>
<td>$34.28 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYS I/DD^</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>$42.83 million</td>
<td>$171.32 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The 6-month Medicaid savings were extrapolated based on the 6-month Medicaid savings of the Midpoint Cohort.
** The 2-year Medicaid savings were extrapolated based on the 6-month Medicaid savings of the Midpoint Cohort.
^ The ACA, DSRIP, NYS I/DD Members only includes Medicaid-only individuals (nonduals) – 40% of Total Census.
## Utilization Reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Category</th>
<th>6 Months Prior</th>
<th>6 Months Post</th>
<th>Cost (Post Pre)</th>
<th>Days (Post Pre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># Recipients</td>
<td># Services</td>
<td>Days of Utilization</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>$63,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>146</td>
<td>$273,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practitioner/Outpatient Clinic</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>3520</td>
<td>$542,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$878,420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Outcomes:

- 37% Reduction in Inpatient Days
- 47% Reduction in ER Visits
## Meaningful Clinical Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Data</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>10-day Baseline (avg)</th>
<th>10-day Baseline Std Dev</th>
<th>IQR</th>
<th>last 30 days (avg)</th>
<th>30-days Std Dev</th>
<th>30-days IQR</th>
<th>Difference Baseline - 30 days</th>
<th>%with any improvement in last 30 days</th>
<th>%w/in target range in last 30 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Diabetics: Systolic</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>138 - 111</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>134 - 106</td>
<td>5 mmHg</td>
<td>19/31 (62%)</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Diabetics: Diastolic</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>86 - 68</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>82 - 66</td>
<td>5 mmHg</td>
<td>22/31 (71%)</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Severe Systolic</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>148 - 130</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>137 - 122</td>
<td>14 mmHg</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Severe: Diastolic</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>97 - 75</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87 - 74</td>
<td>10 mmHg</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Outcomes:

For most severe hypertensive individuals:

- **34% Reduction of risk for Cardiac Events**
- **36% Reduction of risk for Stroke**


## Mid Point Metrics

Compiled from BIP Grant Encounter Data 03/01/15 – 07/31/16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Actions</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home Instructions Provided</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultations with Supervising Physician or PCP</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP or Urgent Clinic Referrals Made</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to 9-1-1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Crisis Units Dispatched</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent Care Nurses Dispatched</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Calls Triaged</strong></td>
<td><strong>&gt;500</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total UCT “Touches”: Initial visits, Urgent Care Visits, Follow Up Visits, Coaching Visits</td>
<td><strong>&gt;600</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral IP* Avoided</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER** &amp; Medical IP*** Visits Avoided</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* Average $15,200/ IP Psych  
** Average $340/ ER Visit  
*** Average $9,000/ IP Medical
More Analysis to Come

- Final Cost of Program Participation (PMPM)
- Pre-post comparison of access to outpatient primary/behavioral care
- Baseline vs follow up on health measures: Remote monitoring
- Baseline vs follow up of Patient Activation Measurement
- Pre-Post Analysis with Propensity Matched control: Medicaid claims utilization
- Identification of predictors of program impact
- Participant Satisfaction
Our Recommendations

Actions to Advance Our Progress

We urge NY State to:
• Add reimbursement for Telemedicine visits from **provider home** and **patient home** at night and weekends
• Add reimbursement for Remote Patient Monitoring for preventing symptoms of chronic Illness

Grant will continue through March 2017 to evaluate:
• Telemedicine clinic – for cost savings and clinical value
• Telehealth monitoring for constipation – for practicality and results
• PAM Coaching for activation
• Directing resources to individuals based on their need
Stratification Model
For Directing Resources Based on Need

Greatest use of our services from highest Acuity enrollees

Further stratifying by type of residence increases relevance and value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAM LEVEL</th>
<th>LOW ACUITY</th>
<th>MED ACUITY</th>
<th>HIGH ACUITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C4, CFA, WEB</td>
<td>B4, CFA, WEB</td>
<td>A4, CFA, WEB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C3, CFA, WEB</td>
<td>B3, CFA, WEB, IVR</td>
<td>A3 – 73 enrollees 1 X Week VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C2 – 25 enrollees IVR reminders, CFA</td>
<td>B2 – 54 enrollees 1 X Week VC, RPM</td>
<td>A2 – 23 enrollees 3 X Week VC, RPM, IVR, ADL, PERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C1 – 32 enrollees IVR reminders, CFA</td>
<td>B1 – 125 enrollees 1 X Week VC, RPM</td>
<td>A1 – 68 enrollees 4 X Week VC, RPM, IVR, ADL, PERS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
No thanks!

We are too busy
The ACA-OPWDD-DOH Partnership

- A successful urgent care delivery system for the I/DD community
  *Extendable to other populations, more providers, care management*
- A connected health platform built for the future
  *Scalable to EMRs, telemed and telehealth*
- Lower utilization with better quality of life
  *Cost savings, better clinical outcomes, increased access to care*
- PMPM $ less than one ER visit/member/year

Quality Care At Right Place and Right Time to Improve Health Care at Lower Cost
Let’s Continue the Conversation

Terri Seppala
Director, ACA Urgent Care Grant
President, Telehealth Associates, Inc.
teri@telehealthassociates.com
612-396-5066

ACA website:
www.AdvanceCareAlliance.org/aca-urgent-care
Using Medical House Calls to Reduce Hospitalizations and Readmissions Among Elderly Medicaid Recipients

The New York State Department of Health’s BIP Innovations Fund Grants Results Meeting

Presented by:
Lorraine Breuer, Senior Vice President of Research and Grants

Thursday, September 22nd

Parker Jewish Institute for Health Care and Rehabilitation
271-11 76th Avenue
New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040-1433
Phone: (718) 289-2100

www.parkerinstitute.org
Our Mission
On The Wings of Compassion, Excellence and Innovation

“Provide, with compassion and dedication, superior quality health care and rehabilitation for adults. Through continual improvement of Parker’s programs and services, it will be a leader in health care delivery and education.”
Parker Today

- A 527-bed skilled nursing facility located in New Hyde Park, NY.
- Offers a comprehensive system of post-acute care, including short-term rehabilitation, nursing and medical services.
- Also offers a diversified network of outpatient services including:
  - Social Adult Day Care
  - Home Health Care Program (Certified Home Health Care)
  - Hospice Program
  - Palliative Care Program
  - Research and Grants
  - Physician Services
  - Queens-Long Island Renal Institute, Inc.
  - Lakeville Transportation Ambulette, LLC
  - AgeWell New York, LLC
  - Medical House Calls

“Parker’s nursing home without walls made it possible for me to receive the nursing care and therapy I needed, keep my independence, and stay where I most wanted to be -- at home.”
Profile of Parker’s Service Area

- A rapidly growing population of adults 65 and older.
- A large and diverse immigrant population.
- A growing population of older adults with special needs.
- Greater financial barriers.
Filling a Critical Gap in Care

- High prevalence of homebound, bedbound, disabled or frail, elderly individuals with functional limitations and multiple comorbidities.

- Tremendous need for home-based primary care in the communities we serve.

- Parker decided to address this limited access to community-based primary care.
Addressing the Problem

- Easier access to primary care is key.
- Many home-based primary care (HBPCs) programs have emerged to help address barriers to care.
- Parker utilized BIP funds to implement a unique geriatric care management and referral program that provided home-based primary care and case management services to older adults.
Parker’s Medical House Calls Program
The Target Population

Targeted elderly Medicaid recipients who were:

- Isolated and at risk of hospitalization and/or of becoming institutionalized.
- From diverse ethnic backgrounds and communities that are significantly underserved and most likely to use emergency rooms as their primary source of medical care.
- Are uninformed about home and community-based long term care services and supports.
- Persons without access to primary care.

Program Service Area

The initial three target geographic areas were:
- Corona/East Elmhurst
- Jackson Heights
- Southeast Queens
Allowable Services

Through the Program patients will be able to receive:

- Primary Care
- Case Management
- Care Coordination
- Psycho/Social Assessment
Program Objectives

1. Reduce preventable/avoidable hospitalizations.

2. Decrease emergency room utilization.

3. Reduce entry to higher levels of institutionalized care.

4. Achieve high rate of patient and caregiver satisfaction.
The Care Model

- Health Services
- Nurse Care Management
- Outreach and Education
- Community Resources
- Case Management & Referral
- Other Specialty Care
- Legal and Financial Supports
Access to Community Resources
Parker’s consortium of several community-based organizations serve as a referral source for community services and include:

- Meals on Wheels
- Personal Care
- Broad Range of Mental Health Services
- Transportation
- Food Stamps
- Housekeeping
- Social Activities
- Legal Supports
- Financial Supports
- Support for Family Caregivers
Population Profile

- All program enrollees were elderly Medicaid beneficiaries, living in Queens, New York.
- 80% of the program’s patients were dual-eligible and 20% were only insured through Medicaid.
- Over 50% of patients were homebound.
- 34% of patients had at least one psychiatric diagnosis.
- 20% of patients had a diagnosis of Alzheimer’s Disease or a related Dementia.
Results and Outcomes of PAYD
Data Collection Methods

Data was collected and assessed at baseline and every 6 months using the NYS-Uniform Assessment System (UAS).

The UAS measures resource utilization such as:

- Hospitalizations
- Emergency Room Use
- Medical Visits
- Nursing Facility Admissions
- Activities of Daily Living
- Mental Health
- Access To Health Care Services
Health Care Utilization

**Hospitalizations**
- 35% decrease in hospitalizations at the 6 month interval.

**ER Visits**
- 74% decrease in ER visits.
Nursing Facility Use

SNF Admissions
- 21% of our patients had an SNF stay 6 months prior to admission.
- Over the course of the program, only 5% of patients went to SNF.

Medication Compliance
- 20% of our patients were not compliant with medications upon admission.
- All were referred for pre-pour service and achieved compliance.
The HBPC Improved Access to Care

**Physician Visits**
- Approximately 1,000 visits. Due to patient acuity, most patients were seen monthly.

**Difficulty Accessing Medical Care**
- A 62% decrease from baseline in the number of individuals reporting difficulty in accessing medical treatments.
Successful Outcomes

- Prevented unnecessary utilization of care.
- Increased use of recommended specialty care.
- Increased medication compliance.
- Decreased caregiver burden.
- Increased awareness and utilization of community-based services and supports.
Barriers and Challenges

- Putting the right team in place.
- Recruiting patients.
- Care coordination.
- Maintaining relationships with patient’s in-network physicians.
- Breaking the cycle of patient reliance on Emergency room for treatment.
Project Sustainability

- Parker has made a multi-year institutional investment in Parker At Your Door.
- Enroll in Managed Care Organizations (MCOs).
- Accept Medicare and private pay.
- Expand to other geographic areas.
- Seek funding for a mobile medical unit.
time for questions
Thank you.
Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.

Balancing Incentive Program

Innovation Project

Health Care Coordination

Contract #: 029836

Results

September 22\textsuperscript{nd}, 2016

From August 1, 2014 to March 31, 2016
Program Goals

• Provide healthcare-focused service coordination for at least 100 Medicaid beneficiaries 50 years and older.

• Help Medicaid beneficiaries remain in their homes by increasing access to community based medical, disability and aging service systems.

• Decrease Caregiver Stress.
Enrollment Criteria

- Age 50+ (required)
- Demonstrated difficulty navigating health care system
- History of missed medical appointments
- Aging/Stressed caregiver
- Lives alone
- 2 or more ED visits or hospitalizations in the past year
- Low health literacy
- History of non-adherence with treatment plan
- Co-morbidities, especially those that limit ADL’s
## Participant Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female 63%</td>
<td>African American 44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 38%</td>
<td>Hispanic/Latino 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White 48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other 1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 64</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 75</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 75</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participant Profile

- 86% had no involved caregiver to assist
- 25% diagnosed with Dementia
- 50% diagnosed with Diabetes
- Participants’ # of Chronic Health Conditions
  - 1 to 2: 26%
  - 3 to 5: 58%
  - 6+: 16%
Program Description

Healthcare Coordinators

• LPN’s supervised by an RN.
• Schedule medical appointments and coordinate transportation.
• Accompany patients to medical appointments, scribe, advocate and ensure the right questions are asked and answered.
Program Description

• Communicate the results of medical appointments with family members and other professional providers.
• Complete a Medication Reconciliation at every encounter.
• Link to other supportive community based services.
• Increase patients knowledge of their own healthcare needs through education and training.
Healthcare Coordinator Services provided

- Med Rec done by HCC: 576
- Phone Follow up by HCC: 263
- Transportation Scheduled by HCC: 801
- HCC attended appointment: 1031
- Total appointments scheduled: 1445
Program Outcome Goal: 75% of participants will attend 80% of Medical Appointments
Achieved 89%

- Attended Appointment: 89%
- Other Problem: 4%
- Transportation Problem: 2%
- Forgot: 2%
- Refused: 2%
Program Outcome: Reduced Hospitalizations 48%

- # of Hospitalizations Pre-Service: 65
- # of Hospitalizations While Enrolled: 34
Hospitalizations Pre and Post Service

Total # Clients Enrolled

# Clients Hospitalized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-Service</th>
<th>While Enrolled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # Clients Enrolled</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Clients Hospitalized</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Program Outcome: Reduced Emergency Room Use 81%
ED Visits Pre and Post Service

Total # Clients Enrolled: 97

# Clients who visited ED:
- Pre-Service: 17
- While Enrolled: 56
Results of BIP Health Care Coordination Project –
Total Estimated Cost Avoidance of $1,656,870

- Hospitalization was reduced 48% with an estimated cost avoidance of $349,680.¹
- ED use was reduced 81% with an estimated cost avoidance of $218,241.²
- 18 clients reduced hospital use with an estimated cost avoidance of $203,040.
- 64 clients had NO hospital stays during service with an estimated cost avoidance of $721,920.
- 39 clients had reduced emergency room visits with an estimated cost avoidance of $125,766.
- 31 clients had NO emergency room visits during service with an estimated cost avoidance of $38,223.
Program Outcomes

Goal: 60% of participants or their caregivers will access at least one additional community based support service.

- Achieved 67%
Program Outcomes

60% of caregivers will report a decrease in stress as compared to baseline

- Achieved 100%
  - 24% of the clients had a caregiver to assist them.
  - one-third were adult children of the client.
  - one-third were other family members including parents and siblings.
  - one-third were spouses, including one married couple, both served.
Program Outcomes

Goal: 60% of participants involved for 3 months will increase their patient activation score from baseline using the PAM-13.

- At intake, clients completed the Patient Activation Measure (PAM 13) as part of their registration process to help determine their ability to care for themselves. Most (64%) scored at the lowest levels including 29 clients who scored at level one which is indicative of being overwhelmed with health management. Several clients were not even able to understand the questions and only three clients were identified as having sufficient confidence and skill to make appropriate decisions and actions.

* The Patient Activation Measure (PAM) assesses a consumer’s knowledge, skills, and confidence for self-management.
Strategies for Success

• Outreach
• Communication
• Medication Reconciliation
• Transportation
• Motivational approach to patients
Strategies for Success

Outreach

- Physicians/Nurse Care Managers/Office Managers in Physician offices
- Rehabilitation facilities
- Hospital Social Work departments
- Senior Centers / Community Centers
- Health fairs
- Adult Protective Services
Strategies for Success

Communication

• LPN’s maintained consistent communication across all client providers.

• LPN’s communicated appointment results to family members/caregivers as appropriate.

• Teaching of medications/diagnoses/treatments with clients at their level of understanding.
Strategies for Success

Medication Reconciliation

• Completed at every medical appointment and in the clients’ home.

• Assist client with systems to help them take their medications as ordered.
Strategies for Success

**Transportation**

LPN’s scheduled transportation for appointments with:

- Medicaid transportation
- STAR
- TRAC
- Give-a Lift
Strategies for Success

Motivational Approaches

• Client advocacy
• Emotional support
• Reminders
• Positive feedback
• Trust
• Education
Lessons Learned

• Patient Activation Measure (PAM-13) was not an effective pre and post measure of ability to self-manage health.
  – Better used as a tool to identify health literacy needs
  – Supports tailored care plans
Testimonials From Physicians

I have had one of my patients under your program. It has turned her medical care around completely for the better. She was reclusive and difficult to engage in her own chronic medical problem and health care maintenance needs. Your LPN worker has been amazing. She connects with her incredibly well, keeps track of and makes sure she gets to her appointments, monitors her medications for her, and is overall maximizing her quality of life and medical care.

~ Brett Robbins, MD, Culver Medical Group, Rochester, NY
Testimonials From Physicians

I work as a primary care physician in an underserved, urban area. I have made multiple referrals to Health Care Coordination since the beginning of the program. It was been a wonderful resource for me as a clinician and also for my patients. The unique array of social and clinical services provided by HCC has filled gaps in the generic health care system, improving patients’ clinical outcomes and quality of life. I hope that the program can continue to grow and enroll new patients.

~ C. Michael Henderson, MD,
Rochester General Hospital
Testimonial from Care Manager

Lifespan's healthcare coordination service is a sigh of relief for care managers, such as myself. In the midst of chaotic and difficult coordination efforts, their expertise in navigating complex situations is readily apparent. They not only coordinate appointments, but heartily advocate for the patient's well being, reaching out to the appropriate persons for referrals. When there's a standstill of any sort, they'll be the first to inquire and help put a plan into action to see the patient's needs are being addressed and met. They are an integral part of the patient's team and genuinely concerned about their well being. I count it a privilege to have them as members of our team.

John Scruton, RN BSN
Accountable Health Partners Care Manager
Grace Family Medicine, Rochester, New York
Testimonials from Participants

“Now that I have you, I don't stress out because I have an appointment the next day. Now my sister has more time to deal with her own problems. I do not worry if I can't remember the doctor's instructions because if I forget I can easily call you.” Howard

“I feel at ease knowing I have someone I can call with health care concerns or any other concern for that matter. I now leave my appointments confident that I understood everything discussed. The best is not having to carry all my medications with me to every appointment because you keep an updated list.” Domingo
To whom it may concern:

I am writing this on behalf of Lifespan’s Healthcare Coordination services. My mother is utilizing Lifespan’s services and it is one of the main reasons why my mom was able to get out of the nursing home and move into an apartment.

My mom’s biggest desire was to move back home and not reside in the nursing. Unfortunately, she requires assistance and someone to translate (Italian) for her when going to doctor’s appointment and we are not able to take her to all the doctor’s appointments. With Lifespan’s assistance, we have a nurse that meets her at her doctor and is able to report back to me all that transpired at the doctor’s appointment. Unfortunately, without this service, my mom would not be able to attend all her appointments.
References

¹ The Henry J. Kaiser Foundation has determined the average cost of hospitalization in 2014 in New York State to be $2,350 per day with an average length of stay at 4.8 days (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Report) for a total of $11,280.

² National Institutes of Health study looked at medical expenditure bills that represented more than 8,303 emergency room visits; researchers found that the average charge for an emergency room trip for all these conditions came out to $1,233.
Contacts

Annie Wells
Director, Care Transitions
awells@lifespan-roch.org

Pamela Taylor RN
Healthcare Coordination Project Leader
ptaylor@lifespan-roch.org

585.244.8400
Balancing Incentive Program
The Medically Tailored Food & Nutrition Expansion Project

Alissa Wassung
Director of Policy & Planning
Mission in Action

- Founded in 1985
- Deliveries in all 5 boroughs of New York City, Westchester and Nassau counties and in Hudson County, NJ
- 200+ diagnoses
- 6,200 meals prepared and delivered each week day
- 1.5+ million medically tailored meals delivered to 6,650 ppl. this FY
Our Clients

90% living with more than one diagnosis

39.2% of clients suffer from Diabetes

27.8% report Obesity in addition to their primary diagnosis

Clients by Qualifying Diagnosis

- Alzheimer's/Neurological Disorders: 10%
- Cancer: 17%
- Cardiovascular Disease: 16%
- HIV/AIDS: 19%
- Diabetes: 6%
- Kidney Disease: 11%
- MS/Musculo-skeletal Disorders: 7%
- Other diseases: 9%
- Pulmonary Disease: 5%
Medically Tailored Meals

- Referred by medical personnel
- Tailored by Registered Dietitians (RD/RDNs)
- Unique meal plans
- RDNs provide Medical Nutrition Therapy and education
- Follow client through trajectory of illness
- NO preservatives, additives, fillers

Meal Modifications

- High Fiber/Low Cholesterol (Heart Disease)
- Renal (Kidney Disease)
- Low Sugar (Diabetic)
- Vegetarian
- Acid/Bland (Digestive)
- Soft Minced Pureed (Cancer/Dementia)
- No Shellfish/No Nuts etc. (Allergies)

All are low sodium
Food is Medicine

While adequate food and nutrition is important for all people, proper nutrition is critical for the management of chronic illness.
Food is Medicine

Access to Food and Nutrition Services for Severely Ill Individuals Achieves the Triple Aim of National Healthcare Reform

Better Health Outcomes

Lower Cost of Care

Improved Patient Satisfaction
Did you know?
1 in 3 hospitalized patients is malnourished on admission*

Nourished Malnourished

# of Hospitalizations **

Hospital Length of Stay ****

93% to home Post-Hospital Discharge ***

28% to home Likelihood of Readmission in 15 Days **

38% Overall Healthcare Costs ***

Nutrition is an Inexpensive Intervention

Feed someone for 1/2 a year for the same cost as 1 day in the hospital
Nutrition is an Inexpensive Intervention

Feed someone for 1/2 a year for the same cost as 1 day in the hospital
High Risk, High Need, High Cost

Healthcare Spending
FNS CONTINUUM OF CARE

PREVENTION

- Prescription Fruit & Vegetable Programs
- Congregate Meals
- Senior Home-delivered Meals
- Food Banks, Pantry & Grocery Bag Programs
- SNAP/WIC/School Lunch

TREATMENT

- Medically Tailored Home-Delivered Meals
- Medically Tailored Home-Delivered Grocery Bags

Intensity of Illness and Symptoms
Coverage of Medically Tailored Meals in NYS

Fully Covered:
• Medicaid Managed Long Term Care (MLTC)
• Medicaid/Medicare – Fully Integrated Duals Advantage Plans (FIDA)

Can Be Billed to the Medical Line:
• Mainstream Medicaid Managed Care (MMC)
Balancing Incentives: The Issue

- No clear guidance on who is at risk for malnutrition/hospitalization in MLTC plans
- Lack of knowledge in MLTC plans about the benefits of FNS and services we provide
- Low numbers relative to need in NYC and no ability to serve Nassau and Westchester
The Response: Overview of the Project

IDENTIFY

AUTHORIZE

NOURISH
Identify: The FNS Referral Tool

- Gives a definitive answer about nutrition risk and referral necessity
- Does not create work: Uses the Uniform Assessment System required for Medicaid beneficiaries
- Helps guide case managers, care coordinators and transition planners
Authorize

Spreading the word that we provide:

• Flexibility with delivery days and requested service adjustments
• Streamlined authorization process
• Responsive customer service care for all clients

We:

• Are fully HIPAA compliant
• Utilize HCVA billing forms (to bill per meal per service day) that are sent electronically to each provider
Authorize

Through BIP we:

• Hired an Outreach Coordinator
• Designed an Outreach Brochure
• Updated our Webpage
• Created an MLTC Training Module
MLTC Presentations
Community Presentations

Living Young Adult Daycare Center

Mount Vernon Neighborhood Health Center Network

CALLEN LORDE Community Health Center

South Nassau Communities Hospital

St. John's Riverside Hospital

La Familia Adult Day Center Inc.

Monter Cancer Center at Northwell Health
Able to add infrastructure to allow us to expand our service area to Nassau and Westchester and our deliveries in NYC:

- Community Partners Specialist
- Meal Program Packaging Supervisor
- Program Support staff funding
- A Driver
- A Van
## Success!

### Original Goals vs. Achievement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal for Balancing Incentive Program</th>
<th>Achievement for Balancing Incentive Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>680 Clients enrolled in NYC through Community Partners</td>
<td>902 Clients enrolled through Community Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Clients enrolled in Nassau and Westchester through Community Partners</td>
<td>34 Clients enrolled in Nassau and Westchester through Community Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 Outreach Presentations</td>
<td>111 Outreach Presentations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Healthcare Cost Savings

The New England Journal of Medicine

Journal of Primary Care & Community Health

JPEN

Clinical Nutrition

AT THE INTERSECTION OF HEALTH, HEALTH CARE, AND POLICY

Health Affairs
## Healthcare Cost Savings – Hospitalizations Avoided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Members Hospitalized (N=936)</th>
<th>Average Length of Stay (days) each year</th>
<th>Cost per Day</th>
<th>Total Cost per year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No MTFNS</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>$1,901.00</td>
<td>$3,881,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTFNS</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>$1,331.00</td>
<td>$856,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,025,712</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Healthcare Cost Savings – Nursing Home Avoidance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Members Discharged to Nursing Home</th>
<th>Avg. Nursing Home Cost per Year</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Home Discharge Costs</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>$10,431</td>
<td>$2,805,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-MTFNS (72% of 374)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Home Discharge Costs</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$10,431</td>
<td>$135,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTFNS (7% of 187)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,670,336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Estimated Savings for BIP Project: $5.7 million
Lessons Learned

• There is more need for our services
• Because of MLTC plan staff turnover – constant outreach needed
• Plan staff work remotely – use of webinars and site visits to God’s Love
• More automation of risk assessment and referral needed
• Community intervention works
Replication

- Objectives of the project continue
- God’s Love now fully supports the staff hired through BIP
- Project has helped us leverage our core competency to participate in new models: – DSRIP, VBP, ACOs etc.
- Research will lend even more credence to cost effectiveness proposition
Citations


- Thomas KS, Mor V, *Providing More Home-Delivered Meals is One Way to Keep Older Adults With Low Care Needs Out of Nursing Homes*, Health Aff., vol. 32, no. 10 (2013).

Contact

Alissa Wassung
Director of Policy & Planning
God's Love We Deliver
166 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10013
212-294-8171
awassung@glwd.org
Children's Home of Jefferson County
A Legacy of Compassion Since 1859

Therapeutic Crisis Respite Program (TCRP)
PROGRAM OVERVIEW

• Unique, short-term respite program
• Designed for youth aged 10 to 17
• Serves Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties
• Services include:
  • Psychiatric evaluations
  • Medication management
  • Crisis intervention
  • Peer advocacy
  • Individual, family, and group therapy
  • Psychological testing
  • Supported family visitation
  • Intensive Aftercare
PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

THROUGH A FAMILY-CENTERED, TRAUMA-INFORMED APPROACH, TCRP:

• Stabilizes youth and families in crisis in the least restrictive manner
• Empowers youth and families to identify their strengths and use them to develop coping strategies
• Decreases recidivism of emergency room and preventable hospital utilization
• Decreases symptoms of behaviors, family stress, and functional impairment from the time of admission through discharge
RESPITE PHASE

- CANS Assessment
- Referral to Mental Health Services
- School Partnership and Advocacy
- Family Support and Supported Visitation
- Psychiatric Assessment and Neurofeedback
- Psychological Evaluation
- Connection to Community Services
AFTERCARE PHASE

90 Day Connection to Services
Phase Out - “Warm Hands Off” Method
Continuous Crisis Intervention
ONGOING SERVICES

• Clinical Group
• Family Support Group
• Parenting Education
• Life Skills
• Crisis Intervention
• Therapeutic Recreation
• Academic Support
• Supported Visitation

TCRP staff and youth participating in the Project Adventure program.
NEW IMPROVEMENTS

• TCRP allows for integration of multi-systems
• Integrates OMH, DOH, and OCFS programs
• Breaks down barriers between programs
• Prevents disruption from other programs/services
IN THE WORDS OF A YOUTH…

“TCRP helped me with my addiction to tobacco products. It helped me realize there is more to life than being a mess up. TCRP helped with my depression by doing recreation and activities. TCRP helped me with my social skills because I made multiple friends there and it helped my life at home with my parents. My parents and I get along much better now.”
**Benefits to Medicaid**

### Residential Treatment Facility Medicaid Dollars Spent Statewide (SFY – 2015):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unduplicated Youth</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Cost Per Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>913</td>
<td>$94,531,278.00</td>
<td>$103,539.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State Psychiatric Inpatient Medicaid Dollars Spent Statewide (SFY – 2015):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unduplicated Youth</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Cost Per Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2644</td>
<td>$308,126,579.00</td>
<td>$116,538.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Two Year TCRP Cost Savings: (August 2014 – Present)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unduplicated Youth</th>
<th>Total TCRP Expenditures (DOH)</th>
<th>Cost Per Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>$2,380,219</td>
<td>$24,538.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evidence Based Approach

Program Start Date: February 2015
Capacity: Six youth placed in Respite Care; Up to 25 in Aftercare
• Respite Average: 3.1 youth/month
• Aftercare Average: 13.5 youth/month
• Total Program Participation Average: 16.6/month
• Total Referrals: 213
• Total Admissions: 108
Post Respite (within 30 days of respite discharge): 10 youth seen at Emergency Department for mental health crisis

Post Final Discharge (within six months of discharge from Aftercare): No youth reported to have been taken to the Emergency Department or State Psychiatric Hospital for inpatient treatment.
### Evidence Based Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Source</th>
<th>Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCJC</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHJC</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDSS</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent/Guardian</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLS/Waiver</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>228</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Referral Source**
- Parent/Guardian: 28%
- CHJC: 12%
- School: 14%
- SMC: 9%
- LDSS: 10%
- Parent/Guardian: 28%
- Other: 11%
- TLS/Waiver: 4%

**Referrals by Month**

- February 2015: 10
- March 2015: 20
- April 2015: 25
- May 2015: 10
- June 2015: 5
- July 2015: 0
- August 2015: 5

- February 2016: 8
- March 2016: 10
- April 2016: 15
- May 2016: 10
- June 2016: 5
- July 2016: 0
- August 2016: 5

**Legend**
- Referrals
- Admin
Evidence Based Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Status</th>
<th>Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accept</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decline</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for Decline</th>
<th>Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ref. to Alt. Program</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Age</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Refused</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Refused</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Level of Care Required</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for "Decline"
### Evidence Based Approach

**Goal 1:** Assist families in identifying their strengths and needs through administration of the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) assessment tool:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Target</th>
<th>Compliance Rate</th>
<th>Corrective Action, If Needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 30 days of their hire date, 100% of staff will have completed or participated in training necessary for the implementation and utilization of agreed upon clinical assessments. (Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale –C-SSRS)</td>
<td>100% - Target Met</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 7 days of admission, 100% of youth will receive a clinical assessment.</td>
<td>98.3 % - Below Target</td>
<td>One youth was removed on day three by family prior to completion of Clinical Assessment in January.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 14 days of admission, 80% of families will complete the CANS-NY</td>
<td>93% - Target Met</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% of those families will be able to identify their strengths and needs as identified in the CANS-MH Assessment.</td>
<td>100% - Target Met</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of the families who completed the CANS-NY, 25% will complete a follow-up CANS-NY assessment at least one time post final discharge (6 or 12 months)</td>
<td>55% - Target Met</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Evidence Based Approach

**Goal 2:** Decrease recidivism of emergency room and preventable hospital utilization:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Target</th>
<th>Compliance Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 30 days, client specific historical data will be obtained for 70% of youth.</td>
<td>91% - Target Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of youth identified as “high risk” of having future crises, 100% of youth will be offered at least one intensive intervention within 7 days.</td>
<td>100% - Target Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 75% will participate in at least one intensive intervention within 30 days of admission.</td>
<td>100% – Target Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of youth identified as “high risk” of having future crises and are appropriate for community based services, 100% will be offered community based after care services within 14 days of admission.</td>
<td>100% - Target Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% of those youth will participate in community based after care services.</td>
<td>85% - Target Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For at least 30 days post discharge, of the youth who received TCRP services, 75% will not have an encounter with the local Emergency Room Department as a result of a mental health crisis</td>
<td>13% - Target Met, therefore, post discharge 30 days, 87% of youth have not had a mental health emergency encounter with the ED.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For at least 6 months post final discharge, of the youth who received TCRP services, 50% of the youth who remain in contact with TCRP staff will not have an encounter with the local Emergency Room Department as a result of a mental health crisis.</td>
<td>9% - Target Met, therefore, post 6 months, 91% of youth have not had an encounter with the local ED.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Evidence Based Approach**

**Goal 3:** Decrease symptoms and behaviors, family stress, and functional impairment from the time of admission through discharge as identified using pre- and post- survey instruments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Target</th>
<th>Compliance Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% of families will be offered family engagement services, including but not</td>
<td>100% - Target Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limited to, psychoeducational counseling, parenting group, and family therapy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 14 days of admission, 60% or families will participate in at least one</td>
<td>92% - Target Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family engagement service.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior to their discharge from CHJC services, 50% of youth/families receiving</td>
<td>84% - Target Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>services will demonstrate improved behaviors, family stressors, and/or functional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lessons Learned

- Family engagement is key to success
- Connection to Article 31 Mental Health Clinic
- Integration with Residential amenities
- Incorporation of groups
- Initial Assessments
- Be flexible, but never lose sight of the program’s vision
TCRP uses a unique service provision method ensuring all aspects of a youth’s mental health crisis are addressed:

- Intensive family services
- 24 hour referral and admission
- 24 hour crisis response
- Supported family visitation
- In-home parenting classes
- In-home clinical services

- 90 day Aftercare program and community based service referrals
- Ongoing clinical services
- Ongoing Life Skills
- Parent Support Group/Network
- In-school support
“We brought our son to you, and you cared for him as we would and you may very well have saved his future...I could see my son heading towards a darker place. We were able to participate in the two week respite program. By allowing our family time to decompress, we were able to reset. What a simple idea!”
A Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) for Seniors with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*

September 22, 2016

*Supported by a NYS Balancing Incentive Program Grant
The Mission of ArchCare, the Continuing Care Community of the Archdiocese of New York is to foster and provide faith based holistic care to frail and vulnerable people unable to fully care for themselves. Through shared commitments, ArchCare seeks to improve the quality of the lives of those individuals and their families.
Overview

• Building on our existing Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) in Manhattan, the Bronx and Staten Island, which provides comprehensive, integrated, managed health care and supportive services to adults, ages 55 year and older, who require long term care level of services, the BIP grant has enabled ArchCare to integrate 50 PACE-eligible adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) into our program through an appropriately adapted and enhanced PACE model of care.

• Our Specialty PACE aims to 1) support participants as they age in place in their homes and communities, 2) to reduce emergency room visits and hospital admissions, and 3) to forestall admissions into institutional settings.

• Participants receive primary and specialty medical care at our PACE clinics, transportation to our PACE day health centers daily for meals, socialization, activities and more, as well as home care services. PACE is also serving 7 aging parents/caregivers of adults with IDD in our program.
The purpose of the Special Needs Alert (SNA) is to communicate pertinent medical information to networking providers to facilitate the highest quality of care for our participants with special needs.

SNA information includes patient allergies, preferred modes of communication, sensory sensitivities, signs of pain, cognitive status, and behavioral management techniques.

Our SNA was inspired by and adapted from Staten Island University Hospital’s “My Special Needs” form.
Policy & Procedure

• **Policy**: The Specialty PACE participant will be issued a SNA prior to a clinical visit.

• **Procedure**: The Home Care Registered Nurse assigned to the participant is responsible for creating the SNA after the participant’s initial Inter-Disciplinary Team (IDT) meeting and ensuring updated information prior to a clinic visit.
# Key Components

| You can talk to this person about my health: ____________________________________________ |
| Relationship: _______________________________________________________________ |
| Phone Number: ____________________________________________ |
| Date Completed: ______/_____/__________ |

I am very sensitive to: (e.g. touch, specific lights, sounds, odors, textures/fabric)  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  

If I am in pain I show it by:  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  

I communicate using: e.g. speech, preferred language, sign language, communications devices or aids, non-verbal sounds.  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  

If I get upset, the best way you can help is by:  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  

Things that can help me pass the time and get more comfortable with you: (e.g. play cards, tell me a story)  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  

Other special needs are:  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________  
__________________________________________________________________________
SNA Pilot

Staten Island PACE
7 participants
I/DD Participants transferred to Respite Care

**Goal:** Improved Patient Care and Safety
- Ensure participants with IDD are seen by the health care provider
- Create an environment conducive for assessment and/or evaluation
- Prevent medical errors
- Prevent death
Findings

• The open ended questions in the SNA assessment can retrieve a copious amount of useful information. For example, “I am very sensitive to…” and “How I cope with medical procedures…” which can further guide care.

• Use of the SNA has broadened the scope of assessment for our Social Workers.

• The SNA can be used with the general PACE population too.
Next Steps

• Identify community providers that will benefit from the SNA

• Introduce the SNA to the community providers and strategizing implementation

• Orient community providers’ employees to the SNA

• Continuously monitor use of the SNA
St. Mary’s Telehealth Program for the Medically Complex Population

Elvira F. Roveto, FNP B-C
Home Care Administrator, DPS

Donna Mapp-Reid, RN, CCM
Telehealth Supervisor
Our Network of Care

St. Mary’s cares for 2,000 children every day. We provide care wherever it’s needed – at home, in the community, and at St. Mary’s Hospital for Children.

- St. Mary’s Hospital for Children
- St. Mary’s Home Care, a special needs Certified Home Health Agency (CHHA)
- St. Mary’s Community Care Professionals, a Licensed Home Care Services Agency
- St. Mary’s Pediatric Day Healthcare Program
- St. Mary’s Early Education Center
- St. Mary’s Kids at Roslyn, a community-based therapy center
In July 2014, St. Mary’s was awarded $928,668 from the NYS Balancing Incentive Program Innovation Fund (BIP).

Grant was designed for St. Mary’s to study the value of enhancing its home care services through the use of an Interactive Voice Response System (IVR).

St. Mary’s Telehealth Program Goals

1. Decrease the risk of ER visits and re-hospitalizations

2. Increase medication adherence

3. Increase patient/family satisfaction
Telehealth Priorities

The program targets children with medical complexity, with diagnoses including but not limited to:

- Seizure Disorder
- Asthma
- Respiratory (non-asthma)
- Dehydration
How IVR works

• Patients / Caregivers sign consent to participate in the program

• Patients / Caregivers agree to accept calls and they specify day/time/frequency that is most convenient for them

• Automated calls are scheduled and monitored

• Alerts are triggered based upon responses

• Action is taken based on the type of alert
Alerts Trigger Action

Each patient that triggers an alert receives a call from a Registered Nurse with extensive pediatric experience to determine appropriate interventions.

• Common interventions:
  ▪ Educating about the disease process, complications, and when to contact healthcare provider or seek emergency treatment
  ▪ Providing education regarding medications and treatments
  ▪ Identifying the need for an unscheduled home visit from patient’s primary care nurse
  ▪ Contacting the physician, pharmacy or vendor
Interactive Voice Response (IVR) allows patients to have St. Mary’s “eyes & ears” in the home in addition to regular scheduled in-person visits.
**Sample Template (Asthma)**

**Asthma Program:** Is the patient having any of the following:

- Coughing at night?
- Fast breathing?
- Noisy breathing or wheezing?
- Less physical activity?
- Using the rescue inhaler more than usual?
- Signs of a cold or flu?

If yes for any above, alert triggered:

- Yes: Alert Level: High
  » We will let the nurse know
- No: Alert Level: None
Alerts Generated by Type

October, 2014 - October, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Alert</th>
<th>Number of Alerts</th>
<th>Percentage of Alerts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Changes in Clinical Status</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication with TH Team</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>1813</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# of Alerts

Type of Alert
## Reduction in Hospitalizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Type</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Hospitalizations</th>
<th>Hospitalization Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Active Agency Census (1/1/13-12/31/13) Pre-Telehealth-Actual</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Hospitalizations at Pre-Telehealth Implementation</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Hospitalizations for IVR Patients Post Telehealth (10/1/14-9/30/15)</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hospitalization Rate decreased 14%*
## Costs and ROI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post Telehealth Reduction in Hospitalizations</th>
<th>77 (39%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Cost per Hospitalization ($3,928 per hospital day x 7.2 days average stay)</td>
<td>$28,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Estimated Savings ($28,282 x 77)</td>
<td>$2,177,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Program Cost ($1,640 per patient for 12 months or $137 per month)</td>
<td>$928,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Savings</td>
<td>$1,249,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Savings per patient (567 patients)</td>
<td>$2,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Reduction in Readmissions

Pre/Post comparison of cohort enrolled on both Telehealth and non-Telehealth CHHA for equal time periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th># of Patients</th>
<th>30-Day Readmission Rate</th>
<th>90-Day Readmission Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before Telehealth 1st 2 Qtrs, 2014</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Telehealth 1st 2 Qtrs, 2015</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Medication Adherence: Outcomes

• Medication questions are incorporated in all IVR calls.

• First Year Alerts: 1813
  - 528 or 29% were medication related
  - More than 90% of these were for new or changed medications
  - Each followed up with a phone call to the home
  - 528 instances of communication with the home
Achievements

- Over 500 patients enrolled
- Decreased avoidable hospitalizations, readmissions and medication issues
- Increased patient and staff satisfaction
- Aligns with NYS DSRIP Goals
Testimonials

“The Telehealth program has helped so much, I am able to explain problems to the Nurse and the interventions on the phone help and prevent me from going to the ER. Having the Nurse come out to visit after the phone call is also very helpful. I love the fact that someone always calls back and I am not alone.”

“The program helps me, once I took him to Urgicenter but he was still not better. The call came and it was helpful to speak to the Nurse on the phone and then have another nurse visit. This stopped me from having to take him back to the ER. My child is not normal so the additional expert advice benefits him.”

“The program makes me feel safe.”

“I have come to rely on the program, knowing I am not alone and have help even when life gets so busy.”
Lessons Learned

Getting Started

• Determine Your Needs
• Obtain Leadership/Operational/Financial Support
• Engage Quality Team
• Develop Protocol and Policies
• Set timelines and benchmarks
• Build on Existing Telehealth Programs
• Share – Visit – Investigate - Research
Lessons Learned

Making it Work

• Communicate frequently

• Re-evaluate strategy
  • anecdotal info
  • statistical data

• Is the patient experience enhanced?

• Be early adopters of novel ideas

• Is there an adequate ROI, value and enhancement?
The Future

• Advocate for reimbursement

• Develop additional collaborative partnerships

• Continue to innovate and find cost effective ways to better serve our medically complex children

• Broaden our reach by testing and adding new devices and platforms for remote patient monitoring
BIP Transformation Grant utilizes “Federal funding” per section G, page 20 of 26 of the Master Contract for Grants.
Erie County Department of Senior Services
NY Connects

Ready, Set, Home

Karen Adamo- Aging and Disability Resource Center Representative
Erie County Department of Senior Services
716-858-7895

Daniel Szewc- Senior Coordinator of Neighborhood Services
Erie County Department of Senior Services
716-858-6070
Primary Objectives

- Reduce the number of Medicaid beneficiaries utilizing an inappropriate level of care.
- Facilitate timely safe discharges of high risk patients in sub-acute care after a hospitalization.
Who We Helped

- Currently in a Sub-Acute Rehab or SNF
- Medicaid Beneficiaries
- Appropriate for an MLTC plan or waiver program
- Informal caregiver support in the community
How We Helped

- Short term Case Management
- Services to Bridge the gap in MLTC coverage
  - Personal Care Services
  - Home Modifications
  - Personal Emergency Response System
  - Relocation and Home Setup
  - Home Delivered Meals
Recommendations

- Be prepared
  - Unexpected clients- largest age group 55-64
  - Unique home mods- creativity, understanding

- Get involved with clients early

- Partnership with facilities- not just a number to call
Lessons Learned - Surprises

 Our clients
  • Age
  • Sudden Health Change

 Housing needs
  • Unexpected needs
Lessons Learned - Successes

- Relationship with local hospitals
- Understanding client need at home
- Enhance and Expand our knowledge
  - Staff Training
  - NY Connects model
Lessons Learned - Limitations

- Timely and safe discharge
  - Home modification delays
  - Home relocation delays
Replication and Sustainability

- Extroverted ADRC - important and easy to replicate
- Model for NYConnects staff to build upon - offsite options counseling
- Sustainable as part of NYConnects workflow
  - RSH is part of the NYConnects team
Sustainability Challenges/Planning

- Identifying dedicated funds for service connections
- Working with LDSS to fund services prior to MLTC coverage.
- Currently using BIP-Caregiver funding to provide service
  - Not PERS, or relocation services
## Health Care Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PARTICIPANTS</th>
<th>SAVINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL TRANSITIONS</strong></td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRST 30 DAYS OUT</strong> ($9,000 30 day savings over LTC)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$630,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>90 DAYS OUT</strong> ($6,000 additional 60 day savings over LTC)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$318,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>180 DAYS OUT</strong> ($9,000 additional 90 days savings over LTC)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$333,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL GROSS SAVINGS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,281,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL GROSS SAVINGS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,281,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EXPENDITURES TO DATE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$575,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET SAVINGS TO THE SYSTEM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$705,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RETURN ON INVESTMENT (SAVINGS REALIZED PER INVESTED DOLLAR)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECTED ADDITIONAL NET SAVINGS POST GRANT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$479,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Net Savings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,184,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINAL RETURN ON INVESTMENT per dollar</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

- 235 clients served
- 202 clients received options counseling
- 143 clients received Short-term Case Management
- 129 clients referred to MLTC or waiver
RiverSpring Health

BIP Innovation Grant
SAGEDay & LGBT Education Training
BIP Innovation Grant – SAGEDay

Inception of self identification questions on Universal Assessment Tool (UAS)

• Proper protocol for asking these questions

• What tailored services were in place for those individuals who chose to self identify as LGBT

• As a long standing partner with SAGE we learned that there was a gap in services for aging LGBT seniors who did not have a traditional family support system, which resulted in social isolation as well as proper access to privative and ongoing healthcare
BIP Innovation Grant – SAGEDay

• In order to bridge this gap in isolation together we formed SAGEDay. A social model day program. In which seniors would attend 1-5 days per week.

• This program broke the social isolation issue plaguing so many LGBT seniors of the New York City area.

• It provided structured activities for seniors who both physically frail and cognitively impaired.

• Enabled staff made up of social worker, recreational therapists, and certified nursing assistants to identify and link participants to additional services including community entitlements and healthcare related services.

• Provided a safe space for participants
BIP Innovation Grant – SAGEDay Training & Education

• In order to truly serve this population in the best way possible, The Hebrew Home at Riverdale embarked on a facility wide LGBT training program with SAGE.

• This program has trained over 1500 staff members of the organization from executive and clinical levels, to ancillary team members in housekeeping and dietary departments.

• This training took over one year to complete and is now embedded in the fabric of our culture.

• Programs and services geared towards LGBT residents and members are carried out both in admission and clinical competencies in a sensitive and respectful manner.
BIP Innovation Grant – SAGEDay

- Best Practices
- Replication Elements
- Lessons Leaned